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report, Mr. Hines?
MR. HINES: Not from the United States.
THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Boyle?
MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, we had filed an objection to the presentence report and the sentencing guideline range. I understand the Court has faced the same objection in other cases and made a ruling; therefore, $I$ will not argue it further.

THE COURT: Okay. So no issues except as to the guidelines, and the guidelines are what you presented in your memo?

MR. BOYLE: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
And just for the record, has Mr. Rayam had the chance to review the presentence report with you?

MR. BOYLE: He has, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
All right. Well, I do recognize that the guidelines, as calculated in the presentence report, are somewhat different from what counsel had perhaps anticipated.

Of course, I think the plea agreement also made clear that $I$ wasn't necessarily adopting the guideline range, that $I$ needed to see the presentence report. And I do think the presentence report is correct. While, of course, it's only one factor.
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The point is that because of the number of robberies and so forth involved in the racketeering conspiracy and the way that they -- all of which are in the 31 to 34 range and then the way that their grouping is calculated, you have a 34 plus an additional five, which is an offense level of 39.

Mr. Rayam would be entitled to a three-level downward adjustment from that, with the Government moving for the third level. So that's an offense level of 36 . Of course, his criminal history category is a I.

So the guideline range is from 188 to 235 months, I do believe.

But does the Government have any disagreement with that?

MR. HINES: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Now, we do have a policy in this district of having a sealed portion of every proceeding discussing cooperation, whether or not a defendant has been cooperating.

So what we're about to say will remain under seal if a transcript of the proceeding is ordered.

Conference at the bench.
(It is the policy of this court that every guilty plea and sentencing proceeding include a bench conference concerning whether the defendant is or is not cooperating.)

THE COURT: All right. So we have discussed the advisory guideline range. That is only one factor, of course.
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And I'm happy to hear any specific recommendation counsel want to make.

I'll start with the Government, considering all the factors under 3553(a).

MR. HINES: Your Honor presided over a trial in October of 2017 of Glen Wells; and during that trial, Your Honor heard testimony from a young woman, Te'Ana Cousin.

Ms. Cousin was an aspiring law student attempting to obtain her JD and practice real estate law. She had a Bachelor's from Morgan State University. She was at her home on October 5th, 2015, laying in bed, falling asleep, when she heard a boom at the door.

She testified that by the time she rolled over in bed, two men were standing over top of her.

One of those men was Jemell Rayam. The men were wearing black masks, hoodies, and jeans, and Mr. Rayam had a firearm that he pointed at her.

Ms. Cousin testified that she was, quote, paralyzed with fear. She was, quote, really scared, end quote.

And Mr. Rayam said, You know what this is. Where's the money? And he said he was going to kill her if she didn't tell him where the money was.

He displayed his handgun in his hand and told her to lay down. He then stole money and other items from her apartment and ran off with his co-conspirators.
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So this Court is faced with a defendant who, after his
arrest in March of 2017, accepted responsibility for this
robbery, among others.
Mr. Rayam, along with his co-conspirators, were given
tremendous power and responsibility as police officers; and
with this power, Mr. Rayam preyed on the vulnerable and
victimized the very citizens that he had sworn to protect.
When taking into consideration all of Mr. Rayam's
actions, the sentences that this Court has given to his
co-conspirators, the other factors under $3553(a)$, the
United States submits that the appropriate sentence for
Mr. Rayam is a sentence of 12 years' imprisonment, which is
within the guideline range.
The owners of that store, earlier in the day, had gone
area. outlined in his plea agreement, he committed over
mr. Gondo, and other members of the Gun Trace Task Force went
birdseed, and bird-related accessories, among other items. one
and searched a pigeon store. This was a pigeon store in
just discuss a couple of them that are referenced in the plea
agreement.
to the municipal building with $\$ 20,000$ in cash in an attempt to satisfy their outstanding debt obligations.

While waiting in the very long line at the municipal building, they were -- they stood there waiting and they learned that a neighbor at the pigeon store had fallen ill, so they went back to tend to him with the goal of going back to satisfy the municipal bills the following day.

Once Mr. Rayam was in the store and he learned that the owners had $\$ 20,000$ in their pocketbook, he then left and he recruited several associates to participate in another robbery of their home later that evening.

He showed up at their house. He armed his co-conspirators. One was Thomas Finnegan, who he had also been supplying with narcotics; and the other was his cousin, who he had been -- he armed them with his firearm, his police vest, and they went into their home, pretending to be police officers, while Mr. Rayam waited outside in his patrol car, and they stole $\$ 20,000$ from this couple.

And Your Honor heard at the sentencing of Mr. Finnegan the impact that that had on the victims of the offense, the financial hardships that they thereafter faced with their business, the burden that it caused on them, and the fear that both they and their young minor granddaughter had moving forward in the future.

This is just one example of 15 robberies in the plea
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On March 11th, 2015, Mr. Rayam participated in a robbery of G.W., during which he stole between 8,000 and 10,000 dollars, along with Gondo and Sergeant Allers.

On April 3rd, 2015, he robbed D.M., where they -- he, Allers, and Gondo took $\$ 7,000$ in cash in D.M.'s closet.

On July 31st, 2015, he and his co-conspirators participated in the robbery of $Z . N$. at his residence. He, Allers, and Gondo split up the proceeds of the robbery.

The robbery in October 2015 of A.A. was when Rayam and Mr. Wells and others stole money and they also stole heroin.

Mr. Rayam and Wells and the other co-conspirators sold that heroin, and Mr. Rayam recouped proceeds from the drug sale of that approximately 800 grams of deadly substance.

On February 10th, 2016, Mr. Rayam robbed P.E., entered his residence. He, Rayam, and Allers divided up cash that P.E. had been counting in the residence.

February 23rd, 2016, Mr. Rayam participated in the home robbery of B.C., and they stole -- he -- Allers and Rayam and Gondo stole $\$ 7,000$ from B.C.'s bedroom.

May 11th, 2016 -- this is now in the time frame of the FBI investigation of the GTTF -- Mr. Rayam participated in the robbery of N.D. During a traffic stop, Mr. Rayam stole approximately $\$ 700$ of $\mathrm{N} . \mathrm{D} . \mathrm{s}$, and it was at that time that the FBI began investigating this task force for the commission of
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robberies, taking money, filing false incident reports, and things of that nature.

May 28th, 2016, robbery of A.C. There was a robbery referenced in the plea agreement in which Mr . Rayam and his co-conspirators stole marijuana and a firearm.

Jenkins, Gondo, and Rayam conducted a traffic stop on Dickie Road near Forest Park. They did not report the incident.

Rayam and Gondo recovered marijuana from the driver's car.

Rayam and Gondo and Jenkins then went to the driver's house and recovered additional marijuana and a firearm.

Jenkins told Rayam to sell the marijuana and the firearm in order to pay Jenkins the money that Jenkins believed that Rayam owed him for a drug debt.

Gondo subsequently arranged for the firearm and marijuana to be sold, and Rayam received money for the sale of the firearm and marijuana.

In July of 2016, Mr. Rayam participated in the robbery of Ronald Hamilton and Nancy Hamilton. This Court heard testimony from Ronald Hamilton during the trial.

And this Court and the jury could hear just the devastating impact that it had on Mr. Hamilton's life and the trauma that it caused him.

He said it ruined his family. And from the witness
stand, he bore out what we've seen through these victims time and time again as Mr. Rayam and his co-conspirators have victimized them by stealing their money, filing false incident reports, selling drugs back on the streets of Baltimore, all while wearing a police badge.

In August of 2016, Mr. Rayam participated in the robbery of D.A. He went to a storage unit. And following the heist at the storage unit, Hersl gave Rayam money that Hersl had stolen from the arrestee's vehicle.

August 2016, robbery of J.B. Rayam stole money with Hersl.

September 7th, 2016, robbery of S.S., that's Mr. Summerville, who testified in the previous trial. This was the episode in which Hersl showed up and told one of the security officers, custodians there that they looked like people that needed to be robbed, while Mr. Rayam did, in fact, participate in the robbery. He took money and narcotics.

October 3rd, 2016, this is the robbery of G.H., Mr. Harding, which was an episode involved in the Snell trial. During a high-speed chase near Mondawmin Mall, Mr. Harding threw 9 ounces of cocaine out the window. Mr. Rayam retrieved the cocaine and ultimately arranged to sell that cocaine through another police officer, Mr. Snell, in Philadelphia.

As Mr. Rayam has admitted, he lied time and time again to judges, courts, juries, both in probable cause, both on the
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witness stand. He admitted to that over and over and over again.

He admitted to coaching others in advance of Internal Affairs investigations. He admitted to turning off his body camera to conceal and disguise their conduct.

Next to Wayne Jenkins, Mr. Rayam's criminal conduct was the worst out of any defendant in the Gun Trace Task Force.

And in addition to the harm and loss he caused to the city through the commission of robberies and the trafficking of deadly narcotics, Rayam also committed rampant time and attendance fraud and stole from the taxpayers.

Stealing from the citizens was not enough. He chose to also steal from a city that cannot even afford to provide adequate heat and air conditioning for our school children.

Turning to the next $3553(\mathrm{a})$ factor, deterrence, this Court should send a deterrent message to other officers who face the same temptations that Mr . Rayam faced and ultimately agreed to commit crimes under.

Officers in this environment are exposed to large amounts of cash, cash on a daily basis. We rely on our police officers to go out there, put themselves in harm's way, take narcotics, guns, other illegal items off the street. And that temptation is going to exist in the wake of the consent decree, in the wake of any reform effort, and it requires significant trust in our police officers.
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This Court should send a message that any officer who commits this kind of conduct will face significant penalties in this court.

Time and time again, Mr. Rayam made the wrong decision. And you saw when Mr. Kostoplis testified as the final witness in the trial, a young man in his 20s, he was able to say no to this kind of behavior. And as a result of repercussions he faced as he was dismissed from the unit, ostracized from the unit and pushed out to another area.

Mr. Rayam also had a choice. He could have done the same thing as Mr. Kostoplis, but his -- not only did he engage in the behavior, but next to Wayne Jenkins, he participated in more crimes than anyone else in the unit.

This Court, under $3553(\mathrm{a})$, looks to avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities.

The two supervisors of the unit, Mr. Jenkins, he received 25 years; and Mr. Allers received 15 years' incarceration from this Court.

Mr. Rayam was not a supervisor, and we believe a sentence less than 25 and 15 years is warranted for Mr. Rayam.

But Mr. Rayam's crimes were more significant than Mr. Allers'. Mr. Allers participated in approximately nine robberies with Mr. Rayam. Mr. Rayam, of course, participated in many more and sold drugs.

The two trial defendants, Detective Hersl and
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Detective Taylor, received sentences of 18 years. They did not accept responsibility.

We believe a sentence less than 18 years is appropriate for Mr. Rayam, although Mr. Rayam's conduct was significantly worse than both Detective Hersl and Detective Taylor.

He committed significantly more crimes. He engaged in more pervasive drug dealing. And the impact that he had on the community was significantly more.

Turning to the Defendants Ward and Hendrix, they received sentences of seven years by Your Honor.

They committed approximately four to five robberies, as outlined in their plea agreements, and they did not engage in any narcotics trafficking.

And as to -- the closest comparison, I think, the Court can draw is to that of Mr. Gondo, but there is some significant distinction in this case.

Mr. Gondo only committed eight robberies, as outlined in his plea agreement.

Mr. Rayam committed twice that amount of robberies. 15 robberies over the course of eight years preceding his arrest.

Not only did he commit more robberies than Detective Gondo, but he sold significantly more drugs than Detective Gondo did on the streets.

In other words, Detective Gondo, as the Court heard in the Shropshire case, he was providing sort of this information, this role of protection and looking out for Mr. Shropshire, and on a couple of occasions brokered some deals with marijuana and other narcotics.

The amount of drugs that Detective Rayam sold, both through Eric Snell, both through Tom Finnegan, as well as numerous others, was significantly more than that of Detective Gondo, and that is an egregious, egregious breach of the public trust.

So in sum, Your Honor, when the Court is considering the need for the sentence to reflect the seriousness of the offense, to promote respect for the law, this could not be a more serious offense. Over 15 armed robberies is a huge amount of armed robberies for any defendant that this Court sees, let alone a sworn police officer.

Mr. Rayam's actions undermined the public trust and confidence in law enforcement. It undermines respect for the law. It destroys the trust between the community and police. It has resulted in hundreds and thousands of cases being thrown out of courts.

By my count, over 2,000 cases have been affected by Mr. Rayam's actions.

The guilty will walk free in many of those cases. Violent offenders will be back -- are back on our streets as a
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result of Mr. Rayam's actions because we can't trust the word of these --

THE COURT: When you say 2,000 cases have been affected by this, can you just elaborate on that?

MR. HINES: Yeah. So the public -- there was -according to the Baltimore Sun, I believe the number was 1700 cases were thrown out by the Baltimore City State's Attorney's Office as of several months ago. And we've learned that subsequently more cases have also been thrown out.

It's affected federal cases as well. Although Detective Rayam was not involved in any known federal case, we certainly have taken action to undo convictions, where appropriate; vacate sentences, as needed.

And these are individuals that in some cases were -where we vacated sentence were people who committed crimes, and they're now back on the streets because of what these officers did.

And we recommend that the Court sentence Mr. Rayam to 12 years' imprisonment.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Hines. Appreciate it.
Mr. Boyle, I would be happy to hear from you or if there's anyone that would like to speak.

MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, there are three individuals who would like to speak on Mr. Rayam's behalf. Where would the Court like them to testify --

THE COURT: If they come up to that podium so they can speak into the mic and just state and spell their name.

MR. BOYLE: Okay. I would first call John Rayam to the stand.

THE CLERK: Sir -- excuse me, sir. Please state and spell your name for the record.

JOHN RAYAM: John L. Rayam, R-A-Y-A; M, like in Mary.
THE CLERK: J-O-H-N?
JOHN RAYAM: Yes, ma'am.
THE CLERK: Thank you.
MR. BOYLE: And what is your relationship to Jemell?
JOHN RAYAM: I'm the father.
MR. BOYLE: Okay. Is there anything you would like to tell the Court?

JOHN RAYAM: Yes, I would.
MR. BOYLE: Please go ahead.
JOHN RAYAM: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
JOHN RAYAM: Your Honor, I stand here a father of a convicted felon. That's not easy coming out my mouth.

I'm a retired police officer. I did 25 years, so I know the meaning of trust, dedication, and honor. And I'm not here to stand here and justify what my son did.

But what I'm here to tell you briefly is that -- what kind of family Jemell came from. And, like I say, Your Honor,

I did 25 years as a police officer and retired.
My wife, Doreen, retired as a schoolteacher after 33 years of service.

My oldest son had done 17 years as a teacher.
My oldest daughter is a principal after 15 years.
My son-in-law, who is here, had done 15 years as a teacher.

And my baby daughter has now done seven years as a teacher.

So Jemell came from a family of public service and community events.

And, Your Honor, I'm proud to say this also about my family: All the children I just named, except for Jemell, had their Master's degrees.

Jemell was raised in a disciplined house, a faith house, a Lord house. And I'm looking at my son now, and he know that he violated all -- everything that he's been taught.

I was once asked by somebody why do I think he did this. And, Your Honor, I wasn't in his mind, but one thing, because of my faith that there was the parable that came to me -- and I discussed it with my wife -- and the parable is about the Prodigal Son.

Jemell was taught the faith, he lived the faith, and he know what we stand for.

Now, with the Prodigal Son, the Prodigal Son asked his
father for his riches. My wife and I are not rich, but we gave him the knowledge, the faith, the hope, the trust that he would be able to take this to go wherever he may go.

But what happened, Your Honor, he got sidetracked. And what I mean by "sidetracked," I'm not trying to demean the crime, but what I mean by this is that he forgot what his belief is.

But I'm here to say to Your Honor that whatever time you give my son, he does have a support group. The same way that we supported him growing up, we will support him coming back home. I will work with him.

And I will say this, that I still love you.
THE DEFENDANT: I love you too, Dad. I love you too, Dad.

JOHN RAYAM: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rayam.
MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, I would call Doreen Rayam to the stand.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE CLERK: Ma'am --
DOREEN RAYAM: Good morning, Your Honor. My name
is --
THE CLERK: -- please state and spell your name.
DOREEN RAYAM: Doreen, D-O-R-E-E-N; Elaine,
E-L-A-I-N-E; Rayam, R-A-Y-A-M.

THE CLERK: Thank you.
MR. BOYLE: Mrs. Rayam, what is your relationship to Jemell?

DOREEN RAYAM: I am Jemell's mother.
MR. BOYLE: Is there anything you would like to tell the Court?

DOREEN RAYAM: I would.
Proverbs, it says to train up a child as he -- as he shall go, and when he get older, he won't depart from it. Jemell did deter from it. He was brought up in a home, God-fearing home. He was given the foundation of God and the foundation of love and truth and honor and respect.

For being a teacher, even while growing up, he gave him -- he gave so much of himself to his friends in junior high. There were so many kids that did not have both parents in the home, and Jemell always reached out to those kind of children that needed the love.

There were times when they needed uniforms or they needed clothes or they needed something, and Jemell would share with me, Mom, can you get this for this person or can you get that?

And because of people gravitating to him because of his personality and his character and his love and the God that was in him, he was able to share his friends with us, and he brought them to the home with us, and that was during his
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junior high school years.
Also, as we grow up, and as he continued to grow up in college, again, young men would gravitate to Jemell because they saw the God that was in Jemell. They saw the love and they saw the caring and compassion that we had, which also he carried over into college.

They would come to my house. Jemell would also, again, give whatever he had. Sneakers, if someone needed, he gave it to them. A coat he [sic] needed, he gave it to them. They would come and he would say, you know, if you needed a hot meal, come on over to my mom's house, I'll give it to you.

As a teacher and as a minister, there were young men in our church that needed guidance as well. These were the same students that attended my school, and they went to church and Jemell would look at the young men. And he took their telephone numbers and they took his telephone number and he would call them and he would get them things if they needed for -- if it was clothes, if it was shoes, if they just needed an ear to talk to.

So I say that even as growing up and even as giving so much of himself and even as seeing other people that did not have, my son is in the position where at times wherever he worked, he was able to see and be able to see in the city where I worked and where -- there were so many young men that were deterring [sic] the wrong way where he was able to assist.

for the record.
DORRICE RAYAM: Dorrice, D-O-R-R-I-C-E; Rayam, $\mathrm{R}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{Y}-\mathrm{A}-\mathrm{M}$.

THE CLERK: Thank you.
DORRICE RAYAM: Good morning, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good morning.
DORRICE RAYAM: I am Jemell's big sister. I can talk about the great times we had growing up. I can talk about our childhood. I think my parents pretty much touched on that point. We had a great childhood. It was fun, adventurous.

We grew up in church. We know the Lord and we love the Lord.

And I just want to touch on two points that just came back to memory.

When we got older, Jemell, he used to work at a detention -- youth center. And it was the summer. And Jemell worked so many hours -- and we lived close to Dorney Park, and we used to say -- you know, we used to go to the theme park and the water park, and we had to pick him up afterwards because it was right next door, almost.

And I asked him, I said, Jemell, why are you working so many hours?

And his response was, They still need someone to believe in them.

He felt like if he could just continue to talk to
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them, then they wouldn't -- they wouldn't end up back in that detention center for something worse.

We go -- we get older, Jemell becomes a Baltimore police officer. And I became a principal for about six years now.

And Jemell will call to say hello, and it's funny, my mom said God has a plan and a purpose. And most of the times, when Jemell called me, I had a student in my office who did something.

And $I$ work in the City of Newark, so it can range from something big to something small.

And Jemell would say, Let me talk to him. Okay. I would let Jemell speak to the young man. And the young man sometimes didn't want to share what my brother said to him because he felt like that was between him and my brother, which I respected.

But then there were follow-up calls. So it's not just that one time that Jemell would call -- would ask about that student. He will call and follow them.

And some of them he's seen, they're in high school now. And just the motivation and the support that he's given my students, I want him to know that we're here to do the same for him.

Jemell has always been a role model. And I hear what the counselor has read, and it sounds bad. I know it's bad.

I'm not here to excuse any actions.
But the man I see before me today, my brother, Jemell Rayam, I still see a person I would invite into my school building to mentor my young black males.

I still see a person $I$ would say, Please, come speak at my eighth grade graduation. Please, come take these group of students who you can now -- you already talked to them, but now you can actually explain to them and -- and really tell them how you felt going through this process.

So I'm not going to repeat that my parents say God has a plan and a purpose. We know that. We are all believers. We don't know why. It's not to say God made him sin. God didn't do that; right? But God forgives. And we forgive.

And, Jemell, we love you so much. And I can't wait to hear your story. I can't wait for my students to hear your story.

And thank you for just giving us the time to speak on his behalf.

THE COURT: Thank you very much. Appreciate it.
MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, Jemell Rayam wanted to be a good cop. He was a good kid. He was a good student. He graduated from college. And he wanted to follow his father into the police force, and he wanted to be a good cop.

He wanted to be a good cop for his family, for his friends, for his neighborhoods, and for society, for all of us.

And he was. He started out and he was a good cop.
He arrest -- he took lots of drugs off the street. He took guns off the street. He was involved in a couple very serious incidents, one in which he was shot by an assailant he was attempting to arrest.

These were bad people, and he arrested a lot of bad people and he took a lot of bad people off the street. He was a good cop.

But the story of Jemell's life is going to now be forever tinged by what Mr. Hines had to say.

And everything that Mr. Hines said was true. Jemell was involved in a lot of robberies. He did a lot of things that he shouldn't have done. He became a corrupt cop.

But, Your Honor, corruption doesn't start -- an individual doesn't start out corrupt. Corruption is something that occurs in little pieces, in little steps along the way.

As I think Jemell will tell the Court, for him, corruption first started when he was a new officer in the Baltimore City police force.

He was called to the scene of a traffic incident and told to write up a report as to what happened.

Now, the individual who actually saw what happened was a -- what's called a white shirt in Baltimore, an officer.

Jemell, as he was writing up his report, called his sergeant to get the name of the officer, and he was told that
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in Baltimore, officers aren't included in police reports because they have too many things to do. They're too busy. And the best thing to do is just to change the report, report that you saw it rather than the officer.

That was a test of character, and it was a test of character that Mr . Rayam failed. He did what he was told at that point in time.

And as time went on, he got involved with other people. He did other things. He became a principal in terms of robberies and corruptions and things of that nature.

In the process, he strayed from the person that he was. He was a good Christian, and he left his religion, at least temporarily.

He was a good husband, but he did not treat his wife properly.

He was a good father, but now he's not around to be a good father anymore.

He failed in every significant respect, and that is going to be part of Jemell's narrative for the rest of his life.

But, as his family said, and as this Court knows, just because one fails a test doesn't mean that they're forever lost or that they can't redeem themselves.

As soon as Mr. Rayam hit bottom, as soon as he was arrested, more so than anybody else, he came to grips and
accepted the person that he was, that he was corrupt, that he was wrong, and he decided at that point in time he was going to change his life and turn it around and do everything he could to try to rectify the things that he had done wrong.

And Mr. Hines is again correct that not all bad deeds can be undone.

We've submitted a comprehensive sentencing memorandum that I think describes in detail the reasons for what we think would be an appropriate sentence.

We've attached a number of letters from people who have known Jemell his whole life, and I think that these facts that I'm saying are uncontested.

So it leaves the Court, we would submit, with a bit of a dilemma. Somebody who has done very bad things, but somebody who is fundamentally a good person.

We think -- we've recommended a sentence of three years, Your Honor. That may be too low in view of the gravity of the offenses that have occurred.

However, we think that a sentence of 12 years would be far too high.

Mr. Rayam can be a productive member of society, and he will be a productive member of society.

We would ask the Court to carefully consider everything that we've submitted and impose a sentence that the Court believes to be appropriate.
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As Mr. Rayam said here today, he understands that he's at the mercy of the Court in terms of the sentence to be imposed, and he understands that he deserves a significant sentence. He is comfortable with whatever the Court believes to be just.

Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Boyle. I appreciate that.
And, of course, I did appreciate your advocacy and your sentencing memorandum and all the letters and things that were submitted on Mr. Rayam's behalf. Thank you for that.

MR. BOYLE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Before I turn to Mr. Rayam, let me also just ask, would there be any specific recommendations? I think you had mentioned a particular designation. Obviously, that's up to the Bureau of Prisons, but anything in particular?

MR. BOYLE: We would request the federal facility at Otisville, New York, Your Honor. That's close to his family and we believe would support his rehabilitation.

THE COURT: And it does appear that there may be a substance or at least an alcohol abuse issue, something that could be addressed on supervised release.

MR. BOYLE: We believe that could be at that facility, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. Thank you.
Mr. Rayam, if there's anything you'd like to say
before I make a final decision about the sentence, you have the right to do that. You certainly don't have to. But if you'd like to speak, you have the right to do that.

THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. I'll be happy to hear whatever you say. You can just stay right there at the bench. That's fine.

THE DEFENDANT: May I stand or be seated?
THE COURT: You can stand. Just pull the mic a little closer there. Thank you.

THE DEFENDANT: I would like to say, first of all, thank you, Your Honor, for giving me the opportunity to speak in your court today.

I had quite some time to go over what I would say today. And each time I thought about what I would say today, I would stop myself because what I would say today, I didn't want it to be scripted, but more so from the heart.

And if $I$ leave anybody out or if $I$ forget to apologize to anyone, charge it to my head and not my heart.

There's a -- quite a long list of people that $I$ need to apologize to and ask forgiveness to, first being the citizens of Baltimore. I did take an oath to protect and serve, and I broke that oath.

To the city of Baltimore Police Department, to its sworn and civilian members, $I$ came into contact with a lot of honest people, a lot of people that trusted me, that saw my
potential, and I took advantage of that. And I put a stain on the Baltimore Police Department.

To the State's Attorney Office, $I$-- their job is hard as it is, and I didn't make their job any easier in prosecuting criminals, and I apologize to them as well.

To the Circuit and District Court judges, I swore under oath, under penalty of law, that everything I said was true, and things -- some things that I did say was not true.

I admit everything that I've done, Your Honor.
To my family, to my father, you know, Dad, I get more emotional with you because you -- you was always there. You was always right there by my side.

And I was aware of it, but I just never took the opportunity to let you know what I was going through when I was first met with the decision to be dishonest. I know I should have and I could have came to you.

And even while $I$ was doing -- doing what $I$ was doing, and I knew what I was doing was wrong, I still could have came to you and used your wisdom, but I was just ashamed of myself, ashamed to be a man, ashamed to be your son.

But through all of this, I've realized that love conquers all. You've taught me that you do something, you pay for it.

Your Honor, I'm -- I don't -- I care about how much time I get, but I did it. I just know that I miss my family
and that $I$ will miss my kids.
To my mother, to my whole family, you are the definition of unconditional love.

Mom, there's no condemnation. You never judged me for anything that I've done. You always loved me. And I appreciate you, Mom, for the spiritual foundation that you bestowed on me.

To my brother, you was always my protector as my older brother. You showed up in this case, and I know that I can always rely on you.

To my sister, you was like my second mom. You put up with two years of me on the phone going back and forth with you, and yet you was patient with me.

To my little sister, we pored into each other and we got even closer. And I love you. You'll always be my little sister and I'll always be your older brother.

And to Cherelle, thank you for allowing me to be in our kids' life through all of this.

What can I teach my kids? I can teach my kids -- and they know now that their father is in jail, that their father made a mistake.

But as Mr. Hines said and as my lawyer said, they know that their father made a mistake and they know that I love 'em, whether good or bad.

But at the same time, it's never too late to do the
right thing. And no matter what, you'll have a great support system.

How can I ask Your Honor for mercy when I took an oath that you took, that the prosecution took, that my lawyer has taken? And I've known better coming from the family that I came from.

And I can't ask for mercy, but what I could ask, Your Honor, if you could see a man that admit his fault, a man, a father that's just someone who deeply regrets what he did.

I feel like I have much more to say, Your Honor, but I'm coming for a loss of words.

But I'm sorry to the city of Baltimore. And I'm ready to face my consequences.

THE COURT: Okay.
THE DEFENDANT: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Rayam. Thank you very much.

Okay. Is there anything else that anybody wants to say that they haven't had a chance to say?

MR. HINES: Not right now, Your Honor. Thank you.
MR. BOYLE: No, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, again, thank you. Thank you, counsel. And also thanks to the probation officer for the presentence report.

I've reviewed a great deal of material. And, of
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course, I'm familiar with these cases.
I can start by saying, I think it's obvious from what Mr. Rayam just said, what his family said, that this is just a real tragedy at very many levels, including Mr. Rayam himself falling away from what his family had taught him, and that does require serious consequences.

Starting with the seriousness, the nature of the crime, the seriousness of the crime, as I have said before, obviously this is a very serious offense, as Mr. Rayam realizes. He abused his position of trust as a Baltimore City police officer. He used the power of his badge and his gun to rob people rather than to protect them.

He participated in the distribution of drugs, which destroy people's families in the city of Baltimore.

These robberies -- and, unfortunately, they were many, they were serious, and they had serious consequences for the individual victims, as we heard during the trials.

And, of course, it contributes to the lack of trust between the community and the police, which is a major problem in our city.

The robberies and the drugs are the most serious. In some ways, of course, also the overtime fraud and the false reports under oath are significant themselves.

There is a need to recognize that seriousness, and, of course, a need to deter any other officers, any other people
that are given this public trust and might seek to take advantage of it, as Mr. Rayam did.

On the other hand, Mr. Rayam clearly has gone a very long way to show that he is, in fact, remorseful; that he understands what he did was wrong; and to fully accept his responsibility.

And I'm sure he, as he has just said, very much appreciates the strong love and support that his family has shown him and the fact that they will welcome him home when it is time.

But I need, in determining the amount of time that is reasonable, to take into account the seriousness of what Mr. Rayam did over the number of years before he was arrested and to compare Mr. Rayam's conduct to those of other officers that I have sentenced, particularly in regard to others who have accepted responsibility in the way that Mr. Rayam has.

He did engage in a very substantial number of serious and terrifying conduct against individuals and in distribution of drugs; and that, while he is in many ways similarly situated to Mr. Gondo, his conduct was more serious, both as to the robberies and as to the drugs. And his acceptance of responsibility, while extremely significant, was perhaps not quite at the level of Mr . Gondo.

Now, obviously he was not a supervisor. This acceptance of responsibility distinguishes him very
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significantly from, for example, Sergeant Jenkins, whose conduct was otherwise somewhat similar but aggravated by his being a sergeant.

So I appreciate -- again, I appreciate Mr. Rayam's, I believe, true and genuine remorse, but there still has to be a sanction. There has to be consequences. I think he understands that. And I have to do that in accordance with fairness and equality of treatment to the others involved in this case.

And for that reason, I do agree with the Government that a sentence of 12 years in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons on Count 1 is sufficient, without being greater than necessary, taking into account all those factors that I have just discussed.

I do believe and I hope that when Mr. Rayam comes home -- and, of course, he'll have credit for the time that he served, and I believe he will be able to earn good conduct while he is incarcerated -- I do believe that he has a lot to offer to his family and to his community, as his family believes in him.

There will be a period of three years of supervised release after the period of incarceration.

And special conditions of supervised release will be participating in any substance or alcohol abuse treatment that the probation officer recommends, testing or treatment; and any
mental health testing or treatment the probation officer recommends.

I'm going to recommend to the Bureau of Prisons that he be designated to Otisville to be close to his family. I do not know whether that will be consistent with his security level and other issues that the BOP, Bureau of Prisons, will have to determine.

And I certainly will also recommend that he at least be evaluated for and participate in any substance abuse program that he may be eligible for while he's in the Bureau of Prisons.

His financial circumstances don't permit a fine. There's not going to be any fine.

There is a required $\$ 100$ special assessment that I have to impose, so I'm doing that.

Have I left anything out? Anything I have not addressed in that sentence?

MR. WISE: Your Honor, on the issue of restitution.
THE COURT: Restitution.
MR. WISE: And if I could actually approach, we filed this morning a motion (handing).

THE COURT: Has Mr. Boyle seen it?
MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor.
If Your Honor -- Your Honor, may recall that at the very first sentencing in this case, which happened to be

Mr. Allers, a decision was taken to defer restitution because of the fact that a number of the robberies were committed by multiple members of the conspiracy, but that the overlap wasn't complete. It was sort of a constellation where some members of the conspiracy participated in some robberies, but not others. So it presented a particularly difficult, complex sort of restitution calculation.

And so with each of the successive sentencings, restitution has been deferred, as the judgment reflects.

What we've filed this morning is a global, essentially, accounting of the amounts per defendant, including where defendants would be joint and severally liable.

And the table that captures this starts at Page 4. It's footnoted to the specific amounts in the plea agreements that pled defendants have agreed to or to the presentence report paragraphs where specific amounts, as alleged and proven at trial, were included.

Where a specific amount was not known, there is no restitution ordered because it's not -- it can't be determined.

With that in mind, the total figure for Mr. Rayam is $\$ 79,000$. We will separately provide -- we are attempting to locate the most recent address of the victims, which has changed since the cases were charged. We want to give the Clerk of Court the most updated address.

Some of the victims are transient, and it's difficult
to pin that down. But the FBI is diligently trying to do that.
We'll provide the most up-to-date list we have of addresses. The amounts are obviously known. They're organized by date order so as not to reveal the victims' names.

We think this is the most efficient way to address this. Mr. Rayam is the last sentencing among the eight members -- all the members of the Gun Trace Task Force who were prosecuted.

And so I think the relief would be to amend the judgments of the previously sentenced defendants to reflect these figures and where they're joint and severally liable.

THE COURT: Yes, the joint and several part is going to, it looks as though, vary.

MR. WISE: Yes.
THE COURT: I mean, for example, it's slightly complicated here, because the 79,000 pieces of it may be joint and several with other defendants and pieces of it are not.

MR. WISE: Exactly.
THE COURT: Yes.
MR. WISE: And we'll work with the Court to craft the appropriate reflection of that.

I think what will likely happen is there will be specific -- the way these are laid out, as I've seen them, is specific amounts per victim with an indication of with whom that is joint -- with whom the defendant is joint and severally
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liable for that amount, and that in aggregate will total a global number for that defendant.

But, you know, the payouts, to the extent there are any, could theoretically be offset by others where there is joint and several liability.

THE COURT: Okay. Mr. Boyle, have you had a chance to review this?

MR. BOYLE: In all honesty, Your Honor, Mr. Wise did give it to me before we came into court. I was busy talking to Mr. Rayam and the family.

I understand the methodology, and it seems to make sense, but I haven't had an opportunity to review it in depth. If I could have until the end of the day?

THE COURT: Oh, certainly. Longer. I was going to say that restitution, of course, can be deferred for up to 90 days. It doesn't sound as though we need anything like 90 days. At this point, you're very close, but you're still trying to find addresses.

What I guess I would ask is whether -- we can do the judgment and commitment order, leave restitution deferred. If your client, if Mr. Rayam, is willing to waive his presence for any determination of restitution, then we can just amend the J\&C when we need to.
(The defendant conferred with counsel.)
MR. BOYLE: Your Honor, Mr. Rayam is willing to waive
his presence.
THE COURT: Okay. So why don't we do that. We'll
defer restitution until you can get the best addresses you're going to get and prepare, perhaps, a clear joint and several chart for each defendant.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
Mr. Rayam, in light of the plea agreement and the sentence, I'm not sure there's anything you have a right to or would want to appeal from.

But if you were going to be noting any appeal, that would have to be within 14 days.

Do you understand that, sir?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. And good luck.
THE CLERK: Excuse me.
THE COURT: Thank you, all.
Oh, yes. Sorry.
Counts to dismiss.
MR. HINES: Oh, and, Your Honor, we ask to dismiss Count 2 of the indictment.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. HINES: Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(Matter concluded at 11:10 a.m.)
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| 38/16 | can [25] 2/3 2/16 13/16 15/4 | college [3] 20/3 20/6 24/22 |
| becomes [1] 23/3 | 16/1 19/20 19/20 22/7 22/8 | come [6] 16/1 20/7 20/10 20/11 |
| bed [2] 5/11 5/13 | 23/10 24/7 24/8 27/6 27/21 29/6 | 24/5 24/6 |
| bedroom [1] 8/20 | 29/8 31/9 31/19 31/19 32/3 33/2 | comes [1] 35/15 |
| been [10] 4/17 7/13 7/15 8/17 | 39/15 39/19 39/22 40/3 | comfortable [1] 28/4 |
| 14/22 15/3 15/9 17/17 23/24 | can't [6] 15/1 24/14 24/15 |  |
| 37/9 | 26/23 32/7 37/19 | 32/11 |
| before [8] $1 / 11$ 2/24 $24 / 2 \quad 28 / 12$ | cannot [1] 11/13 | Commission [2] 8/25 11/9 |
| 29/1 $33 / 8 \quad 34 / 13$ 39/9 | captures [1] 37/13 | commit [2] 11/18 13/23 |
| began [1] 8/25 | car [2] 7/17 9/10 | commitment [1] 39/20 |
| behalf [5] 2/9 2/15 15/24 24/18 | care [1] 30/24 | commits [1] 12/2 |
| 28/10 | carefully [1] 27/23 | committed [8] 6/14 11/10 13/7 |
| behavior [2] 12/7 12/12 | caring [1] 20/5 | 13/12 13/18 13/20 15/15 37/2 |
| being [5] 14/20 19/13 29/20 | carried [1] 20/6 | community [6] 13/9 14/19 17/11 |
| 35/3 35/12 | case [9] $1 / 4 \begin{array}{llll} & 2 / 5 & 2 / 19 & 13 / 17\end{array}$ | 21/2 33/19 35/19 |
| belief [1] 18/7 | 14/2 15/11 31/9 35/9 36/25 | compare [1] 34/14 |
| believe [12] 4/11 12/19 13/3 | cases [11] 3/7 14/20 14/22 | comparison [1] 13/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 6 & 21 / 12 & 22 / 24 & 28 / 18 & 28 / 22\end{array}$ |  | compassion [1] 20/5 |
| 35/5 35/15 35/17 35/18 | 15/14 33/1 37/23 | complete [1] 37/4 |


| C | courts [2] 10/25 14/21 <br>  | deter [2] 19/10 33/25 degerminatigm [flfle39/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| complex [1] 3T/6 | $\mathrm{cr}_{\text {[1] }} \mathrm{S}_{2 / 4}$ | determine [1] ${ }_{3674}$ |
| complicated [1] 38/16 | craft [1] 38/20 | determined [1] 37/19 |
| comprehensive [1] 27/7 | credit [1] 35/16 | determining [1] 34/11 |
| conceal [1] 11/5 | crime [3] 18/6 33/8 33/8 | deterrence [1] 11/15 |
| concerning [1] 4/22 | crimes [5] 11/18 12/13 12/21 | deterrent [1] 11/16 |
| concluded [1] 40/25 | 13/7 15/15 | deterring [1] 20/25 |
| condemnation [1] 31/4 | criminal [4] $1 / 4$ 2/7 $4 / 9$ 11/6 | devastating [1] 9/23 |
| conditioning [1] 11/14 | criminals [1] 30/5 | Dickie [1] 9/7 |
| conditions [1] 35/23 | CRR [3] 1/23 41/1 41/6 | Dickie Road [1] 9/7 |
| conduct [9] $11 / 5$ 11/6 $12 / 213 / 4$ | custodians [1] 10/15 | did [30] $9 / 7$ 10/16 $12 / 1113 / 1$ |
| 34/14 34/18 34/20 35/2 35/17 | custody [1] 35/11 | 13/13 13/23 13/25 15/17 16/21 |
| conducted [1] 9/6 | D |  |
| conference [2] 4/20 4/ |  | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 20/21 } & 23 / 8 & 25 / 12 & 26 / 6 & 26 / 9\end{array}$ |
| conferred [1] 39/24 | D-O-R-E-E-N [1] 18/24 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}26 / 14 & 28 / 8 & 29 / 21 & 30 / 8 & 30 / 25\end{array}$ |
| confidence [1] 14/18 | D-O-R-R-I-C-E [1] 22/2 | $32 / 9$ 34/2 $34 / 5$ 34/13 $34 / 17$ 39/8 |
| conquers [1] 30/22 | D.A [1] 10/7 | didn't [5] 5/21 23/14 24/12 |
| consent [1] 11/23 | D.M [1] 8/5 | 29/15 30/4 |
| consent decree [1] 11/23 | D.M.'s [1] 8/6 | different [1] 3/19 |
| consequences [4] 32/13 33/6 |  | difficult [2] 37/6 37/25 |
| 33/16 35/6 | daily [1] 11/20 | dilemma [1] 27/14 |
| consider [1] 27/23 | date [3] 38/2 38/4 41/8 | diligently [1] 38/1 |
| consideration [1] 6/8 | daughter [2] 17/5 17/8 | Diplomate [1] 41/7 |
| considering [2] 5/3 14/11 | day [3] $6 / 25$ 7/7 39/13 | disagreement [1] 4/12 |
| consistent [1] 36/5 | days [3] 39/16 39/17 40/12 | disciplined [1] 17/15 |
| conspiracy [4] 2/19 4/2 37/3 | deadly [2] 8/14 11/10 | discuss [1] 6/16 |
| 37/5 | deal [1] 32/25 | discussed [3] $4 / 24 \quad 17 / 21 \quad 35$ |
| conspirators [8] 5/25 6/4 6/10 | dealing [1] 13/8 | discussing [1] 4/16 |
| 7/13 8/7 8/12 9/5 10/2 | deals [1] 14/4 | disguise [1] 11/5 |
| constellation [1] 37/4 | debt [2] 7/2 9/1 | dishonest [1] 30/15 |
| contact [1] 29/24 | decided [1] 27/2 | dismiss [2] 40/19 40/20 |
| continue [1] 22/25 | decision [4] $12 / 5$ 29/1 $30 / 15$ | dismissed [1] 12/8 |
| continued [1] 20/2 | 37/ | disparities [1] 12/15 |
| contributes [1] 33/18 | decree [1] 11/23 | displayed [1] 5/23 |
| convicted [1] 16/20 | dedication [1] 16/22 | distinction [1] 13/17 |
| convictions [1] 15/12 | deeds [1] 27/5 | distinguishes [1] 34/25 |
| cooperating [2] 4/17 4/23 | deeply [1] 32/9 | distribution [2] 33/13 34/18 |
| cooperation [1] 4/17 | defendant [13] 1/6 1/17 $2 / 15$ | district [4] 1/11 1/1 4/15 30/6 |
| cop [6] 24/21 24/23 24/24 25/1 |  | District Court [1] 30/6 |
| 25/8 25/13 | 38/25 39/2 39/24 40/5 | divided [1] 8/16 |
| correct [4] 3/12 3/24 27/5 41/2 | defendants [6] 12/25 13/10 | DIVISION [1] 1/2 |
| correction [1] 2/25 | 37/12 37/15 38/10 38/17 | do [25] $2 / 5$ 3/18 $3 / 23$ 4/10 $4 / 15$ |
| corrupt [3] 25/13 25/15 27/1 | defer [2] 37/1 40/3 | 17/18 23/22 24/13 26/2 26/3 |
| corruption [3] 25/14 25/15 | deferred [3] 37/9 39/15 39/20 | 27/3 29/2 $29 / 3$ 30/22 31/25 35/7 |
| 25/18 | definition [1] 31/3 |  |
| corruptions [1] 26/10 | degrees [1] 17/14 | 39/19 40/2 40/13 41/1 |
| could [14] 9/22 12/10 14/13 | demean [1] 18/5 | Docket [1] 2/7 |
| 22/25 27/3 28/21 28/22 30/16 | Dennis [2] 1/18 2/14 | does [4] 4/12 18/9 28/19 33/5 |
| 30/18 32/7 32/8 36/20 39/4 | Dennis Boyle [2] 1/18 2/14 | doesn't [4] 25/14 25/15 26/22 |
| 39/13 | depart [1] 19/9 | 39/16 |
| counsel [5] 2/10 3/20 5/2 32/23 | Department [2] 29/23 30/2 | doing [5] 30/17 30/17 30/17 |
| 39/24 | depth [1] 39/12 | 30/18 36/15 |
| counselor [1] 23/25 | Derek [2] 1/15 2/8 | dollars [1] 8/4 |
| count [4] $2 / 18$ 14/22 $35 / 12$ | Derek E. Hines [1] 1/15 | don't [5] 24/12 29/2 30/24 |
| 40/21 | Derek Hines [1] 2/8 | 36/12 40/2 |
| Count 1 [2] 2/18 35/12 | describes [1] 27/8 | done [9] 12/10 17/4 17/6 17/8 |
| Count 2 [1] 40/21 | deserves [1] 28/3 | 25/13 27/4 27/14 30/9 31/5 |
| counting [1] 8/17 | designated [1] 36/4 | door [2] 5/12 22/20 |
| Counts [1] 40/19 | designation [1] 28/14 | Doreen [3] $17 / 2$ 18/17 $18 / 24$ |
| couple [4] 6/16 7/18 14/4 25/3 | destroy [1] 33/14 | Doreen Rayam [1] 18/17 |
| course [13] $3 / 21$ 3/24 4/8 4/25 | destroys [1] 14/19 | Dorney [1] 22/17 |
| 12/23 13/21 28/8 33/1 33/18 | detail [1] 27/8 | Dorney Park [1] 22/17 |
| $33 / 22$ 33/25 35/16 39/15 | Detective [10] 12/25 13/1 13/5 | Dorrice [2] 21/24 22/2 |
| court [34] 1/1 1/24 3/6 4/21 | 13/6 13/24 13/25 14/1 14/6 14/9 | Dorrice Rayam [1] 21/24 |
| 6/1 6/9 9/20 9/22 11/16 12/1 | 15/11 | Douglas [3] 1/23 41/1 41/6 |
| 12/3 12/14 $12 / 18$ 13/16 14/1 | Detective Gondo [4] 13/24 13/25 | down [2] 5/24 38/1 |
| 14/11 14/15 15/18 15/25 16/14 | 14/1 14/9 | downward [1] 4/6 |
| 19/6 25/17 26/21 27/13 27/23 | Detective Hersl [2] 12/25 13/5 | draw [1] 13/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 27 / 25 & 28 / 2 & 28 / 4 & 29 / 12 & 30 / 6\end{array}$ | Detective Rayam [2] 14/6 15/11 | driver's [2] 9/9 9/11 |
| 37/24 38/20 39/9 41/8 | Detective Taylor [2] $13 / 1$ 13/6 | drug [3] $8 / 13$ 9/15 $13 / 8$ |
| Courtroom [1] 1/8 | detention [2] 22/16 23/2 |  |


| D | failed [2] 26/6 26/18 | friends [3] $19 / 14$ 19/24 $24 / 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | bolcuifeerit 518 Filed 08/21 | fugly ${ }^{\text {cada }}$ 345 52 |
| $\begin{array}{r} \text { drugs... } \\ 34 / 19 \end{array} 3_{4} / 21$ | fairness    <br> faith $[7]$ $17 / 15$ $17 / 20$ <br> $17 / 23$    | fundamentally <br> [1] 27/15 |
| during [7] 5/6 8/3 8/23 9/21 | 17/23 18/2 21/11 21/19 | funny [1] 23/6 |
| 10/20 19/25 33/17 | fallen [1] 7/5 | further [1] 3/8 |
| E | falling [2] 5/11 33/5 | future [1] 7/24 |
| E | false [3] 9/1 10/3 33/22 | G |
| E-L-A-I-N-E [1] 18/25 | familiar [1] 33/1 | G |
| E. [1] $1 / 15$ | families [1] 33/14 | G.H [1] 10/18 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { each [4] } & 29 / 14 & 31 / 14 & 37 / 8 & 40 / 5\end{array}$ | family [18] 9/25 16/25 17/10 | G.W [1] 8/3 |
| ear [1] 20/19 | 17/13 24/24 26/21 28/17 30/10 | gave [6] $10 / 8$ 18/1 $19 / 1319 / 14$ |
| earlier [1] 6/25 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 25 & 31 / 2 & 32 / 5 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 5 & 34 / 8\end{array}$ | 20/9 20/9 |
| earn [1] 35/17 | 35/19 35/19 36/4 39/10 | genuine [1] 35/ |
| easier [1] 30/4 | far [1] 27/20 | get [11] 19/9 19/20 19/20 20/17 |
| easy [1] 16/20 | father [12] 16/12 16/19 18/1 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}21 / 1 & 23 / 3 & 25 / 25 & 30 / 10 & 30 / 25\end{array}$ |
| efficient [1] 38/5 | 21/9 24/22 $26 / 16$ 26/17 30/10 | 40/3 40/4 |
| effort [1] 11/24 | 31/20 31/20 31/23 32/9 | Gina [1] 1/20 |
| egregious [2] 14/9 14/9 | fault [1] 32/8 | Gina Swillo [1] 1/20 |
| eight [3] 13/18 13/21 38/6 | FBI [5] 1/20 2/11 8/22 8/25 | give [8] 18/9 20/8 20/11 $21 / 3$ |
| eighth [1] 24/6 | 38/1 | 21/7 21/19 37/23 39/9 |
| elaborate [1] 15/4 | FCRR [3] $1 / 23$ 41/1 $41 / 6$ | given [9] 6/4 6/9 19/11 21/2 |
| Elaine [1] 18/24 | fear [2] 5/19 7/22 | 21/5 21/11 21/20 23/21 34/1 |
| eligible [1] 36/10 | fearing [1] 19/11 | giving [3] 20/20 24/17 29/11 |
| else [4] $2 / 24$ 12/13 $26 / 25 \quad 32 / 18$ | February [2] 8/15 8/18 | Glen [1] 5/6 |
| emotional [1] 30/11 | February 10th [1] 8/15 | Glen Wells [1] 5/6 |
| end [3] 5/19 23/1 39/13 | February 23rd [1] 8/18 | global [2] 37/10 39/2 |
| enforcement [1] 14/18 | federal [5] 1/24 15/10 15/11 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { go [8] } & 11 / 21 & 16 / 16 & 18 / 3 & 18 / 3\end{array}$ |
| engage [3] $12 / 11$ 13/13 $34 / 17$ | 28/16 41/8 | 19/9 22/18 23/3 29/13 |
| engaged [1] 13/7 | feel [1] 32/1 | goal [1] 7/6 |
| enough [1] 11/12 | felon [1] 16/20 | God [13] $19 / 1119 / 11$ 19/23 20/4 |
| entered [1] 8/15 | felt [3] 22/25 23/15 24/9 | 21/1 21/13 21/16 21/20 23/7 |
| entitled [2] 4/6 41/3 | few [1] 6/23 | 24/10 24/12 24/12 24/13 |
| environment [1] 11/19 | figure [1] 37/20 | God-fearing [1] 19/11 |
| episode [2] 10/14 10/19 | figures [1] 38/11 | going [16] 5/21 7/6 11/23 24/ |
| equality [1] 35/8 | filed [3] 3/4 36/20 37/10 | 24/10 $25 / 9$ 26/19 $27 / 2$ 30/14 |
| Eric [1] 14/7 | filing [2] 9/1 10/3 |  |
| Eric Snell [1] 14/7 | final [2] 12/6 29/1 | 40/4 40/11 |
| Erika [2] 1/20 2/11 | Finally [1] 21/23 | Gondo [17] 6/19 8/4 8/6 8/9 |
| Erika Jensen [2] 1/20 2/11 | financial [2] 7/21 36/12 | 8/20 9/6 9/9 9/11 9/16 13/16 |
| Esquire [3] $1 / 151 / 151 / 18$ | find [1] 39/18 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}13 / 18 & 13 / 24 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 1 & 14 / 9\end{array}$ |
| essentially [1] 37/11 | fine [3] $29 / 6 \quad 36 / 12 \quad 36 / 13$ | 34/20 34/23 |
| estate [1] 5/9 | Finnegan [3] 7/13 7/19 14/7 | gone [2] 6/25 34/3 |
| evaluated [1] 36/9 | firearm [7] 5/17 7/15 9/5 9/12 | good [23] $2 / 3$ 2/13 $2 / 1416 / 17$ |
| even [8] 11/13 19/13 20/20 | 9/14 9/16 9/18 | 16/18 18/21 22/5 22/6 24/21 |
| 20/20 20/21 21/7 30/17 31/15 | first [6] 16/3 25/18 29/10 | 24/21 24/21 24/23 24/24 25/1 |
| evening [1] 7/11 | 29/20 30/15 36/25 | 25/8 26/12 $26 / 14$ 26/16 26/17 |
| events [1] 17/11 | five [2] 4/5 13/12 | 27/15 31/24 35/17 40/15 |
| ever [2] 21/19 21/19 | Floor [1] 1/24 |  |
| every [3] 4/16 4/21 26/18 | follow [3] 23/17 23/19 24/22 | Government [5] 2/9 4/7 4/12 5/3 |
| everyone [1] 2/3 | follow-up [1] 23/17 | $35 / 10$ |
| everything [7] 17/17 21/13 | following [3] 2/18 7/7 10/7 | grade [1] 24/ |
| 25/11 27/3 27/24 30/7 30/9 | food [1] 6/21 | graduated [1] 24/22 |
| Exactly [1] 38/18 | footnoted [1] 37/14 | graduation [1] 24/6 |
| example [3] 7/25 35/1 38/15 | force [6] 6/19 8/25 11/7 24/23 | grams [1] 8/14 |
| except [2] 3/9 17/13 | 25/19 38/7 | granddaughter [1] 7/23 |
| excuse [3] $16 / 5$ 24/1 40/16 | foregoing [1] 41/2 | gravitate [1] 20/3 |
| exist [1] 11/23 | Forest [1] 9/7 | gravitating [1] 19/22 |
| explain [1] 24/8 | Forest Park [1] 9/7 | gravity [1] 27/17 |
| exposed [1] 11/19 | forever [2] 25/10 26/22 |  |
| extent [1] 39/3 | forget [1] 29/17 | greater [1] 35/12 |
| extremely [1] 34/22 | forgive [1] 24/13 | grew [1] 22/11 |
| F | forgiveness [1] 29/20 | grips [1] 26/25 |
| face [3] 11/17 12/2 32/13 | forgot [1] 18/6 | grouping [1] 4/4 |
| faced [5] 3/6 6/1 7/21 11/17 | forth [2] $4 / 2$ 31/12 | grow [2] 20/2 20/2 |
| 12/8 | forward [1] 7/24 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { growing [4] } & 18 / 10 & 19 / 13 & 20 / 20\end{array}$ |
| facility [2] 28/16 28/22 | foundation [3] $19 / 11$ 19/12 $31 / 6$ | 22/8 |
| fact [4] 10/16 34/4 34/9 37/2 | four [1] 13/12 | GTTF [1] 8/22 |
| factor [3] 3/25 4/25 11/15 | frame [1] 8/21 | guess [1] 39/19 |
| factors [3] 5/4 6/10 35/13 | fraud [2] 11/11 33/22 | guidance [1] 20/13 |
| facts [1] 27/11 | free [1] 14/24 | guideline [6] $2 / 23$ 3/5 3/22 |


| G | 19/22 19/24 21/16 22/19 22/21 | it's [14] 3/24 15/10 21/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 12 25/17 $33 / 5$ 34/9 3479 | 31/25 3972 37/14 37/19 37/25 |
| guidelines [4] 2/24 3/10 3/10 | 34/25 35/20 | 38/15 |
| 3/18 | himself [4] 19/14 20/21 21/6 | items [3] 5/24 6/22 11/22 |
| guilty [3] $2 / 18$ 4/21 14/24 | 33/4 | its [1] 29/23 |
|  | Hines [9] $1 / 15$ 2/5 $2 / 8$ 3/1 | J |
| Gun Trace Task Force [3] 6/19 | 15/20 25/10 25/11 27/5 31/22 | J |
| 11/7 38/7 | his [71] | J-O-H-N [1] 16/8 |
| guns [2] 11/22 25/3 | history [1] 4/9 | J. [1] 1/15 |
| H | hit [1] 26/24 | J.B [1] 10/10 |
| had [36] 3/4 3/14 3/20 5/9 5/16 | home [11] $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { h/10 } & 7 / 11 & 7 / 16 & 8 / 19 \\ 18 / 11 & 19 / 10 & 19 / 11 & 19 / 16 & 19 / 25\end{array}$ | jail [1] 31/20 |
| 6/7 6/25 7/5 7/9 7/13 7/15 7/20 | $34 / 935 / 16$ | jeans [1] 5/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}7 / 23 & 8 / 17 & 9 / 23 & 10 / 9 & 12 / 10 & 13 / 8\end{array}$ | honest [1] 29/25 |  |
|  | honesty [1] 39/8 | 16/11 16/25 17/10 17/13 17/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 10 & 22 / 19 & 23 / 8 & 25 / 10 & 27 / 4\end{array}$ | honor [50] | 17/23 19/3 19/10 19/16 19/19 |
| 28/14 29/13 32/19 33/5 33/16 | HONORABLE [1] 1/11 | 20/3 20/4 20/7 20/15 21/3 21/9 |
| 39/6 39/12 | hoodies [1] 5/16 | 21/14 22/15 22/16 22/21 23/3 |
| Hamilton [3] 9/20 9/20 9/21 | hope [2] 18/2 35/15 | 23/6 23/8 23/12 23/13 23/18 |
| Hamilton's [1] 9/23 | hot [1] 20/10 | 23/24 24/3 24/14 24/20 25/11 |
| hand [2] 5/23 34/3 | hours [2] 22/17 22/22 | 25/17 25/24 27/11 |
| handgun [1] 5/23 | house [7] 7/12 9/12 17/15 17/16 | Jemell Rayam [4] 2/15 5/15 24/3 |
| handing [1] 36/21 | 17/16 20/7 20/11 | 24/20 |
| happen [1] 38/22 | how [3] $24 / 9 \quad 30 / 24 \quad 32 / 3$ | Jemell's [4] 19/4 22/7 25/9 |
| happened [4] 18/4 25/21 25/22 | However [1] 27/19 | 26/19 |
| 36/25 | huge [1] 14/14 | Jenkins [9] 9/6 9/11 9/13 9/14 |
| happens [1] 21/14 | hundreds [1] 14/20 | 9/14 11/6 12/12 $12 / 16$ 35/1 |
| happy [3] 5/1 15/21 29/5 | husband [2] 21/12 26/14 | Jensen [2] 1/20 2/11 |
| hard [1] 30/3 | I | job [2] 30/3 30/4 |
| Harding [2] 10/19 10/20 | 1 | John [2] 16/3 16/7 |
| hardships [1] 7/21 | I'd [1] 6/15 | John L. Rayam [1] 16/7 |
| harm [1] 11/8 | I'll [4] 5/3 20/11 29/5 31/16 | John Rayam [1] 16/3 |
| harm's [1] 11/21 | I'm [21] $5 / 116 / 12 \quad 16 / 21 \quad 16 / 22$ | joint [8] 37/12 38/11 38/12 |
| has [26] 3/6 3/14 3/16 4/17 6/9 | 16/24 17/12 17/16 18/5 18/8 | 38/16 38/25 38/25 39/5 40/4 |
| 10/24 14/20 17/8 21/13 21/20 | 24/1 24/10 27/12 30/24 32/11 | JUDGE [1] 1/11 |
| 23/7 23/24 23/25 24/10 27/14 |  | judged [1] 31/4 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}32 / 4 & 34 / 3 & 34 / 7 & 34 / 8 & 34 / 16 & 35 / 5\end{array}$ | 36/15 40/9 | judges [2] 10/25 30/6 |
| 35/6 35/18 36/22 37/9 37/22 | I've [6] $30 / 9$ 30/21 $31 / 5 \quad 32 / 5$ | judgment [2] 37/9 39/20 |
| have [47] | 32/25 38/23 | judgments [1] 38/10 |
| haven't [2] 32/19 39/12 | ill [1] 7/5 | July [2] 8/7 9/19 |
| having [1] 4/16 | illegal [1] 11/22 | July 31st [1] 8/7 |
| he [158] | impact [3] 7/20 9/23 13/8 | June [2] 6/18 41/8 |
| he'll [2] 21/4 35/16 | impose [2] 27/24 36/15 | junior [2] 19/14 20/1 |
| he's [9] $17 / 17$ 21/4 $21 / 5 \quad 21 / 6$ | imposed [1] 28/3 | juries [1] 10/25 |
| 23/20 23/21 26/16 28/1 36/10 | imprisonment [2] 6/12 15/19 | jury [1] 9/22 |
| head [1] 29/18 | incarcerated [1] 35/18 | just [29] $3 / 14$ 6/16 $7 / 25$ 9/22 |
| health [1] 36/1 | incarceration [2] 12/18 35/22 | 15/4 16/2 17/13 20/18 22/13 |
| hear [7] $5 / 1$ 9/22 15/21 $23 / 24$ | incident [4] 9/1 9/8 10/3 25/20 | 22/13 22/25 23/17 23/21 24/17 |
| 24/15 24/15 29/5 | incidents [1] 25/4 | 26/3 26/21 28/5 28/13 29/6 29/8 |
| heard [6] 5/7 5/12 7/19 9/20 | include [1] 4/22 | 30/13 30/19 30/25 32/9 33/3 |
| 14/1 33/17 | included [2] 26/1 37/17 | $33 / 3$ 34/7 35/14 39/22 |
| heart [2] 29/16 29/18 | including [2] 33/4 37/11 | justify [1] 16/23 |
| heat [1] 11/14 | indication [1] 38/24 | K |
| heist [1] 10/8 | indictment [1] 40/21 | $\boldsymbol{N}$ |
| hello [1] 23/6 | individual [3] 25/15 25/22 | kid [1] 24/21 |
| help [1] 21/6 | 33/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { kids [4] } & 19 / 15 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 19 & 31 / 19\end{array}$ |
| Hendrix [1] 13/10 | individuals [3] 15/14 15/23 | kids' [1] 31/18 |
| her [7] 5/9 5/10 5/14 5/17 5/21 | 34/18 | kill [1] 5/21 |
| 5/23 5/24 | information [1] 14/2 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { kind [4] } & 12 / 2 & 12 / 7 & 16 / 25 & 19 / 16\end{array}$ |
| here [12] 2/6 2/17 $16 / 19$ 16/23 | Internal [1] 11/4 | knew [1] 30/18 |
| 16/23 16/24 17/6 18/8 23/22 | Internal Affairs [1] 11/4 | know [25] 2/22 5/20 16/22 17/17 |
| 24/1 28/1 38/16 | investigating [1] 8/25 | 17/24 20/10 21/2 21/13 21/16 |
| hereby [1] 41/1 | investigation [1] 8/22 | $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 11 & 22 / 18 & 23 / 22 & 23 / 25 & 24 / 11\end{array}$ |
| heroin [2] 8/11 8/13 | investigations [1] 11/4 |  |
| Hersl [6] 10/8 10/8 10/11 10/14 | invite [1] 24/3 | 31/9 31/20 31/22 31/23 36/5 |
| 12/25 13/5 | involved [7] $4 / 2$ 10/19 $15 / 11$ | 39/3 |
| high [5] 10/20 19/15 20/1 23/20 | 25/3 25/12 26/8 35/8 | knowledge [1] 18/2 |
| 27/20 | is [77] | known [5] 15/11 27/11 32/5 |
| high-speed [1] 10/20 | issue [2] 28/20 36/18 | 37/18 38/3 |
| him [26] 5/22 7/6 9/15 9/24 | issues [2] 3/9 36/6 | knows [1] 26/21 |
| 18/2 18/10 18/10 18/11 19/14 | it [52] | Kostoplis [2] 12/5 12/11 |


| L |  | 14/14 15/9 21/7 26/25 29/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| L [1] 16/7 Case 1:17- | ECP Douctuent 5180 Fileg 082 | 19/1pag ${ }^{19} 4{ }^{\text {che }}$ |
| lack [1] 33/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { ma } \\ \text { made } & {[6]} & 3 / 7 & 3 / 21 & 12 / 4\end{array}$ | morning [10] 2/3 2/13 2/14 |
| laid [1] 38/23 | 31/21 31/23 | $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 17 & 16 / 18 & 18 / 21 & 22 / 5 & 22 / 6\end{array}$ |
| LAMAR [2] 1/5 2/7 | major [1] 33/19 | 36/21 37/10 |
| large [1] 11/19 | make [4] $5 / 2$ 29/1 $30 / 4 \quad 39 / 11$ | most [6] 23/7 33/21 37/22 37/24 |
| last [2] 6/23 38/6 | males [1] 24/4 | 38/2 38/5 |
| late [1] 31/25 | Mall [1] 10/20 | mother [2] 19/4 31/2 |
| later [1] 7/11 | $\operatorname{man}[7] \quad 12 / 6$ 23/13 $23 / 13$ 24/2 | motion [1] 36/21 |
| law [7] 5/8 5/9 14/13 14/18 | 30/20 32/8 32/8 | motivation [1] 23/21 |
| 14/19 17/6 30/7 | many [11] 12/24 14/24 19/15 | mouth [1] 16/20 |
| law enforcement [1] 14/18 | 20/24 21/5 22/17 22/22 26/2 | moving [2] 4/7 7/23 |
| lawyer [2] 31/22 32/4 | 33/4 33/15 34/19 | Mr. [103] |
| lay [1] 5/24 | March [2] 6/2 8/2 | Mr. Allers [4] 6/18 12/17 $12 / 22$ |
| laying [1] 5/11 | March 11th [1] 8/2 | 37/1 |
| learned [3] 7/5 7/8 15/8 | marijuana [7] 9/5 9/9 9/12 $9 / 13$ | Mr. Allers' [1] 12/22 |
| least [3] 26/13 28/20 36/8 | 9/17 9/18 $14 / 4$ | Mr. Boyle [6] 2/21 3/3 15/21 |
| leave [2] 29/17 39/20 | Mary [1] 16/7 | 28/7 36/22 39/6 |
| leaves [1] 27/13 | MARYLAND [3] $1 / 11 / 91 / 25$ | Mr. Finnegan [1] 7/19 |
| left [3] 7/9 26/12 36/16 | masks [1] 5/16 | Mr. Gondo [5] 6/19 13/16 13/18 |
| Leo [2] 1/15 2/8 | Master's [1] 17/14 | 34/20 34/23 |
| Leo J. Wise [1] 1/15 | Master's degrees [1] 17/14 | Mr. Hamilton's [1] 9/23 |
| Leo Wise [1] 2/8 | material [1] 32/25 | Mr. Harding [2] 10/19 10/20 |
| less [2] 12/20 13/3 | matter [4] $2 / 6$ 32/1 40/25 41/4 | Mr. Hines [7] 2/5 3/1 15/20 |
| let [5] 14/15 23/12 23/13 28/12 | may [10] $1 / 8 \quad 8 / 219 / 318 / 3$ | 25/10 25/11 27/5 31/22 |
| 30/14 | 27/17 28/19 29/7 36/10 36/24 | Mr. Jenkins [1] 12/16 |
| letters [2] 27/10 28/9 | 38/16 | Mr. Kostoplis [2] 12/5 12/11 |
| level [6] 4/5 4/6 4/8 4/8 34/23 | May 11th [1] 8/21 | Mr. Rayam [57] |
| 36/6 | May 28th [1] 9/3 | Mr. Rayam's [11] 6/8 11/6 12/21 |
| levels [1] 33/4 | me [19] 16/5 17/21 19/20 21/20 |  |
| liability [1] 39/5 | 23/8 23/12 24/2 28/12 29/11 | 28/10 34/14 35/4 |
| liable [3] 37/12 38/11 39/1 | 29/25 30/22 31/4 31/5 31/7 | Mr. Shropshire [1] 14/3 |
| lied [1] 10/24 | 31/12 31/13 31/17 39/9 40/16 | Mr. Snell [1] 10/23 |
| life [7] 9/23 21/14 25/9 26/20 | meal [1] 20/11 | Mr. Summerville [1] 10/13 |
| 27/3 27/11 31/18 | mean [4] $18 / 5$ 18/6 $26 / 22 \quad 38 / 15$ | Mr. Wells [1] 8/11 |
| light [1] 40/8 | meaning [1] 16/22 | Mr. Wise [1] 39/8 |
| like [18] 6/15 6/23 10/15 15/22 | member [2] 27/21 27/22 | Mrs. [2] 19/2 21/22 |
| 15/24 15/25 16/7 16/13 16/25 | members [6] 6/19 29/24 37/3 | Mrs. Rayam [2] 19/2 21/22 |
| 19/5 22/25 23/15 28/25 29/3 | 37/4 38/7 38/7 | Ms. [2] 5/8 5/18 |
| 29/10 31/11 32/10 39/16 | memo [1] 3/11 | Ms. Cousin [2] 5/8 5/18 |
| likely [1] 38/22 | memorandum [3] 2/21 27/7 28/9 | much [10] 19/14 20/21 21/6 22/9 |
| line [1] 7/3 | memory [1] 22/14 | 24/14 24/19 30/24 32/10 32/17 |
| list [2] 29/19 38/2 | men [7] 5/14 5/15 5/15 20/3 | 34/7 |
| little [5] 25/16 25/16 29/8 | 20/12 20/15 20/24 | multiple [1] 37/3 |
| 31/14 31/15 | mental [1] 36/1 | municipal [3] 7/1 7/3 7/7 |
| lived [2] 17/23 22/17 | mentioned [1] 28/14 | my [61] |
| living [3] 21/7 21/17 21/17 | mentor [1] 24/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { myself } & {[3]} & 21 / 12 & 29 / 15 & 30 / 19\end{array}$ |
| local [1] 6/23 | mercy [3] $28 / 2 \quad 32 / 3 \quad 32 / 7$ | N |
| locate [1] 37/22 | message [2] 11/16 12/1 | $N$ |
| Lombard [1] 1/24 | met [1] 30/15 | N.D [1] 8/23 |
| long [3] 7/3 29/19 34/4 | methodology [1] 39/11 | N.D.'s [1] 8/24 |
| Longer [1] 39/14 | mic [2] 16/2 29/8 | name [6] $16 / 2$ 16/6 $18 / 2118 / 23$ |
| look [1] 20/15 | might [1] 34/1 | 21/25 25/25 |
| looked [1] 10/15 | mind [2] 17/19 37/20 | named [1] 17/13 |
| looking [2] 14/3 17/16 | minister [1] 20/12 | names [1] 38/4 |
| looks [2] 12/14 38/13 | minor [1] 7/23 | Nancy [1] 9/20 |
| Lord [3] 17/16 22/11 22/12 | miss [2] 30/25 31/1 | Nancy Hamilton [1] 9/20 |
| lose [1] 21/19 | mistake [2] 31/21 31/23 | narcotics [6] 7/14 10/17 11/10 |
| loss [2] 11/8 32/11 | model [1] 23/24 | 11/22 13/14 14/5 |
| lost [3] 21/10 21/11 26/22 | modification [1] 2/25 | narrative [1] 26/19 |
| lot [7] 25/6 25/7 25/12 25/12 | mom [5] 19/20 $23 / 7$ 31/4 $31 / 6$ | nature [3] 9/2 26/10 33/7 |
| 29/24 29/25 35/18 | 31/11 | near [2] 9/7 10/20 |
| lots [1] 25/2 | mom's [1] 20/11 | necessarily [1] 3/22 |
| love [16] 18/12 18/13 18/13 | Mondawmin [1] 10/20 | necessary [1] 35/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 12 & 19 / 17 & 19 / 23 & 20 / 4 & 21 / 10\end{array}$ | Mondawmin Mall [1] 10/20 | need [9] 2/22 14/12 22/23 29/19 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} & 21 / 18 & 22 / 11 & 24 / 14 & 30 / 21 & 31 / 3\end{array}$ | money [11] 5/21 5/22 5/24 8/11 | 33/24 33/25 34/11 39/16 39/23 |
| 31/15 31/23 34/8 | 9/1 9/14 9/17 10/3 10/8 10/10 | needed [13] $3 / 23$ 10/16 15/13 |
| loved [1] 31/5 | 10/17 | 19/17 19/18 19/19 19/19 20/8 |
| low [1] 27/17 | months [2] 4/10 15/8 | 20/9 20/10 20/13 20/17 20/18 |
| luck [1] 40/15 | more [17] $12 / 13$ 12/21 12/24 | neighbor [1] 7/5 |
|  | 13/7 13/8 $13 / 9$ 13/23 13/24 $14 / 8$ | neighborhoods [1] 24/25 |


| N | open [1] 21/ | pick [1] 22/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | piggoprag ${ }^{1} 4886$ |
| $\begin{array}{\|c\|ccc\|} \hline \text { never }[7] & 21 / 10 & 21 / 10 \\ 21 / 19 & 30 / 13 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 25 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 39/12 } \\ \text { Order } & {[3]} & 9 / 14 & 38 / 4 & 39 / 20\end{array}$ | pieces [S] $25 / 16$ $38 / 16$ $38 / 17$ <br> pigeon $[4]$ $6 / 20$ $6 / 20$ $6 / 21$ $7 / 5$ |
| new [2] 25/18 28/17 | ordered [2] 4/19 37/19 | pin [1] 38/1 |
| New York [1] 28/17 | organized [1] 38/3 | Plaintiff [2] 1/3 1/14 |
| Newark [1] 23/10 | ostracized [1] 12/9 | plan [3] 21/13 $23 / 7$ 24/11 |
| next [4] 11/6 11/15 12/12 $22 / 20$ | Other [21] 3/6 5/24 6/10 6/19 | plea [11] $2 / 18$ 3/21 $4 / 21$ 6/14 |
| nine [1] 12/22 | 6/22 7/14 $8 / 12$ 11/16 $11 / 22$ 14/1 | 6/16 7/25 9/4 13/13 13/19 37/14 |
| no [8] $1 / 4$ 3/9 $4 / 1412 / 7 \quad 31 / 4$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 5 & 20 / 21 ~ 26 / 8 ~ 26 / 9 ~ 31 / 14 ~\end{array}$ | 40/8 |
| 32/1 32/21 37/18 | 33/25 33/25 34/3 34/14 36/6 | please [7] $2 / 416 / 516 / 16$ 18/23 |
| No. [1] 2/7 | 38/17 | 21/25 24/5 24/6 |
| No. 17-cr-106 [1] 2/7 | others [9] 6/3 8/11 11/3 14/8 | pled [1] 37/15 |
| NORTHERN [1] 1/2 | 21/5 34/15 35/8 $37 / 5$ 39/4 | plus [1] 4/5 |
| not [43] | otherwise [1] 35/2 | pocketbook [1] 7/9 |
| noting [1] 40/11 | Otisville [2] 28/17 36/4 | podium [1] 16/1 |
| now [17] 4/15 8/21 15/16 17/8 | ounces [1] 10/21 | point [5] $4 / 1$ 22/10 26/7 $27 / 2$ |
| 17/16 17/25 21/4 23/5 23/21 | our [9] 11/14 11/20 11/25 14/25 | 39/17 |
| 24/7 24/8 25/9 25/22 26/16 | 20/13 21/14 $22 / 8$ 31/18 33/20 | pointed [1] 5/17 |
| 31/20 32/20 34/24 | out [16] 10/1 10/21 11/7 11/21 | points [1] 22/13 |
| number [8] $4 / 115 / 6$ 20/16 27/10 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}12 / 9 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 21 & 15 / 7 & 15 / 9 & 16 / 20\end{array}$ | police [20] 6/5 6/15 7/15 7/16 |
| $34 / 13$ 34/17 37/2 39/2 | 19/16 25/1 25/15 29/17 36/16 | 10/5 10/23 11/20 11/25 14/16 |
| numbers [1] 20/16 | 38/23 | $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 19 & 16 / 21 & 17 / 1 & 23 / 4 & 24 / 23\end{array}$ |
| numerous [1] 14/8 | outlined [3] 6/14 $13 / 1313 / 18$ | 25/19 26/1 29/23 30/2 33/11 |
| 0 | outside [1] 7/17 | 33/19 |
| oath [5] 29/21 29/22 30/7 32/3 | Over [16] $5 / 5$ 5/13 $5 / 14$ 6/14 | pored [1] 31/14 |
| 33/23 | 11/1 11/1 $11 / 1$ 13/21 $14 / 14$ | portion [1] 4/16 |
| objection [2] 3/4 3/6 | 14/22 20/6 20/11 21/14 21/15 | position [4] 20/22 21/5 21/6 |
| obligations [1] 7/2 | 29/13 34/13 | 33/10 |
| obtain [1] 5/9 | overlap [1] 37/3 | potential [1] 30/1 |
| obvious [1] 33/2 | overtime [1] 33/22 | power [3] 6/5 6/6 33/11 |
| Obviously [4] $28 / 14$ 33/9 34/24 | owed [1] 9/15 | practice [1] 5/9 |
| 38/3 | Owners [2] 6/25 7/9 | pray [1] 21/1 |
| occasions [1] 14/4 occurred [1] 27/18 | P | prayer [1] 21/18 <br> preceding [1] 13/21 |
| Occurs [1] 25/16 | P.E [2] 8/15 8/16 | prepare [1] 40/4 |
| October [4] 5/6 5/11 8/10 10/18 | Page [1] 37/13 | presence [2] 39/21 40/1 |
| October 2015 [1] 8/10 | Page 4 [1] 37/13 | Present [1] 1/19 |
| October 3rd [1] 10/18 | parable [2] 17/20 17/21 | presented [2] 3/10 37/6 |
| October 5th [1] 5/11 | paragraphs [1] 37/16 | presentence [10] 2/20 2/22 2/25 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { off [6] } & 5 / 25 & 11 / 4 & 11 / 22 & 25 / 2\end{array}$ | paralyzed [1] 5/18 | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 / 5 & 3 / 15 & 3 / 19 & 3 / 23 & 3 / 24 & 32 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 25/3 25/7 | parents [3] 19/15 22/9 24/10 | 37/15 |
| offenders [1] 14/25 | park [4] 9/7 22/17 22/18 22/19 | presided [1] 5/5 |
| Offense [6] $4 / 5$ 4/8 7/20 $14 / 13$ | part [2] 26/19 38/12 | pretending [1] 7/16 |
| 14/14 33/9 | participate [3] 7/10 10/17 36/9 | pretty [1] 22/9 |
| offenses [1] 27/18 | participated [11] 8/2 8/8 8/18 | previous [1] 10/13 |
| offer [1] 35/19 | 8/22 9/19 10/6 12/12 12/22 | previously [1] 38/10 |
| Office [3] 15/8 23/8 30/3 | 12/23 33/13 37/5 | preyed [1] 6/6 |
| officer [16] 1/20 6/15 10/23 | participating [1] 35/24 | principal [3] 17/5 23/4 26/9 |
|  | particular [2] 28/14 28/15 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Prisons [5] } & 28 / 15 & 35 / 12 & 36 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 25/18 25/23 25/25 26/4 $32 / 23$ | particularly [2] 34/15 37/6 | 36/6 36/11 |
| 33/11 35/25 36/1 | patient [1] 31/13 | probable [1] 10/25 |
| officers [11] 6/5 7/17 10/15 | patrol [1] 7/17 | probation [4] 1/20 32/23 35/25 |
| 11/16 11/19 11/21 11/25 15/16 | pay [2] 9/14 30/22 | 36/1 |
| 26/1 33/25 34/14 | payouts [1] 39/3 | problem [1] 33/19 |
| Official [2] 1/24 41/8 | penalties [1] 12/2 | proceeding [3] 4/16 4/19 4/22 |
| offset [1] 39/4 | penalty [1] 30/7 | proceedings [1] 41/3 |
| Oh [3] 39/14 40/18 40/20 | people [14] 10/16 15/15 19/22 | proceeds [2] 8/9 8/13 |
| Okay [17] 2/16 3/3 3/9 3/13 | 20/21 25/6 25/7 25/7 26/9 27/10 | process [2] 24/9 26/11 |
|  | 29/19 29/25 29/25 33/12 33/25 | Prodigal [3] 17/22 17/25 17/25 |
| 29/5 32/14 32/18 32/22 39/6 | people's [1] 33/14 | Prodigal Son [3] 17/22 17/25 |
| 40/2 40/7 40/22 | per [2] 37/11 38/24 | 17/25 |
| older [5] 19/9 22/15 23/3 31/8 | perhaps [3] $3 / 20$ 34/22 $40 / 4$ | productive [2] 27/21 27/22 |
| 31/16 | period [2] 35/21 35/22 | program [1] 36/9 |
| oldest [2] 17/4 17/5 | permit [1] 36/12 | promote [1] 14/13 |
| once [2] 7/8 17/18 | person [6] 19/20 24/3 24/5 | properly [1] 26/15 |
| one [11] 3/24 4/25 5/15 6/22 | 26/11 27/1 27/15 | prosecuted [1] 38/8 |
| 7/13 7/25 10/14 17/19 23/18 | personality [1] 19/23 | prosecuting [1] 30/4 |
| 25/4 26/22 | pervasive [1] 13/8 | prosecution [1] 32/4 |
| only [6] 3/24 4/25 12/11 13/18 | Philadelphia [1] 10/23 | protect [3] 6/7 29/21 33/12 |
| 13/23 21/9 | phone [1] 31/12 | protection [1] 14/3 |


| P | relationship [2] 16/11 19/2 | sanction [1] |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P Case | 9obla ${ }^{2}$ | se9isfyadiel 49 |
| ctor [1\} ${ }^{\text {a }}$ / | Pief [1] 38/9 | saw [7] ${ }_{\text {l2/5 }}$ |
| proud [1] 17/12 | religion [1] 26/12 | 25/22 26/4 29/25 |
| proven [1] 37/16 | rely [2] 11/20 31/10 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { say } & {[27]} & 4 / 18 & 12 / 7 & 15 / 3 & 16 / 25\end{array}$ |
| Proverbs [1] 19/8 | remain [1] 4/18 | 17/12 $18 / 818 / 12$ 20/10 20/20 |
| provide [3] 11/13 37/21 38/2 | remorse [1] 35/5 | 22/18 23/6 23/12 $24 / 5$ 24/10 |
| providing [1] 14/2 | remorseful [1] 34/4 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}24 / 12 & 25 / 10 & 28 / 25 & 29 / 6 & 29 / 10\end{array}$ |
| public [5] 14/10 14/17 15/5 | repeat [1] 24/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 13 & 29 / 14 & 29 / 15 & 30 / 8 & 32 / 10\end{array}$ |
| 17/10 34/1 | repercussions [1] 12/8 | 32/19 32/19 39/15 |
| pull [1] 29/8 | report [15] 2/20 2/23 3/1 3/5 | saying [2] 27/12 33/2 |
| purpose [3] 21/13 23/7 24/11 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}3 / 15 & 3 / 19 & 3 / 23 & 3 / 24 & 9 / 7 & 25 / 21\end{array}$ | says [1] 19/8 |
| pushed [1] 12/9 | 25/24 26/3 26/3 32/24 37/16 | scared [1] 5/19 |
| put [3] 11/21 30/1 31/11 | Reported [1] 1/22 | scene [1] 25/20 |
| Q | Reporter [4] $1 / 24$ 41/7 $41 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { school [5] } & 11 / 14 & 20 / 1 & 20 / 14\end{array}$ |
| quite $[3]$ $29 / 13$ $29 / 19$ $34 / 23$ <br> quote $[3]$ $5 / 18$ $5 / 19$ $5 / 19$ | reports request [4] | schoolteacher [1] 17/2 <br> scripted [1] 29/16 |
| R | require [1] 33/6 | seal [1] 4/18 |
|  | required [1] 36/14 | sealed [1] 4/16 |
| R-A-Y-A [1] 16/7 | requires [1] 11/24 | searched [1] 6/20 |
| R-A-Y-A-M [2] 18/25 22/3 | residence [3] $8 / 8$ 8/16 $8 / 17$ | seated [3] 2/4 2/16 29/7 |
| racketeering [2] 2/18 4/2 | respect [4] $14 / 1314 / 18$ 19/12 | second [2] 21/1 31/11 |
| raised [1] 17/15 | 26/18 | security [2] 10/15 $36 / 5$ |
| rampant [1] 11/10 | respected [1] 23/16 | see [7] 3/23 20/23 20/23 24/2 |
| ran [1] 5/25 | response [1] 22/23 | 24/3 24/5 32/8 |
| range [8] $2 / 23$ 3/5 $3 / 22$ 4/3 | responsibility [7] $6 / 2 \quad 6 / 5$ 13/2 | seeing [1] 20/21 |
| 4/10 4/25 6/13 23/10 | 34/6 34/16 34/22 34/25 | seek [1] 34/1 |
| rather [2] $26 / 4 \quad 33 / 12$ | rest [1] 26/19 | seems [1] 39/11 |
| RAYAM [85] | restitution [10] 36/18 36/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { seen [4] } & 10 / 1 & 23 / 20 & 36 / 22 & 38 / 23\end{array}$ |
| Rayam's [11] 6/8 $11 / 6$ 12/21 | 37/1 37/7 37/9 37/19 39/15 | sees [1] 14/15 |
|  | 39/20 39/22 40/3 | sell [2] 9/13 10/22 |
| 28/10 34/14 35/4 | result [2] 12/7 15/1 | selling [1] 10/4 |
| RDR [3] $1 / 23$ 41/1 $41 / 6$ | resulted [1] 14/20 | send [2] 11/16 12/1 |
| reached [1] 19/16 | retired [3] 16/21 17/1 17/2 | sense [1] 39/12 |
| read [1] 23/25 | retrieved [1] 10/21 | sentence [17] 6/11 6/12 12/20 |
| ready [1] 32/12 | reveal [1] 38/4 | 13/3 14/12 15/15 15/18 27/9 |
| real [2] 5/9 33/4 | review [3] $3 / 15$ 39/7 $39 / 12$ | 27/16 27/19 27/24 28/2 28/4 |
| realized [1] 30/21 | reviewed [1] 32/25 | 29/1 35/11 36/17 40/9 |
| realizes [1] 33/10 | rich [1] 18/1 | sentenced [2] 34/15 38/10 |
| really [2] 5/19 24/8 | riches [1] 18/1 | sentences [4] 6/9 13/1 13/11 |
| Realtime [1] 41/7 | right [19] $2 / 12$ 2/17 $3 / 13$ 3/17 | 15/13 |
| reason [1] 35/10 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 3 / 18 & 4 / 24 & 22 / 20 & 24 / 13 & 28 / 24\end{array}$ | sentencing [11] 1/12 2/17 2/20 |
| reasonable [1] 34/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 29 / 2 & 29 / 3 & 29 / 6 & 30 / 12 & 32 / 1\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}3 / 5 & 4 / 22 & 7 / 19 & 12 / 15 & 27 / 7 & 28 / 9\end{array}$ |
| reasons [1] 27/8 | 32/22 40/7 40/9 40/15 | $36 / 25$ 38/6 |
| recall [1] 36/24 | Road [1] 9/7 | sentencings [1] 37/8 |
| received [5] 9/17 12/17 12/17 | rob [1] 33/12 | separately [1] 37/21 |
| 13/1 13/11 | robbed [3] $8 / 5$ 8/15 10/16 | September [1] 10/12 |
| recent [1] 37/22 | robberies [20] $4 / 1$ 6/15 7/25 | September 7th [1] 10/12 |
| recognize [2] $3 / 18$ 33/24 | 9/1 11/9 12/23 13/12 13/18 | sergeant [4] $8 / 4 \begin{array}{llll}\text { [ }\end{array}$ |
| recommend [3] $15 / 18$ 36/3 36/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 20 & 13 / 21 & 13 / 23 & 14 / 14 & 14 / 15\end{array}$ | 35/3 |
| recommendation [1] 5/1 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 25 / 12 & 26 / 10 & 33 / 15 & 33 / 21 & 34 / 21\end{array}$ | Sergeant Allers [1] 8/4 |
| recommendations [1] 28/13 | 37/2 37/5 | Sergeant Jenkins [1] 35/1 |
| recommended [1] 27/16 | robbery [16] 6/3 7/10 8/3 8/8 | serious [9] $14 / 14 \quad 25 / 4 \quad 33 / 6$ |
| recommends [2] 35/25 36/2 | $8 / 9$ $8 / 10$ $8 / 19$ $8 / 23$ $9 / 3$ <br> 10     | $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 33 / 9 & 33 / 16 & 33 / 16 & 33 / 21 & 34 / 17\end{array}$ |
| record [5] $2 / 8$ 3/14 $16 / 6$ 22/1 |  | 34/20 |
| 41/3 | role [2] 14/3 23/24 | seriousness [5] $14 / 12$ 33/7 33/8 |
| recouped [1] 8/13 | rolled [1] 5/13 | 33/24 34/12 |
| recovered [2] 9/9 9/12 | Ronald [2] 9/20 9/21 | serve [1] 29/22 |
| recruited [1] 7/10 | Ronald Hamilton [2] 9/20 9/21 | served [1] 35/17 |
| rectify [1] 27/4 | ruined [1] 9/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { service [3] } & 17 / 3 & 17 / 10 & 21 / 2\end{array}$ |
| redeem [1] 26/23 | ruling [1] 3/7 | seven [2] 13/11 17/8 |
| referenced [2] 6/16 9/4 <br> reflect [2] 14/12 38/10 | S | $\begin{array}{ccccc} \begin{array}{ccccc} \text { several } & {[6]} & 7 / 10 & 15 / 8 & 38 / 12 \\ 38 / 17 & 39 / 5 & 40 / 4 \end{array} & \end{array}$ |
| reflection [1] 38/21 | S.S [1] 10/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { severally } & {[3]} & 37 / 12 & 38 / 11 & 38 / 25\end{array}$ |
| reflects [1] 37/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { said [16] } & 5 / 20 & 5 / 21 & 9 / 25 & 22 / 21\end{array}$ | shall [1] 19/9 |
| reform [1] 11/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 7 & 23 / 14 & 25 / 11 & 26 / 21 & 28 / 1\end{array}$ | share [3] 19/19 19/24 |
| regard [1] 34/15 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}30 / 7 & 31 / 22 & 31 / 22 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 3 & 33 / 8\end{array}$ | she [8] 5/9 5/10 5/11 5/13 5/13 |
| Registered [1] 41/7 | $34 / 7$ | 5/18 5/19 5/21 |
| regrets [1] 32/9 | sale [2] 8/13 9/17 | shirt [1] 25/23 |
| rehabilitation [1] 28/18 | same [7] $3 / 6$ 11/17 $12 / 11 \quad 18 / 9$ | shoes [1] 20/18 |
| related [1] 6/22 | 20/14 23/22 31/25 | shot [1] 25/4 |


| S | $\begin{array}{lllll}{[10]} & 10 / 1 & 11 / 1 & 16 / 4 & 16 / 19\end{array}$ $3072418418131 / 24 \mathrm{il}^{29 / 0} 8 / 2$ | $34 / 1 \quad 34 / 12$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| should [3] Ifsele 12\% |  | taking lij 50,8991 35/13 |
| shouldn't [1] 25/13 | standing [1] 5/14 | talk [5] 20/19 22/7 22/8 $22 / 25$ |
| show [1] 34/4 | start [5] 2/22 5/3 25/14 25/15 | 23/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { showed [3] } & 7 / 12 & 10 / 14 & 31 / 9\end{array}$ | 33/2 | talked [1] 24/7 |
| shown [1] 34/9 | started [2] 25/1 25/18 | talking [1] 39/9 |
| Shropshire [2] 14/2 14/3 | Starting [1] 33/7 | task [4] 6/19 8/25 11/7 38/7 |
| sic [2] 20/9 20/25 | starts [1] 37/13 | taught [4] 17/17 17/23 30/22 |
| side [1] 30/12 | state [5] $5 / 10$ 16/2 $16 / 5$ 18/23 | 33/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { sidetracked [2] } & 18 / 4 & 18 / 5\end{array}$ | 21/25 | taxpayers [1] 11/11 |
| significant [8] 11/24 12/2 | State's [2] 15/7 30/3 | Taylor [2] 13/1 13/6 |
| 12/21 13/17 26/18 28/3 33/23 | States [6] 1/1 1/3 $1 / 16$ 2/6 3/2 | Te'Ana [1] 5/7 |
| 34/22 | 6/11 | Te'Ana Cousin [1] 5/7 |
| significantly [6] 13/5 13/7 | stay [1] 29/6 | teach [2] 31/19 31/19 |
| 13/9 13/24 14/8 35/1 | steal [1] 11/13 | teacher [5] 17/4 17/7 17/9 |
| similar [1] 35/2 | stealing [2] 10/3 11/12 | 19/13 20/12 |
| similarly [1] 34/19 | stenographic [1] 41/3 | telephone [2] 20/16 20/16 |
| sin [1] 24/12 | steps [1] 25/16 | tell [6] 5/22 16/14 $16 / 2419 / 5$ |
| since [1] 37/23 | still [7] $18 / 12$ 22/23 24/3 24/5 | 24/8 25/17 |
| sir [3] 16/5 16/5 40/13 | 30/18 35/5 39/17 | temporarily [1] 26/13 |
| sister [4] $22 / 7$ 31/11 31/14 | stole [11] 5/24 7/18 8/3 8/11 | temptation [1] 11/23 |
| 31/16 | 8/11 8/19 8/20 8/23 9/5 10/10 | temptations [1] 11/17 |
| situated [1] 34/19 | 11/11 | tend [1] 7/6 |
| six [1] 23/4 | stolen [1] 10/9 | terms [2] 26/9 28/2 |
| slightly [1] 38/15 | stood [1] 7/4 | terrifying [1] 34/18 |
| small [1] 23/11 | stop [3] 8/23 9/6 29/15 | test [3] 26/5 26/5 26/22 |
| Sneakers [1] 20/8 | storage [2] 10/7 10/8 | testified [4] 5/13 5/18 10/13 |
| Snell [3] 10/19 10/23 14/7 | store [6] 6/20 6/20 6/21 6/25 | 12/5 |
| so [39] 3/9 4/2 4/8 4/10 4/18 | 7/5 7/8 | testify [1] 15/25 |
| 4/24 6/1 $7 / 514 / 11$ 15/5 16/1 | stores [1] 6/23 | testimony [5] 5/7 9/21 21/4 |
| 16/21 17/10 19/14 19/15 20/20 | story [3] 24/15 24/16 25/9 | 21/7 21/17 |
| 20/20 20/24 21/5 21/5 21/6 21/8 | strayed [1] 26/11 | testing [2] 35/25 36/1 |
| 21/9 22/17 22/22 23/10 23/17 | street [5] 1/24 11/22 25/2 $25 / 3$ | than [12] 12/13 12/20 12/21 |
| 24/10 24/14 26/25 27/13 29/16 | 25/7 | 13/3 13/5 13/23 13/24 14/8 $26 / 4$ |
| 35/4 36/15 37/6 37/8 38/4 38/9 | streets [4] 10/4 13/25 14/25 | 26/25 33/12 35/12 |
| 40/2 | 15/16 | thank [35] $2 / 12$ 2/16 $2 / 21 \quad 3 / 13$ |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { society [3] } & 24 / 25 \quad 27 / 21 & 27 / 22\end{array}$ | strong [1] 34/8 |  |
| sold [6] 6/21 8/12 9/17 12/24 | student [4] 5/8 23/8 23/19 | $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 1 & 21 / 21 ~ 21 / 22 ~ 21 / 22 ~ & 22 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 13/24 14/6 | 24/21 | 24/17 24/19 28/6 28/7 28/10 |
| some [10] 13/16 14/4 15/14 | students [4] 20/14 23/22 $24 / 7$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}28 / 11 & 28 / 24 & 28 / 24 & 29 / 9 & 29 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 23/20 29/13 $30 / 8 \quad 33 / 22 \quad 37 / 4$ | 24/15 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}31 / 17 & 32 / 15 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 20\end{array}$ |
| 37/5 37/25 | submit [1] 27/13 | 32/22 32/23 40/6 40/17 40/23 |
| somebody [3] 17/18 27/14 27/14 | submits [1] 6/11 | 40/24 |
| someone [3] 20/8 22/23 32/9 | submitted [3] 27/7 27/24 28/10 | thanks [1] 32/23 |
| something [8] 19/19 23/2 23/9 | subsequently [2] 9/16 15/9 | that [220] |
| 23/11 23/11 25/15 28/20 30/22 | substance [4] 8/14 28/20 35/24 | that's [7] 4/8 10/12 16/20 |
| sometimes [1] 23/14 | 36/9 | 28/14 28/17 29/6 32/9 |
| somewhat [2] 3/19 35/2 | substantial [1] 34/17 | their [19] $4 / 4$ 7/2 7/9 7/11 |
| son [13] $16 / 23$ 17/4 $17 / 6$ 17/16 | successive [1] 37/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}7 / 12 & 7 / 16 & 7 / 21 & 7 / 23 & 10 / 3 & 11 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 17/22 17/25 17/25 18/9 20/22 | sufficient [1] 35/12 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 13 & 16 / 2 & 17 / 14 & 20 / 15 & 30 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 21/10 21/11 21/11 30/20 | sum [1] 14/11 | 30/4 31/20 31/20 31/23 |
| son-in-law [1] 17/6 | summer [1] 22/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { them [20] 6/16 7/15 7/22 } & 10 / 3\end{array}$ |
| soon [2] 26/24 26/24 | Summerville [1] 10/13 | 15/25 19/25 20/9 20/9 20/17 |
| sorry [2] 32/12 40/18 | Sun [1] 15/6 | 20/17 22/24 23/1 23/19 23/20 |
| sort [3] 14/2 37/4 37/6 | supervised [3] 28/21 35/21 | 24/7 24/8 24/9 30/5 33/12 38/23 |
| sound [1] 39/16 | 35/23 | theme [1] 22/18 |
| sounds [1] 23/25 | supervisor [2] 12/19 34/24 | themselves [3] 11/21 26/23 |
| South [1] 6/21 | supervisors [1] 12/16 | 33/23 |
| South Baltimore [1] 6/21 | supplying [1] 7/14 | then [7] $4 / 4$ 5/24 $7 / 9$ 9/11 $23 / 1$ |
| speak [8] 15/22 15/24 16/2 | support [8] 18/9 18/10 21/17 | 23/17 39/22 |
| 23/13 24/5 24/17 29/3 29/11 | 21/18 23/21 $28 / 18$ 32/1 $34 / 8$ | theoretically [1] 39/4 |
| special [4] 1/20 2/10 35/23 | supported [1] 18/10 | there [31] $7 / 4 \mathrm{9} / 3 \mathrm{l} 10 / 15$ 11/21 |
| 36/14 | sure [2] 34/7 40/9 | 13/16 15/5 15/23 16/13 17/20 |
| Special Agent [1] 2/10 | Swillo [1] 1/20 | 19/5 19/15 19/18 20/12 20/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { specific [7] } & 5 / 1 & 28 / 13 & 37 / 14\end{array}$ | swore [1] 30/6 | $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 17 & 28 / 13 & 28 / 19 & 29 / 6 & 29 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 37/16 37/18 38/23 38/24 | sworn [3] $6 / 714 / 16$ 29/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 11 & 30 / 12 & 32 / 18 & 33 / 24 & 35 / 5\end{array}$ |
| speed [1] 10/20 | system [1] 32/2 | 35/6 35/21 36/14 37/18 38/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { spell [4] } & 16 / 2 & 16 / 6 & 18 / 23 & 21 / 25\end{array}$ | T | 39/3 39/4 |
| ritual [1] 31/6 |  | there's [6] 15/22 28/25 29/19 |
| split [1] 8/9 | table [2] 2/10 37/13 | 31/4 36/13 40/9 |
| stain [1] 30/1 | take [6] 11/21 18/3 24/6 29/21 | thereafter [1] 7/21 |


| T | 33/18 34/1 | waited [1] 7/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | tego ${ }^{2}$ | 旬tipgat 5170 |
| therefore [1\} ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | truth 11] 19912318 Fild | waive [2] 39/21 39/25 |
| these [12] 10/1 15/2 15/14 | try [1] 27/4 | wake [2] 11/23 11/24 |
| 15/16 20/13 24/6 25/6 27/11 | trying [3] $18 / 5$ 38/1 $39 / 18$ | walk [1] 14/24 |
| 33/1 33/15 38/11 38/23 | Tuesday [1] 1/8 | want [8] 2/5 5/2 22/13 23/14 |
| they [45] | turn [3] 2/24 27/3 28/12 | 23/22 29/15 37/23 40/10 |
| they're [6] 15/16 23/20 26/2 | turning [3] $11 / 4$ 11/15 $13 / 10$ | wanted [4] 24/20 24/22 24/23 |
| 26/22 38/3 38/11 | twice [1] 13/20 | 24/24 |
| thing [4] 12/11 17/19 26/3 32/1 | two [5] 5/14 12/16 12/25 22/13 | wants [1] 32/18 |
| things [11] 9/2 20/17 25/12 | 31/12 | Ward [1] 13/10 |
| 26/2 26/9 26/10 27/4 27/14 28/9 | U | warranted [1] 12/20 |
| 30/8 30/8 | U | was [100] |
| think [17] 3/21 3/23 13/15 | U.S [1] 1/20 | wasn't [3] 3/22 17/19 37/3 |
| 17/18 22/9 25/17 27/8 27/8 | ultimately [2] 10/22 11/17 | water [1] 22/19 |
| 27/11 27/16 27/19 28/13 33/2 | unconditional [1] 31/3 | way [11] $2 / 24$ 4/3 $4 / 411 / 21$ |
| 35/6 38/5 38/9 38/22 | uncontested [1] 27/12 | 18/9 20/25 25/16 34/4 34/16 |
| third [1] 4/7 | under [8] 4/18 5/4 6/10 11/18 | 38/5 38/23 |
| this [57] | 12/14 30/7 30/7 33/23 | Wayne [2] 11/6 12/12 |
| Thomas [1] 7/13 | undermined [1] 14/17 | Wayne Jenkins [2] 11/6 12/12 |
| those [5] 5/15 14/24 19/16 | undermines [1] 14/18 | ways [2] 33/22 34/19 |
| 34/14 35/13 | understand [3] 3/6 39/11 40/13 | we [60] |
| though [2] 38/13 39/16 | understands [4] 28/1 28/3 34/5 | we'll [3] 38/2 38/20 40/2 |
| thought [1] 29/14 | 35/7 | we're [3] $2 / 6$ 4/18 23/22 |
| thousands [1] 14/20 | undo [1] 15/12 | we've [7] 10/1 15/8 27/7 27/10 |
| three [4] 4/6 15/23 27/16 35/21 | undone [1] 27/6 | 27/16 27/24 37/10 |
| three-level [1] 4/6 | unfortunately [1] 33/15 | wearing [2] 5/16 10/5 |
| threw [1] 10/21 | uniforms [1] 19/18 | welcome [1] 34/9 |
| through [9] 10/1 10/23 11/9 |  | well [6] $3 / 18$ 14/7 15/10 $20 / 13$ |
| 14/7 14/7 24/9 30/14 30/21 | 12/13 12/16 | 30/5 32/22 |
| 31/18 | UNITED [6] 1/1 1/3 1/16 2/6 3/2 | Wells [3] 5/6 8/11 8/12 |
| thrown [3] 14/20 15/7 15/9 | 6/11 | went [7] 6/19 7/6 7/16 9/11 |
| time [23] 5/13 8/21 8/24 10/1 | United States [3] 2/6 3/2 6/11 | 10/7 20/14 26/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 2 & 10 / 24 & 10 / 24 & 11 / 10 & 12 / 4\end{array}$ | University [1] 5/10 | were [25] 5/14 5/15 6/4 7/4 |
|  | until [2] 39/13 40/3 | 12/21 $15 / 715 / 1415 / 1519 / 15$ |
| 27/2 29/13 29/14 30/25 31/25 | unwarranted [1] 12/14 | 19/18 20/12 20/13 20/24 20/24 |
| 34/10 34/11 35/16 | up [27] 7/12 8/9 8/16 10/14 | 21/5 23/17 25/6 28/10 33/15 |
| times [4] 19/18 20/22 22/8 23/7 |  | 33/16 37/2 37/17 37/23 38/7 |
| tinged [1] 25/10 | 20/2 20/2 20/20 21/10 21/11 | 40/11 |
| today [6] $24 / 2$ 28/1 29/12 $29 / 14$ | 21/19 22/8 22/11 22/19 23/1 | what [42] |
| 29/14 29/15 | 23/17 25/21 25/24 28/15 31/9 | what's [1] 25/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { told [6] } & 5 / 23 & 9 / 13 & 10 / 14 & 25 / 21 \\ 25 / 25 & 26 / 6 \end{array}$ | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{ccc} 31 / 11 & 38 / 2 & 39 / 15 \\ \text { up-to-date } & 11 \end{array}\right.$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { whatever [4] } \\ 29 / 5\end{array} 18 / 8 \quad 20 / 8 \quad 28 / 4$ |
| Tom [1] 14/7 | updated [1] 37/24 | when [16] $5 / 11$ 6/8 8/10 12/5 |
| Tom Finnegan [1] 14/7 | us [5] $2 / 10$ 19/24 19/25 $24 / 17$ | 14/11 15/3 19/9 19/18 $22 / 15$ |
| too [7] 18/13 18/13 $26 / 2 \quad 26 / 2$ | 24/25 | 23/8 25/18 $30 / 14$ 32/3 34/9 |
| 27/17 27/20 31/25 | use [1] 21/16 | 35/15 39/23 |
| took [12] 8/6 10/17 20/15 20/16 | used [5] 22/15 22/18 22/18 | where [15] 5/22 8/5 15/12 15/15 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll} & 25 / 2 & 25 / 3 & 25 / 7 & 30 / 1 & 30 / 13 & 32 / 3\end{array}$ | 30/19 33/11 | 15/24 20/22 20/23 20/24 20/25 |
| 32/4 32/4 | V | 37/4 37/12 37/16 37/18 38/11 |
| top [1] 5/14 |  | 39/4 |
| total [2] 37/20 39/1 | vacate [1] $15 / 13$ <br> vacated [1] $15 / 15$ | Where's [1] 5/20 |
| touched [1] 22/9 | vary [1] 38/13 | whether [5] $4 / 174 / 23 \quad 31 / 24$ |
| Trace [3] 6/19 11/7 38/7 | vehicle [1] 10/9 | 36/5 39/19 |
| traffic [3] 8/23 9/6 25/20 | versus [1] 2/7 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { which [14] } & 4 / 3 & 4 / 5 & 6 / 12 & 8 / 3 & 9 / 4\end{array}$ |
| trafficking [2] 11/9 13/14 | very [14] $6 / 7$ 7/3 $24 / 19$ 25/3 | 10/14 10/19 20/5 23/15 25/4 |
| tragedy [1] 33/4 | 27/14 $32 / 16$ 33/4 33/9 34/3 34/7 | 33/13 33/19 36/25 37/22 |
| train [1] 19/8 | $34 / 17$ 34/25 36/25 39/17 | while [12] 3/24 6/15 7/3 7/17 |
| transcript [2] 4/19 41/2 | vest [1] 7/15 | 10/5 10/16 19/13 30/17 34/19 |
| transient [1] 37/25 | victim [1] 38/24 | 34/22 35/18 36/10 |
| trauma [1] 9/24 | victimized [2] 6/7 10/3 | white [1] 25/23 |
| treat [1] 26/14 | victims [5] 7/20 10/1 33/17 | who [19] $6 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { [ } & 7 / 13 & 7 / 14 & 10 / 13\end{array}$ |
| treatment [4] $35 / 8$ 35/24 $35 / 25$ | 37/22 37/25 | 11/16 12/1 15/15 15/24 17/6 |
| 36/1 | victims' [1] 38/4 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} \\ 21 / 13 & 23 / 8 & 24 / 7 & 25 / 22 & 27 / 10\end{array}$ |
| tremendous [1] 6/5 | view [1] 27/17 | 27/14 27/15 32/9 34/15 38/7 |
| trial [8] 5/5 5/6 9/21 10/13 | violated [1] 17/17 | whole [2] 27/11 31/2 |
| 10/19 12/6 12/25 37/17 | Violent [1] 14/25 | whom [2] 38/24 38/25 |
| trials [1] 33/17 | vulnerable [1] 6/6 | whose [1] 35/1 |
| true [4] $25 / 11 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { [ } & 30 / 8 & 30 / 8 & 35 / 5\end{array}$ | W | why [4] $17 / 18$ 22/21 $24 / 12$ 40/2 |
| $\begin{array}{clllll}\text { trust } & {[10]} & 11 / 25 & 14 / 10 & 14 / 17 \\ 14 / 19 & 15 / 1 & 16 / 22 & 18 / 2 & 33 / 10\end{array}$ | wait [2] 24/14 24/15 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { wife [4] } & 17 / 2 & 17 / 21 & 18 / 1 & 26 / 14 \\ \text { will } & {[28]} & 3 / 7 & 4 / 18 & 12 / 2 & 14 / 24\end{array}$ |



