
PROCEEDINGS
(10:13 a.m.)

THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. You can be seated, please.

Call the case, Mr. Wise.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
The case is United States of America versus
Daniel Thomas Hersl, Criminal No. CCB-17-106.
Leo Wise and Derek Hines for the United States. And with us at counsel table is Special Agent Kevin Bodmer of the FBI.

THE COURT: All right. Good morning.
MR. PURPURA: Judge Blake, good morning.
THE COURT: Good morning.
MR. PURPURA: William Purpura on behalf of Daniel Thomas Hersl, who is present as well, Your Honor.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you. You can be seated.
All right. We have, to begin with, a motion for new trial that has been filed on behalf of Mr . Hersl and recently supplemented with some exhibits. We need to address that first, of course.

And it's your motion, Mr. Purpura. If you'd like to go ahead.

MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, thank you. Could I just address you from the podium?
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were not his, leaving the impression with the jury, regardless of cross-examination, that it was Detective Hersl who took his money, who apparently either planted those drugs on him or said "they're yours," regardless if they were his and/or not.

And why that's important, because the very next day is the corroborating testimony, in essence, of Antonio Santiful. These are the two civilian, in quotes, witnesses the Government called indicating that Mr. Hersl was targeting civilians. Herbert Tate was the first supposed civilian and Antonio Santiful is the second.

Herbert Tate said he doesn't live in that area. He was only visiting that area on these two separate days to visit old friends.

Mr. Santiful said on November 28th, when he testified at approximately 2:15 in the afternoon or 2:30 in the afternoon on February 1st of 2018, that when he was in the 2100 block of Aikens on November 28th, 2015, he was there just to play video games -- despite the fact he doesn't live there -video games with friends; in essence, very lawful activity.

Detective -- or the evidence from the arrest on November 28th, 2015, was that Detective Hersl, along with other detectives, apprehended Deontray Brown and Antonio Santiful.

And in Mr. Santiful's sister's vehicle there was drugs as well as a handgun.

And from Deontray Brown, that he spit out, were also
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drugs which were consistent in size and shape and packaging to the drugs found in the vehicle, indicative of distribution, in the 2100 block of Aiken Street.

And even Antonio Santiful indicated it was an open-air market back in 2015, as it obviously still is in 2018.

In essence, he denied -- not in essence. He did deny that he possessed the gun and he denied that he had anything to do with the drugs, again, leaving the impression that Detective Hersl, just like he did to Mr . Tate on Robb Street, planted a gun and drugs on the defendant.

And he was improperly arrested. And after he's improperly arrested, his money's taken. So the whole purpose of planting a gun and drugs is to steal money from an innocent civilian, and that was his testimony as what occurred on November 28th, 2015.

When I cross-examined him -- I think really which makes matters worse -- when I cross-examined him as to his prior, I think, two or three gun-possession convictions, the Government --

THE COURT: Two, I think.
MR. PURPURA: Two.
-- the Government on redirect highlighted the fact that in his 2006 -- August 23rd, 2006, one of the detectives that was involved in that arrest was Detective Jenkins.

Mr. Santiful seized upon that and said that, of
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course, he did not have the gun on that particular day, he only pled because he wanted to get out of jail.

Again, an indication that Jenkins was involved, and he has been involved with Mr. Hersl planting guns on various defendants, and in particular Mr. Santiful on his prior incident, as well as this incident.

So Santiful comes to this court, in Federal Court, and tells the jury, in essence, that in 2006, as well as in 2015, these officers -- Hersl one, Jenkins the other -- planted guns on him.

I have the -- and what I think -- and the Government can respond to this when they get up here -- I'm sure the Government didn't look at the statement of probable cause on the 2006 incident or I know that Mr. Hines would not have asked that question, because the statement of probable cause in the 2006 incident -- which I'm moving in now as Defense Exhibit No. 2 for the purpose of this motion -indicates that it was --

THE COURT: Excuse me, let me be sure that I -Exhibit 2 --

MR. PURPURA: 2 .
THE COURT: -- I have relates to Mr. Santiful, the 2006 --

MR. PURPURA: Correct.
THE COURT: Okay.
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MR. PURPURA: And that was the point of the redirect, I guess, because Jenkins is noted as one of the three officers that were involved in the arrest of Mr. Santiful.

But from the statement of probable cause, the only participation, or the limited participation of Jenkins in that arrest, was to turn the gun in.

It was Detective or -- Detective Tolliver Brown, along with Jenkins, who stopped the vehicle. It was Detective Tolliver who chased after Mr. Santiful and saw Mr. Santiful -- this is Detective Tolliver -- go into his groin area, also known as his dip area, while he's running through the alley, pull out a handgun, and throw the handgun while he's being chased.

He then takes off his shirt to disguise himself, where he's arrested outside the alley by Detective Brown at that point. No mention of Detective Jenkins.

So the point of that, the point of the exhibit, is to show that Detective Jenkins, in a 2006 incident, had very limited, limited, if at all, responsibility for the arrest and/or for the sightings.

And what was seen was by Detective Tolliver and Detective Brown, and they very clearly indicate they saw Mr. Santiful with a gun at that time.

Your Honor, I didn't move in, but I would move in now, Exhibit Number 1 which is, again, just the basic statement of
probable cause for the October 27th, 2015, Herbert Tate arrest, which I already alluded to, and I believe that's already part of the documents the Government introduced during the trial; but just in case, for the purpose of the motion, I'd move that in now at this point.

THE COURT: All right.
MR. PURPURA: So I guess the point being that the Government goes on at that point -- and I know they talked to their witnesses, and they should talk to their witnesses. And I know -- and believe me, I know this for a fact, that if Mr . Hines or Mr . Wise ever received information at that point that Mr. Santiful was still selling drugs, they would have told counsel. There's no question in my mind about that.

And I know that they spoke, which they should have, talked to their witness before he took the witness stand just to make sure, with a record like you have, with multiple gun prior violations that you're still not out there selling drugs, are you?

And I'm sure that Mr. Santiful, when they asked him that -- 'cause they don't want to misrepresent something to the jury, told them, I'm working two jobs, and that's what he represented to the jury, that he's working one job under the table in 2018 and another job that apparently he's getting paid by a check.

So then Mr. Santiful comes to court on February 1st at
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2 o'clock or 2:15 in the afternoon -- and I would move in at this point Defense Exhibit Number 4, which is the -- if I can get this up here.

THE COURT: I have it.
MR. PURPURA: You do? I just want to just put it on the screen as well, if I may.

THE COURT: Sure.
MR. PURPURA: If I get -- I'm not -- give it a second, I hope.

THE COURT: If you can keep on backing up, right.
MR. PURPURA: So Mr. Santiful came in in the afternoon with a black hat, black hoodie, and this work vest, indicating that he either just got off from work or was just going to work at that point. I think he said he just got off from work and he was hurrying back to work at that point on February 1st, 2015.

And, again, highlighting to the jury that he is a working man and corroborating everything that the Government represented to the jury that he still was, and that's what he represented to the jury, that he did not deal drugs in 2015, he did not possess drugs in 2015, he did not have a handgun in 2015, he did not have a handgun in 2016. It was dirty cops that did all this to him.

Well, we know the very, very day that he lied to Your Honor, that he lied to the jury, that he lied to

Case 1:17-cr-00106-CCB Document 477 Filed 08/17/18 Page 10 of 107

Government counsel -- I'm sure asked him if he's still dealing drugs. He was dealing drugs.

We know now -- Defense Exhibit Number 3, which is the Santiful indictment -- we know now that at least from November 2017 and continuing through the indictment, which is sometime in May of 2018, that Mr. Santiful, at that very same spot, 2100 block of Aiken Street, was involved with a drug-trafficking crew by the name of Str8 Kash -- K-A-S-H -Cartel, SKC.

That indictment charges that Mr. Santiful, plus other members of that Str8 Kash Cartel, distributed more than 28 grams of cocaine base, which has a mandatory minimum of five years, and a detectable amount of heroin.

We know that on -- now we know that on November 1st -excuse me, February 1st, 2018 --

THE COURT: Before you move on to February 1st, I just want to be clear. I have Exhibit 3. We're looking at the indictment. I agree with you that it says there was a conspiracy that began in November 2017. The only substantive counts -- and I may have missed something -- that I've observed as to Mr. Santiful are from March of 2018.

MR. PURPURA: That's correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PURPURA: You're correctly pointing that out. Mr. Wise correctly pointed that out in his response motion.

That is correct. There was -- apparently on those particular dates in March of 2018, Mr. Santiful was approached by an undercover, which was videoed, and at that point he did a hand-to-hand distribution.

But unbeknownst to Mr. Santiful, that on February 1st, the very morning that he testified and perjured himself in this particular courtroom, he was on film -- and I would move in -I'm not going to show it -- but I would move in now as Defense Exhibit Number 7, which is the pole camera on 2100 block, Aiken Street. And I can tell the Court, if the Court wants to view this, at the -- there's multiple events. This would be the second event on the video right at 9:47 a.m. is when Mr. Santiful exits a vehicle which has been parked in the 2100 block, Aiken Street. There's a black man with a black hoodie who's been in the area for about at least 10 to 15 minutes pacing back and forth, communicates with Mr. Santiful, apparently in the backseat.

When Mr. Santiful exits that vehicle at 9:47, he clearly pulls a handgun out or has a handgun in his hand and passes it to the unknown black male with the black hoodie and then he points (indicating), he directs that man where to take the gun, and that gentleman with the black hoodie then takes that gun to the alley.

And I know the Government would agree that's indicative of putting a gun in the stash area to protect the
stash. And someone of influence, higher up in the conspiracy, is the person who brings that gun there and then directs someone else as to where to keep the gun. And the purpose of keeping that gun is to obviously protect the stash and to shoot anyone who would interfere with that stash itself.

So I would move in Defense Exhibit Number 7 at this time, Your Honor, as well.

May I just pass this to the clerk?
THE COURT: Sure. That's fine.
MR. PURPURA: (Handing.)
THE COURT: And, Mr. Purpura, because I forgot to say this at the very beginning and I just want to alert everybody, we'll take as much time as we need for these proceedings today. I have had somewhat of an emergency matter come up that will require me to temporarily basically take an early lunch. At quarter of 12:00, I will need to adjourn the proceedings. I will come back. I will give it the full attention required, but I will need to stop at quarter of 12:00 for about an hour or so.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
Then after the handgun was -- as the video will
show -- and I now am moving in Defense Exhibit Number 5, which is the ATF report of the incident itself. And I will indicate that the report is apparently written or signed off on on February 8th, 2018. It is not on February 1st, 2018.

But what the report does go on to indicate is that on February 1st, 2018 -- and I believe the Government will stipulate to this -- based on use of MVA photographs, this gentleman on the screen, Antonio Santiful, is identified on that date, which is February 1st, 2018, by the ATF in a investigation from this district headed up by an Assistant United States Attorney Patricia McLane.

And Santiful at that point is known to the ATF. Equally so, I will indicate that this particular Hersl case was not investigated by the ATF. It was investigated by Baltimore City Police in conjunction with the FBI.

The ATF investigation was headed up by the ATF in conjunction with Baltimore City Police.

So we know that on the very day that he did testify, that's the day that he's identified as the man who's passing, again, a gun on, again, the 2100 block of Aiken Street.

The -- approximately the close in this case -- and I'm not sure, I couldn't tell from the docket entry or my notes -I believe Government close -- opening close was either -- and they can correct me -- February 7th or 8th. It was somewhat about a week after Santiful testified, give or take a day or two. The record itself will show.

But at that time, again, Mr. Santiful's photograph is shown and the suggestion being that Mr. Santiful, like Mr. Tate, were civilians doing nothing wrong, being shook down
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for the sole purpose of enriching Detective Hersl. Again, discounting that these were not legitimate arrests, that they were not conducting criminal acts, that these were just poor gentlemen in Baltimore City who were being targeted by the police for their own personal enrichment.

The United States Attorney's Office, in general, in this district knew of this ongoing investigation involving Aiken Street. Aiken Street was a substantial portion --one-fifth, basically, of the charges against Mr. Hersl at that time.

The investigation of Hersl had been going on for at least a year up till then. I'm sure that it's somewhat public within the U.S. Attorney's Office what's going on, or it should be. Perhaps not.

That there apparently -- and I would also add that obviously this case, the Hersl and Taylor case, was a very public case. There were -- media was paying a great deal of attention to this particular case. The facts of this case were reported in the newspaper almost on a daily basis.

The -- and the Court can correct me if I'm wrong, but I've noted that supervisors from the U.S. Attorney's Office were present from time to time during the trial.

I noted that the then-sitting U.S. Attorney was present for a period of the trial itself. So this was a very public trial itself involving all the matters which were
disclosed.
It would seem that there should be some sort of protection against problems such as this. Mr. Santiful is not a average citizen who the Government presents as a witness. Mr. Santiful is a man who the Government knows has a very checkered, colorful past of prior criminal violations.

You would think that is -- that in all large law firms today, there is all sorts of conflict-resolution computer equipment, which allows large law firms to plug in a name and to see if anyone else either represents that person or defends that person or has that person as a witness in any capacity. That is in civil law firms and it is, to my knowledge, applied in other U.S. Attorney's Offices in this country.

This office did not have that at that time. You would think that this office, because of the notoriety of this case, because you're charging a police officer, would have supervisors who would discuss the ongoing cases just in case a problem like this arises, that a supervisor would know which cases are being tried, who are the main players in the cases, who was a suspect in a particular case.

I believe that it would have been difficult, not impossible, but difficult on February 1st to identify Mr. Santiful. It could have been done, but it would have been difficult.

Clearly, I believe by February 2nd, February 3rd,

February 4th, February 5th, February 6th, February 7th that Mr. Santiful's identity as a defendant, upcoming defendant who had a gun on Aiken Street should have been disclosed or obvious within the U.S. Attorney's Office in this district.

It is not the largest district. It's a busy district. We have hardworking Assistant U.S. Attorneys, but these are matters that are very important to a fair defense and to the public.

If I would have had that information, even after Santiful testified, you could see from the video, it is just -it's an incredible tool. It just discounts completely his testimony and his credibility. It shows that he lied on the witness stand. Completely. He lied about 2006. He lied about 2015.

And, again, he's really lying to you all as to what he's doing on February 1st of 2018. But what he's doing is instructing people where to put a gun on Aiken Street.

THE COURT: What specific question was he asked that you can point to me from the transcript that he --

MR. PURPURA: I can't. And the reason $I$ can't is because I've been defending cases for 35,37 years, and I'm not going to ask Mr. Santiful, who lies without any basis, which I had nothing at that time, isn't it a fact, you know, that you're still out there dealing drugs when he's going to look at me and say he's going to give them hopefully what he told the
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Government, a lie, say, No, I'm working two jobs.
There's no basis. I didn't have that basis. They had that basis. Maybe not this group (indicating), but another group in his office had that basis.

THE COURT: I'm just trying to pin down.
MR. PURPURA: I know.
THE COURT: You had said to me that Mr. Santiful perjured himself on the stand on February 1st, and that would normally imply it's a question that was asked and an answer that was given that was willfully false.

I understand your argument about the disclosures and duties to reveal, but $I$ just --

MR. PURPURA: He perjured -- I believe, again, that he, based on what we know now is Santiful's doing, again on Aiken Street -- and if you were asked in a trial, a court trial, to determine whether Mr. Santiful -- I shouldn't ask you this -- whether Mr. Santiful perjured himself when Mr. Santiful told this jury that that gun wasn't his on November 28th, 2015, and that drugs, he had no part in those drugs, he perjured himself.

When he told that jury in -- told that jury that -2006 that Jenkins must have placed that gun on me, that I didn't have that gun, that $I$ took the plea to get out of jail, he perjured himself.

We don't know that perhaps by direct evidence, but we
certainly know that by circumstantial evidence. And it's certainly corroborated by the tape in 2018, when he's on that same darn corner with a gun giving it to one of his workers.

Now, if the Government's up here, that's perjury.
Respectfully, I believe what occurred was material. I believe that not only affected Santiful's testimony, but it affected the credibility of Herbert Tate, who testified about an incident the day before.

I believe that the information is clearly favorable, at least as impeachment evidence. And here, incredibly, on a street video, the same block, very substantial impeaching evidence.

And I believe that regardless -- and I don't believe it's bad faith, but regardless whether it's good faith or bad faith, it was information that was in the hands of this U.S. Attorney's Office and it was suppressed.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Purpura.
Mr. Wise.
MR. WISE: So Mr. Purpura said regardless of whether it was good faith or bad faith, it was suppressed. So let me be very clear, there was no bad faith and nothing was suppressed, as Mr. Purpura well knows.

Mr. Santiful was seen on this pole camera on February the 1st. That was memorial -- and he was identified for the
first time on February the 1st. The first time.
It was memorialized in a report on July the 8th -- on February the 8th, a week after he testified. As Mr. Purpura knows, that report was then presented for the first time to the U.S. Attorney's Office on February the 13th, the day after the jury returned their verdict.

As Mr. Purpura knows, that information that Mr. Santiful had been seen on this pole camera was not communicated in any form prior to February the 13th.

So knowing all that, Mr. Purpura stands up here and says information was suppressed and supervisors should have been talking to one another and there should have been a computer system.

But there was nothing before February the 13th that put Mr. Santiful on anyone's radar in the U.S. Attorney's Office. So all this talk about we don't have a system and -but supervisors should have known and they were in the courtroom, it's all nonsense, and he knows that.

There was so much of what he just argued that was inaccurate. And Your Honor seized on one of it. He first said that Santiful lied to the jury and then he went even further and said he perjured himself.

Now, I have read the entire transcript of his direct and cross. He was never asked about February of 2018. Maybe Mr. Purpura intended to. Maybe he thought he did. Maybe he
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made a strategic decision not to, but he was never asked about February of 2018 and whether he was involved in criminal activity.

And the idea that Mr. Purpura wouldn't go at a witness on that -- he did that to Hamilton. He said you're still dealing drugs, you're still in the game. He did that to witness after witness.

So the idea that he wouldn't take a flyer at Santiful I think is laughable.

So there was no perjury. And I would point out that in the category of "other unprofessional accusations," in his filing he said we may have suborned perjury. He said that before he had any facts or an ounce of support for it, and then he doubled down on it by saying it may have been in bad faith that we suppressed evidence.

He could have asked him about 2018, and he didn't. So there was no perjury. There was no lie about 2018. And the idea that somehow -- the fact that he was seen on this pole camera in 2018 magically means that three years earlier, he was dealing drugs at the same time, well, it just doesn't, and he knows that.

The accusation in 2015 was that he had a gun in a car and then Hersl robbed him.

What he was seen in February of 2018 is -- is handling a gun. Those are three years apart.

But the allegation in this case was that Hersl robbed him. He wasn't on trial for a drug crime -- for a gun crime.

And this issue of the prior conviction, we tried. We moved to keep out the 2006 conviction 'cause we didn't think it was covered by 609. He opposed. He went into it. He knew full well Jenkins was one of the arresting officers.

You know, and in a patronizing way he said, oh, well, I'm sure the Government didn't see the statement of probable 'cause because they would have realized and therefore not asked the question, which was in response to his line of cross which we tried to -- which we didn't think he should have gone down this road to begin with, frankly, 'cause Jenkins was involved. And then he said, well, if we'd seen the statement of probable cause, we would have known that this other officer was the one who recovered it.

Your Honor, I have seen in the course of this case so many statements of probable cause that bear little to no resemblance to what really went on in these episodes, that, you know, it was almost like -- I mean, I remember at the bench, when this first came up -- and I think it was Taylor's attorneys who said, well, what's the evidence that Jenkins planted the gun? And I think everybody's jaws dropped.

So they went down this road. And to then say, well, that -- somehow they were prejudiced because we redirected on it I think is also just preposterous.
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The jury found Mr. Hersl guilty of Racketeering Act 3, proved -- that we proved Racketeering Act 3, which was the robbery of Jimmie Griffin; Racketeering Act 4, which was the robbery of Herbert Tate; obviously Racketeering Act 5, which was the robbery of Antonio Santiful; and Racketeering Act 10, the robbery of Ronald and Nancy Hamilton; as well as Racketeering Acts 14, 15, 18, and 21. All of those were in the substantive RICO count, Count 2. Only two are required by the law to have found him guilty.

The jury also found him guilty of the conspiracy count, which did not include, did not include the Santiful episode because Mr. Hersl robbed people before he joined the GTTF and the conspiracy tracked Wayne Jenkins and the other officers he brought with him.

And then, of course, the jury also found him guilty of Count 5, the Hobbs Act robbery of the Hamiltons.

So it would not have changed the outcome of the trial to have had the information that we did not have to allow Mr. Purpura to impeach a witness that he had vigorously impeached up until that point, like he did with all of them, which was his right.

And this is hardly bombshell information in a case about police officers targeting drug dealers to rob them, that this man was involved in criminal conduct.

And this idea that he's somehow a major player, I
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mean, again, this is all just stuff that's coming out of the ether. They didn't know who he was on February the 1st.

And Mr. Purpura said, well, the Government alleges he was involved in a conspiracy starting in November of 2017, and Your Honor had to point out, no, the substantive counts are in March .

But the reason he had to be identified on February the 1st is there is no evidence of his involvement in this before February the 1st. They didn't know who he was, so he wasn't a kingpin. He wasn't a target. He was some guy that showed up on a pole camera and then they had to scramble to figure out who he even was. That's how significant he was to this investigation.

And, Your Honor, if we may approach, there's one other
issue $I$ want to put on a sealed record related to this motion.
(Sealed bench conference.)
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
(The defendant conferred with counsel.)
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, can we just approach the bench for one moment?

THE COURT: Okay.
(Sealed bench conference.)
MR. PURPURA: Just briefly, if I may, Judge.
THE COURT: Sure.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
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Not to beat a dead horse, when the issue first came up, I believe we probably put in our -- we did put in our pleadings it possibly could have been intentional -- the intent of the Government to hide this information.

We didn't know at that time -- I indicated clearly that I -- it was -- there was no indication at all that the Government had the information, that they intended to hide that information from defense counsel about Mr. Santiful. There's just no question about that. I'm not sure [sic] Mr. Wise was going with that, but that's not the allegation, never has been the allegation in this particular case.

As far as why we did not -- and I did not ask Mr. Santiful about current drug trafficking, because it can -I didn't have a single basis in the world to respond when he would tell me -- and I think lied to me at that point -- that he's no longer drug -- dealing drugs and he's working, you know, two different, legitimate jobs. So I didn't have a basis.

Unlike Hamilton, who I did cross-examine thoroughly when he said he denied trafficking drugs, I had a substantial basis. I had his records from his tax returns for three years prior to that where there's a minimal amount of money. I have the records from the casino where he's gambling nearly a million dollars a year at the casinos right here in Baltimore.

I had photographs of his house, which was well beyond
his means at that particular time. So I had a very substantial basis to cross-examine, that's why I did on those particular issues. I didn't have that.

We were led to believe, through the direct examination, that this man was a civilian and he was rehabilitated and he was doing good out there. That's what we were led to believe. It's a different story now.

That's all. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. WISE: Just briefly, if I may, Your Honor.
The Court, nor the jury, were led to believe anything. This witness testified under oath and he was asked questions. He looks like a lot of people in this city, which is someone with a criminal record who's on the margins, and that's who these people -- that's who this defendant and his co-defendants targeted.

And he testified from that stand about what he did in 2015. And there's no evidence, no evidence that he lied about what happened in 2015.

THE COURT: All right. The controlling case law is set forth, I believe, in United States versus Wolf, as has been indicated, which is at 860 F.3d 175, a Fourth Circuit opinion from 2017.

There are several things that need to be shown in order to meet the standard for a new trial based on an alleged violation of a duty to disclose evidence by the prosecution.
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First of all, the defendant needs to show that the information, the undisclosed evidence, was favorable in the sense of being exculpatory or impeaching. And I will assume that the undisclosed evidence, that being Mr. Santiful's handling of a handgun, as captured by a pole camera on February 1st of 2018, was impeaching, could have been impeaching in some sense.
It also must be shown, and I'll jump to the third prong, that the prosecution had the materials and failed to disclose them. We don't have that.
The evidence in this case of the sequence of events does establish that apparently -- and apparently what is shown on the pole camera, at least in the view of Government agents, does show an individual they identify as Mr. Santiful in the possession of a gun, perhaps transferring it to someone else. That occurred the same day that he testified in this court.
The report was not written up until February 8th, as I recall. It is in a separate investigation, handled by different prosecutors and a different law enforcement agency. Although, of course, they are all in the same U.S. Attorney's Office here in Maryland, the prosecutors.
It was not disclosed to any Assistant U.S. Attorney, based on the evidence in front of me, until February 13th of 2018, after the jury's verdict in this case. And that's what we have.
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any likelihood of changing the result, changing the verdict in this case.

And while $I$ understand it is not a current claim by Mr. Purpura, a couple other things. There is no evidence of bad faith by the prosecutors. There is no perjury, as I understand that legally, to have been proved in this case. Certainly no suborning of perjury by the Government in any way.

So just to be clear about that -- and I take from what Mr. Purpura said recently, he's not claiming any intentional suppression of evidence by the Government. I certainly find none.

And I would also point out that Mr. Santiful, it was brought out that he had two prior convictions for narcotics and -- one for narcotics and one for drugs, I believe.

My recollection of the 2006 conviction and how that came out is consistent with the Government's, that there was an objection to it coming out at all; and then once it did, they wished to point out that it appears that Sergeant Jenkins was involved to some extent in that earlier conviction, and I think all were matters of credibility that were appropriate for the jury to hear and consider.

So I'm denying the motion for a new trial for those reasons in this case.

All right. Then I think we should proceed with the sentencing. Let me turn to that.
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All right. We can begin the conversation as to sentencing.

First of all, of course, we're here for sentencing for Mr. Hersl on three convictions: Count 1 being a racketeering conspiracy; Count 2 being racketeering; Count 5, a Hobbs Act robbery.

There is, of course, a presentence report. There are certain advisory calculations, guideline calculations made in that presentence report. And I understand there are objections to some of the calculations which we can take up in a minute.

Before that, let me just be clear for the record, obviously -- and I'm looking at an amended presentence report dated June 13th of 2018.

Just for the record, Mr. Purpura, obviously you've read this. I assume your client also has had the chance to discuss this with you?

MR. PURPURA: I have read the report. Mr. Hersl has thoroughly reviewed the report with me. I let him know about the minor amendment on June 13th, 2018, and actually how it helps him and doesn't hurt him. So we've reviewed everything, yes.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
Does the Government, other than the guideline issue, have any additions, corrections, or modifications?

MR. WISE: No, Your Honor. Thank you.
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THE COURT: Okay. All right. Well, let me hear whatever argument everyone would like to make.

Let me just say, as I understand the calculations in the current presentence report -- and then there are these groupings, of course -- we're starting at an offense level of 20 because of the robbery, and I believe that that is not contested; there is an increase of five for the use of a firearm, which is; there is an increase of two for persons being restrained, which is -- contested, that is; there is an increase of two for abuse of a position of trust, which I believe is not; and an increase of two for obstruction of justice, which I believe is not contested.

Then there is one point assessed for the loss being greater than $\$ 20,000$ in one of the specific robberies, and I believe that increase of one is contested.

And in addition, the presentence report does not award a two-level downward adjustment for acceptance of responsibility, and I believe that is contested.

But, Mr. Purpura, tell me yes, no. What would you like to be heard on?

MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, the one issue I just briefly need to be heard on has to be done at the bench, if we can approach again?

THE COURT: Sure.
(Sealed bench conference.)
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MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, thank you.
As I indicated at the bench, as to the other objections to the guidelines calculations, I noted those objections to the best of my ability on my letter to the Court of June 11th, 2018. And aside from that, I wish to make no further record on those particular objections.

If the Court, as I indicated in the letter, sees fit to deny the objections, then $I$ will eventually ask the Court to consider these under other sentencing factors.

THE COURT: I understand that. I guess I'd like to clarify one thing or hear from you. I was slightly confused between the -- for me, at least, between a couple versions of the presentence report.

In terms of the loss being greater than 20,000 , there initially was two points assessed.

MR. PURPURA: Right. That was just an error.
THE COURT: Was just an error.
MR. PURPURA: That just --
THE COURT: Right.
MR. PURPURA: -- was an error. I mean, more than 20,000 should be one point.

THE COURT: Okay. And do you still -- do you have an objection to it being more than 20,000 ?

MR. PURPURA: Well, the objection -- you heard the evidence. 20,000 was taken in cash. Mr. Hersl received
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somewhere around $\$ 3,000$ of the $\$ 20,000$, depending on whose story you believe.

And then, in addition, after the money was taken and the detectives are in the car, about to leave, we know that Detective Rayam then goes back into the house and takes a watch. And the watch is of value. This is the watch which was found apparently off the pier, that -- you know, greater than -- whatever the amount of watch that was taken in excess of 20,000. I understand 1B1.3, that is relevant conduct. So that's . . .

MR. WISE: And it was Jenkins who stole the watch --
MR. PURPURA: It was Jenkins.
MR. WISE: -- and gave it to Stepp to sell, and then the FBI divers found it sunken off the Stepp's docket.

MR. PURPURA: Regardless of who found it, it wasn't, I found the watch, we'll sell it and split the proceeds. It was really -- so that's the point. Whether that's a 3553 factor -because, again, what $I$ suggested, this -- all these points are hugely accumulative and they just raise the guidelines astronomically.

Judge, thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Does the Government want to be heard on any of the issues that at the moment lead to I think an offense level of 37, criminal history category of I, a range of 210 to 262 months?
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MR. WISE: Your Honor, we submitted Document 432, which was our response to their objections.

THE COURT: I did review that.
MR. WISE: And absent any questions from the Court, we're happy to rest on that. We believe all the enhancements are appropriate. They have been levied against co-defendants. And we think the facts support them under the guidelines.

THE COURT: I did note -- and I think this is just a typo in the presentence report -- that although the bottom line is 37, Paragraph 75 still says Offense Level 38, but we are not there. Okay.

All right. Well, yes, I did read, of course, both Mr. Purpura's objections and the Government's response. I do think the enhancements are appropriately applied in this case. There clearly was the use of a gun. Whether or not one was pulled out or visible at the specific time of each robbery, clearly these were armed police officers, including Mr. Hersl, and the people involved in these situations knew that. They were, in fact, restrained either in handcuffs or just by the physical presence of Mr. Hersl and others.

There was obviously abuse of a position of trust, obviously obstruction of justice, and the -- I do believe that looking at reasonable foreseeability and relevant conduct that the additional one-level enhancement for the loss being over the $\$ 20,000$ is warranted as far as the guidelines go.

As far as the guidelines go, $I$ don't think what has been related to me amounts to acceptance of responsibility in this case by Mr. Hersl. There were vigorous challenges to a number of the legal theories and some of the evidence presented in this case.

So I do think that we are correctly at an Offense Level 37, criminal history category of $I$, and advisory guideline range of 210 to 262 months.

The guidelines are only one factor. Obviously, I have to decide a sentence that is reasonable under $3553(a)$ and something that takes into account relative culpability.

I have received and read Mr. Hersl's sentencing memorandum and a number of letters. I don't think the Government has submitted a sentencing memorandum other than to respond to the objections.

So let me start with the Government. If you'd like to tell me your specific recommendation in this case.

MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor. Thank you.
Your Honor, Your Honor heard Jimmie Griffin testify at trial under oath that Daniel Hersl robbed him, stealing more than $\$ 5,000$ off his person, and then later an additional $\$ 2,000$ from his home, and the jury believed Jimmie Griffin and convicted Defendant Hersl of robbing him in Racketeering Act 3.

But Jimmie Griffin also testified that after Hersl robbed him, Hersl told another officer that Griffin hid things
in his rectum and told the other officer to search it.
Now, the other officer had the decency not to do it because it wasn't true. Hersl didn't know that Jimmie Griffin was someone who hid things in his rectum.

Now, it wasn't enough that Hersl took his money. He wanted to take this man's dignity. He wanted this other officer to physically violate him. It was an act of sadism, and nothing more, to demean this person, because Hersl, as we heard over and over again during this trial, devalued people like Jimmie Griffin and he thought the law didn't protect them and he abused his power to prey on them. That's what he did.

Your Honor also heard from Hersl Tate -- from Herbert Tate, who was talked about at length in a way I, frankly, couldn't follow by Mr. Purpura on the issue of the new trial. Herbert Tate's testimony had nothing to do with Antonio Santiful.

The remarkable thing was that Herbert Tate was robbed the very day before Antonio Santiful. And I think the fact that this happened again and again speaks to how widespread it was in Mr. Hersl's police practices.

Herbert Tate testified that Hersl robbed him on November 27th and that he planted drugs on him, that Hersl planted drugs on him, and that as a result of the false charges that were ultimately thrown out, Tate was incarcerated for four days, he lost his job, he lost his home, and ultimately he left
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the city and doesn't live here anymore. And the jury, like Jimmie Griffin, believed Herbert Tate and convicted Defendant Hersl of Racketeering Act 4.

And Herbert Tate also testified to -- two days earlier to being robbed. Defendant Hersl stopped him, stuck his fingers in his mouth, stuck his hands down his pants, all of this on a city street, and told him, If you ever come back to this neighborhood again, you're going to jail. And Hersl kept his word. He arrested him the next time he saw him, he falsely claimed that Tate had drugs on him.

And the bottom line is Defendant Hersl didn't get to decide where Herbert Tate could go, but he did that because he didn't value people like Herbert Tate and because he abused his power to prey on them.

And, in fact, what we learned through the course of the trial was, you know, he devalued all of us suckers, I guess, that pay taxes in this city and in the entire state when he and the other members of the Gun Trace Task Force bilked the police department of tens of thousands -- cumulatively hundreds of thousands of dollars of false overtime.

But Defendant Hersl did them one better. In addition to showing up in the middle of the shift or at the end of the day, in addition to leaving early and claiming overtime, we learned in the course of the trial that for an entire month, he worked on his new home while on the clock.
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the house with the cash.
And Hersl was the one that sat with the Hamiltons while they were handcuffed in their living room, while the rest of the GTTF, like the gang of thieves they were, moved through their house looking for money. And he played a crucial role in that robbery.

And Your Honor heard from Mr. Hamilton, and he was pressed and pressed and pressed about this house he had and how -- and Mr. Purpura said it again, how someone like that didn't deserve a house like that and that meant he --

MR. PURPURA: Judge, I object.
THE COURT: No, he didn't say that.
MR. WISE: And we heard question after question that suggested he must be a drug dealer, because you can't have a house in Carroll County if you go to the casino like he said and worked the jobs he said he did but weren't a drug dealer.

And he finally just lost it. And the jury was -- the testimony was stricken from the record, but Your Honor heard it.

And what he said was, "This destroyed my whole family. I am in a divorce process right now because of this" -- and he used an expletive. "This destroyed my whole f'ing family. You sit here asking me questions about an f'ing house, my f'ing wife stays in the f'ing Walmart every f'ing night until I come home. If you want to know that, worry about that. That's what
the F's the matter in here, man. Everybody's life is destroyed, man. My house don't have nothing to do with this. The problem is my wife is taking medication 'cause of this. Man, I'm sorry, Your Honor, I'm sorry to the courts, but the fact of the matter is my house don't have nothing to do with it. The fact of the matter is they came in my house, destroyed my family. I'm in a divorce process now because of this. This has put so much financial pressure on my family. Kids, man, are scared to go in the house because of this."

This wasn't legitimate police work with an incidental lapse in judgment afterwards. These were armed robberies that devastated the people that were victimized.

And these are just -- the three people I've just talked about, Griffin and Tate and Hamilton, are just three of the individual victims.

But as Your Honor knows, as has been discussed at the prior sentencings, the harm in this case goes so far beyond the individual victims in this case.

I briefly addressed a couple of the contentions in the defendant's sentencing memo, but there are a few others that I think we're compelled to respond to.

On Page 1 the defendant writes, "Whether Mr. Hersl viewed his conduct to be theft of tainted drug money or a robbery, he acknowledged there's no excuse."

Well, you know, even in arguing that he somehow has
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accepted what he did, he can't give up this specious argument that he was entitled to do what he did because it was tainted drug money. You know, like that somehow matters, that somehow matters and makes the fact that he took the money okay.

You know, these were police officers. They weren't pirates. They weren't entitled to take what they thought was tainted drug money.

And as he has all throughout, he tries to shift blame to others. Also, just on Page 1, the very first page of their sentencing submission, Mr. Purpura writes, "In this case, to the horror of this court and to the public who followed the proceedings, a culture of criminal behavior in the Baltimore City Police Department, apparently condoned by supervisors, was revealed."

Well, where is the defendant's conduct in that statement? Where is the defendant taking responsibility for any of this?

The trial revealed his criminal behavior. And supervisors like Allers and Jenkins, who were charged, unlike Hersl, accepted responsibility for what they did.

And then -- and I thought this was one of the more remarkable ones -- in the sentencing memorandum the defendant argues, "This court, as well as the citizens of Baltimore who followed this very public trial, were able to witness the vulnerability of men who are placed in positions of trust."

were exclusively with one another. Hendrix did it, Ward did it, Taylor did it all before they joined the

Gun Trace Task Force. And Rayam and Gondo did it.
But he was unique in the sense that we heard not one, not two, but three instances where, with other police officers on the scene, he was brazen enough to rob -- to rob arrestees right off their persons. And he did that on his own. He didn't -- he wasn't under the sway of Jenkins or any of the other arguments we've heard.

And so starting at Paragraph 7, the presentence report, and as Your Honor heard at trial, outlines those robberies, the November 5th robbery of Jimmie Griffin, which I've talked about, obviously; the November 27th, 2015, robbery of Herbert Tate, which I've addressed; and then the robbery of Antonio Santiful.

And he took everything from small amounts of money, a couple of hundred dollars, to thousands of dollars just in that pre-GTTF series of robberies.

And then he joined the GTTF. And, again, in a sort of tragedy for the people in this city and for this department, you had on the GTTF this collection of officers, all of whom, before they joined this unit, had robbed people at various points in their careers.

And they very quickly got comfortable with one another. Jenkins got comfortable with him. Rayam and Gondo
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got comfortable with him. And they then began robbing people together.

And the Court heard about those robberies and attempted robberies that began almost immediately. And the actual successful robberies, obviously the most dramatic was the robbery of the Hamiltons at their home where this, you know, couple was pulled out of a Home Depot and taken from the Home Depot to this location that doesn't look like a police department and interrogated, taken back to their home, sort of dragged from one location to another until $\$ 20,000$ and an expensive watch was stolen from them.

Nothing was ever found. No charges were ever filed. It, in a sense, amounts to a kidnapping, and I think that's how they felt about it. And the ongoing effects are obviously what they are.

Your Honor also heard at the trial about an attempted robbery on July the 25 th of a condominium on Boston Street, and I think that demonstrates that they were actively looking for targets, not, as Mr. Purpura argues, that they just sometimes took money when -- you know, when they had otherwise engaged in a legitimate law enforcement operation.

Your Honor heard those recordings about the Boston Street robbery. Again, how Jenkins picked who he wanted on the team, and it was Hersl and it was -- and it was Rayam.

And the anticipation that this was going to be a big
score because the people in this condominium, which is in a nice part of Baltimore, had an expensive car and that meant there was probably going to be money to take, and that's what drove -- and you could hear it in their voices -- it's what drove them and what drove them on that evening.

And then the August 8th robbery of Dennis Armstrong, this is where money was stolen from his van and then split in the parking lot of a high school between Hersl and Rayam.

This is also where drugs were stolen, and Hersl knew that. The testimony was that -- from Stepp that Jenkins told Stepp he had to give Hersl some of the drug proceeds and that Hersl had complained that Stepp had left behind 4 ounces of cocaine when Stepp broke into the storage locker, in a kind of double-cross, while the rest of the GTTF were waiting to get their warrant to go into it and more than likely or almost inevitably rob it.

And, of course, then there's the time and attendance fraud, which, as I said, was egregious for all of them but was particularly egregious for Mr. Hersl.

THE COURT: Going back to Dennis Armstrong for just a moment.

MR. WISE: Sure.
THE COURT: Am I correct that the jury did not find --
MR. WISE: That's correct, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- Mr. Hersl guilty as to that particular
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racketeering act?
MR. WISE: That's correct. As we argued, Your Honor, the evidence that he was robbed is essentially uncontested. I think the way we understand the jury's verdict is robbery requires taking from the presence of an individual. And the testimony was that the money was split up after Armstrong was taken from the scene in the parking lot of this high school.

We think the Court can consider that evidence and certainly consider the fact that that robbery occurred, which in Mr. Purpura's opening he conceded did occur in making the sentencing determination.

THE COURT: Well, again, he'll correct me, there may have been at least a concession that Mr . Hersl got some proceeds, got some money from this event.

MR. WISE: That's right. That's right.
THE COURT: Not that it was a robbery. Okay.
MR. WISE: That's right. That's right, Your Honor.
And as I said, the time and attendance fraud was most egregious for Mr. Hersl, 'cause in addition to participating in the scheme that they all participated in of starting their shifts late, coming in sometimes after the entire regular day had gone by, and then just burning overtime and then not working even the hours they claimed, he, as we went through in detail, hardly set foot in Baltimore for an entire month when he was working on a new home he purchased and was showed
receipts of trips in the middle of the shift to the Home Depot out there and his location.

So the nature and circumstances of the offense as to this particular defendant are particularly egregious.

In terms of his history and characteristics, he was the most senior, in terms of time with the police department, member of the Gun Trace Task Force. He had been 17 years with the Gun Trace Task Force, longer even than Jenkins, who was their sergeant, and the -- you know, the defense again and again makes a -- tries to make a big deal out of the fact that he wasn't in a supervisory position.

It's not clear why he didn't take the sergeant's exam. We've certainly heard from lots of police officers that don't want the headache of being in a position above where he was. But he was a veteran. He was not a patrol officer. He was not a rookie. He was not new to the police department. That was some of the arguments you heard about Taylor, who was the youngest member of the unit, and none of that is true about Hersl.

As I said, he's also the only member of the GTTF that's brazen enough -- that's the word I keep using -- to have robbed people on his own before he even joined them.

And I think what's also important, in terms of understanding his history and characteristics, is he wasn't stopping. And this came out at trial, he was transferred off
the Gun Trace Task Force before the indictment was returned and before the defendants were charged. He had actually been transferred to Shootings.

And Your Honor heard testimony from Ward about a meeting where Jenkins proposed robbing Oreese Stevenson's supplier. And Oreese Stevenson had been the -- you know, the robbery that yielded the biggest haul for Jenkins and Hendrix and Taylor and Ward before they joined the Gun Trace Task Force.

And later Jenkins believed he had identified, further up the chain, an even bigger fish, who was Stevenson's supplier.

And so Jenkins called together, again, the team of people that he wanted to use and participate in this robbery, and that was Ward and Taylor and Jenkins.

And then Hersl -- and, again, this goes to this point about these were not legitimate law enforcement activities. He's on a different squad, he's in Shootings, but he shows up for this proposal, what was called in the trial the Twisted Tea proposal, because the testimony was that that's what they were drinking at the time, and he was ready to $g o$. He was ready to go. And this would have gone on if these men hadn't been arrested.

In terms of reflecting the seriousness of the offense and promoting respect for the law, as we've said in each of
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these sentencings, the offenses couldn't be more serious. In his case, he was found guilty of five armed robberies, one attempt, and one planned robbery, and that would result in a lengthy sentence for anyone.

The fact that he had a badge and a gun when he did them causes far greater harm, not the opposite, which is what he keeps arguing, because it undermines confidence in law enforcement.

People don't -- you know, people don't expect armed robbers not to take advantage of people, that's what they do. But this has the collateral -- strong collateral consequence of undermining public trust in the law, in the enforcement of the law in the department. It destroys the bonds between the community and the police that are essential for effective policing. It's resulted in hundreds of cases being thrown out, his conduct and the conduct of his co-defendants.

The most recent numbers offered to the City Council are that 1700 cases have been affected, and that's a public -a matter of public record.

And as we said in previous sentencings, what that means is that the guilty will walk free. We're most concerned about innocent people being in jail because of the conduct of these men.

And thankfully people like Herbert Tate and Antonio Santiful, where evidence was planted, they had their
cases thrown out long ago, so they didn't sit in jail.
But where we have found cases where people sat in jail because of the conduct of members of the GTTF, we've had those sentences thrown out and the convictions reversed.

But as bad as that is, I think the far greater number of cases will be cases where guilty people -- armed, violent offenders -- will be released because the courts and juries cannot rely on the word of Defendant Hersl or his co-defendants in any of those cases.

And in our system that puts such great weight on not holding the innocent responsible, those cases will have to be thrown out as well.

In terms of affording deterrence, I think that these, as I've said, units that operate in and around drugs will be exposed to large amounts of money or small amounts of money. In the case of Mr . Hersl, that didn't seem to bother him whether it was large or small.

And so there has to be accountability and there has to be a message sent that when this is found -- and it's hard to find. This was a very hard investigation and a difficult case to make, because these officers know how to evade law enforcement, 'cause they are law enforcement.

But when it is detected, it will be prosecuted and it will be punished.

At this point, Your Honor, I know Your Honor has a --
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needs to take a break. I have a little bit more. Not a lot more, but a little bit more. And I can break, if this is the right time.

THE COURT: Sure. Yes, I think we probably should. We'll take a recess until 1 o'clock. If we can all be back at 1 o'clock.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.
(11:43 a.m.)
(Luncheon recess taken.)
(1:08 p.m.)
THE COURT: Good afternoon. Welcome back. You can be seated, please.

Okay. Mr. Wise, I think you were proceeding.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Your Honor obviously also heard from James Kostoplis, the last witness that was called in the trial. And I think the contrast between Mr. Kostoplis and Mr. Hersl couldn't have been more stark.

James Kostoplis was a young officer. And when faced with the choice of abusing his position and joining -- at this meeting were Hersl and Jenkins -- of joining the GTTF as Jenkins was running it, Kostoplis, clear-eyed, made the right choice. And that was a powerful piece of testimony, and I think it speaks to the fact that all of these men had choices.

And again and again, Defendant Hersl chose to rob people and abuse his authority.

The last area I wanted to address was the admonition that District Courts avoid unwarranted sentencing disparities in sentencing defendants with similar criminal histories convicted of similar conduct.

To date, Your Honor has obviously sentenced Sergeant Jenkins, or former-Sergeant Jenkins, former-Sergeant Allers, and Former-Detectives Hendrix, Ward, and Taylor.

Former-Sergeant Jenkins obviously to 25 years, Former-Sergeant Allers to 15, Hendrix and Taylor to seven -Hendrix and Ward to seven, and Taylor to 18.

There is obviously a yawning gap between where the defendants lie on that spectrum because of the fact that Jenkins and Allers, while they were in supervisory positions, accepted responsibility for their conduct and pled guilty, and I think their sentences reflect that crucially important distinction, a distinction with Taylor and with Hersl.

Hendrix and Ward not only accepted responsibility but also actively assisted the FBI in the prosecution of this case, and their cooperation was invaluable.

And so what we're left with is -- I think the most relevant comparison is to Taylor, who was sentenced to 18 years.

Taylor committed the same number of robberies that we're aware of as Hersl. He was involved in -- well, Jenkins was obviously the most involved in the distribution of drugs, but you obviously heard testimony that Taylor, in the Shawn Whiting episode, took drugs that were missing. He was the one left with the drugs when they went missing.

And Hersl, like Taylor, you heard record evidence, was involved in the Armstrong drug robbery where Jenkins had Stepp go into the storage locker, rob the drugs, while the rest of the team, I think, you know, prepared to rob the -- they'd already robbed the van, but then to see what else was in the storage locker.

And you heard testimony from Stepp that Jenkins told him that he had to share the drug proceeds with Hersl because it had been Hersl's mark and that Hersl had complained that Stepp had missed about 4 ounces of cocaine when he went into the storage locker.

Another, I think, important aggravating factor for Hersl is the fact that, like Jenkins, he planted evidence, and that's what the testimony of Tate was, that the drugs that were reported as having been seized from Tate were not; that Tate was stopped on the street, detained, and that Hersl came empty-handed out of that alley and that Tate described this back-and-forth with the officers trying to figure out why he had been stopped, and that ultimately his case was dropped
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because those drugs were never submitted for analysis by Detective Hersl.

You also heard Hersl and Taylor -- and I think this also speaks to their characteristics -- you heard them on that awful recording of the car accident that was played at trial. And among the voices you heard on that recording, theirs seemed to be the least concerned about the person who had been hit and was in need of medical attention while the GTTF hid, after having chased -- initiated a chase, in violation of the no-chase policy, and caused this accident, then hid to see if anyone showed up. And you heard and the jury heard Taylor say, "Guy's not going to say anything. He's unconscious."

And then you heard Hersl laugh and talk about how they could lie on their overtime records, which they'd obviously been doing for quite some time.

But for the first time, probably, claimed to be not -claimed to -- not claim time when they were working to cover up the fact that they had been there and that they had caused this accident. And he laughed and said -- and sort of practiced what he would say, "We weren't even there. I was driving home."

And that was a window, I think, into him, and it gave, I think, a very chilling sense of how he viewed himself and how he viewed the community that he was supposed to serve.

So our recommendation, which we've said previously, is
that 20 years is an appropriate sentence for Hersl.
He is senior to Taylor. He was involved in robberies on his own before he joined the Gun Trace Task Force, before he became -- he served under Sergeant Jenkins. A great deal of the arguments that Taylor's lawyers made were that he was under Jenkins' sway and that Jenkins was this charismatic personality and Taylor was this young, inexperienced officer. None of that can be said about Hersl. He was not young or inexperienced when he first encountered Jenkins. And he had been robbing people before, and Jenkins chose him then to participate in the robberies that they did together, I think precisely because of that.

THE COURT: Was there also, though, a robbery that Mr. -- at least one robbery that Mr. Taylor did commit before?

MR. WISE: He did with Ward, and that was the Shawn Whiting robbery. And we argued that that made him different, materially different from Hendrix and Ward -- from Hendrix in particular, who was the only member of the GTTF that started robbing people only when they affiliated with Jenkins.

But as I said at the beginning, one of the things that strikes me is Hersl is the only one that was comfortable enough to do this on his own around other officers.

That first robbery with Ward and Taylor, as you recall, Taylor said to Ward, you know, Can you get me or do you have me? And they -- I think that happened because they were
comfortable with each other and they were able to effectuate the robbery because they were doing it together.

That didn't -- you know, those kinds of concerns didn't affect Hersl. He did Tate and Santiful on back-to-back days with other officers right there.

You heard testimony the Jimmie Griffin scene was swarming with officers, and he went into his pockets and took his money and then went into the -- and then took the money that had been taken from the safe.

And so I think for all of the reasons given, particularly looking at the Taylor sentence, a sentence that is greater, not dramatically greater, but that is greater than what Taylor got is appropriate under the 3553(a) factors.

It is a just sentence. It's a sentence that reflects the seriousness of the offense and his characteristics as a senior officer and what he did even before he met these men.

And I think it reflects -- and I think it is a just sentence because it perhaps will begin to attempt to address the wrongs he did to the victims you saw in this courtroom, but also to the victims you didn't see and to the broader set of victims that this conduct affected.

And I think what that sentence of 20 years will say to the people that Defendant Hersl victimized is that you've heard them and that they matter.

THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wise.

Mr. Purpura.
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, Judge Blake, if I may, I'm just going -- with the Court's permission, I'll just make a few quick remarks at this point, just to -- I believe to address some of the misstatements by Mr. Wise.

And then I would ask -- three of the family members are going to speak briefly, then I'll address the Court in a little more detail, if that's okay.

THE COURT: Certainly. That's fine.
MR. PURPURA: And it won't take long.
I just heard Mr. Wise indicate that in the
Herbert Tate case that detective, then-Detective Hersl
fabricated the drugs, there really were no drugs and nothing was turned in.

In my submission I gave to the Court, which is Defense Exhibit Number 1, it has the Evidence Control sheet on that, and it's for the Herbert Tate case, counsel, and it indicates that what was turned into Evidence Control was 15 blue-and-white gelcaps and 50 blue-and-white gelcaps, and that's what Mr . Hersl put in his report that he saw in a smaller bag that Mr . Tate went to in that cut alley there, so there were drugs which were submitted.

MR. WISE: Your Honor, if I may, what I said was he didn't submit them to the lab. That's what I said.

MR. PURPURA: Well, Your Honor --

MR. WISE: And that's why the case was thrown out.
THE COURT: Right. Okay.
MR. PURPURA: Judge, I -- there's no -- there's no -all as we know is what Mr. Hersl had to do and that drugs were submitted to Evidence Control.

Where Mr. Wise gets this information, I don't know and I'm not sure that it's accurate.

MR. WISE: It's on record.
MR. PURPURA: I know he, as an officer -- excuse me, Mr. Wise, don't speak over me. Thank you.

So we have the sheet there which shows exactly what he did. And Mr. Hersl again, you know, he's admitted what he has told the Government, what he has told the Court, what I've told the jury what he did. And in Herbert Tate's case, just like in the Santiful case, he did not place a gun.

And Mr. Wise again suggested today, based on all the evidence we know about Santiful, about all the evidence we know what he was doing on the day he testified, suggested again that's fabricated, that at that time this detective took a gun and a small amount of drugs on Santiful for the purpose of stealing a couple hundred dollars. And that's just wrong.

In addition, I know that Mr. Hamilton was completely upset on the witness stand, and I know that it wasn't -- I didn't cross-examine him at that point, but it was a lot. And it probably was too much. Enough is enough, and we all know
that.
And it was over the top. I think the point was made ad nauseam as to what he was and what was going on.

But the representations, again, that he -Mr. Hamilton at that time, under that stress, suggested that his wife and his marriage, it's all because of what happened at his house because the detectives placed him in fear. That has to be addressed, and that's inaccurate.

And, again, the Government takes that as if it's gospel. If they would have looked in, just dialed into Case Search, the Government would have found that on August the 2nd, 2017, a statement of probable cause and a petition for a protective order was filed against Ronald Hamilton. It was filed by his wife, Nancy Hamilton.

She said, on the second page of that statement -- just a portion of it, $I$ won't read it all -- "I went upstairs in the master bedroom when he came and approached me" -- the "he" being Mr. Hamilton -- "approached me with accusations of cheating. I explained I wasn't, and he got angry and he called me a whore and a" -- something else.
"He said he married a freak. He jumped on top of me and held my arms behind my head and said he could kill me and no one would know." And it goes on.

Then again, she's not hiding at Walmart, because of Mr. Hersl or anybody else on April 29th, 2018, just recently,
she again files a petition for protective order and a statement of probable cause, and Mr . Hamilton was arrested again.

And at first he was held on no bail in Carroll County. All this is public record. And she goes on to say, "I was standing against the bed with closed fist and he said 'bitch, I'll kill you' and hit me in my temple. I started crying and he said 'F this' and went to grab the TV and throw it at me."

She went on. She then says, "I ran into the woods. He said, 'You scared, bitch? All you're going to do is call the police there and they're not going to do anything.'"

And she goes on about further actions.
So I guess the point is that you just can't take everything at face value.

And with that -- and there's no question that Mr. Hersl is guilty of very serious crimes. He stands before this court guilty of those crimes today. He's accepted, maybe not as the Government wants, complete responsibility. He didn't enter a guilty plea. He went to trial. But he never denied full responsibility. He may differ exactly as to what the Government's suggesting he did, and I believe there's a good basis for that. But -- so he's here today.

And with that, just to show a slight picture of his background, the person the Court's going to sentence, I know that the family members -- perhaps even more so for their sake, I would like to have the sisters briefly speak.
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THE COURT: Sure. I'd be happy to hear from them. I did read a number of letters as well.

But, yes, if they would come up to the podium and speak into the microphone.

JANE SHOTT: Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE CLERK: Ma'am, please state your name for the record.

JANE SHOTT: My name is Jane Shott.
THE CLERK: Spell your last name.
JANE SHOTT: S-H-O-T-T. And I am Daniel Hersl's sister.

Daniel Hersl, who I refer to as Danny, is the youngest of six siblings. He was born, raised, and educated in Baltimore City.

Our father died when Danny was just 7 years old.
Our mother, she handed her values down to Danny. Our mother is like no other woman. She epitomizes strength, courage, love, and kindness, and she loves people unconditionally.

Danny is a warmhearted person. He truly cares for the well-being of people. He checks on his mother several times a week, brings her hot meals, dessert, coffee. She always enjoys that.

In the past he has helped people get jobs. He speaks to addicts about their addictions. He encourages them to get
help. He speaks to them about changing their life, turning their life around, trying to make a better life for themselves.

He speaks to young people about the dangers of drugs and the effects of drugs and guns.

Danny knows firsthand the danger of drugs. In 2013, his brother, Matt, was killed. He was -- he walked out of his building where he works. He was crossing the street. As he crossed the street, he was hit by a vehicle that was out of control going 110 miles an hour.

Danny not only lost a brother that day, he lost his best friend.

Most importantly, he is a great father of his son of 10 years old. He calls his son his best bud. Danny emphasizes the importance of school and good grades.

Him and his son enjoy many activities, including soccer, baseball, basketball. They love and miss each other tremendously.

Danny has earned a reputation of being a good father, a good brother, a good son, a good uncle, and a good friend, and he feels deep remorse.

We love Danny and we miss him very, very much, and we can't wait to have him back with us.

Your Honor, with all due respect, before you decide on a sentence for Danny, I ask you to consider his remorse and his positive contributions to society.

long leather coat, and he was -- they stopped to investigate.

They thought he was carrying lots of guns.
So Dan's in the driver's seat. He's right here
(indicating). Michael Rice is next to him. And I'm getting this story from Michael Rice and also a police officer who investigated the car after this situation.

Tink is in the backseat behind Michael Rice.
Michael Rice gets out of the car. He's in the seated position, and as he gets up, he's going for his gun. And as he faces the man with the long leather coat on a bicycle, the man raises from his coat and shoots at Michael Rice (indicating) and begins to spray the vehicle with bullets, just missing the top of Tink's head.

Now, Danny's characters are in the details of what happens next. Mike Rice, he gets hit in the -- kind of the shoulder/neck area (indicating). If it misses Michael Rice, it hits Danny in the head. Okay.

But Danny -- normally a person would bail out of the car, go for cover to protect themselves, try to get to the -maybe the fender/engine area in order to protect themselves and fire back.

Not Danny. Danny, at his own risk to his life, was out of that car, up over the hood, and before the gunman could spray back and kill Michael Rice or Tink and/or Danny, Danny returns fire. Okay. He didn't go for cover. He's going to protect his other officers.
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And then he pursues the gunman down the street, away from Michael Rice, in order for Tink to go to Michael and help him out.

In order to make this story short, Danny ends the gun battle with his 14 th bullet. He's only got 14 bullets. He shoots, and he doesn't know if the gunman is hurt, injured, whatever. He thinks he's alive. And now he has to wait for the backup. It was probably only a minute, but it must have been the longest minute. His life was threatened. It didn't matter. He saved two lives.

Another situation is on Aikens and Robb Street. We heard about that open-air drug market in this case. Danny patrolled that area for a good two or three years.

During those three years, there were no homicides in that area. When Danny was incarcerated, there was almost a homicide a month.

In December of 2017, the homicides reached seven, and they're growing now. I don't know what they are right now, but they're growing.

Danny, he when he was on the street -- street, he was efficient. He saved lives of seven just in that one open-air drug market. Two cops and the Harford Road situation.

The other situation was -- I beg your pardon. I got -- was the Black Guerilla Family. We all know about the Black Guerilla Family and the early morning raids in
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East Baltimore. And it was a multitask jurisdiction raid that essentially took a couple -- couple groups of police officers to go into the houses.

Now, Danny, he was in a group, okay, but he wasn't in the back of the group. He was the one that went through the door first. Okay. At great risk to himself. And this was typical of Danny.

The last thing is the gun -- the Gun Trace Task Force. We've heard in this court a lot of the evils about the Gun Trace Task Force, but we haven't heard the good things. And the good thing is they got a thousand illegal guns off the street in Baltimore City. That is a tremendous amount of guns.

Now, I don't speak to Danny that often about his work, but I live in Bel Air. And I'm at the Pep Boys with my wife and a guy taps me on the shoulder because he heard my name. He says, Do you know Dan Hersl?

And I told him I was his brother.
He said you should be proud of Dan Hersl. This was November of 2016. He says, Do you know how many guns Dan got off the street in Baltimore? Dan got hundreds and hundreds and more of guns off the street. So many guns that he forgot -- he stopped counting. Okay.

And guns, illegal guns on the street cause homicides, deaths. And getting hundreds and hundreds of guns off the street saves lives.

The Gun Trace Task Force, in 2015, the homicide rate increased by over 130 in that year.

The Gun Trace Task Force in 2016 was able to reverse that and reduce the homicides by 24 , and they most likely contributed to the incline in 2017. They stopped that incline. So gettin' all those guns off the street saves lives.

Bottom line is that was the Dan Hersl that we know of, and he was a -- he contributed greatly to Baltimore City.

And based on that, I'd just like, Your Honor, to say, my goodness, this guy saved a lot of lives, and that's got to count for something.

Now, I'm just asking you to think about that when you consider his sentence, and I hope you can mitigate that sentence accordingly.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, sir.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you, Jerome.
Your Honor, just -- Exhibit Number 2, which I submitted to the Court in my letter is the Medal of Honor which Daniel Hersl received on September 28th, 2011, and that was for the shooting that his brother Jerome just spoke about involving his fellow police officers.

Your Honor, the last brief witness we'll call is Stephen Hersl.

THE CLERK: Sir, please state your name for the
record, and if you could spell your first name.
STEPHEN HERSL: First name is Stephen, S-T-E-P-H-E-N;
last name is Hersl, H-E-R-S-L.
Good afternoon, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Good afternoon.
STEPHEN HERSL: And thank you for your time.
THE COURT: Sure.
STEPHEN HERSL: I had this remembered, but I'm quite shaken, and I brought this just in case.

THE COURT: That's fine.
STEPHEN HERSL: My name's Stephen Hersl, brother of Daniel Hersl. I was a Baltimore City firefighter who had to be retired after 20 years of service due to my heart condition and many heart operations.

My mother, Dorothy Hersl -- God bless her, an angel -worked for Baltimore City for 30 years.

My brother, Matthew Hersl, who is in heaven right now, an outstanding human being, was tragically hit and killed walking out of work in front of City Hall by a driver who was under the influence of drugs. Matthew dedicated his life to Baltimore City for 27 years.

My youngest brother, Daniel Hersl, whom I love and miss tremendously, risked his life for 18 years working for the Baltimore City Police Department, Your Honor.

The Hersl family has invested 95 years into the

Baltimore City community, and that's not including my lovely sister, Jane Hersl, and her husband, who own a plumbing company in Baltimore City for 35 years. And my older brother, Charles, who is a carpenter and has done the majority of his work in Baltimore City for over 35 years.

In closing, Your Honor, I ask for leniency for my brother Daniel Hersl and his 10-year-old son.

Please consider Daniel's life as a whole, as he is a very good man.

And I thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Hersl.
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, thank you for hearing from the family members. I know it's difficult, and I appreciate that.

THE COURT: Of course.
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, I'll be brief in my remarks.
Again, I think that I've given everything that $I$ can give to the Court for sentencing purposes in the sentencing memorandum that I gave to the Court, as well as the letters, as well as the photographs, as well as the family letters and the friends' letters. It was a rather large package.

I would like just to note and just to highlight some things at this point and tell the court that recently, you know, the opportunity to go to Everyman Theater and there was this wonderful play. And the play involved a family's history
through letters.
And then when I read Ms. Simms' [sic] presentence report and $I$ saw she was actually given letters from the family that Dan Hersl wrote, I said, well, I should look at these as well to see what this is, and it seems like letter writing is a lost art.

But in these letters -- and I sent those out to the Court in chronological order -- I believe it shows the true character of Dan Hersl; his faith; the punishment that he is receiving and expects to receive; his fears; his efforts at rehabilitation; and above all, his capacity to love.

The first letter that I've noted in the excerpt was May 18th, 2017, "Hi, everyone. I miss and love every one of you so much. My days here go slow with not much to do. I lost 30 pounds in the first two-plus months of being arrested. I still struggle with my anxiety" -- all in capitals -"especially first thing in the morning and late at night.
"Mom, I think of you and my son every minute of the day. Since I'm a federal prisoner, I cannot get a job or pretty much do anything but walk around the cell block in circles all day. I still pray numerous times a day and ask Matt to hear my prayers and bring them to the Lord's attention.
"My mind wanders so much. I am so tired of being scared for my safety and fate."

July 9th, 2017 -- these are short excerpts, "I want to
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start by saying I love you all so much. Please continue to pray for me. Please. I'm so scared and mentally drained.
"Mom, I love you. I don't know what else to say or write. I'm sorry this letter is so short. I love you all. I am so" -- triple Os -- "so lost. Love always. Danny."

July 10th, 2017, "Hi, everyone. I seen the mental health doctor today, and he started me on medication called Zoloft, 25 milligrams. I love you all. One day we will be together again.
"I leave you with this: Most times when you argue or do something wrong to someone, we tend on just forgetting about it and moving on. We should forgive instead. Love always. Danny."

September 1st, 2017, "Hi, everyone. Well, it's been six months that I've been incarcerated. To me, it feels like it's been at least two years. I really miss everyone so much and think about everyone often. I miss my little boy and write and draw him pictures every day. Love always. Danny."

September, undated, 2017, "Hi, everyone. Well, I guess this is the last letter I'll be sending to you from this facility. If you've not heard yet, a few inmates found out who I am and threatened me and hatched a plan to jump me. Luckily, I observed them doing this and trying to come after me with two long white shoelaces which I guess were planned to strangle me or choke me out.

custody and not speaking very often on a daily basis, I get down at times.
"I finally got to go outside yesterday for the first time in over two months. I have to admit that I actually had tears in my eyes as I walked around the yard for the whole hour I was allowed to stay out. Please be safe and happy. Love always. Danny."

December 19th, 2017, "Hello, everyone. I hope you received this letter by Christmas. I want to thank all of you for your never-ending love and support for me. I truly don't know where I would be without you. I hope all of you enjoy your Christmas and New Year's with those you love most.
"Please continue to pray for me. Ask God on this special day to continue to show his love for me and to help me get home to my family and friends. I don't know what's going to happen to me, where life is going to take me. I'm very, very scared.
"Thank you for looking out for my son. Please keep praying for me. Love always. Danny."

The last excerpt is after his trial.
March 5th, 2018, "Hello, everyone. I want to thank everyone that came to court and showed support for me. Trust me, I will never forget you being there, even though the outcome was not in my favor.
"I can't wait until the judge sentences me so $I$ can at
least get out of Maryland, and maybe that way $I$ don't have to continue to worry about my safety and I can hopefully get my mind right. Please look after my son and always keep him on your mind.
"Mom, I love you and thank you for always doing whatever you could for me throughout the years.
"I think it's time for all of you to get on with your lives and enjoy and cherish what life has to offer you. Love always. Danny."

And, finally, I supplied the Court with a series of letters to his son. Not all of them. He literally was writing his son almost on a daily basis, but just one excerpt from one -- from one letter.

July 2nd, 2017 -- and as I noted in my letter to the Court that Dan Hersl has phone contact with his son and letter contact with his son, but he won't allow his son -- discourages any visits because he doesn't want his son to be indoctrinated to a prison and to see his father behind bars. Unlike so many times we see parents bringing children to the court as pawns.

Letter to his son July 2nd, 2017, "Hi, little boy. I hope you're doing well and being a good boy for Mommy. I also hope your summer vacation is going good. I bet you like sleeping in and going to bed late.
"Hopefully you will do some fishing soon and maybe catch a big fish. Maybe you and Mom will go out to Aunt Jane's
and go swimming one day. Nanny and Aunt Jane would really like that.
"I want to congratulate you on making the honor roll. You are a very smart boy and you make me so happy and proud.
"I love and miss you and Mommy so much. Well, goodnight for now. I will continue to write and maybe you can write me some. I love you. Talk to you soon. Love. Danny."

And then he puts down a P.S., "Is your computer running?" And he goes on to say, "If so, you better catch it. I hope you like my drawings."

And I've given the Court the drawings. And I know in speaking to Danny and to his sister that he's asked his sister to get him a coloring book, a drawing book so he can improve in his drawings. You can actually see in the drawings submitted that he does improve in the drawings.

So we've heard and he's earned the terrible things that the Government has said, but there is another side, and that side I'm asking the Court to consider takes him out of this guideline range which is so, so high in this particular case.

There is an acceptance. It may not be what acceptance is under the guidelines, but it is an acceptance the Court can and hopefully should take into consideration under 3553.

You can take into consideration his actions compared to the others.
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You heard about the large-scale robberies involving Hendrix and Ward and Taylor.

Hendrix and Ward did not go to trial. They did cooperate. There's no question that people who cooperate deserve credit for their cooperation. They received the sentences, as Mr. Wise indicated, of seven years.

Mr. Hersl, unlike any of the others, the only allegations involving this implication of drugs came from Stepp on the witness stand. Other than that, there was nothing else, unlike the others.

You can take into consideration all those actions I mentioned and his particular actions, how they really are not -- they're all egregious, but for sentencing purposes they really don't compare to the same magnitude of many of the others, including fabricating the evidence with the video, which we saw.

You can take into consideration, as I put in the memo, susceptibility to corruption, that he was on the force for years, that we can generally see, and we do see, that it can wear you down as a Baltimore City police officer and there's frustration and there has been frustration, and the frustration really manifests itself after the 2015 riots.

You can and should take into consideration susceptibility based on his education, how, in my opinion, respectfully to Mr. Hersl, is extremely limited. The way the
family tragedy affected him and the effects of alcohol.
And you can take into consideration his time in protective custody, the time he spent. I know that's been argued before, but you see it here. You see the actual effect it has on a person, what he -- what he has. He drinks fresh water only when he comes here to court.

You can take into consideration the future. There is no place in the BOP for police officers, no particular place for police officers.

You can and should take into consideration his age. He's almost 49 years old. The chance of recidivism is just nil in this particular case, especially since the avenue to these events, these robberies, was as a police officer, which he'll never be again.

You can and you should take into effect the post-offense rehabilitation, such as in Koons. You can see it in the character of his letters. You can see it in his attempts in the facilities to get alcohol and/or drug treatment. You can see it in the letters to his family.

And you can take into effect the strong bond he has with a 10-year-old child. And Mr. Hersl should be punished, but the punishment also goes collaterally to the child, unfortunately.

So all of these factors, all of these 3553 factors, can and should be taken into consideration when you sentence

Mr. Hersl.
And we did try this case, and so this Court saw his conduct and this Court can compare his conduct to other people. You don't need Mr. Wise to tell you what his conduct is and you certainly don't need me to tell you what his conduct is.

And I can tell the Court that whatever the sentence the Court imposes, as I said before the trial started, I know it will be a just and well-reasoned sentence.

I know Mr. Hersl has a right of allocution. He's -we've spoken of that often.

Danny, just stand up with me for a second.
He's indicated to me that the letters we've submitted in his behalf, what I've said and what his family said, he all knew what we are going to say, is sufficient, and there's really nothing else that he wishes to add at this time.

Is that correct, Mr. Hersl?
THE DEFENDANT: Correct, Your Honor.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you, Danny.
Thank you very much, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Thank you. I appreciate it, Mr. Purpura.

Let me just also ask specifically -- and you've alluded to it a little bit -- there will be a period of supervised release. It seems to me that alcohol abuse --

MR. PURPURA: Yes.

THE COURT: -- mental health.
Is there anything specific by way of recommendation to the Bureau of Prisons that you would be looking for? I think designation of the facility is complex because of his prior employment, but --

MR. PURPURA: Actually, obviously, I hope -- and I spoke to the BOP, and hopefully they can do what's appropriate. I spoke to Mr. Hersl, and at first his thought would be that he wanted to be outside of the jurisdiction for safety purposes, but now I think he's changed that.

I'm going to ask the Court to recommend FCC at
Fairton, New Jersey. But whatever the -- I'm sure whatever the BOP feels is appropriate in this particular case, they'll do.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you.
Anything else --
MR. PURPURA: Nothing. Thank you.
THE COURT: -- that anybody wants to be heard on? Conference at the bench.
(It is the policy of this court that every guilty plea and sentencing proceeding include a bench conference concerning whether the defendant is or is not cooperating.)

THE COURT: Okay. All right. As you've all heard me say before, and it doesn't change, sentencing is a very difficult process. There are many things that have to be considered in this case.

I'll start with the nature and circumstances of the offense and what are the harms caused by the conduct that the jury did find had been proved as to Mr. Hersl.

And, of course, there are harms to the individual victims whose money was taken. There was undoubtedly a wrongful use of official force. The gun and the badge enabled taking money, which, again, according to the jury's verdict, and I think the law, was a robbery; but whether it's robbery or theft, this was accomplished by the wrongful use of Mr. Hersl's police authority.

It is an abuse of the public trust. Officers take an oath to uphold the law. That gives them the right to have that gun and that badge so they can enforce the law, not break it.

And the harm that's done to what's already a level of distrust between many in our community and the police is only deepened by these kinds of proven crimes.

As has been referenced, the conduct of Mr . Hersl and others has resulted, it would appear, in the dismissal of probably hundreds -- or will result in the dismissal of probably hundreds of other criminal cases, some perhaps involving people wrongly convicted, some people who had, in fact, committed crimes, but those must be dismissed because the credibility of the officers on which the convictions rested has been destroyed.

The overtime fraud obviously took money from a city
that doesn't have any money to spare. And this overall conduct has, as I've said before, made more difficult the job of the majority of the men and women in uniform here in the city who face danger and hardship every day trying to protect the public, and they do that now in the face of this increased lack of trust.

And as I've said before, it strikes at the foundation of our entire criminal justice system if judges and juries can't rely on the word of sworn law enforcement officers because they're covering up their own crimes, whatever those crimes may be. Now, so we have very, very serious offenses here.

Obviously, I also need to consider the history and characteristics of Mr. Hersl. He's been a police officer for a long time. I don't doubt -- and as his brothers and others have said -- that he has put himself in harm's way in the course of that employment, that he has, in fact, protected others, saved lives, been involved in very stressful and disturbing situations.

I'm sure that he has been and continues to be, obviously, very loyal to his family, to his friends. I'm sure he's done good things for his community and appreciates the letters of support that have been offered for him and the people that are here for him today, and many of whom were present during the trial, of course. And I do take that all
into account, as I did with others.
The letters that have been presented, and in particular Mr. Hersl's letters, certainly show his pain, his faith, his love of family, and that he is -- obviously already has been and is being punished again. As is true for the other defendants in this case, it's reasonable to think that serving time in the Bureau of Prisons is -- it's difficult for anyone. It may be of particular difficulty for a former police officer.

On the other hand, to reflect the seriousness of the offense and promote respect for the law and provide just punishment, I again say there must be a significant period of incarceration.

I don't think there's an issue of specific deterrence or recidivism as to Mr. Hersl.

But this is clearly one of the cases in my career as a judge where the factor of general deterrence has great importance. There must be a clear message that officers who break their oaths by robbery, by fraud, by other crimes will be prosecuted and will be justly punished for that conduct.

Finally, there is the factor of relative culpability. Mr. Hersl was not a supervisor, was not a sergeant like two of the others that I have sentenced. He was a fairly senior member of the police force, but not a supervisor.

He apparently has committed a relatively similar number of robberies with Mr. Taylor.

There is some indication, there was some indication of drug involvement as to both Mr. Hersl and Mr. Taylor, but, frankly, nothing like the scale or the proof that was offered in regard to Sergeant Jenkins, who received the highest sentence in this case.

I balance -- yes, he was a more senior member than Mr. Taylor. I do note that to some degree, not in a guideline sense, I do believe there has been some acceptance of responsibility by Mr. Hersl.

And when I consider all those factors, I find that the sentence that was imposed on Former-Officer Taylor is the same sentence that is fair, reasonable, and just for Mr. Hersl, that is 18 years. That is 216 months in the custody of the Bureau of Prisons.

That is -- I will state that, and you'll tell me if there's any lawful legal objection to anything that I'm saying, but I believe it should be 18 years on Counts 1 and 2 and 5, concurrent, of course, with credit for time served.

That there would be a period of three years of supervised release, concurrent on each count to follow, with special conditions of participating in any alcohol abuse treatment program the probation officer recommends and any mental health counseling or treatment the probation officer recommends and providing financial information to the Probation Office.

it, supervised release. There's nothing further.
THE COURT: Sure. Yes. Three years concurrent.
I have a note from our courtroom deputy. Counts 1 and 2 of the original indictment?

MR. WISE: There was an original indictment. I don't recall if we dismiss after trial. I don't think we do in case there's an issue on appeal.

THE COURT: Because of the appeal?
MR. WISE: Right.
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
Mr. Hersl, obviously, as I'm sure you're aware, you do have a right to appeal, both from the result of the trial, the convictions, and from this sentence. You will consult with Mr. Purpura about that.

But any appeal would need to be noted within 14 days.
Do you understand that, sir?
THE DEFENDANT: Yes, Your Honor, I understand.
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, just on that issue, the Court, based on a filing by Mr. Hersl, had found that Mr. Hersl presently does not have funds for counsel. And would that hold for the appeal? Because --

THE COURT: That, I believe, will be up to the Fourth Circuit.

MR. PURPURA: Fourth Circuit.
THE COURT: But if you note the appeal, it is up to
the Fourth Circuit as to that appointment issue.
MR. PURPURA: Will do. Thank you.
THE COURT: It would seem reasonable to me, but it's up to the Fourth Circuit --

MR. PURPURA: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: -- as I understand it.
Okay. Thank you, all.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
(Court adjourned at 2:05 p.m.)
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| \$ | 2016 [3] 9/22 66/19 67/3 | 9:47 [1] 11/ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| \$2,000 [1] 35/21 | 71/6 71/14 $71 / 19$ 72/11 72/18 |  |
| \$20,000 [4] 31/14 33/1 $34 / 25$ | 72/23 73/8 74/14 74/20 | A |
| 44/10 | 2018 [28] 1/8 4/16 5/5 8/23 | a.m [3] 2/2 11/12 51/9 |
| \$21 [1] 38/3 | 10/6 10/15 10/21 11/2 12/25 | ability [1] 32/4 |
| \$21 million [1] 38/3 |  | able [3] 41/24 56/1 67/3 |
| \$3,000 [1] 33/1 | 19/24 20/2 20/16 20/17 20/19 | about [59] 8/13 11/15 12/18 |
| \$300 [1] 84/10 | 20/24 27/6 27/24 30/13 30/19 |  |
| \$5,000 [1] 35/21 | 32/5 59/25 73/21 86/16 | 19/16 19/24 20/1 20/16 20/17 |
|  | 21 [1] 23/7 | 23/23 25/8 25/9 25/13 26/16 |
|  | 210 [2] 33/25 35/8 | 26/17 29/8 30/18 33/4 36/13 |
| 'bitch [1] 60/5 | 2100 [6] $4 / 16$ 5/3 10/7 11/9 | 39/8 39/23 39/25 40/14 43/13 |
| 'cause [7] $8 / 20$ 21/4 $21 / 9$ 21/12 | 11/14 13/16 |  |
| 40/3 46/19 50/22 | 21201 [1] 1/25 | 47/18 $48 / 4 \quad 48 / 17$ 49/22 $53 / 16$ |
| F [1] 60/7 | 216 [1] 83/13 | 54/7 54/13 55/8 58/17 58/17 |
| 'You [1] 60/9 | 22 [1] 1/8 | 60/11 61/25 62/1 62/3 63/13 |
| / | 23rd [1] 5/23 | $\begin{array}{llllll}65 / 12 & 65 / 24 & 66 / 9 & 66 / 13 & 67 / 12\end{array}$ |
|  | 24 [1] 67/4 | 67/21 71/11 71/17 74/2 76/1 |
| /s [1] 86/13 | 25 [1] 52/11 | 85/14 |
| 1 | 25 milligrams [1] 71/8 | above [3] $47 / 14$ 70/11 86/12 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lll}25 \text { th } & \text { [1] } & 44 / 17 \\ 262 & {[2]} \\ 33 / 25 & 35 / 8\end{array}$ |  |
|  | 27 [1] $68 / 21$ | abuse [6] $31 / 10$ 34/21 52/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}10 & {[3]} & 11 / 15 & 23 / 5 & 62 / 13 \\ 10-y e a r-o l d ~[2] ~ & 69 / 7 & 77 / 21\end{array}$ | 27th [6] $3 / 7$ 3/17 $3 / 218 / 1$ | 78/24 80/11 83/21 |
| 101 [1] 1/24 | 36/22 43/13 | abused [2] 36/11 37/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llll} 106 & \text { [2] } & 1 / 4 & 2 / 8 \\ 10: 13 & \text { a.m } & {[1]} & 2 / 2 \end{array}$ | 28 grams [1] 10/12 | abusing [1] 51/21 |
|  | 28th [7] 3/10 4/14 4/17 4/21 | acceptance [6] 31/17 35/2 75/21 |
| 10th [1] 71/6 | 5/15 17/18 67/20 | 75/21 75/22 83/8 |
| 110 miles [1] 62/9 | 29th [1] 59/25 |  |
| 11:43 a.m [1] 51/9 | 2:05 p.m [1] 86/9 | 52/20 60/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 11th } & \text { [1] } & 32 / 5 \\ 12: 00 & {[2]} & 12 / 16 & 12 / 18\end{array}$ | 2:15 [2] 4/15 9/1 | access [1] 3/15 |
|  | 2:30 [1] 4/15 | accident [3] 54/5 54/10 54/19 |
| 130 [1] 67/2 | 2nd [5] 15/25 59/12 72/23 74/14 | accomplished [1] 80/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}13 \text { th }[9] & 19 / 5 & 19 / 9 & 19 / 14 & 22 / 4\end{array}$ 22/11 27/23 $30 / 13$ 30/19 72/18 | 74/20 | according [1] 80/7 |
|  | 3 | accordingly [1] 67/14 |
| 14th [1] 65/5 | 30 [1] 68/1 | nt [2] 35/11 |
|  | 30 [1] 68/16 | tability |
|  | 30 pounds [1] 70/15 | accumulative [1] 33/19 |
| 16th [1] 72/11 | 35 [3] 16/21 69/3 69/5 | accurate [1] 58/7 |
|  | 3553 [5] 33/17 35/10 56/13 | accusation [1] 20/22 |
| 17 [2] 47/7 86/16 | 75/23 77/24 | accusations [2] 20/11 59/18 |
| 1700 [1] 49/18 |  | acknowledged [1] 40/24 |
| 175 [2] 22/17 26/21 | 38 [1] 34/10 | act [12] 23/1 23/2 $23 / 3$ 23/4 |
| $\begin{array}{ccccccc}18 & {[6]} & 23 / 7 & 52 / 13 & 52 / 24 & 68 / 23 \\ 83 / 13 & 83 / 17 & & & \end{array}$ | 396 [1] 3/3 | 23/5 23/16 28/23 30/6 35/23 |
|  | 3rd [1] 15/25 | 36/7 37/3 46/1 |
| 18th [1] 70/13 | 4 | actions [4] 60/11 75/24 76/11 |
| 1A [1] $1 / 8$ | 432 [1] 34/1 | $\begin{array}{ll}\text { actively } & \\ \text { activities [2] } & 44 / 18 / 17 \\ \text { a }\end{array}$ |
| 181.3 [1] 33/9 | 49 [1] 77/11 | activity [2] $4 / 19$ 20/3 |
|  | 4 th [2] 1/24 16/1 | acts [2] 14/3 23/7 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 15 & 10 / 16 & 11 / 5 & 12 / 25 & 13 / 2 \\ 13 / 5 & 15 / 22 & 16 / 16 & 17 / 8 & 18 / 25\end{array}$ |  | actual [2] 44/5 77/4 |
|  | 5 | actually [7] 22/2 30/19 48/2 |
| 19/1 24/2 24/8 24/9 27/6 71/14 | 50 [2] 3/24 57/19 | 70/3 73/4 75/14 79/6 |
| 2 | 5 th [3] 16/1 43/12 73/21 | ad [1] 59/3 |
|  | 6 | ad nauseam [1] 59/3 |
|  |  | add [2] 14/15 78/15 |
|  | 609 [1] 21/5 | addictions [1] 61/25 |
|  | 6 th [1] 16/1 | addicts [1] 61/25 |
|  | 7 | addition [6] 31/16 33/3 37/21 |
| 2000 [1] 3/8 |  | 37/23 46/19 58/22 |
| $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{cccccc} 2006 & {[11]} & 5 / 23 & 5 / 23 & 6 / 8 & 6 / 14 \\ 6 / 16 & 6 / 23 & 7 / 18 & 16 / 13 & 17 / 22 & 21 / 4 \end{array}\right.$ | 75 [1] 34/10 | additional [3] $3 / 24$ 34/24 35/21 |
|  | 7th [2] 13/20 16/1 | additions [1] 30/24 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}\text { 29/15 } \\ 2011 & {[1]} \\ 67 / 20\end{array}$ | 8 | address [ ${ }^{81} 12 / 20$ 2/25 $22 / 18$ |
|  |  | 42/15 52/3 56/18 57/4 57/7 |
| 2013 [1] 62/5 | 860 F.3d 175 [2] 22/17 26/21 | addressed [5] $40 / 19$ 42/7 $43 / 14$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}2015 & {[20]} & 3 / 8 & 3 / 10 & 4 / 17 & 4 / 21\end{array}$ 5/5 5/15 6/8 8/1 9/16 9/20 9/21 $\begin{array}{lllll} & \text { 9/22 } & 16 / 14 & 17 / 18 & 20 / 22 \\ 26 / 17\end{array}$ 26/18 43/13 67/1 76/22 | 8th [6] 12/25 13/20 19/2 19/3 | 59/8 84/17 |
|  | 27/17 45/6 | addresses [1] 22/11 |
|  | 9 | adequate [1] 42/12 |
|  | 95 [1] 68/25 | adjourn [1] 12/16 |
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adjustment [1] 31/17
admit [1] 73/4
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affect [1] 56/4
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affording [1] 50/13
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age [1] 77/10
agency [1] 27/19
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { agent }[3] & 1 / 19 & 2 / 10 & 22 / 7\end{array}$
agents [1] 27/13
aggravating [1] 53/18
ago [1] 50/1
$\begin{array}{lll}\text { agree [2] } & 10 / 18 & 11 / 24\end{array}$
ahead [1] 2/23
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Aiken }[10] & 5 / 3 & 10 / 7 & 11 / 10 & 11 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 16 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 8 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 17\end{array}$ 17/15
Aiken Street [10] 5/3 10/7 $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 10 & 11 / 14 & 13 / 16 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 8\end{array}$ 16/3 16/17 17/15
Aikens [2] 4/17 65/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { air [5] } & 3 / 13 & 5 / 4 & 65 / 12 & 65 / 21\end{array}$ 66/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { alcohol [4] } & 77 / 1 & 77 / 18 & 78 / 24\end{array}$ 83/21
alert [1] $12 / 12$
alive [1] 65/7
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allegation [3] 21/1 25/10 25/11 allegations [2] 63/16 76/8
alleged [1] 26/24
alleges [1] 24/3
Allers [4] 41/19 52/9 52/12 52/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { alley [6] } & 3 / 14 & 7 / 12 & 7 / 15 & 11 / 23\end{array}$ 53/23 57/21
allocution [1] 78/9
allow [2] 23/18 74/16
allowed [1] 73/6
allows [1] 15/9
alluded [2] 8/2 78/23
almost [7] $14 / 19$ 21/19 44/4
45/15 65/15 74/12 77/11
along [2] 4/21 7/7
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { already }[7] & 8 / 2 & 8 / 2 & 42 / 7 & 42 / 16\end{array}$ 53/11 80/14 82/4
also [31] $1 / 18$ 3/2 $4 / 25$ 7/11 $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 15 & 21 / 25 & 23 / 10 & 23 / 15 & 27 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 22 & 29 / 12 & 30 / 15 & 35 / 24 & 36 / 12\end{array}$ 37/4 41/9 44/16 45/9 47/20
$\begin{array}{lllll}47 / 23 & 51 / 16 & 52 / 21 & 54 / 3 & 54 / 4\end{array}$
55/13 56/20 64/4 74/21 77/22
78/22 81/13
although [2] 27/20 34/9
always [12] 61/22 71/5 71/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 18 & 72 / 10 & 72 / 17 & 72 / 21 & 73 / 7\end{array}$
73/19 74/3 74/5 74/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { am [13] } & 12 / 22 & 28 / 1 & 39 / 21 & 45 / 23\end{array}$ 61/10 63/10 70/23 71/5 71/22 $\begin{array}{llll}72 / 1 & 72 / 5 & 72 / 12 & 72 / 19\end{array}$
amended [1] 30/12
amendment [1] 30/19
AMERICA [2] $1 / 3$ 2/7
among [3] $42 / 13 \quad 42 / 20 \quad 54 / 6$
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { amount [5] } & 10 / 13 & 25 / 22 & 33 / 8\end{array}$ 58/20 66/12
amounts [5] 35/2 43/16 44/13
50/15 50/15
analysis [1] 54/1
and/or [5] 3/16 4/4 7/20 64/23 77/18
angel [1] 68/15
angry [1] 59/19
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { another }[12] & 8 / 23 & 17 / 3 & 19 / 12\end{array}$ $35 / 25 \quad 38 / 3 \quad 43 / 1 \quad 43 / 2544 / 10$ 53/18 63/22 65/11 75/17
answer [1] 17/9
anticipation [1] 44/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Antonio [10] } & 4 / 6 & 4 / 10 & 4 / 22 & 5 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 4 & 23 / 5 & 36 / 16 & 36 / 18 & 43 / 15\end{array}$ 49/25
Antonio Santiful [10] 4/6 4/10 $\begin{array}{llllll}4 / 22 & 5 / 4 & 13 / 4 & 23 / 5 & 36 / 16 & 36 / 18\end{array}$ 43/15 49/25
anxiety [2] 70/16 72/3
any [26] $15 / 11 \quad 16 / 22 \quad 19 / 9 \quad 20 / 13$ 27/22 28/5 28/19 28/23 29/1 $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 7 & 29 / 9 & 30 / 24 & 33 / 23 & 34 / 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}41 / 17 & 42 / 6 & 43 / 8 & 50 / 9 & 74 / 17 & 76 / 7\end{array}$ 81/1 83/16 83/21 83/22 84/17 85/15
anybody [2] 59/25 79/17
anymore [1] 37/1
anyone [6] $12 / 5$ 15/10 $49 / 4$
54/11 72/13 82/7
anyone's [1] 19/15
anything [10] 5/7 26/10 28/1

54/12 70/20 79/2 79/15 83/16
184/16affee lof of 107

apologize [1] 72/24
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { apparently } & {[11]} & 4 / 3 & 8 / 23 \\ 11 / 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}11 / 17 & 12 / 24 & 14 / 15 & 27 / 12 \\ 27 / 12\end{array}$
33/7 41/13 82/24
appeal [6] 85/7 85/8 85/12
85/15 85/21 85/25
appear [1] 80/18
appears [1] 29/18
applied [2] 15/12 34/14
appointment [1] 86/1
appreciate [2] 69/13 78/20
appreciates [1] 81/22
apprehended [1] 4/22
apprehension [1] 3/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { approach [4] } & 24 / 14 & 24 / 19 & 31 / 23\end{array}$ 63/24
approached [3] $11 / 2$ 59/17 $59 / 18$
appropriate [6] 29/20 $34 / 6$ 55/1
56/13 79/7 79/13
appropriately [1] $\quad 34 / 14$
approve [1] 38/3
approximately [2] $4 / 15 \quad 13 / 17$
April [1] 59/25
April 29th [1] 59/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { are [47] } & 4 / 7 & 8 / 18 & 10 / 21 & 15 / 19\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 6 & 16 / 7 & 20 / 25 & 23 / 8 & 24 / 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 19 & 27 / 20 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 9 & 31 / 4 & 33 / 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 18 & 34 / 6 & 34 / 10 & 34 / 14 & 35 / 6\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}35 / 9 & 40 / 9 & 40 / 13 & 40 / 14 & 40 / 20\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll} & 41 / 25 & 42 / 7 & 44 / 14 & 44 / 15 & 47 / 4\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 14 & 49 / 18 & 50 / 22 & 57 / 7 & 64 / 13\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}65 / 18 & 70 / 25 & 72 / 12 & 75 / 4 & 76 / 12\end{array}$
78/14 79/24 80/2 80/4 81/24
84/14
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { area }[13] & 3 / 13 & 3 / 20 & 4 / 11 & 4 / 12\end{array}$
7/11 7/11 11/15 11/25 52/3
64/15 64/19 65/13 65/15
aren't [1] 38/2
argue [1] 71/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { argued [5] } & 19 / 19 & 38 / 10 & 46 / 2\end{array}$
55/16 77/4
argues [2] 41/23 44/19
arguing [2] 40/25 49/7
argument [3] 17/11 31/2 41/1
arguments [3] $43 / 9 \quad 47 / 17 \quad 55 / 5$
arises [1] 15/18
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { armed } & {[5]} & 34 / 17 & 40 / 11 & 49 / 2 & 49 / 9\end{array}$
50/6
arms [1] 59/22
Armstrong [4] $45 / 6 \quad 45 / 20 \quad 46 / 6$ 53/8
around [7] $33 / 1 \quad 42 / 23 \quad 50 / 14$
55/22 62/2 70/20 73/5
arrest [6] 4/20 5/24 7/3 7/6
7/19 8/1
arrested [8] $3 / 21 \quad 5 / 11 \quad 5 / 12$
7/15 37/9 48/23 60/2 70/15
arrestees [1] 43/6
arresting [1] 21/6
arrests [2] 14/2 38/11
art [1] 70/6
as [137]
aside [2] $32 / 5$ 38/12
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ask }[11] & 16 / 22 & 17 / 16 & 25 / 12 & 32 / 8\end{array}$ 57/6 62/24 69/6 70/21 73/13
78/22 79/11
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { asked [12] } & 6 / 14 & 8 / 19 & 10 / 1 & 16 / 18\end{array}$ 17/9 17/15 19/24 20/1 20/16

| A | base [1] 10/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll} \hline \text { 4 } & 15 / 19 & 27 / 3 & 27 / 4 & 30 / 4 & 30 / 5 \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | Odcunhenft ${ }^{187}$ Filed 08/17 |  |
|  |  | 37/5 $47 / 1449 / 15$ 49/22 59/18 |
| asking [3] 39/23 67/12 75/18 | 27/23 58/16 67/9 76/24 85/19 | 61/21 62/18 68/18 70/15 70/23 |
| assessed [2] 31/13 32/15 | basic [1] 7/25 | 72/25 73/23 74/21 82/5 |
| assessment [1] 84/9 | basically [2] 12/15 14/9 | Bel [1] 66/14 |
| Assistant [4] 1/15 13/6 16/6 | basis [13] 14/19 16/22 17/2 | Bel Air [1] 66/14 |
| 27/22 | 17/2 17/3 17/4 25/14 25/18 | believe [33] 8/2 8/10 13/2 |
| assisted [1] 52/21 | 25/21 26/2 60/21 73/1 74/12 | 13/19 15/21 15/25 17/13 18/5 |
| assume [2] 27/3 30/15 | basketball [1] 62/16 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}18 / 6 & 18 / 9 & 18 / 13 & 18 / 13 & 25 / 2 & 26 / 4\end{array}$ |
| assuming [1] 28/7 | battle [1] 65/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 7 & 26 / 10 & 26 / 20 & 28 / 24 & 29 / 14\end{array}$ |
| astronomically [1] 33/20 | be [81] $2 / 3$ 2/17 $3 / 2$ 3/15 6/19 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}31 / 6 & 31 / 11 & 31 / 12 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 18\end{array}$ |
| AtF [8] 12/23 13/5 13/8 13/10 | 10/17 11/12 14/14 15/2 18/22 | 33/2 34/5 34/22 57/4 60/20 70/8 |
| 13/12 13/12 22/7 28/2 | 24/7 26/23 27/8 28/8 28/9 28/17 | 83/8 83/17 85/22 |
| attempt [2] 49/3 56/18 | 29/8 30/11 31/20 31/22 31/22 | believed [3] 35/22 37/2 48/10 |
| attempted [2] 44/4 44/16 | $32 / 2133 / 23$ 38/16 38/25 39/14 | bench [9] 21/19 24/16 24/20 |
| attempts [1] 77/18 | 40/23 $44 / 2545 / 3$ 49/1 $50 / 6$ 50/7 | 24/22 31/22 31/25 32/2 79/18 |
| attendance [2] 45/17 46/18 | 50/11 50/14 50/18 50/19 50/23 | 79/20 |
| attention [4] 12/17 14/18 54/8 | 50/24 51/5 51/12 54/7 54/16 | best [3] 32/4 62/11 62/13 |
| 70/22 | 55/8 59/8 61/1 66/18 68/12 | bet [1] 74/22 |
| Attorney [3] 13/7 14/23 27/22 | 69/16 71/8 71/20 72/14 73/6 | better [3] 37/21 62/2 75/9 |
| Attorney's [10] 14/6 14/13 | 73/11 74/17 75/21 77/14 77/21 | between [7] $32 / 12$ 32/12 $45 / 8$ |
|  | 77/25 78/8 78/23 79/3 79/8 79/9 | 49/13 51/18 $52 / 14$ 80/15 |
| 19/15 22/9 27/20 | 79/17 79/24 80/22 81/11 81/20 | beyond [2] 25/25 40/17 |
| attorneys [3] $1 / 15$ 16/6 21/21 | 82/8 82/11 82/17 82/18 82/19 | bicycle [1] 64/9 |
| August [4] 5/23 45/6 59/11 | 83/17 83/19 84/4 84/4 84/7 | big [3] 44/25 47/10 74/25 |
| 86/16 | 84/13 85/15 85/22 | bigger [1] 48/11 |
| August 23rd [1] 5/23 | bear [1] 21/17 | biggest [1] 48/7 |
| August 8th [1] 45/6 | beat [1] 25/1 | bilked [1] 37/18 |
| Aunt [2] 74/25 75/1 | became [1] 55/4 | bit [3] 51/1 51/2 78/23 |
| Aunt Jane [1] 75/1 | because [51] $4 / 5$ 6/2 $6 / 15$ 7/2 | bitch [1] 60/9 |
| Aunt Jane's [1] 74/25 | 12/11 15/15 15/16 16/21 21/9 | black [9] 9/12 9/12 11/14 11/14 |
| authority [2] 52/2 80/10 | 21/24 22/11 22/19 22/19 23/12 | 11/20 11/20 11/22 65/24 65/25 |
| avenue [1] 77/12 | 25/13 31/6 33/18 $36 / 3$ 36/8 | Black Guerilla Family [2] 65/24 |
| average [1] 15/4 | 37/12 $37 / 13$ 38/4 39/14 39/21 | 65/25 |
| avoid [2] 42/12 52/4 | 40/7 40/9 41/2 45/1 48/20 49/7 | BLAKE [3] 1/10 2/13 57/2 |
| award [1] 31/16 | 49/22 50/3 50/7 50/21 52/15 | blame [1] 41/8 |
| aware [3] 28/1 53/2 85/11 | 53/14 54/1 55/11 55/25 56/2 | bless [1] 68/15 |
| away [1] 65/1 | 56/18 59/6 59/7 59/24 66/15 | block [9] 3/8 4/16 5/3 10/7 |
| awful [1] 54/5 | 74/17 79/4 80/22 81/10 85/8 | 11/10 11/14 13/16 18/11 70/20 |
| B | 85/21 | blue [4] $3 / 23$ 3/24 57/19 57/19 |
| back [17] 5/5 9/15 11/16 12/17 | bed [2] $60 / 5$ $74 / 23$ <br> bedroom $[1]$ $59 / 17$ | blue-and-white [4] 3/23 3/24 57/19 57/19 |
| 33/5 37/7 44/9 45/20 51/6 51/12 | been [59] $2 / 19$ 6/4 $11 / 1311 / 15$ | Board [1] 38/3 |
| 53/24 56/4 56/4 62/22 64/20 | 14/11 15/21 15/23 15/23 16/3 | Board of Estimates [1] 38/3 |
| 64/23 66/5 |  | Bodmer [2] 1/19 2/10 |
| back-and-forth [1] 53/24 | 22/3 22/6 22/10 22/12 25/3 | bombshell [1] 23/22 |
| back-to-back [1] 56/4 | 25/10 26/20 27/6 28/24 29/6 | bond [1] 77/20 |
| background [1] 60/23 | 34/6 35/2 40/16 42/14 46/13 | bonds [1] 49/13 |
| backing [1] 9/10 | 47/7 48/2 48/6 48/22 49/18 | book [2] 75/13 75/13 |
| backseat [2] 11/17 64/6 | 51/18 53/15 53/21 53/25 54/7 | BOP [3] 77/8 79/7 79/13 |
| backup [1] 65/8 | 54/15 54/18 55/9 56/9 65/9 | born [1] 61/13 |
| bad [8] 18/14 18/14 $18 / 21$ 18/22 | 71/14 71/15 71/16 76/21 77/3 | Boston [2] 44/17 44/23 |
| 20/14 29/5 38/9 50/5 | $80 / 3$ 80/17 80/24 81/14 81/18 | Boston Street [2] 44/17 44/23 |
| badge [3] 49/5 80/6 80/13 | 81/20 81/23 82/2 82/5 83/8 | both [3] 34/12 83/2 85/12 |
| badges [1] 38/16 | before [34] 1/10 3/17 8/15 | bother [1] 50/16 |
| bag [1] 57/21 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}10 / 16 & 18 / 8 & 19 / 14 & 20 / 13 & 22 / 4\end{array}$ | bottom [3] 34/9 37/11 67/7 |
| bail [2] 60/3 64/17 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 23 / 12 & 24 / 8 & 28 / 4 & 30 / 11 & 36 / 18\end{array}$ | boy [4] 71/17 $74 / 20$ 74/21 $75 / 4$ |
| balance [1] 83/6 | 42/19 43/2 $43 / 22$ 47/22 48/1 | Boys [1] 66/14 |
| Baltimore [24] $1 / 9$ 1/25 13/11 | 48/2 48/8 55/3 55/3 55/10 55/14 | brazen [3] $42 / 22 \quad 43 / 6$ 47/21 |
| 13/13 14/4 25/24 38/1 41/13 | 56/16 60/15 62/23 64/22 77/4 | break [4] 51/1 51/2 80/13 82/18 |
| 41/23 $45 / 2$ 46/24 61/14 $66 / 1$ | 78/7 79/23 81/2 81/7 84/5 | brief [2] 67/23 69/16 |
| 66/12 66/20 67/8 68/12 68/16 | beg [1] 65/23 | briefly [9] $24 / 23$ 26/9 31/21 |
| 68/21 68/24 69/1 69/3 69/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { began [3] } & 10 / 19 & 44 / 1 & 44 / 4\end{array}$ | 40/19 42/15 57/7 60/25 63/4 |
| 76/20 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { begin [4] } & 2 / 18 & 21 / 12 & 30 / 1 & 56 / 18\end{array}$ | 84/1 |
| Baltimore City [13] 14/4 41/13 | beginning [2] 12/12 55/20 | bring [1] 70/22 |
| 61/14 66/12 67/8 68/12 68/16 | begins [1] 64/11 | bringing [1] 74/19 |
| 68/21 68/24 69/1 69/3 69/5 | behalf [3] 2/15 2/19 78/13 | brings [2] 12/2 61/22 |
| 76/20 | behavior [3] 38/4 41/12 41/18 | broader [1] 56/20 |
| Baltimore City Police [2] 13/11 $13 / 13$ | $\begin{array}{\|cccc} \left\lvert\, \begin{array}{c} \text { behind } \\ 74 / 18 \end{array}\right. & 45 / 12 & 59 / 22 \quad 64 / 6 \end{array}$ | broke [1] 45/13 <br> brother [11] 62/6 62/10 62/19 |
| bars [1] 74/18 | being [29] $7 / 13$ 8/7 $13 / 2413 / 25$ | $\begin{array}{ccccccl}\text { 63/11 } & 66 / 17 & 67 / 21 & 68 / 11 & 68 / 17\end{array}$ |

## 

brothers [1] 81/15
brought [3] 23/14 29/13 68/9
Brown [5] $4 / 22$ 4/25 7/7 7/15 7/22
bud [1] 62/13
building [1] 62/7
bullet [1] 65/5
bullets [2] 64/11 65/5
bunk [1] 72/7
$\begin{array}{llll} \\ B & \text { Bureau [5] 79/3 82/7 83/13 }\end{array}$ 84/11 84/13
burning [1] 46/22
Burns [2] 3/18 3/22
busy [1] 16/5
C
calculations [5] $30 / 8$ 30/8 $30 / 10$ 31/3 32/3
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { call [4] } & 2 / 5 \quad 60 / 9 & 67 / 23 & 72 / 7\end{array}$
called [6] $4 / 8 \quad 48 / 13 \quad 48 / 19$
51/17 59/19 71/7
calling [1] 72/24
calls [1] 62/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { came }[10] & 9 / 11 & 21 / 20 & 25 / 1 & 29 / 16\end{array}$ 40/6 47/25 53/22 $59 / 17$ 73/22 76/8
camera [8] $11 / 9 \quad 18 / 24 \quad 19 / 8$ 20/19 22/7 $24 / 11 \quad 27 / 5 \quad 27 / 13$ can [48] $2 / 3$ 2/17 $6 / 12$ 9/2 $9 / 10$ $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 10 & 13 / 20 & 14 / 20 & 16 / 19 & 24 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 13 & 30 / 1 & 30 / 10 & 31 / 22 & 46 / 8\end{array}$ 51/2 51/5 51/12 55/8 55/24 67/13 69/17 $73 / 25 \quad 74 / 2 \quad 75 / 6$ $\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 13 & 75 / 14 & 75 / 22 & 75 / 24 & 76 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}76 / 17 & 76 / 19 & 76 / 19 & 76 / 23 \\ 77 / 2\end{array}$ 77/7 77/10 77/15 77/16 77/17 77/19 77/20 77/25 78/3 78/6 79/7 80/13 84/13
can't [8] 16/20 16/20 39/14
$\begin{array}{llll}41 / 1 & 60 / 12 & 62 / 22 & 73 / 25 \\ 81 / 9\end{array}$
cannot [2] 50/8 70/19
capacity [2] 15/11 70/11
capitals [1] 70/16
captured [1] 27/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { car } & {[8]} & 20 / 22 & 33 / 4 & 45 / 2\end{array} 54 / 5$
64/5 64/7 64/18 64/22
career [1] 82/15
careers [1] 43/23
cares [1] 61/20
carpenter [1] 69/4
carried [1] 28/13
Carroll [2] 39/15 60/3
Carroll County [2] 39/15 60/3
carrying [1] 64/1
Cartel [2] 10/9 10/11
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { case }[56] & 1 / 4 & 2 / 5 & 2 / 7 & 8 / 4 & 13 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 17 & 14 / 16 & 14 / 16 & 14 / 17 & 14 / 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 18 & 15 / 15 & 15 / 17 & 15 / 20 & 21 / 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 16 & 23 / 22 & 25 / 11 & 26 / 19 & 27 / 11\end{array}$ 27/24 28/11 28/13 28/19 29/2 29/6 29/23 34/14 35/3 35/5 $\begin{array}{lllllll}35 / 17 & 38 / 17 & 40 / 17 & 40 / 18 & 41 / 10\end{array}$ 49/2 50/16 50/20 52/21 53/25 $\begin{array}{llllll}57 / 12 & 57 / 17 & 58 / 1 & 58 / 14 & 58 / 15\end{array}$ 59/11 65/12 68/9 75/20 77/12 78/2 79/13 79/25 82/6 83/5 85/6
Case Search [1] 59/11
cases [14] 15/17 15/19 15/19 16/21 $49 / 15$ 49/18 $50 / 1 \quad 50 / 2$

50/6 50/6 50/9 50/11 80/20

casino [2] 25/23 39/15
casinos [1] 25/24
cast [1] 28/20
catch [2] 74/25 75/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { category } & {[3]} & 20 / 11 & 33 / 24 & 35 / 7\end{array}$
CATHERINE [1] 1/10
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { cause [9] } & 6 / 13 & 6 / 15 & 7 / 4 & 8 / 1\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}21 / 14 & 21 / 17 & 59 / 12 & 60 / 2 & 66 / 23\end{array}$
caused [3] 54/10 54/18 80/2
causes [1] 49/6
CCB [2] 1/4 2/8
CCB-17-106 [1] 1/4
cell [2] 70/20 72/6
cell block [1] 70/20
certain [1] 30/8
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { certainly }[10] & 18 / 1 & 18 / 2 & 29 / 7\end{array}$
29/10 46/9 47/13 57/9 78/5 82/3 84/11
Certified [1] 86/15
certify [1] 86/10
chain [1] 48/11
challenges [1] 35/3
chance [2] 30/15 77/11
change [1] 79/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { changed [3] } & 23 / 17 & 28 / 10 & 79 / 10\end{array}$
changing [3] 29/1 29/1 $62 / 1$
character [2] 70/9 77/17
characteristics [6] $42 / 9 \quad 47 / 5$
47/24 54/4 56/15 81/14
characters [1] 64/13
charge [1] 28/15
charged [2] 41/19 48/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { charges [4] } & 10 / 10 & 14 / 9 & 36 / 23\end{array}$
44/12
charging [1] 15/16
charismatic [1] 55/6
Charles [1] 69/3
chase [2] 54/9 54/10
chased [3] 7/9 7/13 54/9
cheating [1] 59/19
check [1] 8/24
checkered [1] 15/6
checks [1] 61/21
cherish [1] 74/8
child [2] 77/21 77/22
children [2] 38/1 74/19
chilling [1] 54/23
choice [2] 51/21 51/24
choices [1] 51/25
choke [1] 71/25
chose [2] 52/1 55/10
Christmas [2] 73/9 73/12
chronological [1] 70/8
circles [1] 70/21
Circuit [6] 22/16 26/21 85/23 85/24 86/1 86/4
circulate [1] 84/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { circumstances [5] } & 42 / 8 & 42 / 16\end{array}$
47/3 80/1 84/8
circumstantial [1] 18/1
cited [1] 22/15
Citizen [1] 15/4
Citizens [1] 41/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { city }[24] & 13 / 11 & 13 / 13 & 14 / 4\end{array}$ 26/12 37/1 37/7 37/17 41/13 43/20 $49 / 17$ 61/14 $66 / 12 \quad 67 / 8$ 68/12 68/16 68/19 68/21 68/24 69/1 69/3 69/5 76/20 80/25 81/3
City Council [1] 49/17

City Hall [1] 68/19

Civilian [4] $\quad 4 / \neq 4 / 9$ 5/14 $26 / 5$
civilians [2] $4 / 8 \quad 13 / 25$
claim [2] 29/3 54/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { claimed [5] } & 37 / 10 & 38 / 22 & 46 / 23\end{array}$
54/16 54/17
Claiming [2] 29/9 37/23
clarify [1] 32/11
clear [7] $10 / 17$ 18/22 29/8
30/11 47/12 51/23 82/17
clear-eyed [1] 51/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { clearly [8] } 7 / 22 & 11 / 19 & 15 / 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 9 & 25 / 5 & 34 / 15 & 34 / 17 & 82 / 15\end{array}$
clerk [1] 12/8
Clewell [2] 38/23 38/24
client [1] 30/15
climbing [1] 72/3
clock [1] 37/25
close [4] 13/17 13/19 13/19 84/13
closed [1] 60/5
closing [1] 69/6
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { co [4] } & 26 / 14 & 34 / 6 & 49 / 16 & 50 / 8\end{array}$
co-defendants [4] 26/14 34/6


<br>

49/16 50/8
coat [3] 63/25 64/9 64/10
cocaine [3] 10/12 45/13 53/16
cocaine base [1] 10/12
coffee [1] 61/22
collateral [2] 49/11 49/11
collaterally [1] 77/22
collection [1] 43/21
colorful [1] 15/6
coloring [1] 75/13
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { come } & {[6]} & 12 / 14 & 12 / 17 & 37 / 7\end{array} \quad 39 / 24$ 61/3 71/23
comes [3] 6/7 8/25 77/6
comfortable [5] $43 / 24 \quad 43 / 25$
44/1 55/21 56/1
coming [3] $24 / 1 \quad 29 / 17 \quad 46 / 21$
commit [1] 55/14
committed [3] 53/1 80/22 82/24
communicated [1] 19/9
communicates [1] 11/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { community [6] } & 42 / 4 & 49 / 14 & 54 / 24\end{array}$
69/1 80/15 81/22
company [1] 69/2
compare [2] 76/14 78/3
compared [1] 75/24
comparison [1] 52/24
compelled [1] 40/21
complained [2] 45/12 53/15
complete [1] 60/17
completely [3] $16 / 11$ 16/13 58/22
complex [1] 79/4
computer [3] $15 / 8$ 19/13 $75 / 8$
conceded [1] 46/10
concerned [2] 49/21 54/7
concerning [1] 79/20
concerns [1] 56/3
concession [1] 46/13
concrete [1] 72/8
concurrent [3] $83 / 18$ 83/20 $85 / 2$
condition [1] 68/13
conditions [1] 83/21
condominium [2] 44/17 45/1
condoned [1] 41/13
conduct $\left[\begin{array}{llll}{[21]} & 23 / 24 & 33 / 9 & 34 / 23\end{array}\right]$
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & 40 / 23 & 41 / 15 & 42 / 14 & 49 / 16 & 49 / 16\end{array}$











[^0]| C | 23/16 30/4 30/5 30/5 | 67/7 70/4 70/9 74/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 78/5 80/2 80/17 81/1 82/19 | Count 2 [2] 23/8 30/5 | Dan's [1] 64/2 |
| conducting [1] 14/3 | Count 5 [2] 23/16 30/5 | danger [2] 62/5 81/4 |
| conference [5] 24/16 24/22 | counting [1] 66/22 | dangers [1] 62/3 |
| 31/25 79/18 79/20 | country [1] 15/13 | DANIEL [10] $1 / 5$ 2/8 $2 / 16$ 35/20 |
| conferred [1] 24/18 | counts [4] 10/20 24/5 83/17 | 61/10 61/12 67/20 68/12 68/22 |
| confidence [1] 49/7 | 85/3 | 69/7 |
| conflict [1] 15/8 | Counts 1 [2] 83/17 85/3 | Daniel Hersl [6] 35/20 61/12 |
| conflict-resolution [1] 15/8 | County [2] 39/15 60/3 | 67/20 68/12 68/22 69/7 |
| confused [1] 32/11 | couple [9] 29/4 32/12 | Daniel Hersl's [1] 61/10 |
| congratulate [1] 75/3 | 43/17 44/7 58/21 63/20 66/2 | DANIEL THOMAS HERSL [3] 1/5 2/8 |
| conjunction [2] 13/11 13/13 | 66/2 | 2/16 |
| consequence [1] 49/11 | courage [1] 61/18 | Daniel's [1] 69/8 |
| consider [13] 29/21 32/9 42/7 | course [19] 2/21 3/1 6/1 21/16 | Danny [41] 61/12 61/15 61/16 |
| 46/8 46/9 62/24 67/13 69/8 | 23/15 27/20 30/3 30/7 31/5 | 61/20 62/5 62/10 62/13 62/18 |
| 75/18 81/13 83/10 84/12 84/15 | 34/12 37/15 37/24 45/17 63/5 | 62/21 62/24 63/11 63/13 63/17 |
| consideration [9] 75/23 75/24 | 69/15 80/4 81/17 81/25 83/18 | 63/18 63/21 64/16 64/17 64/21 |
| 76/11 76/17 76/23 77/2 77/7 | court [49] $1 / 11 / 24$ 3/11 $6 / 7$ | 64/21 64/23 64/23 65/4 65/12 |
| 77/10 77/25 | 6/7 8/25 11/10 11/10 14/20 | 65/15 65/20 66/4 66/7 66/13 |
| considered [1] 79/25 | 17/15 26/10 27/16 32/4 32/7 | 71/5 71/13 71/18 72/10 72/17 |
| consistent [2] 5/1 29/16 | 32/8 $34 / 4$ 41/11 $41 / 23$ 42/6 $44 / 3$ | 72/22 73/7 73/19 74/9 75/7 |
| conspiracy [7] 10/19 12/1 23/10 | 46/8 57/7 57/15 58/13 60/16 | 75/12 78/11 78/18 |
| 23/13 24/4 28/16 30/5 | 63/14 66/9 67/19 69/18 69/19 | Danny Hersl [3] 63/11 63/13 |
| consult [1] 85/13 | 69/23 70/8 73/22 74/10 74/15 | 63/18 |
| contact [2] 74/15 74/16 | 74/19 75/11 75/18 75/22 77/6 | Danny's [1] 64/13 |
| contentions [1] 40/19 | 78/2 78/3 $78 / 6$ 78/7 79/11 $79 / 19$ | darn [1] 18/3 |
| contested [7] 3/11 3/25 | 85/19 86/9 86/16 | date [3] 13/5 52/7 86/16 |
| 31/9 31/12 31/15 31/18 | Court's [2] 57/3 60/23 | dated [1] 30/13 |
| continue [5] 71/1 73/13 73/14 | courtroom [5] 1/8 11/7 19/18 | dates [1] 11/2 |
| 74/2 75/6 | 56/19 85/3 | day [24] $3 / 10$ 4/5 6/1 $9 / 24$ |
| continues [1] 81/20 | courts [3] 40/4 50/7 52/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 14 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 21 & 18 / 8 & 19 / 5\end{array}$ |
| continuing [1] 10/5 | cover [3] 54/17 64/18 64/24 | 22/14 27/16 36/18 37/23 46/21 |
| contrast [1] 51/18 | covered [2] 21/5 84/18 | 58/18 62/10 70/19 70/21 70/21 |
| contributed [2] 67/5 67/8 | covering [1] 81/10 | 71/8 71/18 73/14 75/1 81/4 |
| contributions [1] 62/25 |  | days [7] $3 / 17$ 4/12 $36 / 25$ 37/4 |
| control [4] 57/16 57/18 58 | 29/20 80/23 | 56/5 70/14 85/15 |
| 62/9 | credit [2] 76/5 83/1 | dead [2] 3/14 25/1 |
| controlling [2] 26/19 28/18 | crew [1] 10/8 | dead-end [1] 3/14 |
| conversation [1] 30 | crime [2] 21/2 | deal [4] 9/20 14/17 47/10 55/4 |
| convey [1] 63/12 | crimes [7] 60/15 60/16 80/16 | dealer [2] 39/14 39/16 |
| convicted [4] 35/23 37/2 52/6 | 80/22 81/10 81/11 82/18 | dealers [1] 23/23 |
| 80/21 | criminal [14] $1 / 4 \quad 2 / 8$ 14/3 $15 / 6$ | dealing [6] $10 / 1$ 10/2 16/24 |
| conviction [4] 21/3 21/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}20 / 2 & 23 / 24 & 26 / 13 & 33 / 24 & 35 / 7\end{array}$ | 20/6 20/20 25/16 |
| 29/19 | 41/12 41/18 52/5 80/20 81/8 | deaths [1] 66/24 |
| convictions [6] 5/18 29/13 30/4 | Criminal No. CCB-17-106 [1] 2/8 | December [2] 65/17 73/8 |
| 50/4 80/23 85/13 | critical [1] 28/18 | December 19th [1] 73/8 |
| cooperate [2] 76/4 76/4 | cross [10] 4/2 5/16 5/17 19/24 | decency [1] 36/2 |
| cooperating [1] 79/21 | 21/10 25/19 26/2 28/25 45/14 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { decide [3] } & 35 / 10 & 37 / 12 & 62 / 23\end{array}$ |
| cooperation [2] 52/22 76/ | 58/24 | decision [2] 20/1 22/16 |
| cops [2] 9/22 65/22 | cross-examination [2] 4/2 28/25 | dedicated [1] 68/20 |
| corner [1] 18/3 | cross-examine [3] 25/19 26/2 | deep [1] 62/20 |
| correct [12] 6/24 10/22 11/1 | 58/24 | deepened [1] 80/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 20 & 14 / 20 & 45 / 23 & 45 / 24 & 46 / 2\end{array}$ | cross-examined [2] 5/16 5/17 | defendant [23] 1/6 1/16 5/10 |
| 46/12 78/16 78/17 86/11 | crossed [1] 62/8 | 16/2 16/2 $24 / 18$ 26/14 27/1 |
| corrections [1] 30/24 | crossing [1] 62/7 | 35/23 37/3 37/5 37/11 37/21 |
| correctly [3] 10/24 10/25 35/6 | CRR [3] 1/23 86/10 86/14 | 40/22 41/16 41/22 42/9 42/19 |
| corroborated [1] 18/2 | crucial [1] 39/5 | 47/4 50/8 52/1 56/23 79/21 |
| corroborating [2] 4/6 9/18 | crucially [1] 52/18 | Defendant Hersl [9] 35/23 37/3 |
| corruption [1] 76/18 | crying [1] 60/6 | 37/5 37/11 37/21 $42 / 19$ 50/8 |
| could [16] $2 / 24$ 15/23 16/10 | culpability [2] 35/11 82/20 | 52/1 56/23 |
| 20/16 22/3 22/6 25/3 27/6 28/19 | culture [1] 41/12 | defendant's [2] 40/20 41/15 |
| 37/12 45/4 54/14 59/22 64/22 | cumulatively [1] 37/19 | defendants [11] 6/5 26/14 34/6 |
| 68/1 74/6 | current [3] 25/13 29/3 31/4 | 42/13 42/20 48/2 49/16 50/8 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll} \substack{\text { couldn't } \\ 51 / 18} & {[4]} & 13 / 18 & 36 / 14 & 49 / 1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll} \begin{array}{c} \text { custody } \\ 83 / 13 \end{array} & {[4]} & 72 / 19 & 73 / 1 & 77 / 3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{ccc} 52 / 5 & 52 / 15 & 82 / 6 \\ \text { defending [1] } & 16 / 21 \end{array}$ |
| Council [1] 49/17 | cut [1] 57/21 | defends [1] 15/10 |
| counsel [8] 2/10 8/13 10/1 | D | defense [13] 6/17 9/2 $10 / 3$ 11/9 |
| 24/18 25/8 57/17 84/4 85/20 | D | $\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ 12 / 6 & 12 / 22 & 16 / 7 & 22 / 20 & 25 / 8 & 28 / 8\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { counseling } & \text { [1] } & 83 / 23 \\ \end{array}$ | daily [3] $14 / 19$ 73/1 $74 / 12$ | 38/6 47/9 57/16 |
| count [11] 23/8 23/8 23/11 | Dan [8] 66/16 66/18 66/19 66/20 | Defense Exhibit No. 2 [1] 6/17 |


| D | 38/23 39/16 41/1 41/2 41/20 | does [9] 13/1 27/12 27/14 30/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| D |  |  |
| Defense Exhibit Nư | 43/7 45723 46/10 49/5 55/11 | doesn't [10] 4/11 4/18 20/20 |
| 57/16 | 55/14 55/15 56/4 56/16 56/19 | 30/20 37/1 44/8 65/6 74/17 |
| Defense Exhibit Number 4 [1] | 58/12 58/14 58/15 60/20 61/2 | 79/23 81/1 |
| 9/2 | 76/3 76/3 78/2 80/3 82/1 | doing [12] 13/25 16/16 16/16 |
| Defense Exhibit Number 5 [1] | didn't [42] 6/13 7/24 17/2 | 17/14 26/6 54/15 56/2 58/18 |
| 12/22 | 17/23 20/16 $21 / 4$ 21/8 $21 / 11$ | 71/23 72/19 74/5 74/21 |
| Defense Exhibit Number 7 [2] | 22/17 22/23 22/24 24/2 24/9 | dollars [5] $25 / 24 \quad 37 / 20$ 43/17 |
| 11/9 12/6 | 25/5 25/14 25/17 26/3 28/5 | 43/17 58/21 |
| deferred [1] 84/7 | 28/16 36/3 36/10 37/11 37/13 | don't [32] 8/20 17/25 18/13 |
| degree [1] 83/7 | 38/16 38/16 39/10 39/12 42/2 | 19/16 27/10 28/23 35/1 35/13 |
| demean [1] 36/8 | 43/8 47/12 50/1 50/16 56/3 56/4 | 40/2 40/5 47/13 49/9 49/9 58/6 |
| demonstrates [1] 44/18 | 56/20 57/24 58/24 60/18 64/24 | 58/10 65/18 66/13 71/3 72/2 |
| denied [4] 5/6 5/7 25/20 60/19 | 65/9 84/2 84/25 | 72/7 72/25 73/10 73/15 74/1 |
| Dennis [2] 45/6 45/20 | died [1] 61/15 | 76/14 78/4 78/5 81/15 82/13 |
| Dennis Armstrong [2] 45/6 45/20 | differ [1] 60/19 | 84/8 85/5 85/6 |
| deny [2] 5/6 32/8 | different [10] 25/17 26/7 27/19 | done [6] 15/23 22/6 31/22 69/4 |
| denying [1] 29/22 | 27/19 42/2 48/18 55/17 55/17 | 80/14 81/22 |
| Deontray [2] 4/22 4/25 | 63/13 72/1 | door [1] 66/6 |
| Deontray Brown [2] 4/22 4/25 | difficult [8] 15/21 15/22 15/24 | Dorothy [1] 68/15 |
| department [8] 37/19 41/13 | 50/20 69/13 79/24 81/2 | Dorothy Hersl [1] 68/15 |
| 43/20 44/9 47/6 47/16 49/13 | difficulty [1] 82/8 | double [1] 45/14 |
| 68/24 | dignity [1] 36/6 | double-cross [1] 45/14 |
| depending [1] 33/1 | dimension [1] 22/12 | doubled [1] 20/14 |
| Depot [3] 44/7 44/8 47/1 | dip [1] 7/11 | doubt [2] 28/20 81/15 |
| deputy [1] 85/3 | Diplomate [1] 86/15 | Douglas [3] 1/23 86/10 86/14 |
| Derek [2] 1/14 2/9 | direct [3] 17/25 19/23 26/4 | down [13] 13/25 17/5 20/14 |
| Derek E. Hines [1] 1/14 | directs [2] 11/21 12/2 | 21/11 21/23 22/6 37/6 61/16 |
| Derek Hines [1] 2/9 | dirty [1] 9/22 | 63/24 65/1 73/2 75/8 76/20 |
| described [1] 53/23 | disclose [5] 22/22 22/24 26/25 | downward [1] 31/17 |
| deserve [2] 39/10 76/5 | 27/10 28/2 | dragged [1] 44/10 |
| designation [2] 79/4 84/12 | disclosed [5] $15 / 1$ 16/3 $22 / 3$ | drained [1] 71/2 |
| despite [1] 4/18 | 27/22 28/24 | dramatic [1] 44/5 |
| dessert [1] 61/22 | disclosures [1] 17/11 | dramatically [1] 56/1 |
| destroyed [5] 39/20 39/22 40/2 | discounting [1] 14/2 | draw [1] 71/18 |
| 40/6 80/24 | discounts [1] 16/11 | drawing [1] 75/13 |
| destroys [1] 49/13 | discourages [1] 74/1 | drawings [5] 75/10 75/11 75/14 |
| detail [2] 46/24 57/8 | discuss [3] 15/17 30/16 84/2 | 75/14 75/15 |
| details [1] 64/13 | discussed [1] 40/16 | drinking [1] 48/21 |
| detained [1] 53/22 | disguise [1] 7/14 | drinks [1] 77/5 |
| detectable [1] 10/13 | dismiss [1] 85/6 | driver [1] 68/19 |
| detected [1] 50/23 | dismissal [2] 80/18 80/19 | driver's [1] 64/2 |
| detective [23] 3/18 3/22 3/22 | dismissed [1] 80/22 | driving [1] 54/20 |
| 4/2 4/20 $4 / 21$ 5/9 5/24 7/7 7/7 | disparities [2] 42/13 52/4 | dropped [2] 21/22 53/25 |
| 7/9 7/10 7/15 7/16 7/18 7/21 | display [2] 38/17 42/5 | drove [3] 45/4 45/5 45/5 |
| 7/22 14/1 33/5 54/2 57/12 57/12 | distinction [2] 52/19 52/19 | drug [21] $3 / 13$ 3/14 $3 / 19$ 3/22 |
| 58/19 | distributed [1] 10/11 | 10/8 21/2 23/23 25/13 25/16 |
| Detective Brown [2] 7/15 7/22 | distribution [4] $3 / 23$ 5/2 $11 / 4$ | 39/14 39/16 40/23 41/3 41/7 |
| Detective Fassl [1] 3/22 | 53/3 | 45/11 53/8 53/14 65/12 65/22 |
| Detective Hersl [7] 3/18 3/22 | district [8] $1 / 11 / 113 / 614 / 7$ | 77/18 83/2 |
| 4/2 4/21 5/9 14/1 54/2 | 16/4 16/5 16/5 52/4 | drug-trafficking [1] 10/8 |
| Detective Jenkins [3] 5/24 7/16 | District Courts [1] 52/4 | drugs [42] $4 / 3$ 4/23 5/1 5/2 $5 / 8$ |
| 7/18 | distrust [1] 80/15 | 5/10 5/13 8/12 8/17 9/20 9/21 |
| Detective Rayam [1] 33/5 | disturbing [1] 81/19 | 10/2 10/2 16/24 17/19 17/19 |
| Detective Tolliver [3] 7/9 7/10 | divers [1] 33/14 | 20/6 20/20 25/16 25/20 29/14 |
| 7/21 | DIVISION [1] 1/2 | 36/22 36/23 37/10 45/9 50/14 |
| detectives [5] 4/22 5/23 33/4 | divorce [2] 39/21 40/7 | 53/3 53/5 53/6 53/9 53/20 54/1 |
| 52/9 59/7 | do [37] 5/8 9/5 32/22 32/22 | 57/13 57/13 57/22 58/4 58/20 |
| determination [1] 46/11 | 34/13 34/22 35/6 36/2 36/15 | 62/3 62/4 62/5 68/20 76/8 |
| determine [1] 17/16 | 40/2 40/5 41/2 42/22 49/10 | dud [1] 38/24 |
| deterrence [4] 42/12 50/13 | 55/22 55/24 58/4 60/9 60/10 | dud house [1] 38/24 |
| 82/13 82/16 | 66/16 66/19 70/14 70/20 71/11 | due [2] 62/23 68/13 |
| devalued [2] 36/9 37/16 | 74/24 76/19 79/7 79/13 81/5 | during [5] 8/3 14/22 36/9 65/14 |
| devastated [1] 40/12 | 81/25 83/7 83/8 85/6 85/11 | 81/25 |
| dialed [1] 59/10 | 85/16 86/2 86/10 | duties [1] 17/12 |
| did [62] 5/6 5/9 6/1 9/20 9/21 | docket [2] 13/18 33/14 | duty [2] 26/25 28/2 |
| 9/21 9/22 9/23 11/3 13/14 15/14 | docketed [1] 3/3 | E |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 25 & 20 / 5 & 20 / 6 & 23 / 11 & 23 / 11 \\ 23 / 18 & 23 / 20 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 12\end{array}$ | doctor [1] 71/7 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 18 & 23 / 20 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 12\end{array}$ | document [2] 3/4 34/1 |  |
| 25/19 26/2 26/16 29/17 34/3 | Document 432 [1] 34/1 |  |
| 34/8 34/12 36/11 37/12 37/21 | documents [1] 8/3 | 62/16 83/20 84/9 |


| E | even [15] $5 / 416 / 9$ 19/21 $24 / 12$ | F's [1] 40/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3d 21 |
| earlier [3] 20\%19'29/19 | 48/11 54720 56/16 60/24-7297 | Fabricated [2] 57/13 58/19 |
| early [3] 12/15 37/23 65/25 | 73/23 | fabricating [1] 76/15 |
| earned [2] 62/18 75/16 | evening [1] 45/5 | face [3] 60/13 81/4 81/5 |
| east [2] 3/16 66/1 | event [3] 11/12 28/5 46/14 | faced [1] 51/20 |
| East Baltimore [1] 66/1 | events [3] 11/11 27/11 77/13 | faces [1] 64/9 |
| educated [1] 61/13 | eventually [1] 32/8 | facilities [1] 77/18 |
| education [1] 76/24 | ever [6] 8/11 37/7 44/12 44/12 | facility [2] 71/21 79/4 |
| effect [3] 77/4 77/15 77/20 | 72/14 72/15 | fact [23] 3/25 4/18 5/22 8/10 |
| effective [1] 49/14 | every [6] 39/24 70/13 70/18 | $16 / 23 ~ 20 / 18 ~ 34 / 19 ~ 36 / 18 ~ 37 / 15 ~$ |
| effects [3] 44/14 62/4 77/1 | 71/18 79/19 81/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 38 / 9 & 38 / 13 & 40 / 5 & 40 / 6 & 41 / 4 \\ 46 / 9\end{array}$ |
| effectuate [1] 56/1 | everybody [1] 12/12 | 47/10 49/5 51/25 52/15 53/19 |
| efficient [1] 65/21 | everybody's [2] 21/22 40/1 | 54/18 80/22 81/17 |
| efforts [1] 70/10 | Everyman [1] 69/24 | factor [5] 33/17 35/9 53/18 |
| egregious [5] 45/18 45/19 46/19 | Everyman Theater [1] 69/24 | 82/16 82/20 |
| 47/4 76/13 | everyone [14] $2 / 3$ 31/2 $70 / 13$ | factors [6] 32/9 42/6 56/13 |
| eight [1] 72/2 | 71/6 71/14 71/16 71/17 71/19 | 77/24 77/24 83/10 |
| either [9] 3/15 4/3 9/13 13/19 | 72/18 72/18 72/23 73/8 73/21 | facts [3] 14/18 20/13 34/7 |
| 15/10 22/18 28/11 28/20 34/19 | 73/22 | fail [1] 22/24 |
| else [10] 12/3 15/10 27/15 | everything [5] 9/18 30/20 43/16 | failed [2] 22/22 27/9 |
| 53/11 59/20 59/25 71/3 76/9 | 60/13 69/17 | fair [2] 16/7 83/12 |
| 78/15 79/15 | evidence [39] 4/20 17/25 18/1 | fairly [1] 82/22 |
| emergency [1] 12/14 | 18/10 18/12 20/15 21/21 22/18 | Fairton [2] 79/12 84/12 |
| emphasizes [1] 62/13 | 24/8 26/17 26/17 26/25 27/2 | faith [10] 18/14 18/14 18/15 |
| employment [2] 79/5 81/17 | 27/4 27/11 27/23 28/8 28/10 | 18/21 18/21 18/22 20/14 29/5 |
| empty [1] 53/23 | 28/12 28/14 28/21 28/22 28/24 | 70/9 82/4 |
| empty-handed [1] 53/23 | 29/4 29/10 $32 / 25$ 35/4 42/24 | false [3] 17/10 36/23 37/20 |
| enabled [1] 80/6 | 46/3 46/8 49/25 53/7 53/19 | falsely [1] 37/9 |
| encountered [1] 55/9 | 57/16 57/18 58/5 58/17 58/17 | family [22] 39/20 39/22 40/7 |
| encourages [1] 61/25 | 76/15 | 40/8 57/6 60/24 63/15 65/24 |
| end [3] 3/14 22/14 37/22 | Evidence Control [3] 57/16 | 65/25 68/25 69/13 69/20 70/3 |
| ending [1] 73/10 | 57/18 58/5 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}72 / 11 & 72 / 15 & 73 / 15 & 77 / 1 & 77 / 19\end{array}$ |
| ends [1] 65/4 | evils [1] 66/9 | 78/13 81/21 82/4 84/13 |
| enforce [1] 80/13 | exactly [3] 22/9 58/11 60/19 | family's [1] 69/25 |
| enforcement [8] 27/19 44/21 | exam [1] 47/12 | far [6] 25/12 34/25 35/1 40/17 |
| 48/17 49/8 49/12 50/22 50/22 | examination [3] 4/2 26/5 28/25 | 49/6 50/5 |
| 81/9 | examine [3] 25/19 26/2 58/24 | Fassl [1] 3/22 |
| engaged [1] 44/20 | examined [2] 5/16 5/17 | fate [1] 70/24 |
| engaging [1] 42/25 | example [1] 63/21 | father [4] 61/15 62/12 62/18 |
| engine [1] 64/19 | examples [1] 63/20 | 74/18 |
| enhancement [1] 34/24 | excerpt [3] 70/12 73/20 74/12 | favor [1] 73/24 |
| enhancements [2] 34/5 34/14 | excerpts [1] 70/25 | favorable [3] 18/9 22/18 27/2 |
| enjoy [3] 62/15 73/11 74/8 | excess [1] 33/8 | FBI [5] 1/19 2/11 13/11 33/14 |
| enjoys [1] 61/22 | exclusively [1] 43/1 | 52/21 |
| enough [7] 36/5 42/22 43/6 | exculpatory [2] 22/19 27/3 | FCC [1] 79/11 |
| 47/21 55/21 58/25 58/25 | excuse [4] 6/19 10/15 40/24 | FCI [1] 84/12 |
| enriching [1] 14/1 | 58/9 | FCI Fairton [1] 84/12 |
| enrichment [1] 14/5 | exhibit [12] 6/17 6/20 7/17 | fear [1] 59/7 |
| ensued [1] 22/21 | 7/25 9/2 10/3 10/17 11/9 12/6 | fears [1] 70/10 |
| enter [1] 60/18 | 12/22 57/16 67/18 | February [36] $4 / 16$ 8/25 9/15 |
| entire [6] 19/23 37/17 37/24 | Exhibit 2 [1] 6/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 15 & 10 / 16 & 11 / 5 & 12 / 25 ~ 12 / 25\end{array}$ |
| 46/21 46/24 81/8 | Exhibit 3 [1] 10/17 | 13/2 13/5 13/20 15/22 15/25 |
| entitled [3] 41/2 41/6 86/12 | Exhibit Number 1 [1] 7/25 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 25 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 1 & 16 / 16\end{array}$ |
| entry [1] 13/18 | Exhibit Number 2 [1] 67/18 | 17/8 18/24 19/1 19/3 19/5 19/9 |
| episode [2] 23/12 53/5 | exhibits [2] 2/20 3/13 | 19/14 19/24 20/2 20/24 22/4 |
| episodes [1] 21/18 | exits [2] 11/13 11/18 | 24/2 24/7 24/9 27/6 27/17 27/23 |
| epitomizes [1] 61/17 | expect [1] 49/9 | February 13th [1] 27/23 |
| Equally [1] 13/9 | expects [1] 70/10 | February 1st [13] 4/16 8/25 |
| equipment [1] 15/9 | expensive [2] 44/11 45/2 | 9/15 10/15 10/16 11/5 12/25 |
| error [3] 32/16 32/17 32/20 | explained [1] 59/19 | 13/2 13/5 15/22 16/16 17/8 27/6 |
| escape [1] 3/16 | expletive [1] 39/22 | February 2nd [1] 15/25 |
| especially [2] 70/17 77/12 | expose [1] 42/2 | February 3rd [1] 15/25 |
| Esquire [3] 1/14 1/14 1/17 | exposed [2] 42/3 50/15 | February 4th [1] 16/1 |
| essence [5] 4/6 4/19 5/6 5/6 | extent [1] 29/19 | February 5th [1] 16/1 |
| 6/8 | extremely [1] 76/25 | February 6th [1] 16/1 |
| essential [1] 49/14 | eyed [1] 51/23 | February 7th [2] 13/20 16/1 |
| essentially [2] 46/3 66/2 | eyes [1] 73/5 | February 8th [2] 12/25 27/17 |
| establish [1] 27/12 | F | federal [4] 1/24 6/7 70/19 |
| Estimates [1] 38/3 |  | 86/16 |
| ether [1] 24/2 | f'ing [5] 39/22 39/23 39/23 | Federal Court [1] 6/7 |
| evade [1] 50/21 | 39/24 39/24 | feel [1] 72/25 |


| F | Fourth Circuit [6] 22/16 26/21 | 18/14 18/21 26/6 38/10 38/10 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8̌Euncift4-96/4Filed 08/17 |  |
| feels [4] | 17 13] 21/12 36/1483 | /19 $62 / 19$ 62/19 62/19 63/10 |
| 79/13 | fraud [5] 28/14 45/18 46/18 | 65/13 66/10 66/11 68/4 68/5 |
| fellow [1] 67/22 | 80/25 82/18 | 69/9 72/12 74/21 74/22 81/22 |
| felt [1] 44/14 | freak [1] 59/21 | goodness [1] 67/10 |
| fender [1] 64/19 | free [1] 49/21 | goodnight [2] 72/21 75/6 |
| fender/engine [1] 64/19 | fresh [1] 77/5 | gospel [1] 59/10 |
| few [3] 40/20 57/3 71/21 | Friday [1] 1/8 | got [16] $9 / 13$ 9/14 43/24 43/25 |
| fifth [1] 14/9 | friend [2] 62/11 62/19 | 44/1 $46 / 13$ 46/14 56/13 59/19 |
| figure [2] 24/11 53/24 | friends [4] 4/13 4/19 73/15 | 65/5 65/24 66/11 66/19 66/20 |
| filed [4] 2/19 44/12 59/13 | 81/21 | 67/10 73/3 |
| 59/14 | friends' [1] 69/21 | Government [31] 4/7 5/19 5/22 |
| files [1] 60/1 | front [2] 27/23 68/19 | 6/11 6/13 8/3 8/8 9/18 10/1 |
| filing [3] 3/3 20/12 85/19 | frustration [3] 76/21 76/21 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}11 / 24 & 13 / 2 & 13 / 19 & 15 / 4 & 15 / 5 & 17 / 1\end{array}$ |
| film [1] 11/7 | 76/21 | 21/8 24/3 25/4 25/7 27/13 29/7 |
| finally [4] 39/17 73/3 74/10 | full [4] 12/17 $21 / 6$ 42/4 60/19 | 29/10 30/23 33/22 35/14 35/16 |
| 82/20 | funds [1] 85/20 | 58/13 59/9 59/11 60/17 75/17 |
| financial [3] 40/8 83/24 84/8 | further [5] 19/21 32/6 48/10 | Government's [4] 18/4 29/16 |
| find [6] 28/23 29/10 45/23 | 60/11 85/1 | 34/13 60/20 |
| 50/20 80/3 83/10 | future [2] 72/4 77/7 | grab [1] 60/7 |
| fine [4] 12/9 57/9 68/10 84/8 | G | grades [1] 62/14 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { fingers [1] } 37 / 6 \\ & \text { fire [2] } 64 / 20 \quad 64 / 24 \end{aligned}$ | gambling [1] 25/23 | grams [1] $10 / 12$   <br> great [7] $14 / 17$ $50 / 10$ $55 / 4$ |
| firearm [1] 31/8 | game [1] 20/6 | 62/12 63/17 66/6 82/16 |
| firefighter [1] 68/12 | games [2] 4/18 4/19 |  |
| firms [3] 15/7 15/9 15/12 | gang [1] 39/4 | 49/6 50/5 56/12 56/12 56/12 |
| first [23] 2/21 4/9 19/1 19/1 | gap [1] 52/14 | greatly [1] 67/8 |
| 19/4 19/20 21/20 25/1 27/1 30/3 | gave [4] 33/13 54/22 57/15 | Griffin [11] $23 / 3$ 35/19 35/22 |
| 41/9 54/16 55/9 55/23 60/3 66/6 | 69/19 | 35/24 35/25 36/3 36/10 37/2 |
| 68/1 68/2 70/12 70/15 70/17 | gelcaps [4] 3/23 3/24 57/19 | 40/14 43/12 56/6 |
| 73/3 79/8 | 57/19 | groin [1] 7/10 |
| firsthand [1] 62/5 | general [2] 14/6 82/16 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { group [4] } & 17 / 3 & 17 / 4 & 66 / 4 & 66 / 5\end{array}$ |
| fish [2] 48/11 74/25 | generally [1] 76/19 | groupings [1] 31/5 |
| fishing [1] 74/24 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { gentleman [2] } & 11 / 22 & 13 / 4\end{array}$ | groups [1] 66/2 |
| fist [1] 60/5 | gentlemen [1] 14/4 | growing [2] 65/18 65/19 |
| fit [1] 32/7 | get [22] 6/2 6/12 9/3 9/8 17/23 | GTTF [11] 23/13 39/4 43/18 |
| five [3] 10/12 31/7 49/2 | 28/4 37/11 45/14 55/24 61/24 | 43/19 43/21 45/14 47/20 50/3 |
| Floor [1] 1/24 | 61/25 64/18 70/19 72/6 72/14 | 51/22 54/8 55/18 |
| flyer [1] 20/8 | 73/1 73/15 74/1 74/2 74/7 75/13 | Guerilla [2] 65/24 65/25 |
| follow [2] 36/14 83/20 | 77/18 | guess [10] 7/2 8/7 32/10 37/17 |
| followed [2] 41/11 41/24 | gets [5] 58/6 64/7 64/8 64/14 | 60/12 71/20 71/24 72/1 72/11 |
| foot [1] 46/24 | 72/20 | 72/25 |
| force [16] 37/18 42/20 42/25 | gettin' [1] 67/6 | guideline [5] $30 / 8$ 30/23 35/8 |
| 43/3 $47 / 7$ 47/8 $48 / 1$ 48/9 55/3 | getting [3] 8/23 64/3 66/24 | 75/19 83/7 |
| 66/8 66/10 67/1 67/3 76/18 80/6 | Gina [1] 1/19 | guidelines [7] $32 / 3$ 33/19 34/7 |
| 82/23 | Gina Swillo [1] 1/19 | 34/25 35/1 35/9 75/22 |
| foregoing [1] 86/11 | give [8] 9/8 12/17 13/21 16/25 | guilty [15] $23 / 1 \quad 23 / 9 \quad 23 / 10$ |
| foreseeability [1] 34/23 | 41/1 45/11 63/20 69/18 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 23 / 15 & 38 / 21 & 42 / 14 & 45 / 25 & 49 / 2\end{array}$ |
| forewarned [1] 3/19 | given [5] 17/10 56/10 69/17 | 49/21 50/6 52/17 60/15 60/16 |
| forget [1] 73/23 | 70/3 75/11 | 60/18 79/19 |
| forgetting [1] 71/11 | gives [2] 3/14 80/12 | gun [48] $5 / 7$ 5/10 $5 / 13$ 5/18 $6 / 1$ |
| forgive [1] 71/12 | giving [1] 18/3 | 7/6 7/23 8/16 11/22 11/23 11/25 |
| forgot [2] 12/11 66/21 | global [1] 84/3 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}12 / 2 & 12 / 3 & 12 / 4 & 13 / 16 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 17\end{array}$ |
| form [1] 19/9 | go [24] $2 / 23$ 3/15 7/10 13/1 | 17/18 17/22 17/23 18/3 20/22 |
| former [7] 52/8 52/9 52/9 52/11 | 20/4 34/25 35/1 37/12 39/15 | 20/25 21/2 21/22 27/15 34/15 |
| 52/12 82/8 83/11 | 40/9 45/15 $48 / 21$ 48/22 53/9 | 37/18 42/20 42/25 43/3 47/7 |
| Former-Detectives [1] 52/9 | 64/18 64/24 65/2 $66 / 3$ 69/24 | 47/8 48/1 $48 / 9$ 49/5 55/3 58/15 |
| Former-Officer Taylor [1] 83/11 | 70/14 73/3 74/25 75/1 76/3 | 58/19 64/8 65/4 66/8 66/8 66/10 |
| former-Sergeant Allers [2] 52/9 $52 / 12$ | God [2] $68 / 15$ $73 / 13$   <br> goes $[9]$ $8 / 8$ $33 / 5$ $40 / 17$ $48 / 16$ | 67/1 67/3 80/6 80/13 <br> Gun Trace Task Force [12] 37/18 |
| former-Sergeant Jenkins [2] | 59/23 60/4 60/11 75/9 77/22 |  |
| 52/8 52/11 | going [30] 9/13 11/8 14/11 | 55/3 66/8 66/10 67/1 67/3 |
| forth [3] 11/16 26/20 53/24 | 14/13 16/22 16/24 16/25 25/10 | gun-possession [1] 5/18 |
| found [18] 5/2 23/1 23/9 23/10 | 37/8 44/25 45/3 45/20 54/12 | gunman [3] 64/22 65/1 65/6 |
| 23/15 33/7 33/14 33/15 33/16 | 57/3 57/7 59/3 60/9 60/10 60/23 | guns [15] 6/4 6/9 38/11 38/17 |
|  | 62/9 63/24 64/8 64/24 72/2 | 62/4 64/1 66/11 66/12 66/19 |
| 50/19 59/11 71/21 85/19 | 73/15 73/16 74/22 74/23 78/14 | 66/21 66/21 66/23 66/23 66/24 |
| foundation [1] 81/7 | 79/11 | 67/6 |
| four [1] 36/24 | Gondo [2] 43/3 43/25 | guy [3] 24/10 66/15 67/10 |
| fourth [7] 22/16 26/21 72/1 | gone [4] 21/11 42/1 46/22 48/22 | Guy's [1] 54/12 |
| 85/23 85/24 86/1 86/4 | good [29] $2 / 3$ 2/12 $2 / 1313 / 14$ |  |


| H | 60/16 60/21 64/2 64/7 64/81 | 71/18 74/3 75/13 75/18 77/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (Tincit 4 | 945 of 10 |
|  | 78197812 | himselx [10] 7/1 |
| 16/3 16/9 16/23 17/2 17/4 17/7 | 81/22 | 17/17 17/20 17/24 19/22 54/23 |
| 17/19 19/8 20/13 20/22 22/21 | head [3] 59/22 64/12 64/16 | 66/6 81/16 |
| 23/18 23/19 24/5 24/7 24/11 | headache [1] 47/14 | Hines [4] 1/14 2/9 6/14 8/11 |
| 25/7 25/20 25/21 $25 / 25$ 26/1 | headed [2] 13/6 13/12 | his [143] |
| 27/9 28/24 28/25 29/13 30/15 | health [3] $71 / 7$ 79/1 83/23 | histories [1] 52/5 |
| 36/2 36/15 37/10 38/3 39/8 | hear [6] $29 / 21$ 31/1 $32 / 11$ 45/4 | history [7] 33/24 35/7 $42 / 8$ |
| 43/21 43/22 44/20 45/2 45/11 | 61/1 70/22 | 47/5 47/24 69/25 81/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}45 / 12 & 45 / 12 & 46 / 22 & 47 / 7 & 48 / 2\end{array}$ | heard [42] 31/20 31/22 32/24 | hit [5] 54/7 60/6 62/8 64/14 |
| 48/6 $48 / 10$ 49/5 49/25 50/3 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 / 23 & 35 / 19 & 36 / 9 & 36 / 12 & 39 / 7\end{array}$ | 68/18 |
| 51/25 53/8 53/14 53/15 53/15 | 39/13 39/18 $43 / 4$ 43/9 43/11 | hits [1] 64/16 |
| 53/16 53/25 54/7 54/18 54/18 | 44/3 $44 / 16$ 44/22 47/13 47/17 | Hobbs [3] 23/16 28/23 30/6 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}55 / 9 & 56 / 9 & 58 / 4 & 68 / 8 & 68 / 12 & 73 / 4\end{array}$ | 48/4 51/16 53/4 53/7 53/13 54/3 | Hobbs Act robbery [3] 23/16 |
| 80/3 80/21 85/19 | 54/4 54/6 54/11 54/11 54/13 | 28/23 30/6 |
| hadn't [1] 48/22 | 56/6 56/23 57/11 63/13 65/12 | hold [1] 85/20 |
| Hall [1] 68/19 | 66/9 66/10 66/15 71/21 75/16 | holding [1] 50/11 |
| Hamilton [12] 20/5 23/6 25/19 | 76/1 79/17 79/22 | holds [1] 72/4 |
| 38/18 39/7 40/14 58/22 59/5 | hearing [2] 22/15 69/12 | home [13] 35/22 36/25 37/25 |
| 59/13 59/14 59/18 60/2 | heart [2] 68/13 68/14 | 39/25 44/6 44/7 44/8 44/9 46/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Hamiltons [3] } & 23 / 16 & 39 / 2 & 44 / 6\end{array}$ | heated [1] 38/2 | 47/1 54/21 72/14 73/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { hand [4] } & 11 / 4 & 11 / 4 & 11 / 19 & 82 / 9\end{array}$ | heaven [1] 68/17 | Home Depot [3] 44/7 44/8 47/1 |
| hand-to-hand [1] 11/4 | held [2] 59/22 60/3 | homicide [2] 65/16 67/1 |
| handcuffed [1] 39/3 | Hello [3] 72/18 73/8 73/21 | homicides [4] 65/14 65/17 66/23 |
| handcuffs [1] 34/19 | help [4] 62/1 65/2 72/13 73/14 | 67/4 |
| handed [2] 53/23 61/16 | helped [1] 61/24 | honor [70] $2 / 6$ 2/16 $2 / 24$ 3/2 |
| handgun [9] 4/24 7/12 7/12 9/21 | helps [1] 30/20 | 3/7 7/24 9/25 10/22 12/7 19/20 |
| 9/22 11/19 11/19 12/21 27/5 | Hendrix [10] 43/1 48/7 52/9 | 21/16 22/15 24/5 24/14 24/17 |
| Handing [1] 12/10 | 52/12 52/13 52/20 55/17 55/18 | 24/19 26/8 26/9 30/25 31/21 |
| handled [1] 27/18 | 76/2 76/3 | $32 / 1$ 34/1 35/18 35/19 35/19 |
| handling [2] 20/24 27/5 | her [4] 61/16 61/22 68/15 69/2 | 36/12 39/7 39/18 40/4 40/16 |
| hands [3] 18/15 22/4 37/6 | Herbert [20] 3/9 3/25 4/9 4/11 | $\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 11 & 44 / 16 & 44 / 22 & 45 / 24 & 46 / 2\end{array}$ |
| happen [1] 73/16 |  | 46/17 48/4 50/25 50/25 51/7 |
| happened [5] 22/2 26/18 36/19 | 36/21 37/2 37/4 37/12 37/13 | 51/15 51/16 52/7 57/2 57/23 |
| 55/25 59/6 | 43/14 49/24 57/12 57/17 58/14 | 57/25 61/5 62/23 63/3 63/10 |
| happens [1] 64/14 | Herbert Tate [17] 3/9 4/9 4/11 | 63/12 67/9 67/18 67/19 67/23 |
| happy [4] 34/5 61/1 73/6 75/4 | 8/1 18/7 23/4 36/13 36/17 36/21 | 68/4 68/24 69/6 69/10 69/12 |
| hard [2] 50/19 50/20 | 37/2 37/4 $37 / 12$ 37/13 $43 / 14$ | 69/16 75/3 78/17 78/19 84/19 |
| hardly [2] 23/22 46/24 | 49/24 57/12 57/17 | 84/20 85/17 85/18 86/5 86/8 |
| hardship [1] 81/4 | Herbert Tate's [2] 36/15 58/14 | honor roll [1] 75/3 |
| hardworking [1] 16/6 | here [22] 6/12 9/3 18/4 18/10 | HONORABLE [1] 1/10 |
| Harford [3] 63/21 63/24 65/22 | 19/10 25/24 27/21 28/20 30/3 | hood [1] 64/22 |
| Harford Road [3] 63/21 63/24 | 37/1 39/23 $40 / 1$ 60/21 64/2 | hoodie [4] 9/12 11/15 11/20 |
| 65/22 | 70/14 72/3 72/14 77/4 77/6 81/3 | 11/22 |
| harm [3] 40/17 49/6 80/14 | 81/12 81/24 | hope [9] 9/9 67/13 72/18 73/8 |
| harm's [1] 81/16 | hereby [1] 86/10 | 73/11 74/21 74/22 75/10 79/6 |
| harms [2] 80/2 80/4 | heroin [1] 10/13 | hopefully [5] 16/25 74/2 74/24 |
| has [53] $2 / 19$ 6/4 10/12 $11 / 13$ | HERSL [131] | 75/23 79/7 |
| 11/19 15/5 15/11 22/7 25/10 | Hersl's [6] 35/12 36/20 53/15 | horror [1] 41/11 |
| 26/20 30/15 30/17 31/22 35/1 | 61/10 80/9 82/3 | horse [1] 25/1 |
| 35/14 $40 / 8$ 40/16 $40 / 25$ 41/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Hi [7] } & 70 / 13 & 71 / 6 & 71 / 14 & 71 / 19\end{array}$ | hot [1] 61/22 |
| 49/11 50/18 50/18 50/25 52/7 | 72/11 72/23 74/20 | hour [3] 12/18 62/9 73/5 |
| 57/16 58/12 58/13 59/7 61/24 | hid [4] 35/25 36/4 54/8 54/10 | hours [1] 46/23 |
| 62/18 63/15 65/7 68/25 69/4 | hide [2] 25/4 25/7 | house [14] 25/25 33/5 38/24 |
| 74/8 74/15 75/17 76/21 77/5 | hiding [1] 59/24 | 39/1 39/5 39/8 39/10 39/15 |
| 77/5 77/20 78/9 80/17 80/18 | high [4] 3/13 45/8 46/7 75/19 | 39/23 40/2 40/5 40/6 40/9 59/7 |
| 80/23 81/2 81/16 81/17 81/20 | higher [1] 12/1 | houses [1] 66/3 |
| 82/5 82/16 82/24 83/8 | highest [1] 83/4 | how [16] 22/9 24/12 29/15 30/19 |
| hat [1] 9/12 | highlight [1] 69/22 | 36/19 39/9 39/9 44/13 44/23 |
| hatched [1] 71/22 | highlighted [1] 5/22 | 50/21 54/13 54/23 54/23 66/19 |
| haul [1] 48/7 | highlighting [1] 9/17 | 76/12 76/24 |
| have [109] | highly [1] 3/11 | hugely [1] 33/19 |
| haven't [1] 66/10 | him [52] 4/3 5/16 5/17 6/10 | human [1] 68/18 |
| having [2] 53/21 54/9 | 8/19 9/23 10/1 20/16 20/23 21/2 | hundred [2] 43/17 58/21 |
| he [335] | $\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 9 & 23 / 10 & 23 / 14 & 23 / 15 & 30 / 18\end{array}$ | hundreds [8] 37/19 49/15 66/20 |
| he'll [2] 46/12 77/13 | 30/20 30/20 35/20 35/23 35/25 | 66/20 66/24 66/24 80/19 80/20 |
| he's [40] $5 / 11$ 7/11 7/12 7/15 | 36/7 36/21 36/22 36/23 37/5 | hurrying [1] 9/15 |
|  | $37 / 7$ 37/9 37/9 37/10 38/21 | hurt [3] 30/20 65/6 72/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 16 & 16 / 16 & 16 / 24 & 16 / 25 & 18 / 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 25 & 44 / 1 & 50 / 16 & 53 / 14 & 54 / 22\end{array}$ | husband [1] 69/2 |
| 23/25 25/16 25/16 $25 / 23$ 29/9 | 55/10 55/16 58/24 59/7 62/15 | I |
| 47/20 48/18 $48 / 18$ 54/12 58/12 | 62/21 62/22 64/3 65/3 66/17 |  |
|  |  | I'd [6] 8/4 32/10 61/1 63/12 |


| I | indictment [7] 10/4 10/5 10/10 | 33/12 41/19 43/8 43/25 44/23 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Caşili |  |  |
| I'd... [2] 2391867/9 | individual [5] 27/14 40715 | 48/13 ${ }^{\text {c/ }} 15$ 51/22 51/23 52/8 |
| I'll [10] $27 / 8$ 42/15 57/3 57/7 | 40/18 46/5 80/4 | 52/8 52/11 52/16 53/2 53/8 |
| 60/6 69/16 71/20 80/1 84/1 | indoctrinated [1] 74/17 | 53/13 53/19 55/4 55/6 55/9 |
| 84/11 | inevitably [1] 45/16 | 55/10 55/19 83/4 |
| I'm [40] $6 / 12$ 6/16 $8 / 19$ 8/21 | inexperienced [2] 55/7 55/8 | Jenkins' [1] 55/6 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}9 / 8 & 10 / 1 & 11 / 8 & 13 / 17 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 20\end{array}$ | influence [2] 12/1 68/20 | Jerome [4] 63/3 63/8 67/17 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 21 & 17 / 1 & 17 / 5 & 21 / 8 & 25 / 9 & 29 / 22\end{array}$ | information [16] $8 / 11$ 16/9 $18 / 9$ | 67/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 30 / 12 & 40 / 4 & 40 / 4 & 40 / 7 & 57 / 2 & 58 / 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}18 / 15 & 19 / 7 & 19 / 11 & 22 / 3 & 22 / 10\end{array}$ | Jerome Hersl [2] 63/3 63/8 |
| 63/16 64/3 66/14 67/12 68/8 | 23/18 23/22 25/4 25/7 25/8 27/2 | Jersey [1] 79/12 |
| 70/19 71/2 71/4 72/16 73/16 | 58/6 83/24 | Jimmie [9] $23 / 3$ 35/19 35/22 |
| 75/18 79/11 79/12 81/20 81/21 | initially [1] 32/15 | 35/24 36/3 36/10 37/2 43/12 |
| 83/16 84/24 85/11 | initiated [1] 54/9 | 56/6 |
| I've [17] 10/20 14/21 16/21 | injured [1] 65/6 | Jimmie Griffin [9] 23/3 35/19 |
| 40/13 $42 / 7$ 42/16 43/13 43/14 | inmates [1] 71/21 | 35/22 35/24 36/3 36/10 37/2 |
| 50/14 58/13 69/17 70/12 71/15 | innocent [3] 5/13 49/22 50/11 | 43/12 56/6 |
| 75/11 78/13 81/2 81/7 | instances [1] 43/5 | job [5] 8/22 8/23 36/25 70/19 |
| i.e [1] 22/20 | instead [1] 71/12 | 81/2 |
| idea [4] 20/4 20/8 20/18 23/25 | instructing [1] 16/17 | jobs [5] 8/21 17/1 25/17 39/16 |
| identified [5] $13 / 4 \quad 13 / 15$ 18/25 | instructions [1] 38/23 | 61/24 |
| 24/7 48/10 | intended [2] 19/25 25/7 | joined [8] 23/12 42/19 43/2 |
| identify [2] 15/22 27/14 | intent [1] 25/3 | 43/19 43/22 47/22 48/8 55/3 |
| identity [1] 16/2 | intentional [2] 25/3 29/9 | joining [2] 51/21 51/22 |
| illegal [2] 66/11 66/23 | interfere [1] 12/5 | Jr [1] 1/17 |
| immediately [1] 44/4 | interrogated [1] 44/9 | judge [9] 1/10 2/13 24/23 33/21 |
| impact [1] 38/15 | introduced [1] 8/3 | 39/11 57/2 58/3 73/25 82/16 |
| impeach [1] 23/19 | invaluable [1] 52/22 | Judge Blake [2] 2/13 57/2 |
| impeached [1] 23/20 | invested [1] 68/25 | judges [1] 81/8 |
| impeaching [5] 18/11 22/19 27/3 | investigate [1] 63/25 | judgment [2] 38/9 40/11 |
| 27/6 27/7 | investigated [3] 13/10 13/10 | July [6] 19/2 44/17 70/25 71/6 |
| impeachment [1] 18/10 | 64/5 | 74/14 74/20 |
| implication [1] 76/8 | investigation [7] 13/6 13/12 | July 10th [1] 71/6 |
| imply [1] 17/9 | 14/7 14/11 24/13 27/18 50/20 | July 2nd [2] 74/14 74/20 |
| importance [2] 62/14 82/17 | involved [18] 5/24 6/3 6/4 7/3 | July 9th [1] 70/25 |
| important [5] 4/5 16/7 47/23 | 10/7 20/2 21/12 23/24 24/4 | jump [2] 27/8 71/22 |
| 52/18 53/18 | 28/22 29/19 34/18 53/2 53/3 | jumped [1] 59/21 |
| importantly [1] 62/12 | 53/8 55/2 69/25 81/18 | $J$ |
| impose [1] 84/10 | involvement [2] 24/8 83/2 | June 11th [1] 32/5 |
| imposed [2] 42/10 83/11 | involving [6] 14/7 14/25 67/21 | June 13th [2] 30/13 30/19 |
| imposes [1] 78/7 | 76/1 76/8 80/21 | juries [2] 50/7 81/8 |
| impossible [2] 15/22 22/13 | is [202] | jurisdiction [2] 66/1 79/9 |
| impression [2] 4/1 5/8 | isn't [1] 16/23 | jury [26] $4 / 1$ 6/8 8/21 8/22 |
| improperly [3] 3/12 5/11 5/12 | issue [10] 21/3 24/15 25/1 | 9/17 9/19 9/20 9/25 17/18 17/21 |
| improve [2] 75/13 75/15 | 30/23 31/21 36/14 82/13 85/7 | 17/21 19/6 19/21 23/1 23/10 |
| inaccurate [2] 19/20 59/8 | 85/18 86/1 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 33 / 15 & 26 / 10 & 29 / 21 & 35 / 22 & 37 / 1\end{array}$ |
| incarcerated [3] 36/24 65/15 | issues [3] $26 / 3$ 33/23 84/14 | 38/20 39/17 45/23 54/11 58/14 |
| 71/15 | it [176] | 80/3 |
| incarceration [1] 82/12 | it's [28] $2 / 22$ 14/12 $16 / 5$ 16/11 | jury's [3] $27 / 24$ 46/4 80/7 |
| incident [9] 3/9 3/9 6/6 6/6 |  | just [89] |
| 6/14 6/16 7/18 12/23 18/8 |  | justice [3] $31 / 12$ 34/22 81/8 |
| incidental [2] 38/8 40/10 | 56/14 57/17 58/7 58/8 59/6 59/9 | justly [1] 82/19 |
| incline [2] 67/5 67/5 | 69/13 71/14 71/16 74/7 80/8 | K |
| include [4] 23/11 23/11 28/17 | 82/6 82/7 86/3 | K |
| 79/20 | its [1] 72/20 | K-A-S-H [1] 10/8 |
| including [4] $34 / 17$ 62/15 $69 / 1$ | itself [6] $12 / 5$ 12/23 13/22 | Kash [2] 10/8 10/11 |
| 76/15 | 14/24 14/25 76/22 | keep [6] 9/10 12/3 21/4 47/21 |
| increase [5] 31/7 31/8 31/10 | J | 73/18 74/3 |
| 31/11 31/15 |  | keeping [1] 12/4 |
| increased [2] 67/2 81/5 | J. [1] 1/14 | keeps [1] 49/7 |
| incredible [1] 16/11 | jail [7] $6 / 2$ 17/23 $37 / 8$ 49/22 | kept [1] 37/8 |
| incredibly [1] 18/10 | 50/1 50/2 72/1 | Kevin [2] 1/19 2/10 |
| indicate [5] 7/22 12/23 13/1 | James [2] 51/16 51/20 | Kevin Bodmer [2] 1/19 2/10 |
| 13/9 57/11 | James Kostoplis [2] 51/16 51/20 | kidnapping [1] 44/13 |
| indicated [8] 5/4 25/5 26/21 | Jane [3] 61/8 69/2 75/1 | Kids [1] 40/8 |
| 28/16 32/2 32/7 76/6 78/12 | Jane Hersl [1] 69/2 | kill [3] 59/22 60/6 64/23 |
| indicates [2] 6/18 57/18 | Jane Shott [1] 61/8 | killed [2] 62/6 68/18 |
| indicating [8] $3 / 14$ 4/8 9/12 | Jane's [1] 74/25 | kind [3] 38/11 45/13 64/14 |
| 11/21 17/3 64/3 64/10 64/15 | jaws [1] 21/22 | kindness [1] 61/18 |
| indication [5] 6/3 25/6 28/6 | Jenkins [43] 5/24 6/3 6/9 7/2 | kinds [2] 56/3 80/16 |
| 83/1 83/1 | 7/5 7/8 7/16 7/18 17/22 21/6 | kingpin [1] 24/10 |
| indicative [2] 5/2 11/25 | 21/12 21/21 23/13 29/18 33/11 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { knew [6] } & 14 / 7 & 21 / 5 & 34 / 18 & 38 / 14\end{array}$ |


| K | Leo [2] 1/14 2/9 | 72/21 73/6 73/10 73/12 73/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Ees ${ }^{5}$ DUCisunerlt $4^{17 / 7}{ }^{4}$ Filed 08/17 | 73/19abde $5974 \beta^{3} 1$ ¢\%/5 75/7 75/7 |
| knew... [2] 4549 | Leo wise [1] 2/9 Fill | 込 |
| know [77] 6/14 8/8 8/10 8/10 | let [9] 6/19 18/21 29/25 30/11 | lovely [1] 69/1 |
| 8/14 9/24 10/3 10/4 10/14 10/14 | 30/18 31/1 $31 / 3$ 35/16 78/22 | loves [1] 61/18 |
| 11/24 13/14 15/18 16/23 17/6 | letter [12] 32/4 32/7 67/19 | low [1] 72/12 |
|  | 70/5 70/12 71/4 71/20 73/9 | loyal [1] 81/21 |
| 22/5 22/6 24/2 24/9 25/5 25/17 | 74/13 $74 / 14$ 74/15 74/20 | Luckily [1] 71/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}30 / 18 & 33 / 4 & 33 / 7 & 36 / 3 & 37 / 16 & 38 / 2\end{array}$ | letters [15] 35/13 61/2 69/19 | lunch [1] 12/15 |
| 38/6 38/22 39/25 40/25 41/3 | 69/20 69/21 70/1 70/3 70/7 | Luncheon [1] 51/10 |
| 41/5 42/1 $44 / 7$ 44/20 47/9 48/6 | 74/11 77/17 77/19 78/12 81/23 | lying [1] 16/15 |
| 49/9 50/21 50/25 53/10 55/24 | 82/2 82/3 | M |
| 56/3 58/4 58/6 58/9 58/12 58/17 | level [7] $31 / 5$ 31/17 $33 / 24$ | M |
| 58/17 58/22 58/23 58/25 59/23 | 34/10 34/24 35/7 80/14 | Ma'am [1] 61/6 |
| 60/23 65/6 65/18 65/24 66/16 | levied [1] 34/6 | made [7] 20/1 30/8 51/23 55/5 |
| 66/19 67/7 69/13 69/24 71/3 | lie [5] 17/1 20/17 52/15 54/14 | 55/16 59/2 81/2 |
| 72/2 72/12 73/11 73/15 75/11 | 72/7 | magically [1] 20/19 |
| 77/3 78/7 78/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { lied [9] } & 9 / 24 & 9 / 25 & 9 / 25 & 16 / 12\end{array}$ | magnitude [1] 76/14 |
| knowing [2] 19/10 72/3 | 16/13 16/13 19/21 25/15 26/17 | main [1] 15/19 |
| knowledge [1] 15/12 | lies [1] 16/22 | major [1] 23/25 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { known [6] } & 7 / 11 & 13 / 8 & 19 / 17 & 21 / 14\end{array}$ | life [12] 40/1 62/1 62/2 62/2 | majority [2] 69/4 81/3 |
| 22/10 63/23 | 63/18 64/21 65/9 68/20 68/23 | make [11] 8/16 31/2 32/5 47/10 |
| knows $[8]$ $15 / 5$ $18 / 23$ $19 / 4$ $19 / 7$ <br> $19 / 18$ $20 / 21$ $40 / 16$ $62 / 5$   | $\text { 69/8 } 73 / 16 \quad 74 / 8$ | ```50/21 57/3 62/2 65/4 72/7 75/4 84/11``` |
| Koons [1] 77/16 | $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 18 & 21 / 19 & 23 / 20 & 26 / 12 & 31 / 2\end{array}$ | makes [3] 5/17 41/4 47/10 |
| Kostoplis [4] 51/16 51/18 51/20 | 31/20 32/10 35/16 36/10 37/1 | making [3] 38/10 46/10 75/3 |
| 51/23 | 37/13 38/4 39/4 39/9 39/10 | male [1] 11/20 |
| L | $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 15 & 41 / 3 & 41 / 19 & 42 / 3 & 44 / 8\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { man [15] } & 9 / 18 & 11 / 14 & 11 / 21 & 13 / 15\end{array}$ |
|  | 49/24 53/7 53/19 58/14 60/25 | 15/5 23/24 $26 / 5$ 40/1 40/2 $40 / 4$ |
| lab [1] 57/24 | 61/17 63/12 63/16 63/18 67/9 | 40/8 63/24 64/9 64/9 69/9 |
| lack [1] 81/5 | 69/22 70/5 71/15 72/25 74/22 | man's [1] 36/6 |
| lapse [2] 38/12 40/11 | 75/1 75/10 82/21 83/3 | mandatory [1] 10/12 |
| large [6] 15/7 15/9 50/15 50/17 | likelihood [1] 29/1 | manifests [1] 76/22 |
| 69/21 76/1 | likely [2] 45/15 67/4 | many [11] 21/17 62/15 63/19 |
| large-scale [1] 76/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { limited [4] } & 7 / 5 & 7 / 19 & 7 / 19 & 76 / 25\end{array}$ | 66/19 66/21 68/14 74/18 $76 / 14$ |
| largest [1] 16/5 | line [4] 21/10 34/9 37/11 67/7 | 79/24 80/15 81/24 |
| last [8] 51/17 52/3 61/9 66/8 | literally [1] 74/11 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { March [4] } & 10 / 21 & 11 / 2 & 24 / 6 & 73 / 21\end{array}$ |
| 67/23 68/3 71/20 73/20 | little [7] 21/17 51/1 51/2 57/8 | March 5th [1] 73/21 |
| late [3] 46/21 70/17 74/23 | 71/17 74/20 78/23 | margins [1] 26/13 |
| lately [1] 72/24 | live [4] $4 / 11$ 4/18 $37 / 1$ 66/14 | mark [1] 53/15 |
| later [3] 22/8 35/21 48/10 | lives [9] 63/19 63/19 65/10 | market [3] 5/5 65/12 65/22 |
| Latosha [1] 63/23 | 65/21 66/25 67/6 67/10 | marriage [1] 59/6 |
| laugh [1] 54/13 | 81/18 | married [1] 59/21 |
| laughable [1] 20/9 | living [1] 39/3 | MARYLAND [5] $1 / 1$ 1/9 $1 / 25 \quad 27 / 21$ |
| laughed [1] 54/19 | location [3] 44/8 44/10 47/2 | 74/1 |
| law [22] 15/7 15/9 15/12 22/2 | lockdown [1] 72/6 | master [1] 59/17 |
| 23/9 26/19 27/19 36/10 42/11 | locker [4] 45/13 53/9 53/12 | material [3] 18/5 22/20 28/8 |
| 44/21 48/17 48/25 49/8 49/12 | 53/17 | materially [1] 55/17 |
| 49/13 50/22 50/22 80/8 80/12 | Lombard [1] 1/24 | materials [2] 22/22 27/9 |
| 80/13 81/9 82/10 | lonely [1] 72/20 | Matt [2] 62/6 70/22 |
| law enforcement [7] 27/19 44/21 | long [6] 50/1 57/10 63/25 64/9 | matter [8] 12/14 40/1 40/5 40/6 |
| 48/17 49/8 50/22 50/22 81/9 | 71/24 81/15 | 49/19 56/24 65/10 86/12 |
| lawful [2] 4/19 83/16 | longer [2] 25/16 47/8 | matters [6] 5/17 14/25 16/7 |
| lawyers [1] 55/5 | longest [1] 65/9 | 29/20 41/3 41/4 |
| lead [1] 33/23 | look [5] 6/13 16/24 44/8 70/4 | Matthew [2] 68/17 68/20 |
| learned [2] 37/15 37/24 | 74/3 | Matthew Hersl [1] 68/17 |
| least [11] 10/4 11/15 14/12 | looked [1] 59/10 | mattress [1] 72/8 |
| 18/10 27/13 32/12 $46 / 13$ 54/7 | looking [8] $10 / 17$ 30/12 $34 / 23$ | may [19] $3 / 7$ 9/6 $10 / 6$ 10/20 |
| 55/14 71/16 74/1 | 39/5 44/18 $56 / 11$ 73/18 79/3 |  |
| leather [2] 63/25 64/9 | looks [1] 26/12 | 26/9 46/12 $57 / 2$ 57/23 60/19 |
| leave [2] 33/4 71/10 | Lord's [1] 70/22 | 63/3 70/13 75/21 81/11 82/8 |
| leaving [3] $4 / 1$ 5/8 37/23 | loses [2] 22/23 22/25 | May 18th [1] 70/13 |
| led [4] 26/4 26/7 26/10 63/18 | loss [3] $31 / 13$ 32/14 $34 / 24$ | maybe [10] $17 / 3$ 19/24 19/25 |
| left [6] 3/15 36/25 45/12 52/23 | lost [8] 36/25 36/25 39/17 | 19/25 60/16 64/19 74/1 74/24 |
| 53/6 84/16 | 62/10 62/10 70/6 70/14 71/5 | 74/25 75/6 |
| legal [3] 35/4 83/16 84/17 | lot [8] 26/12 45/8 46/7 51/1 | McLane [1] 13/7 |
| legally [1] 29/6 | 58/24 66/9 67/10 84/14 | me [72] 6/19 6/19 8/10 $10 / 15$ |
| legitimate [6] $14 / 2$ 25/17 38/8 | lots [2] 47/13 64/1 | 12/15 13/20 14/20 16/19 16/25 |
| 40/10 44/21 48/17 | love [31] 61/18 $62 / 16$ 62/21 | 17/7 17/22 18/21 25/15 25/15 |
| length [1] 36/13 | 68/22 70/11 70/13 71/1 71/3 |  |
| lengthy [1] 49/4 | 71/4 $71 / 5 \quad 71 / 8 \quad 71 / 12 \quad 71 / 18$ 72/9 | $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 3 & 31 / 19 & 32 / 12 & 35 / 2 & 35 / 16\end{array}$ |
| leniency [1] 69/6 | 72/9 72/10 72/16 72/17 72/21 | 35/17 39/23 46/12 55/21 55/24 |


| M | mitigate [1] 67/13 | Mr. Taylor [4] 55/14 82/25 83/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| me... [43] 55925-58/9 58 | Mom [5] 70/18 71/3 72/9 $74 / 5$ | Mr. Wise 14 ( ${ }^{\text {d/5 }}$ 8/11 10/25 |
| 59/17 59/18 59/20 59/21 59/22 | 74/25 | 18/19 25/9 51/14 56/25 57/5 |
| 60/6 60/7 66/15 71/2 71/7 71/15 | moment [3] 24/20 33/23 45/21 | 57/11 58/6 58/10 58/16 76/6 |
| 71/22 71/22 71/23 71/24 71/25 | Mommy [2] 74/21 75/5 | 78/4 |
| 72/2 72/4 72/5 73/10 73/13 | money [24] 4/3 5/13 25/22 33/3 | Ms. [2] 3/4 70/2 |
| 73/14 73/14 73/16 73/16 73/19 | 36/5 39/5 40/23 41/3 41/4 41/7 | Ms. Simms ' [1] 70/2 |
| 73/22 73/23 73/25 74/6 75/4 | 43/16 44/20 45/3 45/7 46/6 | Ms. Wicks' [1] 3/4 |
| 75/7 78/5 78/11 78/12 78/22 | 46/14 50/15 50/15 56/8 56/8 | much [15] 12/13 19/19 40/8 |
| 78/24 79/22 83/15 86/3 | 80/5 80/7 80/25 81/1 | 58/25 62/21 63/2 70/14 70/14 |
| meals [1] 61/22 | money's [1] 5/12 | 70/20 70/23 71/1 71/16 72/24 |
| mean [4] 21/19 22/11 24/1 $32 / 20$ | month [3] 37/24 46/24 65/16 | 75/5 78/19 |
| means [3] 20/19 26/1 49/21 | months [7] $33 / 25$ 35/8 70/15 | multiple [2] 8/16 11/11 |
| meant [2] 39/10 45/2 | 71/15 72/2 73/4 83/13 | multitask [1] 66/1 |
| Medal [1] 67/19 | moral [1] 38/12 | must [12] 17/22 22/21 27/8 28/8 |
| Medal of Honor [1] 67/19 | more [17] $10 / 11$ 32/20 32/23 | 28/9 39/14 $42 / 1$ 42/7 65/8 80/22 |
| media [1] 14/17 | 35/20 36/8 41/21 45/15 49/1 | 82/11 82/17 |
| medical [1] 54/8 | 51/1 51/2 51/2 51/19 57/8 60/24 | MVA [1] 13/3 |
| medication [2] 40/3 71/7 | 66/21 81/2 83/6 | my [60] $8 / 13$ 13/18 $15 / 12$ 29/15 |
| meet [2] 22/17 26/24 | morning [7] $2 / 3$ 2/12 $2 / 13$ 2/14 | 32/4 32/4 39/20 39/22 39/23 |
| meeting [2] 48/5 51/22 | 11/6 65/25 70/17 | 40/2 40/3 40/5 40/6 40/7 40/8 |
| member [6] 47/7 47/18 47/20 | most [13] 22/16 38/17 44/5 | 57/15 59/22 59/22 60/6 61/8 |
| 55/18 82/23 83/6 | 46/18 47/6 49/17 49/21 52/23 | 63/8 63/16 66/14 66/15 67/10 |
| members [6] 10/11 37/18 50/3 | 53/3 62/12 67/4 71/10 73/12 | 67/19 68/11 68/13 68/15 68/17 |
| 57/6 60/24 69/13 | mother [4] 61/16 61/17 61/21 | 68/22 69/1 69/3 69/6 69/16 |
| memo [2] 40/20 76/17 | 68/15 | 70/14 70/16 70/18 70/22 70/23 |
| memorandum [7] $35 / 13$ 35/14 $38 / 7$ | motion [9] $1 / 12$ 2/18 $2 / 22$ 3/5 | 70/24 $71 / 17$ 72/1 $72 / 3 \quad 72 / 6 \quad 72 / 8$ |
| 38/19 38/22 41/22 69/19 | 6/17 8/4 10/25 24/15 29/22 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}72 / 12 & 72 / 15 & 72 / 15 & 73 / 5 & 73 / 15\end{array}$ |
| memorial [1] 18/25 | mouth [1] 37/6 | 73/18 73/24 74/2 74/2 74/3 |
| memorialized [1] 19/2 | move [8] 7/24 7/24 8/4 9/1 | 74/14 75/10 76/24 82/15 |
| men [7] 41/25 42/3 48/22 49/23 | 10/16 11/7 11/8 12/6 | myself [1] 72/19 |
| 51/25 56/16 81/3 | moved [2] $21 / 4$ 39/4 | N |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\text { mental [3] } & 71 / 6 & 79 / 1 & 83 / 23 \\ \text { mentally [1] } & 71 / 2\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { moving } & {[3]} & 6 / 16 & 12 / 22 \\ \text { Mr [1] } & 71 / 12\end{array}$ | N     <br> name [13] $10 / 8$ $15 / 9$ $61 / 6$ $61 / 8$ |
| mention [1] 7/16 | Mr. [154] | 61/9 63/6 63/7 63/8 66/15 67/25 |
| mentioned [2] 76/12 84/25 | Mr. Hamilton [6] 38/18 39/7 | 68/1 68/2 68/3 |
| mess [1] 72/17 | 58/22 59/5 59/18 60/2 | name's [1] 68/11 |
| message [2] 50/19 82/17 | Mr. Herbert Tate [1] 3/25 | Nancy [2] 23/6 59/14 |
| met [1] 56/16 | Mr. Hersl [46] 2/19 4/8 6/4 | Nancy Hamilton [2] 23/6 59/14 |
| Michael [10] 63/22 64/3 64/4 | 14/9 23/1 23/12 28/13 30/4 | Nanny [1] 75/1 |
| 64/6 64/7 64/10 64/15 64/23 | 30/17 32/25 34/17 34/20 35/3 | narcotics [2] 29/13 29/14 |
| 65/2 65/2 | 38/5 38/19 40/22 45/19 45/25 | nature [4] $42 / 8 \quad 42 / 16$ 47/3 80/1 |
| Michael Rice [9] 63/22 64/3 | 46/13 46/19 50/16 51/18 57/20 | nauseam [1] 59/3 |
| 64/4 64/6 64/7 64/10 64/15 | 58/4 58/12 59/25 60/15 69/11 | nearly [1] 25/23 |
| 64/23 65/2 | 76/7 76/25 77/21 78/1 78/9 | neck [1] 64/15 |
| microphone [1] 61/4 | 78/16 79/8 80/3 80/17 81/14 | need [13] $2 / 20$ 3/2 $12 / 1312 / 16$ |
| middle [2] 37/22 47/1 | 82/14 82/21 83/2 83/9 83/12 | 12/18 26/23 31/22 42/9 54/8 |
| might [4] 28/9 28/17 28/17 | 85/11 85/19 85/19 | 78/4 78/5 81/13 85/15 |
| 84/20 | Mr. Hersl's [4] 35/12 36/20 | needs [2] 27/1 51/1 |
| Mike [1] 64/14 | 80/9 82/3 | neighborhood [1] 37/8 |
| Mike Rice [1] 64/14 | Mr. Hines [2] 6/14 8/11 | never [10] 19/24 20/1 22/11 |
| miles [1] 62/9 | $\mathrm{Mr} . \mathrm{Kostoplis} \mathrm{[1]} \mathrm{51/18}$ | 25/10 54/1 60/18 72/13 73/10 |
| milligrams [1] 71/8 | Mr. Purpura [25] 2/22 12/11 | 73/23 77/14 |
| million [2] 25/24 38/3 |  | never-ending [1] 73/10 |
| mind [4] 8/13 70/23 74/3 74/4 | 19/10 19/25 20/4 22/5 23/19 | new [10] 1/12 2/18 26/24 29/22 |
| minimal [1] 25/22 | 24/3 28/25 29/4 29/9 30/14 | 36/14 37/25 46/25 47/16 73/12 |
| minimize [1] 38/20 | 31/19 36/14 39/9 41/10 44/19 | 79/12 |
| minimum [1] 10/12 | 57/1 78/21 85/14 | New Jersey [1] 79/12 |
| minor [1] 30/19 | Mr. Purpura's [2] 34/13 46/10 | New Year's [1] 73/12 |
| minute [4] 30/10 65/8 65/9 | Mr. Santiful [40] 4/14 5/25 6/5 | newspaper [1] 14/19 |
| 70/18 | 6/22 7/3 7/9 7/10 7/23 8/12 | next [5] 3/9 4/5 37/9 64/3 |
| minutes [1] 11/16 | 8/19 8/25 9/11 10/6 10/10 10/21 | 64/14 |
| misrepresent [1] 8/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 2 & 11 / 5 & 11 / 13 & 11 / 16 \\ 11 / 18\end{array}$ | nice [1] 45/2 |
| miss [10] 62/16 62/21 68/23 | 13/24 15/3 15/5 15/23 16/22 | night [2] 39/24 70/17 |
| 70/13 71/16 71/17 72/9 72/9 |  | nil [1] 77/11 |
| 72/21 75/5 | 19/8 19/15 $25 / 8$ 25/13 $27 / 14$ | no [43] $1 / 4$ 7/16 8/13 $17 / 117 / 2$ |
| missed [4] 10/20 53/16 84/20 | 28/4 28/17 28/18 28/22 29/12 | 17/19 18/22 20/10 20/17 20/17 |
| 84/25 | Mr. Santiful's [4] 4/23 13/23 | 21/17 22/3 22/14 24/5 24/8 25/6 |
| misses [1] 64/15 | 16/2 27/4 | 25/9 25/16 26/17 $26 / 17$ 28/6 |
| missing [3] 53/5 53/6 64/11 | Mr. Tate [5] 3/12 3/17 5/9 | 28/10 29/4 $29 / 5$ 29/7 30/25 |
| misstatements [1] 57/5 | 13/25 57/21 | 31/19 32/5 39/12 40/24 44/12 |


| N | Off [16] 7/14 $9 / 13$ 9/14 12/24 | opposed [1] 21/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 代478/15iled $08 / 17$ |  |
| no... [12] 54710 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 47/25 66111 66/20 66/21 66/24 | Order [8] $26 / 24$ 59/13 60/1 |
| 58/3 59/23 60/3 60/14 61/17 | 67/6 72/1 | 64/19 65/2 65/4 70/8 84/3 |
| 65/14 76/4 77/8 77/8 | offenders [1] 50/7 | Oreese [2] 48/5 48/6 |
| no-chase [1] 54/10 | Offense [14] 31/5 33/24 34/10 | Oreese Stevenson [1] 48/6 |
| No. [2] 2/8 6/17 | 35/7 42/8 42/10 42/12 42/17 | Oreese Stevenson's [1] 48/5 |
| none [3] 29/11 47/18 55/7 | 47/3 48/24 56/15 77/16 80/2 | organization's [1] 22/4 |
| nonsense [1] 19/18 | 82/10 | Original [2] 85/4 85/5 |
| normally [2] 17/9 64/17 | Offense Level 37 [1] 35/7 | Os [1] 71/5 |
| NORTHERN [1] 1/2 | Offense Level 38 [1] 34/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { other [36] } & 4 / 21 & 6 / 9 & 10 / 10 & 15 / 13\end{array}$ |
| not [102] | Offenses [2] 49/1 81/11 | 20/11 21/14 23/13 24/14 29/4 |
| note [6] 34/8 69/22 83/7 84/1 | offer [1] 74/8 | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 23 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 9 & 35 / 14 & 36 / 1 & 36 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 85/3 85/25 | Offered [3] 49/17 81/23 83/3 | 36/6 37/18 $42 / 22 \quad 42 / 24 \quad 42 / 25$ |
| noted [7] 7/2 14/21 14/23 32/3 | office [13] $14 / 6$ 14/13 14/21 | 43/5 43/9 55/22 56/1 56/5 61/17 |
| 70/12 74/14 85/15 |  | 62/16 64/25 65/23 76/9 78/3 |
| notes [1] 13/18 | 19/5 19/16 22/9 27/21 83/25 | 80/20 82/5 82/9 82/18 84/5 |
| nothing [15] 13/25 16/23 $18 / 22$ | officer [22] 1/19 15/16 21/14 | -thers [12] 34/20 40/20 41/9 |
| 19/14 36/8 36/15 40/2 40/5 | $35 / 25$ 36/1 $36 / 2$ 36/7 38/10 | 75/25 76/7 76/10 76/15 80/18 |
| 44/12 57/13 76/9 78/15 79/16 | 47/15 51/20 55/7 56/16 58/9 | 81/15 81/18 82/1 82/22 |
| 83/3 85/1 | 63/23 64/4 76/20 77/13 81/14 | otherwise [3] 28/18 38/8 44/20 |
| notoriety [1] 15/15 | 82/8 83/11 83/22 83/23 | ounce [1] 20/13 |
| November [16] 3/7 3/10 4/14 | Officer Latosha Tinsley [1] | Ounces [2] 45/12 53/16 |
| 4/17 4/21 5/15 10/5 10/14 10/19 | 63/23 | our [12] 25/2 25/2 34/2 50/10 |
| 17/18 24/4 36/22 43/12 43/13 | officers [27] 6/9 7/2 21/6 | 54/25 61/15 61/16 61/16 63/15 |
| 66/19 72/23 | 23/14 $23 / 23$ 34/17 41/5 42/22 | 80/15 81/8 85/3 |
| November 1st [1] 10/14 | 42/24 42/25 43/5 43/21 47/13 | out [54] 3/4 3/19 4/25 6/2 7/12 |
| November 2017 [2] 10/5 10/19 | 50/21 53/24 55/22 56/5 56/7 | 8/17 10/24 10/25 11/19 16/24 |
| November 27th [3] 3/7 36/22 | 64/25 66/2 67/22 77/8 77/9 | 17/23 20/10 21/4 24/1 24/5 |
| 43/13 | 80/11 80/23 81/9 82/17 | 24/11 26/6 28/13 29/12 29/13 |
| November 28th [6] 3/10 4/14 | Offices [1] 15/13 | 29/16 29/17 29/18 34/16 36/24 |
| 4/17 4/21 5/15 17/18 | official [3] 1/24 80/6 86/16 | 38/24 44/7 47/2 47/10 47/25 |
| November 2nd [1] 72/23 | Often [4] 66/13 71/17 73/1 | 49/15 50/1 50/4 50/12 53/23 |
| November 5th [1] 43/12 | 78/10 | 53/24 58/1 62/6 62/8 64/7 64/17 |
| now [30] 6/16 7/24 8/5 10/3 | oh [2] 21/7 84/23 | 64/22 65/3 68/19 70/7 71/21 |
| 10/4 10/14 $11 / 812 / 22$ 17/14 | okay [22] 6/25 10/23 24/21 31/1 | 71/25 72/6 73/6 73/18 74/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}18 / 4 & 19 / 23 & 26 / 7 & 28 / 17 & 36 / 2 & 36 / 5\end{array}$ | 32/22 33/22 34/11 41/4 46/16 | 74/25 75/18 84/16 |
| 39/21 40/7 64/13 65/7 65/18 | 51/14 57/8 58/2 64/16 64/24 | Outcome [2] 23/17 73/24 |
| 65/18 66/4 66/13 67/12 68/17 | 66/4 66/6 66/22 79/14 79/22 | outlines [1] 43/11 |
| 72/12 75/6 79/10 81/5 81/11 | 84/23 85/10 86/7 | Outside [3] $7 / 15$ 73/3 79/9 |
| number [17] 3/12 7/25 9/2 $10 / 3$ | old [6] $4 / 13$ 61/15 62/13 69/7 | Outstanding [1] 68/18 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}11 / 9 & 12 / 6 & 12 / 22 ~ 28 / 12 ~ 35 / 4\end{array}$ | 77/11 77/21 | Over [10] 28/5 34/24 36/9 36/9 |
| 35/13 42/17 50/5 53/1 57/16 | older [1] 69/3 | 58/10 59/2 64/22 67/2 69/5 73/4 |
| 61/2 67/18 82/25 | oldest [1] 63/11 | overall [1] 81/1 |
| Number 3 [1] 10/3 | once [1] 29/17 | Overtime [6] 37/20 37/23 38/4 |
| numbers [1] 49/17 | one [50] 3/12 5/23 6/9 7/2 8/22 | 46/22 54/14 80/25 |
| numerous [1] 70/21 | 14/9 18/3 19/12 19/20 21/6 | Own [9] 14/5 42/21 43/7 47/22 |
| 0 | $\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 14 & 22 / 18 & 24 / 14 & 24 / 20 & 29 / 14\end{array}$ | 55/3 55/22 64/21 69/2 81/10 |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 14 & 31 / 13 & 31 / 14 & 31 / 15 & 31 / 21\end{array}$ | P |
| -'clock [3] 9/1 51/5 51/6 | $32 / 11$ 32/21 34/15 34/24 35/9 | P |
| oath [3] 26/11 35/20 80/12 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}37 / 21 & 38 / 2 & 39 / 2 & 41 / 21 & 43 / 1 & 43 / 4\end{array}$ | p.m [2] 51/11 86/ |
| oaths [1] 82/18 | 43/24 44/10 49/2 49/3 53/6 | P.S [1] 75/8 |
| object [1] 39/11 | 55/14 55/20 55/21 59/23 63/21 | pacing [1] 11/1 |
| objection [5] 29/17 32/23 32/24 | 65/21 66/5 70/13 71/8 74/12 | package [1] 69/21 |
| 83/16 84/17 | 74/13 74/13 75/1 82/15 | packaging [1] 5/1 |
| objections [8] 30/9 32/3 32/4 | one-fifth [1] 14/9 | pad [1] 72/8 |
| $32 / 6$ 32/8 34/2 34/13 35/15 | one-level [1] 34/24 | page [4] 40/22 41/9 41/9 59/15 |
| observed [2] 10/20 71/23 | ones [1] 41/22 | Page 1 [2] 40/22 41/9 |
| obstruction [2] 31/11 34/22 | ongoing [3] 14/7 15/17 44/14 | paid [1] 8/23 |
| obvious [1] 16/3 | only [19] $4 / 12$ 6/1 $7 / 410 / 19$ | pain [1] 82/3 |
| obviously [26] $5 / 512 / 4 \quad 14 / 16$ | 18/6 23/8 35/9 47/20 52/20 | pants [1] 37/6 |
| 22/23 23/4 30/12 30/14 34/21 | 55/18 55/19 55/21 62/10 65/5 | Paragraph [2] 34/10 43/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 22 & 35 / 9 & 43 / 13 & 44 / 5 & 44 / 14\end{array}$ | 65/8 72/6 76/7 77/6 80/15 | Paragraph 7 [1] 43/10 |
| 51/16 52/7 $52 / 11$ 52/14 53/3 | open [4] $3 / 13$ 5/4 $65 / 12 \quad 65 / 21$ | Paragraph 75 [1] 34/10 |
| 53/4 54/14 79/6 80/25 81/13 | open-air [4] 3/13 5/4 65/12 | pardon [1] 65/23 |
| 81/21 82/4 85/11 | 65/21 | parents [1] 74/19 |
| occur [1] 46/10 | Opening [2] 13/19 46/10 | parked [1] 11/13 |
| occurred [4] 5/14 18/5 27/16 | operate [1] 50/14 | parking [2] 45/8 46/7 |
| 46/9 | operation [1] 44/21 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { part [6] } & 3 / 5 & 8 / 2 & 17 / 19 & 28 / 18\end{array}$ |
| October [2] 8/1 72/18 | operations [1] 68/14 | 38/25 45/2 |
| October 13th [1] 72/18 | opinion [2] 26/21 76/24 | participate [2] 48/14 55/10 |
| October 27th [1] 8/1 | opportunity [1] 69/24 | participated [1] 46/20 |


| P | planted [8] 4/3 5/10 6/9 21/22 | prejudicial [1] 28/9 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 100 $0^{3} / 707$ |
| participating [2] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - $/ 1983721$ | planting [2] 5/13 6/4 | preparing ti] 8473 |
| participation [2] 7/5 7/5 | play [3] 4/17 69/25 69/25 | preposterous [1] 21/25 |
| particular [21] 6/1 6/5 11/1 | played [2] 39/5 54/5 | presence [2] 34/20 46/5 |
| 11/7 13/9 14/18 15/20 25/11 | player [1] 23/25 | present [6] $1 / 18$ 2/16 14/22 |
|  | players [1] 15/19 | 14/24 22/8 81/25 |
| 75/19 76/12 77/8 77/12 79/13 | plea [3] 17/23 60/18 79/19 | presented [3] 19/4 35/4 82/2 |
| 82/3 82/8 | pleadings [1] 25/3 | presentence [10] $30 / 7$ 30/9 |
| particularly [3] 45/19 47/4 | please [13] $2 / 4$ 51/13 61/6 63/6 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 12 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 16 & 32 / 13 & 34 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 56/11 | 63/7 67/25 69/8 71/1 71/2 73/6 | 42/18 43/10 70/2 |
| partner [2] 63/22 63/22 | 73/13 73/18 74/3 | presently [1] 85/20 |
| pass [1] 12/8 | pled [2] 6/2 52/17 | presents [1] 15/4 |
| passes [1] 11/20 | plug [1] 15/9 | pressed [3] $39 / 8$ 39/8 39/8 |
| passing [1] 13/15 | plumbing [1] 69/2 | pressure [1] 40/8 |
| past [2] 15/6 61/24 | plus [2] 10/10 70/15 | pretty [2] 70/20 72/12 |
| Patricia [1] 13/7 | pockets [1] 56/7 | previous [2] 22/15 49/20 |
| Patricia McLane [1] 13/7 | podium [2] 2/25 61/3 | previously [1] 54/25 |
| patrol [1] 47/15 | point [30] 7/1 7/16 7/17 7/17 | prey [2] 36/11 37/14 |
| patrolled [1] 65/13 | 8/5 8/7 8/8 8/11 9/2 9/14 9/15 | prior [11] $5 / 18$ 6/5 8/17 $15 / 6$ |
| patrolling [1] 63/21 | 11/3 13/8 16/19 20/10 23/20 | 19/9 21/3 22/10 25/22 29/13 |
| patronizing [1] 21/7 | 24/5 25/15 29/12 29/18 31/13 | 40/17 79/4 |
| pawns [1] 74/19 | 32/21 33/17 48/16 50/25 57/4 | prison [1] 74/18 |
| pay [1] 37/17 | 58/24 59/2 60/12 69/23 | prisoner [1] 70/19 |
| paying [1] 14/17 | pointed [2] 10/25 38/24 | Prisons [5] 79/3 82/7 83/14 |
| peace [1] 72/20 | pointing [1] 10/24 | 84/12 84/14 |
| people [39] $16 / 17$ 23/12 $26 / 12$ | points [4] $11 / 21$ 32/15 $33 / 18$ | probable [9] 6/13 6/15 7/4 8/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}26 / 14 & 34 / 18 & 36 / 9 & 37 / 13 & 38 / 4\end{array}$ | 43/23 | 21/8 21/13 21/17 59/12 60/2 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}38 / 13 & 40 / 12 & 40 / 13 & 42 / 19 & 43 / 20\end{array}$ | pole [8] 11/9 18/24 19/8 20/19 | probably [8] 25/2 45/3 51/4 |
| 43/22 44/1 45/1 $47 / 22$ 48/14 | 22/7 24/11 27/5 27/13 | 54/16 58/25 65/8 80/19 80/20 |
| 49/9 49/9 49/10 49/22 49/24 | pole camera [8] $11 / 9$ 18/24 $19 / 8$ | probation [4] 1/19 83/22 83/23 |
| 50/2 50/6 52/1 55/10 55/19 | 20/19 22/7 24/11 27/5 27/13 | 83/25 |
| 56/23 61/18 61/21 61/24 62/3 | police [34] 3/16 13/11 13/13 | Probation Office [1] 83/25 |
| 72/13 76/4 78/3 80/21 80/21 |  | probation officer [3] 1/19 |
| 81/24 |  | 83/22 83/23 |
| Pep [1] 66/14 | 41/13 43/5 44/8 47/6 47/13 | problem [2] 15/18 40/3 |
| Pep Boys [1] 66/14 | 47/16 49/14 60/10 64/4 66/2 | problems [1] 15/3 |
| perhaps [6] 14/14 17/25 27/15 | 67/22 68/24 76/20 77/8 77/9 | proceed [1] 29/24 |
| 56/18 60/24 80/20 | 77/13 80/10 80/15 81/14 82/8 | proceeding [2] 51/14 79/20 |
| period [4] 14/24 78/23 82/11 | 82/23 | proceedings [5] 12/13 12/16 |
| 83/19 | policeman [1] 63/19 | 28/10 41/12 86/12 |
| perjured [7] 11/6 17/8 17/13 | policing [2] 38/8 49/15 | proceeds [4] 33/16 45/11 46/14 |
| 17/17 17/19 17/24 19/22 | policy [2] 54/10 79/19 | 53/14 |
| perjury [6] 18/4 20/10 20/12 | poor [1] 14/3 | process [3] 39/21 40/7 79/24 |
| 20/17 29/5 29/7 | portion [2] 14/8 59/16 | program [1] 83/22 |
| permission [1] 57/3 | position [6] 31/10 34/21 47/11 | promote [2] 42/10 82/10 |
| permit [1] 84/8 | 47/14 51/21 64/8 | promoting [1] 48/25 |
| person [12] 12/2 15/10 15/11 | positions [2] 41/25 52/16 | prong [2] 27/9 28/7 |
| 15/11 35/21 36/8 54/7 60/23 | positive [1] 62/25 | proof [1] 83/3 |
| 61/20 64/17 72/13 77/5 | possess [1] 9/21 | proposal [2] 48/19 48/20 |
| personal [1] 14/5 | possessed [1] 5/7 | proposed [1] 48/5 |
| personality [1] 55/6 | possession [2] 5/18 27/15 | prosecuted [2] 50/23 82/19 |
| persons [2] 31/8 43/7 | possible [1] 3/19 | prosecution [4] 22/21 26/25 |
| petition [2] 59/12 60/1 | possibly [1] 25/3 | 27/9 52/21 |
| phone [2] 72/7 74/15 | post [1] 77/16 | prosecutor's [1] 28/1 |
| photograph [1] 13/23 | post-offense [1] 77/16 | prosecutors [4] 27/19 27/21 |
| photographs [3] 13/3 25/25 | pounds [1] 70/15 | 28/4 29/5 |
| 69/20 | power [2] 36/11 37/14 | protect [7] 11/25 12/4 36/10 |
| physical [1] 34/20 | powerful [1] 51/24 | 64/18 64/19 64/25 81/4 |
| physically [1] 36/7 | practical [1] 22/12 | protected [1] 81/17 |
| picked [2] 38/25 44/23 | practiced [1] 54/19 | protection [1] 15/3 |
| picture [1] 60/22 | practices [1] 36/20 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { protective [5] } & 59 / 13 & 60 / 1 & 72 / 19\end{array}$ |
| pictures [1] 71/18 | pray [3] 70/21 71/2 73/13 | 72/25 77/3 |
| piece [1] 51/24 | prayers [1] 70/22 | proud [2] 66/18 75/4 |
| pier [1] 33/7 | praying [1] 73/19 | proved [4] $23 / 2$ 23/2 $29 / 6$ 80/3 |
| pin [1] 17/5 | pre [2] 42/25 43/18 | proven [1] 80/16 |
| pirates [1] 41/6 | pre-GTTF [1] 43/18 | provide [2] 42/11 82/10 |
| place [3] 58/15 77/8 77/8 | pre-Gun Trace Task Force [1] | providing [1] 83/24 |
| placed [3] 17/22 41/25 59/7 | 42/25 | public [12] 14/12 14/17 14/25 |
| Plaintiff [2] 1/3 1/13 | precisely [1] 55/11 |  |
| plan [1] 71/22 | prejudice [1] 22/20 | 49/19 60/4 80/11 81/5 |
| planned [2] 49/3 71/24 | prejudiced [1] 21/24 | pull [1] 7/12 |

pulled [2] Côş
pulls [1] 11/19
punished [4] 50/24 77/21 82/5 82/19
punishment [4] 42/11 70/9 77/22 82/11
purchased [1] 46/25
purpose [6] 5/12 6/17 8/4 12/3 14/1 58/20
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { purposes [3] } & 69 / 18 & 76 / 13 & 79 / 9\end{array}$
Purpura [27] 1/17 2/15 2/22
$\begin{array}{llll}12 / 11 & 18 / 18 & 18 / 20 & 18 / 23 \\ 19 / 3\end{array}$
19/7 19/10 19/25 20/4 22/5
23/19 24/3 28/25 29/4 29/9
30/14 31/19 36/14 39/9 41/10
44/19 57/1 78/21 85/14
Purpura's [2] $34 / 13$ 46/10
pursues [1] 65/1
put [10] $9 / 5$ 16/17 19/15 $24 / 15$
25/2 25/2 40/8 57/20 76/17 81/16
puts [2] 50/10 75/8
putting [2] 11/25 72/16
quarter [2] $12 / 16 \quad 12 / 18$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { question [11] } & 6 / 15 & 8 / 13 & 16 / 18\end{array}$
17/9 21/10 25/9 28/19 39/13
39/13 60/14 76/4
questions [3] $26 / 11 \quad 34 / 4 \quad 39 / 23$
quick [1] 57/4
quickly [1] 43/24
quiet [1] 72/21
quite [2] 54/15 68/8
quo
racketeering [13] 23/1 23/2 23/3 23/4 23/5 23/7 28/15 28/16 30/4 30/5 35/23 37/3 46/1
racketeering act [1] 46/1
Racketeering Act 10 [1] 23/5
Racketeering Act 3 [3] 23/1 23/2 35/23
Racketeering Act 4 [2] 23/3 37/3
Racketeering Act 5 [1] 23/4
Racketeering Acts [1] 23/7
radar [1] 19/15
raid [1] 66/1
raids [1] 65/25
raise [1] 33/19
raised [1] 61/13
raises [1] 64/10
ran [1] 60/8
range [3] $33 / 25 \quad 35 / 8 \quad 75 / 19$
rate [1] 67/1
rather [1] 69/21
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Rayam [5] } & 33 / 5 & 43 / 3 & 43 / 25 & 44 / 24\end{array}$ 45/8
RDR [3] $1 / 23 \quad 86 / 10 \quad 86 / 14$
reached [1] 65/17
read [9] 19/23 30/15 30/17 34/12 35/12 42/1 59/16 61/2 70/2
ready [2] 48/21 48/21
realized [1] 21/9
really [12] 5/16 16/15 21/18 $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 17 & 57 / 13 & 71 / 16 & 72 / 3 & 75 / 1\end{array}$ 76/12 76/14 76/22 78/15

Realtime [1] 86/15
Reporter [4] $1 / 24 \quad 86 / 15 \quad 86 / 15$
 83/12 86/3
reasonably [1] 28/9
reasoned [1] 78/8
reasons [3] 29/23 38/2 56/10
recall [4] 3/11 27/18 55/24 85/6
receipts [1] 47/1
receive [1] 70/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { received [8] } & 8 / 11 & 32 / 25 & 35 / 12\end{array}$
38/23 67/20 73/9 76/5 83/4
receiving [1] 70/10
recent [2] 22/16 49/17
recently [4] 2/19 29/9 59/25 69/23
recess [2] 51/5 51/10
recidivism [2] 77/11 82/14
recognize [1] 28/3
recollection [1] 29/15
recommend [1] 79/11
recommendation [4] 35/17 54/25 79/2 84/11
recommends [2] 83/22 83/24
record [17] 8/16 13/22 24/15 26/13 30/11 30/14 32/6 39/18 49/19 53/7 58/8 60/4 61/7 63/7 63/7 68/1 86/12
recording [2] 54/5 54/6
recordings [1] 44/22
records [4] 25/21 25/23 42/13 54/14
recovered [2] 3/23 21/15
rectum [2] 36/1 36/4
redirect [2] 5/22 7/1
redirected [1] 21/24
reduce [3] 22/1 22/1 67/4
refer [1] 61/12
referenced [1] 80/17
reflect [3] 42/10 52/18 82/9
reflecting [1] 48/24
reflects [2] 56/14 56/17
regard [2] 83/4 84/1
regardless [6] 4/1 4/4 18/13
18/14 18/20 33/15
Registered [1] 86/15
regular [1] 46/21
rehabilitated [1] 26/6
rehabilitation [2] 70/11 77/16
related [2] 24/15 35/2
relates [1] 6/22
relating [1] 28/1
relative [2] 35/11 82/20
relatively [1] 82/24
release [4] 78/24 83/20 84/21 85/1
released [1] 50/7
relevant [3] $33 / 9 \quad 34 / 23 \quad 52 / 24$
rely [2] 50/8 81/9
remaining [1] 84/6
remarkable [2] 36/17 41/22
remarks [2] 57/4 69/16
remember [1] 21/19
remembered [1] 68/8
remorse [3] $62 / 20 \quad 62 / 24 \quad 63 / 17$
report [21] $12 / 23$ 12/24 $13 / 1$
19/2 19/4 22/8 22/9 27/17 30/7
$30 / 9$ 30/12 $30 / 17$ 30/18 31/4 31/16 32/13 34/9 42/18 43/11 57/20 70/3
reported [3] $1 / 22$ 14/19 $53 / 21$

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { represented [3] } & 8 / 22 & 9 / 19 & 9 / 20\end{array}$
represents [1] 15/10
reputation [1] 62/18
require [1] $12 / 15$
required [4] 12/17 23/8 28/2 84/9
requires [1] 46/5
resemblance [1] 21/18
resolution [1] 15/8
respect [4] 42/11 48/25 62/23 82/10
respectfully [2] $18 / 5 \quad 76 / 25$
respond [4] 6/12 25/14 35/15 40/21
response [4] 10/25 21/10 34/2 34/13
responsibility [10] 7/19 31/18
35/2 41/16 41/20 52/17 52/20
60/17 60/19 83/9
responsible [1] 50/11
rest [5] 28/20 $34 / 5 \quad 39 / 3 \quad 45 / 14$ 53/9
rested [1] 80/23
restitution [3] $84 / 284 / 384 / 7$
restrained [2] 31/9 34/19
result [6] 28/10 29/1 36/23
49/3 80/19 85/12
resulted [2] 49/15 80/18
retired [1] 68/13
returned [2] 19/6 48/1
returns [2] 25/21 64/24
reveal [1] 17/12
revealed [2] 41/14 41/18
reverse [1] 67/3
reversed [1] 50/4
review [1] 34/3
reviewed [2] 30/18 30/20
rhetoric [1] 22/1
Rice [10] 63/22 64/3 64/4 64/6 64/7 64/10 64/14 64/15 64/23 65/2
RICO [1] 23/8
right [40] $2 / 12 \quad 2 / 17$ 2/18 $3 / 6$
8/6 9/10 11/12 23/21 25/24
26/19 29/24 30/1 30/22 31/1
$\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 16 & 32 / 19 & 33 / 22 & 34 / 12 & 39 / 21\end{array}$
43/7 $46 / 15$ 46/15 46/17 $46 / 17$
51/3 51/23 56/5 58/2 64/2 65/18
68/17 74/3 78/9 78/20 79/14
79/22 80/12 85/9 85/10 85/12
riots [1] 76/22
risk [2] 64/21 66/6
risked [1] 68/23
road [5] 21/12 21/23 63/21
63/24 65/22
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { rob } & {[7]} & 23 / 23 & 43 / 6 & 43 / 6 & 45 / 16\end{array}$ 52/1 53/9 53/10
Robb [4] 3/8 3/12 5/9 65/11
Robb Street [4] 3/8 3/12 5/9 65/11
robbed [13] $20 / 23$ 21/1 23/12
35/20 35/25 36/17 36/21 37/5
42/19 43/22 $46 / 3$ 47/22 53/11
robberies [20] 28/13 31/14 38/7
38/13 38/15 40/11 42/17 42/25
$\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 12 & 43 / 18 & 44 / 3 & 44 / 4 & 44 / 5\end{array} 49 / 2$
53/1 55/2 55/11 76/1 77/13
82/25
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| R | scared [6] 40/9 60/9 70/24 71/2 | service [1] 68/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 503/ument 477 Filed 08/17/ |  |
| bbery [36] $23 / 3$ | scene [3] 43/6 46/7 56/6 | set [3] ${ }_{\text {l }}$ 26/20 46724 56/20 |
| 23/6 23/16 28/23 30/6 31/6 | scheme [1] 46/20 | sets [1] 3/4 |
| 34/16 38/20 38/20 38/25 39/6 | school [3] $45 / 8$ 46/7 62/14 | seven [5] 52/12 52/13 65/17 |
| 40/24 43/12 $43 / 13$ 43/14 44/6 | schools [1] 38/1 | 65/21 76/6 |
| 44/17 44/23 45/6 46/4 46/9 | score [1] 45/1 | several [2] 26/23 61/21 |
| 46/16 $48 / 7$ 48/14 $49 / 3$ 53/8 | scramble [1] 24/11 | shaken [1] 68/9 |
| 55/13 55/14 55/16 55/23 56/2 | screen [2] 9/6 13/4 | shape [1] 5/1 |
| 80/8 80/8 82/18 | sealed [4] 24/15 24/16 24/22 | share [1] 53/14 |
| robbing [5] 35/23 44/1 48/5 | 31/25 | Shawn [2] 53/5 55/16 |
| 55/9 55/19 | search [2] 36/1 59/11 | Shawn Whiting [2] 53/5 55/16 |
| role [2] 38/20 39/5 | seat [1] 64/2 | she [12] 59/15 60/1 60/4 60/8 |
| roll [1] 75/3 | seated [4] $2 / 4$ 2/17 $51 / 13$ 64/7 | 60/8 60/11 61/16 61/17 61/18 |
| Ronald [2] 23/6 59/13 | second [5] 4/10 9/8 11/12 59/15 | 61/22 70/3 84/23 |
| Ronald Hamilton [1] 59/13 <br> rookie [1] 47/16 | $78 / 11$ securi | she's [2] $59 / 24$ $63 / 23$ <br> sheet [2] $57 / 16$ $58 / 11$ |
| room [1] 39/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { see [17] } & 15 / 10 & 16 / 10 & 21 / 8 & 53 / 11\end{array}$ | shift [3] 37/22 41/8 47/1 |
| running [3] $7 / 11 \quad 51 / 23$ 75/9 | 54/10 56/20 70/5 74/18 74/19 | shifts [1] 46/21 |
| S | 75/14 76/19 76/19 77/4 77/4 | shirt [1] 7/14 |
| S-H-O-T-T [1] 61/10 | seem [3] 15/2 50/16 | shook [1] 13/25 |
| S-T-E-P-H-E-N [1] 68/2 | seemed [1] 54/6 | shoot [1] 12/4 |
| sadism [1] 36/7 | seems [2] 70/5 78/24 | shooting [1] 67/21 |
| safe [2] 56/9 73/6 | seen [8] $7 / 21 \quad 18 / 24 \quad 19 / 8$ 20/18 | Shootings [2] 48/3 48/18 |
| safety [4] 70/24 72/20 74/2 | 20/24 21/13 21/16 71/6 | shoots [2] 64/10 65/6 |
| 79/9 | sees [1] 32/7 | short [3] $65 / 4 \quad 70 / 25 \quad 71 / 4$ |
| said [53] $4 / 3$ 4/11 $4 / 14$ 5/25 | seized [3] 5/25 19/20 53/21 | Shott [1] 61/8 |
| 9/14 17/7 18/20 19/20 19/22 | sell [2] 33/13 33/16 | should [22] 8/9 8/14 14/13 15/2 |
| 20/5 20/12 20/12 21/7 21/13 | selling [2] 8/12 8/17 | 16/3 19/11 19/12 19/17 $21 / 11$ |
| 21/21 22/5 24/3 25/20 29/9 39/9 | sending [1] 71/20 | 29/24 $32 / 21$ 51/4 $66 / 18$ 70/4 |
| 39/15 39/16 39/20 45/18 46/18 | senior [5] 47/6 55/2 56/16 | 71/12 75/23 76/23 77/10 77/15 |
| 47/20 48/25 49/20 50/14 54/19 | 82/22 83/6 | 77/21 77/25 83/17 |
| 54/25 55/8 55/20 55/24 57/23 | sense [8] 27/3 27/7 28/9 42/21 | shoulder [2] 64/15 66/15 |
| 57/24 59/15 59/21 59/22 60/5 | 43/4 44/13 $54 / 23$ 83/8 | shoulder/neck [1] 64/15 |
| 60/7 60/9 63/16 66/18 70/4 | sent [3] 38/24 50/19 70/7 | shouldn't [1] 17/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}75 / 17 & 78 / 7 & 78 / 13 & 78 / 13 & 81 / 2\end{array}$ | sentence [22] 35/10 42/9 49/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { show [9] } & 7 / 18 & 11 / 8 & 12 / 22 & 13 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 81/7 81/16 84/23 | 55/1 56/11 56/11 56/14 56/14 | 27/1 27/14 60/22 73/14 82/3 |
| sake [1] 60/24 | 56/18 56/22 60/23 62/24 67/13 | showed [5] 3/13 24/10 46/25 |
| same [11] 10/6 18/3 18/11 20/20 | 67/14 77/25 78/6 78/8 83/5 | 54/11 73/22 |
| 27/16 27/20 38/16 53/1 76/14 | 83/11 83/12 84/17 85/13 | shower [1] 72/6 |
| 83/11 84/2 | sentenced [3] $52 / 7$ 52/24 $82 / 22$ | showing [1] 37/22 |
| Santiful [63] 3/9 4/6 4/10 4/14 | sentences [4] 50/4 52/18 73/25 | shown [5] 13/24 26/23 27/8 |
| 4/22 5/4 5/25 6/5 6/7 6/22 7/3 | 76/6 | 27/12 42/18 |
| 7/9 7/10 7/23 8/12 8/19 8/25 | sentencing [24] 1/12 29/25 30/2 | shows [4] 16/12 48/18 $58 / 11$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}\text { 9/11 } & 10 / 4 & 10 / 6 & 10 / 10 & 10 / 21 & 11 / 2\end{array}$ | 30/3 32/9 35/12 35/14 38/7 | 70/8 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 5 & 11 / 13 & 11 / 16 & 11 / 18 & 13 / 4\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 19 & 38 / 22 & 40 / 20 \quad 41 / 10 & 41 / 22\end{array}$ | siblings [1] 61/13 |
|  | 42/6 42/13 $46 / 11$ 52/4 52/5 | sic [2] 25/9 70/2 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 23 & 16 / 10 & 16 / 22 & 17 / 7 & 17 / 16\end{array}$ | 69/18 69/18 76/13 79/20 79/23 | side [2] 75/17 75/18 |
|  | 84/5 | sightings [1] 7/20 |
| 19/21 20/8 23/5 23/11 25/8 | sentencings [4] 40/17 49/1 | signed [1] 12/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 13 & 27 / 14 & 28 / 4 & 28 / 17 & 28 / 18\end{array}$ | 49/20 84/6 | significance [1] 28/3 |
| 28/22 $29 / 12$ 36/16 $36 / 18$ 43/15 | separate [2] 4/12 27/18 | significant [2] 24/12 82/11 |
| 49/25 56/4 58/15 58/17 58/20 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { September [4] } & 67 / 20 & 71 / 14 & 71 / 19\end{array}$ | similar [5] $42 / 13$ 42/14 52/5 |
| Santiful's [6] 4/23 13/23 16/2 | 72/11 | 52/6 82/24 |
| 17/14 18/6 27/4 | September 16th [1] 72/11 | Simms' [1] 70/2 |
| sat [2] 39/2 50/2 | September 1st [1] 71/14 | simply [1] 28/21 |
| satisfied [1] 28/8 | September 28th [1] 67/20 | since [2] 70/19 77/12 |
| saved [5] 63/19 65/10 65/21 | sequence [1] 27/11 | single [1] 25/14 |
| 67/10 81/18 | sergeant [12] $3 / 18$ 3/22 $29 / 18$ | sir [5] 63/6 63/7 67/16 67/25 |
| saves [2] 66/25 67/6 | 47/9 52/8 52/8 52/9 52/11 52/12 | 85/16 |
| saving [1] 63/19 | 55/4 82/21 83/4 | sister [5] 61/11 63/16 69/2 |
| saw [8] 7/9 7/22 37/9 56/19 | Sergeant Burns [2] 3/18 3/22 | 75/12 75/12 |
| 57/20 70/3 76/16 78/2 | Sergeant Jenkins [4] 29/18 52/8 | sister's [1] 4/23 |
| say [18] $12 / 1116 / 2517 / 1 \quad 21 / 23$ | 55/4 83/4 | sisters [1] 60/25 |
| 31/3 39/12 54/11 54/12 54/20 | sergeant's [1] 47/12 | sit [4] 38/1 39/23 50/1 $72 / 14$ |
| 56/22 60/4 63/18 67/9 71/3 75/9 | series [2] 43/18 74/10 | sitting [1] 14/23 |
| 78/14 79/23 82/11 | serious [3] $49 / 1$ 60/15 81/11 | situation [4] 64/5 65/11 65/2 |
| saying [3] 20/14 71/1 83/16 | seriousness [4] 42/10 48/24 | 65/23 [2] |
| says [6] 10/18 19/11 $34 / 10$ 60/8 | 56/15 82/9 | situations [2] 34/18 81/19 |
| 66/16 66/19 | serve [1] 54/24 | six [2] 61/13 71/15 |
| scale [2] 76/1 83/3 | served [2] 55/4 83/18 | size [1] 5/1 |


| S | split [3] 33/16 45/7 46/6 | stuck [2] 37/5 37/6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| S |  | s¢uffatad 1833 bf 107 |
| SKC [1] 109 | spoken [2] 42\%16 78/10 | ssion [2] 41/10 57/15 |
| sleeping [1] 74/23 | spot [1] 10/7 | submit [1] 57/24 |
| slight [1] 60/22 | spray [2] 64/11 64/23 | submitted [8] 34/1 35/14 54/1 |
| slightly [1] 32/11 | squad [1] 48/18 | 57/22 58/5 67/19 75/14 78/12 |
| slow [1] 70/14 | stand [7] 8/15 16/13 17/8 26/16 | submitting [2] 84/4 84/5 |
| small [4] 43/16 50/15 50/17 | 58/23 76/9 78/11 | suborned [1] 20/12 |
| 58/20 | standard [2] 22/17 26/24 | suborning [1] 29/7 |
| smaller [1] 57/21 | standing [1] 60/5 | substantial [4] 14/8 18/11 |
| smart [1] 75/4 | stands [2] 19/10 60/15 | 25/20 26/1 |
| so [82] 5/12 6/7 7/17 8/7 8/25 | stark [1] 51/19 | substantive [4] 10/19 23/8 $24 / 5$ |
| 9/11 12/6 12/19 13/9 13/14 | start [3] 35/16 71/1 80/1 | 38/21 |
| 14/24 18/20 18/21 19/10 19/16 | started [4] 55/19 60/6 71/7 | successful [1] 44/5 |
| 19/19 20/8 20/10 20/16 21/16 | 78/7 | such [5] 15/3 28/19 42/21 50/10 |
| 21/23 22/1 22/14 22/23 23/17 | starting [4] 24/4 31/5 43/10 | 77/16 |
| 24/9 25/17 26/1 29/8 29/22 | 46/20 | suckers [1] 37/16 |
| 30/20 33/9 33/17 35/6 35/16 | stash [4] $11 / 25$ 12/1 $12 / 412 / 5$ | sufficient [1] 78/14 |
| 38/1 40/8 $40 / 17$ 42/6 $43 / 10$ 47/3 | state [6] 37/17 61/6 63/6 63/7 | suggested [5] $33 / 18$ 39/14 $58 / 16$ |
| 48/13 50/1 50/18 52/23 54/25 | 67/25 83/15 | 58/18 59/5 |
| 56/10 57/21 58/11 60/12 60/21 | statement [10] 6/13 6/15 7/4 | suggesting [1] 60/20 |
| 60/24 64/2 66/21 67/6 70/14 | 7/25 21/8 21/13 41/16 59/12 | suggestion [1] 13/24 |
| 70/23 70/23 71/1 71/2 71/4 71/5 | 59/15 60/1 | summer [1] 74/22 |
| 71/5 71/16 72/1 72/6 72/7 72/16 | statements [1] 21/17 | sunken [1] 33/14 |
| 73/25 74/18 75/4 75/5 75/9 | STATES [9] $1 / 11 / 31 / 15$ 2/7 $2 / 9$ | supervised [4] 78/24 83/20 |
| 75/13 75/16 75/19 75/19 77/24 | 13/7 14/6 26/20 84/19 | 84/21 85/1 |
| 78/2 80/13 81/11 84/12 | stay [2] 3/19 73/6 | supervisor [4] 15/18 28/3 82/21 |
| soccer [1] 62/16 | stays [1] 39/24 | 82/23 |
| society [1] 62/25 | steal [1] 5/13 | supervisors [6] 14/21 15/17 |
| sole [1] 14/1 | stealing [2] 35/20 58/21 | 19/11 19/17 41/14 41/19 |
| some [25] $2 / 20$ 3/4 15/2 $24 / 10$ | stenographic [1] 86/11 | supervisory [2] 47/11 52/16 |
| 27/7 28/2 29/19 30/10 35/4 42/7 | Stephen [3] 67/24 68/2 68/11 | supplemented [1] 2/20 |
| 45/11 46/13 46/14 47/17 54/15 | Stephen Hersl [2] 67/24 68/11 | supplied [1] 74/10 |
| 57/5 69/22 74/24 75/7 80/20 | Stepp [9] 33/13 45/10 45/11 | supplier [2] 48/6 48/12 |
| 80/21 83/1 83/1 83/7 83/8 | 45/12 45/13 53/8 53/13 53/16 | support [5] 20/13 34/7 73/10 |
| somehow [9] 20/18 21/24 23/25 | 76/8 | 73/22 81/23 |
| 28/4 28/7 38/8 40/25 41/3 41/3 | Stepp's [1] 33/14 | supporting [1] 28/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { someone [7] } & 12 / 1 & 12 / 3 & 26 / 12\end{array}$ | Stevenson [1] 48/6 | supports [1] 28/14 |
| 27/15 36/4 39/9 71/11 | Stevenson's [2] 48/5 48/11 | supposed [3] 4/9 22/10 54/24 |
| something [7] 8/20 10/20 35/11 | still [13] 5/5 8/12 8/17 9/19 | suppressed [5] 18/16 18/21 |
| 38/12 59/20 67/11 71/11 | 10/1 16/24 20/5 20/6 32/22 | 18/23 19/11 20/15 |
| sometime [1] 10/6 | 34/10 70/16 70/21 72/19 | suppression [1] 29/10 |
| sometimes [3] 44/19 46/21 72/24 | stipulate [1] 13/3 | sure [24] 6/12 6/19 8/16 8/19 |
| somewhat [3] 12/14 13/20 14/12 | stole [1] 33/11 | 9/7 10/1 12/9 13/18 14/12 21/8 |
| somewhere [1] 33/1 | stolen [3] $44 / 11$ 45/7 45/9 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 24/24 } & 25 / 9 & 31 / 24 & 45 / 22 & 51 / 4\end{array}$ |
| son [16] 62/12 62/13 62/15 | stop [1] 12/18 | 58/7 61/1 63/16 68/7 79/12 |
| 62/19 69/7 70/18 72/15 73/18 | stopped [9] 3/12 3/18 7/8 37/5 | 81/20 81/21 85/2 85/11 |
| 74/3 74/11 74/12 74/15 74/16 | 53/22 53/25 63/25 66/22 67/5 | surprise [1] 63/15 |
| 74/16 74/17 74/20 | stopping [1] 47/25 | susceptibility [2] 76/18 76/24 |
| soon [2] 74/24 75/7 | storage [4] 45/13 53/9 53/12 | suspect [1] 15/20 |
| sorry [5] 40/4 40/4 71/4 72/16 | 53/17 | swarming [1] 56/7 |
| 84/24 | story [4] $26 / 7$ 33/2 $64 / 4 \quad 65 / 4$ | sway [2] 43/8 55/6 |
| sort [4] 15/2 43/19 44/9 54/19 | Str8 [2] 10/8 10/11 | Swillo [1] 1/19 |
| sorts [1] 15/8 | Str8 Kash [1] 10/8 | swimming [1] 75/1 |
| spare [1] 81/1 | Str8 Kash Cartel [1] 10/11 | sworn [1] 81/9 |
| speak [6] 57/7 58/10 60/25 61/4 | strangle [1] 71/24 | system [4] 19/13 19/16 50/10 |
| 63/4 66/13 | strategic [1] 20/1 | 81/8 |
| speaking [2] 73/1 75/12 | street [32] 1/24 3/8 | T |
| $\begin{array}{\|cllll} \text { speaks } & {[6]} & 36 / 19 & 51 / 25 & 54 / 4 \\ 61 / 24 & 62 / 1 & 62 / 3 & & \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllll} 5 / 9 & 10 / 7 & 11 / 10 & 11 / 14 & 13 / 16 & 14 / 8 \\ 14 / 8 & 16 / 3 & 16 / 17 & 17 / 15 & 18 / 11 \end{array}$ | table [2] 2/10 8/23 |
| special [5] 1/19 2/10 73/14 | 37/7 $38 / 11144 / 17$ 44/23 53/22 | tainted [3] 40/23 41/2 41/7 |
| 83/21 84/9 | 62/7 62/8 65/1 65/11 65/20 | take [31] $11 / 21$ 12/13 $12 / 15$ |
| Special Agent [2] 1/19 2/10 | 65/20 66/12 66/20 66/21 66/23 | 13/21 20/8 29/8 30/10 36/6 41/6 |
| specific [6] 16/18 31/14 34/16 | 66/25 67/6 | 45/3 $47 / 12$ 49/10 51/1 51/5 |
| 35/17 79/2 82/13 | strength [1] 61/17 | 57/10 60/12 72/2 72/6 73/16 |
| specifically [1] 78/22 | stress [1] 59/5 | 75/23 75/24 76/11 76/17 76/23 |
| specious [1] 41/1 | stressful [1] 81/18 | 77/2 77/7 77/10 77/15 77/20 |
| spectrum [1] 52/15 | stricken [1] 39/18 | 80/11 81/25 |
| spell [2] 61/9 68/1 | strikes [2] 55/21 81/7 | taken [12] $5 / 12$ 32/25 33/3 $33 / 8$ |
| spent [1] 77/3 | strong [5] 28/12 28/13 28/21 | 44/7 44/9 46/7 51/10 56/9 63/15 |
| spirits [1] 72/12 | 49/11 77/20 | 77/25 80/5 |
| spit [1] 4/25 | struggle [1] 70/16 | takes [6] 7/14 11/22 33/5 35/11 |

## thankfully [1] 49/24


$\begin{array}{lllllll}9 / 19 & 10 / 22 & 11 / 24 & 12 / 9 & 13 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 4 & 24 / 1 & 24 / 12 & 25 / 10 & 26 / 2 & 26 / 6\end{array}$ 26/8 26/13 26/14 27/24 33/10 $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 / 17 & 33 / 17 & 36 / 11 & 39 / 25 & 42 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}44 / 13 & 45 / 3 & 45 / 24 & 46 / 2 & 46 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 15 & 46 / 17 & 46 / 17 & 47 / 21 & 47 / 21\end{array}$ 48/20 49/10 $49 / 18$ 53/20 $57 / 8$ 57/9 57/20 57/24 58/1 58/19 58/21 $59 / 8 \quad 67 / 10 \quad 68 / 10 \quad 69 / 1$ 77/3 80/14 84/10 84/13
Theater [1] 69/24
theft [2] 40/23 80/9
their [31] 8/9 8/9 8/15 14/5 19/6 34/2 38/7 39/3 39/5 41/9 $\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 7 & 43 / 23 & 44 / 6 & 44 / 9 & 45 / 4\end{array} 45 / 15$ 46/20 47/9 49/25 52/17 $52 / 18$ 52/22 54/4 54/14 60/24 61/25 62/1 62/2 76/5 81/10 82/18 theirs [1] 54/6
them [29] 8/21 16/25 22/22 22/23 22/24 23/20 23/23 27/10 $\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 7 & 36 / 10 & 36 / 11 & 37 / 14 & 37 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}44 / 11 & 45 / 5 & 45 / 5 & 45 / 18 & 47 / 22\end{array}$ 49/6 54/4 56/24 57/24 61/1 61/25 62/1 70/22 71/23 74/11 80/12
theme [1] 38/6
themselves [3] $62 / 2 \quad 64 / 18 \quad 64 / 19$ then [52] $3 / 21 \quad 7 / 14 \quad 8 / 25 \quad 11 / 21$ $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 22 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 21 & 14 / 12 & 14 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 4 & 19 / 21 & 20 / 13 & 20 / 23 & 21 / 13\end{array}$ 21/23 22/8 22/8 23/15 24/11 $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 17 & 29 / 24 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 13 & 32 / 8\end{array}$ $33 / 3$ 33/5 33/13 35/21 41/21 43/14 43/19 $44 / 1$ 45/6 45/7 $\begin{array}{lllll}45 / 17 & 46 / 22 & 46 / 22 & 48 / 16 & 53 / 11\end{array}$ 54/10 54/13 55/10 56/8 56/8 57/6 $57 / 7$ 57/12 $59 / 24 \quad 60 / 8 \quad 65 / 1$ 70/2 75/8
then-Detective Hersl [1] 57/12 then-sitting [1] 14/23
theories [1] 35/4
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { there [85] } & 4 / 17 & 4 / 18 & 4 / 23 & 8 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}10 / 18 & 11 / 1 & 12 / 2 & 14 / 15 & 14 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 2 & 15 / 8 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 22 & 19 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}19 / 14 & 19 / 19 & 20 / 10 & 20 / 17 \\ 20 / 17\end{array}$ 22/2 22/14 22/14 24/8 25/6 26/6 $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 23 & 28 / 10 & 28 / 12 & 28 / 21 & 29 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}29 / 5 & 29 / 16 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 9 & 31 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 7 & 31 / 8 & 31 / 9 & 31 / 13 & 32 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 11 & 34 / 15 & 34 / 21 & 35 / 3 & 40 / 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}45 / 3 & 46 / 12 & 47 / 2 & 50 / 18 & 50 / 18\end{array}$ 52/14 54/18 54/20 55/13 56/5 57/13 57/21 57/22 58/11 60/10 $\begin{array}{lllll}65 / 14 & 65 / 15 & 69 / 24 & 73 / 23 & 75 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}75 / 21 & 76 / 9 & 76 / 21 & 77 / 7 & 78 / 23\end{array}$ 79/2 79/24 80/4 80/5 82/11 82/17 82/20 83/1 83/1 83/8 83/19 84/9 84/14 85/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { there's } & {[24]} & 8 / 13 & 11 / 11 & 11 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 2 & 22 / 11 & 22 / 14 & 24 / 14 & 25 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 22 & 26 / 17 & 28 / 5 & 40 / 24 & 45 / 17\end{array}$ 58/3 58/3 60/14 60/20 76/4 76/20 78/14 82/13 83/16 85/1 85/7
therefore [1] 21/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { these [37] } & 4 / 7 & 4 / 12 & 6 / 9 & 12 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 2 & 14 / 3 & 16 / 6 & 21 / 18 & 26 / 14 & 31 / 4\end{array}$ $32 / 9$ 33/18 $34 / 17 \quad 34 / 18 \quad 38 / 7$

38/13 38/15 38/15 40/11 40/13 81/Faf
50/13 $30 / 2151 / 25$ 56/16 70/4 70/7 70/25 77/12 77/13 77/24 77/24 80/16
they [94]
they'd [2] 53/10 54/14
they'll [1] 79/13
they're [8] $4 / 422 / 7$ 60/10
65/18 65/19 72/2 $76 / 13$ 81/10
thieves [1] 39/4
thing [5] $32 / 11$ 36/17 $66 / 8$ 66/11 70/17
things [10] $26 / 23 \quad 29 / 4 \quad 35 / 25$ 36/4 55/20 66/10 69/23 75/16 79/24 81/22
think [64] 5/16 5/18 5/20 6/11 9/14 15/7 15/15 20/9 21/4 21/11 21/20 21/22 21/25 22/16 22/25 $\begin{array}{lllll}25 / 15 & 29 / 19 & 29 / 24 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 8 & 34 / 14 & 35 / 1 & 35 / 6 & 35 / 13\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}36 / 18 & 40 / 21 & 44 / 13 & 44 / 18 & 46 / 4\end{array}$
46/8 47/23 50/5 50/13 51/4
$\begin{array}{llllll}51 / 14 & 51 / 17 & 51 / 25 & 52 / 18 & 52 / 23\end{array}$
53/10 53/18 54/3 54/22 54/23
55/11 55/25 56/10 56/17 56/17
56/22 59/2 67/12 69/17 70/18
71/17 74/7 79/3 79/10 80/8 82/6
82/13 84/6 85/6
thinks [1] 65/7
third [2] 27/8 28/7
this [200]
this' [1] 60/7
THOMAS [3] $1 / 5 \quad 2 / 8 \quad 2 / 16$
thoroughly [2] $25 / 19$ 30/18
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { those [27] } & 4 / 3 & 11 / 1 & 17 / 19 & 20 / 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}23 / 7 & 26 / 2 & 29 / 22 & 32 / 3 & 32 / 6 & 42 / 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll} & 43 / 11 & 44 / 3 & 44 / 22 & 50 / 3 & 50 / 9\end{array}$
50/11 $54 / 1$ 56/3 60/16 65/14
67/6 70/7 73/12 76/11 80/22 81/10 83/10
though [2] 55/13 73/23
thought [7] 19/25 36/10 41/6
41/21 42/1 64/1 79/8
thousand [1] 66/11
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { thousands }[3] & 37 / 19 & 37 / 20 & 43 / 17\end{array}$ threatened [2] 65/9 71/22
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { three [17] } & 5 / 18 & 7 / 2 & 20 / 19 & 20 / 25\end{array}$
22/21 22/23 25/21 30/4 40/13
40/14 43/5 57/6 65/13 65/14 83/19 84/22 85/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { through [9] } & 7 / 11 & 10 / 5 & 26 / 4\end{array}$ 37/15 39/4 46/23 66/5 70/1 72/16
throughout [2] 41/8 74/6
throw [2] 7/12 60/7
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { thrown [6] } & 36 / 24 & 49 / 15 & 50 / 1\end{array}$ 50/4 50/12 58/1
till [1] 14/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { time [38] } & 3 / 18 & 7 / 23 & 12 / 7 & 12 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 23 & 14 / 10 & 14 / 22 & 14 / 22 & 15 / 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 23 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 4 & 20 / 20 & 25 / 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 1 & 28 / 24 & 34 / 16 & 37 / 9 & 45 / 17\end{array}$
$46 / 18$ 47/6 48/21 51/3 54/15
54/16 54/17 58/19 59/5 68/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 4 & 74 / 7 & 77 / 2 & 77 / 3 & 78 / 15 \\ 81 / 15\end{array}$ 82/7 83/18
time served [1] 83/18
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { times [5] } & 61 / 21 & 70 / 21 & 71 / 10\end{array}$ 73/2 74/19
Tink [4] 63/23 64/6 64/23 65/2
Tink's [1] 64/12

| T | two-plus [1] 70/15 | value [3] 33/6 37/13 60/13 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\left\lvert\, \frac{1}{\text { Tinsley [1] }}\right. \text { Case } \frac{1}{23}: 17 \text {-er-00106-C }$ | typo [iq] ${ }_{\text {typo }}$ |  |
| tired [1] 70/23 | U | various [2] 6/4 43/22 |
| today [8] 12/13 15/8 58/16 | U | vehicle [7] $4 / 23$ 5/2 $7 / 811 / 13$ |
| 60/16 60/21 63/12 71/7 81/24 | U.S [1] 1/19 | 11/18 62/8 64/11 |
| together [5] 44/2 48/13 55/11 | U.S. [12] 14/13 14/21 14/23 | veracity [1] 28/19 |
| 56/2 71/9 | 15/13 16/4 16/6 18/16 19/5 | verdict [5] 19/6 27/24 29/1 |
| told [15] 8/12 8/21 16/25 17/18 | 19/15 22/9 27/20 27/22 | 46/4 80/7 |
| 17/21 17/21 35/25 36/1 37/7 | U.S. Attorney [2] 14/23 27/22 | versions [1] 32/12 |
| 45/10 53/13 58/13 58/13 58/13 | U.S. Attorney's [9] 14/13 14/21 | versus [2] 2/7 26/20 |
| 66/17 | 15/13 16/4 $18 / 16$ 19/5 19/15 | very [45] $3 / 9$ 4/5 4/19 7/18 |
| Tolliver [4] 7/7 7/9 7/10 7/21 | 22/9 27/20 | $\begin{array}{llllll}7 / 22 & 9 / 24 & \text { 9/24 } & 10 / 6 & 11 / 6 & 12 / 12\end{array}$ |
| too [1] 58/25 | U.S. Attorneys [1] 16/6 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 14 & 14 / 16 & 14 / 24 & 15 / 5 & 16 / 7\end{array}$ |
| took [13] $4 / 28 / 15$ 17/23 $36 / 5$ | ultimately [3] 36/24 36/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 11 & 18 / 22 & 26 / 1 & 28 / 12 & 28 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 41/4 43/16 44/20 53/5 56/7 56/8 | 53/25 | 28/18 28/21 28/21 36/18 41/9 |
| 58/19 66/2 80/25 | unbeknownst [1] 11/5 | 41/24 43/24 50/20 54/23 60/15 |
| tool [1] 16/11 | uncle [1] 62/19 | 62/21 62/21 63/2 69/9 72/5 73/1 |
| top [3] 59/2 59/21 64/12 | unconditionally [1] 61/19 | 73/16 73/17 75/4 78/19 79/23 |
| topic [1] 22/2 | unconscious [1] 54/12 | 81/11 81/11 81/18 81/21 |
| total [1] 84/10 | uncontested [1] 46/3 | vest [1] 9/12 |
| Trace [13] 37/18 42/20 42/25 | undated [1] 71/19 | veteran [1] 47/15 |
| 43/3 47/7 47/8 $48 / 1$ 48/9 55/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { under } & {[14]} & 8 / 22 & 26 / 11 & 32 / 9 & 34 / 7\end{array}$ | victimized [3] 40/12 42/4 56/23 |
| 66/8 66/10 67/1 67/3 | 35/10 35/20 43/8 55/4 55/5 | victims [8] 38/14 $38 / 15$ 40/15 |
| tracked [1] 23/13 | 56/13 59/5 68/20 75/22 75/23 | 40/18 56/19 56/20 56/21 80/5 |
| trafficker [1] 3/15 | undercover [1] 11/3 | video [7] $4 / 18$ 4/19 11/12 $12 / 21$ |
| trafficking [3] 10/8 25/13 | undermines [1] 49/7 | 16/10 18/11 76/15 |
| 25/20 | undermining [1] 49/12 | video games [2] 4/18 4/19 |
| tragedy [2] 43/20 77/1 | understand [11] 17/11 29/3 $29 / 6$ | videoed [1] 11/3 |
| tragically [1] 68/18 | 30/9 31/3 32/10 33/9 46/4 85/16 | view [2] 11/11 27/13 |
| transcript [4] 3/4 16/19 $19 / 23$ | 85/17 86/6 | viewed [3] 40/23 54/23 54/24 |
| 86/11 | understanding [1] 47/ | vigorous [1] 35/3 |
| transferred [2] 47/25 48/3 | undisclosed [2] 27/2 27/4 | vigorously [1] 23/19 |
| transferring [1] 27/15 | undoubtedly [1] 80/5 | violate [1] 36/7 |
| treatment [3] 77/19 83/22 83/23 | unfortunately [1] 77/23 | violation [3] $3 / 19$ 26/25 54/9 |
| tremendous [1] 66/12 | uniform [1] 81/3 | violations [2] 8/17 15/6 |
| tremendously [2] 62/17 68/23 | unique [2] 42/20 43/4 | violent [1] 50/6 |
| trial [40] 1/12 2/19 8/3 14/22 | unit [2] 43/22 47/18 | visible [1] 34/16 |
| 14/24 14/25 17/15 17/16 21/2 | UNITED [9] $1 / 11 / 31 / 15$ 2/7 $2 / 9$ | visit [1] 4/12 |
| 23/17 26/24 28/5 29/22 35/20 | 13/7 14/6 26/20 84/19 | visiting [1] 4/12 |
| 36/9 36/15 37/16 37/24 38/6 | United States [5] 2/7 2/9 13/7 | visits [1] 74/17 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 24 & 41 / 18 & 41 / 24 & 42 / 2 & 42 / 2\end{array}$ | 14/6 84/19 | vividly [1] 38/17 |
| 42/5 42/18 43/11 44/16 47/25 | United States versus Wolf [1] | voices [2] 45/4 54/6 |
| 48/19 51/17 54/5 60/18 63/16 | 26/20 | vulnerability [3] 41/25 42/3 |
| 73/20 76/3 78/7 81/25 85/6 | units [1] 50/14 | 42/3 |
| 85/12 | unknown [1] 11/20 | W |
| $\underset{38 / 19}{\operatorname{tried}}[4] \quad 15 / 19 \quad 21 / 3 \quad 21 / 11$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { unlike [5] } 25 / 19 \text { 41/19 } 74 / 18 \\ & 76 / 7 \text { 76/10 } \end{aligned}$ | Wwait [3] |
| tries [3] 41/8 47/10 72/13 | unprofessional [1] 20/11 | waiting [1] 45/14 |
| triple [1] 71/5 | until [7] 23/20 27/17 27/23 | walk [2] 49/21 70/20 |
| trips [1] 47/1 | 39/24 44/10 51/5 73/25 | walked [2] 62/6 73/5 |
|  | unwarranted [2] 42/12 52/4 | walking [1] 68/19 |
| 82/5 | up [33] 6/12 9/3 9/10 12/1 | Walmart [2] 39/24 59/24 |
| truly [3] 61/20 72/12 73/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 14 & 13 / 6 & 13 / 12 & 14 / 12 & 18 / 4\end{array}$ | wanders [1] 70/23 |
| trust [7] 31/10 34/21 41/25 | 19/10 21/20 22/7 23/20 24/10 | want [15] 8/20 9/5 10/17 $12 / 12$ |
| 49/12 73/22 80/11 81/6 | 25/2 27/17 $30 / 10$ 37/22 41/1 | 24/15 33/23 39/25 47/14 63/20 |
| try [2] 64/18 78/2 | 46/6 48/11 48/18 54/11 54/17 | 70/25 72/23 73/9 73/21 74/17 |
| trying [5] 17/5 53/24 62/2 | 61/3 64/8 64/22 78/11 81/10 | 75/3 |
| 71/23 81/4 | 84/13 85/22 85/25 86/4 | wanted [8] 6/2 36/6 36/6 44/23 |
| turn [2] 7/6 29/25 | upcoming [1] 16/2 | 48/14 52/3 63/4 79/9 |
| turned [2] 57/14 57/18 | uphold [1] 80/12 | wants [3] 11/11 60/17 79/17 |
| turning [1] 62/1 | upon [1] 5/25 | Ward [13] $43 / 1$ 48/4 48/8 48/15 |
| TV [1] 60/7 | upset [1] 58/23 | 52/9 52/13 52/20 55/15 55/17 |
| Twisted [1] 48/19 | upstairs [1] 59/16 | 55/23 55/24 76/2 76/3 |
| Twisted Tea [1] 48/19 | us [4] 2/10 22/10 37/16 62/22 | warmhearted [1] 61/20 |
| two [29] 3/17 $4 / 7$ 4/12 5/18 | use [7] $13 / 3$ 28/25 $31 / 7 \quad 34 / 15$ | warrant [1] 45/15 |
| 5/20 5/21 8/21 13/22 17/1 22/19 | 48/14 80/6 80/9 | warranted [1] 34/25 |
| 22/25 23/8 25/17 29/13 31/8 | used [1] 39/22 | was [319] |
| $31 / 10$ 31/11 $31 / 17$ 32/15 37/4 | using [1] 47/21 | wasn't [14] 17/18 21/2 24/9 |
| 43/5 65/10 65/13 65/22 70/15 | V | 24/10 $33 / 15$ 36/3 $36 / 5 \quad 40 / 10$ |
| 71/16 71/23 73/4 82/21 |  | 43/8 47/11 47/24 58/23 59/19 |
| two-level [1] 31/17 | vacation [1] 74/22 | 66/4 |


| W | what's [6] 14/13 21/21 47/23 | willfully [1] 17/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $B^{3 / 50 \% 9}$ |  |
| atch [7] 33\%6 337 | whatever [8] 31/2 33/8 65/7 | William Purpura [ ${ }^{2}$ ] 1/17 $2 / 15$ |
| 33/11 33/16 44/11 | 74/6 78/6 79/12 79/12 81/10 | window [1] 54/22 |
| watching [1] 22/7 | whatsoever [1] 28/6 | wire [1] 28/14 |
| water [1] 77/6 | when [44] $4 / 14$ 4/16 5/16 $5 / 17$ | wire fraud [1] 28/14 |
| way [10] $21 / 7$ 28/2 $29 / 7$ 36/13 | 6/12 8/19 11/13 11/18 16/24 | Wise [16] $1 / 14$ 2/5 2/9 8/11 |
| 42/22 46/4 74/1 76/25 79/2 | 17/17 17/21 18/2 21/20 22/1 | 10/25 18/19 25/9 51/14 56/25 |
| 81/16 | 22/1 25/1 25/14 25/20 37/17 | 57/5 57/11 58/6 58/10 58/16 |
| Wayne [1] 23/13 | 38/1 42/22 $44 / 20$ 44/20 45/13 | 76/6 78/4 |
| Wayne Jenkins [1] 23/13 | 46/24 49/5 50/19 50/23 51/20 | wish [1] 32/5 |
| we [89] | 53/6 53/16 54/17 55/9 55/19 | wished [1] 29/18 |
| we'd [1] 21/13 | 59/17 61/15 65/15 65/20 67/12 | wishes [1] 78/15 |
| we'll [5] 12/13 33/16 51/5 | 70/2 71/10 77/6 77/25 83/10 | within [3] $14 / 1316 / 4$ 85/15 |
| 67/23 84/4 | where [27] 7/14 11/21 12/3 | without [2] 16/22 73/11 |
| we're [9] $10 / 17$ 30/3 $31 / 5$ 34/5 | $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 17 & 25 / 22 & 25 / 23 & 37 / 12 \\ 41 / 15\end{array}$ | witness [15] $\quad 8 / 15$ 8/15 $15 / 4$ |
| 40/21 49/21 52/23 53/2 84/3 | 41/16 43/5 $44 / 6$ 45/7 $45 / 9$ 47/14 | 15/11 16/13 20/4 20/7 20/7 |
| we've [11] $30 / 20$ 43/9 47/13 | 48/5 49/25 50/2 50/2 50/6 52/14 | 23/19 26/11 41/24 51/17 58/23 |
| 48/25 50/3 54/25 63/13 66/9 | 53/8 58/6 62/7 72/2 73/11 73/16 | 67/23 76/9 |
| 75/16 78/10 78/12 | 82/16 | witnesses [3] 4/7 8/9 8/9 |
| wear [1] 76/20 | whether [11] 17/16 17/17 18/14 | Wolf [2] 22/16 26/20 |
| week [4] 13/21 19/3 22/8 61/22 | 18/20 20/2 $33 / 17$ 34/15 40/22 | woman [1] 61/17 |
| weight [1] 50/10 | 50/17 79/21 80/8 | women [1] 81/3 |
| Welcome [1] 51/12 | which [64] $3 / 3$ 3/4 $3 / 10$ 3/14 | won't [3] 57/10 59/16 74/16 |
| well [46] 2/16 3/5 4/24 6/6 6/8 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}3 / 15 & 5 / 1 & 5 / 16 & 6 / 16 & 7 / 25 & 8 / 2\end{array}$ | wonder [1] 72/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}9 / 6 & 9 / 24 & 12 / 7 & 18 / 23 & 20 / 20 & 21 / 6\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{llllllll}8 / 14 & 9 / 2 & 10 / 3 & 10 / 5 & 10 / 12 & 11 / 3\end{array}$ | wonderful [1] 69/25 |
| 21/7 21/13 21/21 21/23 22/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 9 & 11 / 13 & 12 / 22 & 13 / 5 & 14 / 25\end{array}$ | woods [1] 60/8 |
| 22/6 22/25 23/6 24/3 25/25 31/1 | $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 9 & 15 / 18 & 16 / 22 & 21 / 10 & 21 / 10\end{array}$ | word [4] 37/9 47/21 50/8 81/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}32 / 24 & 34 / 12 & 38 / 23 & 40 / 25 & 41 / 15\end{array}$ | 21/11 $22 / 17$ 23/2 $23 / 3 \quad 23 / 4$ | work [9] 9/12 $9 / 13$ 9/13 9/14 |
| 41/23 46/12 50/12 53/2 57/25 | 23/11 $23 / 21$ 25/25 26/12 $26 / 21$ | 9/15 40/10 66/13 68/19 69/4 |
| 61/2 61/21 69/19 69/20 69/20 | 30/10 31/8 31/9 31/10 31/12 | worked [3] 37/25 39/16 68/16 |
| 70/4 70/5 71/14 $71 / 19$ 72/11 | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}33 / 6 & 34 / 2 & 42 / 7 & 43 / 12 & 43 / 14 & 45 / 1\end{array}$ | workers [1] 18/3 |
| 72/19 74/21 75/5 78/8 | 45/18 46/9 49/6 54/14 54/25 | working [9] 8/21 8/22 9/18 17/1 |
| well-being [1] 61/21 | 57/15 57/22 58/11 67/18 67/19 | 25/16 46/23 46/25 54/17 68/23 |
| well-reasoned [1] 78/8 | 71/24 75/19 76/16 77/13 80/7 | works [1] 62/7 |
| went [16] 19/21 21/5 21/18 | 80/23 84/4 | world [1] 25/14 |
| 21/23 38/25 46/23 53/6 53/16 | while [10] 7/11 7/12 29/3 37/25 | worried [1] 72/5 |
| 56/7 56/8 57/21 59/16 60/7 60/8 | 39/3 39/3 $45 / 14$ 52/16 53/9 54/8 | worry [2] 39/25 74/2 |
| 60/18 66/5 | white [5] 3/23 3/24 57/19 57/19 | worse [1] 5/17 |
| were [68] $4 / 1$ | 71/24 | would [55] $3 / 15$ 6/14 $7 / 24$ 8/12 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}13 / 25 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 17\end{array}$ | Whiting [2] 53/5 55/16 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}9 / 1 & 11 / 7 & 11 / 8 & 11 / 12 & 11 / 24 & 12 / 5\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}14 / 18 & 14 / 22 & 14 / 25 & 17 / 15 & 19 / 17\end{array}$ | who [54] 2/16 4/2 4/3 7/8 7/9 |  |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 24 & 23 / 7 & 26 / 4 & 26 / 7 & 26 / 10 & 28 / 7\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}12 / 2 & 12 / 5 & 14 / 4 & 15 / 4 & 15 / 5 & 15 / 17\end{array}$ | 15/16 15/17 15/18 15/21 15/23 |
| 28/18 29/20 29/20 34/17 34/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 19 & 15 / 20 & 16 / 2 & 16 / 22 & 18 / 7\end{array}$ | 16/9 17/8 20/10 21/9 21/14 |
| $35 / 3$ 36/24 $38 / 7$ 38/9 38/9 38/13 | 21/15 21/21 $24 / 2$ 24/9 24/12 | 22/12 23/17 $25 / 15$ 28/2 28/25 |
| 39/3 39/4 40/11 40/12 41/5 | 25/19 26/13 $26 / 14$ 33/11 33/15 | 29/12 31/2 31/19 38/16 48/22 |
| 41/19 41/24 42/22 43/1 44/12 | 36/4 36/13 41/11 41/19 41/23 | 49/3 54/20 57/6 59/10 59/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllllll}44 / 18 & 45 / 9 & 45 / 14 & 48 / 2 & 48 / 17\end{array}$ |  | 59/23 60/25 61/3 64/17 69/22 |
| 48/20 51/14 51/22 52/16 53/5 | 48/11 52/24 54/7 55/18 61/12 | 72/13 73/11 $75 / 1$ 79/3 79/8 |
| 53/20 53/21 54/1 54/17 55/5 | 64/4 68/12 68/17 68/19 69/2 | 80/18 83/19 85/15 85/20 86/3 |
| 55/25 56/1 56/2 57/13 57/22 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}69 / 4 & 71 / 21 & 72 / 13 & 76 / 4 & 80 / 21\end{array}$ | wouldn't [2] 20/4 20/8 |
| 57/22 58/4 65/14 71/24 81/24 | 81/3 82/17 83/4 | write [5] 22/8 71/4 71/17 75/6 |
| weren't [4] 39/16 41/5 41/6 | who's [3] 11/15 13/15 $26 / 13$ | 75/7 |
| 54/20 | whole [5] 5/12 39/20 39/22 69/8 | writes [2] 40/22 41/10 |
| west [1] 3/16 | 73/5 | writing [3] 70/5 72/24 74/11 |
| what [84] 5/14 6/11 7/21 8/21 | whom [3] 43/21 68/22 81/24 | written [2] 12/24 27/17 |
| 9/19 13/1 16/15 16/16 16/18 | whore [1] 59/20 | wrong [4] 13/25 14/20 58/21 |
| 16/25 17/14 $18 / 5$ 19/19 20/24 | whose [2] 33/1 80/5 | 71/11 |
| 21/18 22/2 22/6 26/6 26/16 | why [6] $4 / 5$ 25/12 $26 / 2$ 47/12 | wrongful [2] 80/6 80/9 |
| 26/18 $27 / 12$ 27/24 29/8 $31 / 19$ | 53/24 58/1 | wrongly [1] 80/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 18 & 35 / 1 & 36 / 11 & 37 / 15 & 38 / 9\end{array}$ | Wicks' [1] 3/4 | wrongs [1] 56/19 |
| 39/20 39/25 41/1 41/2 41/6 | widespread [1] 36/19 | wrote [3] $310 / 5$ 22/6 $70 / 4$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll} \\ 41 / 20 & 42 / 4 & 44 / 14 & 45 / 3 & 45 / 4 & 45 / 5\end{array}$ | wife [5] $39 / 24$ 40/3 59/6 59/14 | Y |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}48 / 19 & 48 / 20 & 49 / 6 & 49 / 10 & 49 / 20\end{array}$ | 66/14 | $Y$ |
| 52/23 53/11 53/20 54/20 56/13 | will [40] $3 / 2$ 3/11 $12 / 1412 / 16$ | yard [1] 73/5 |
| 56/16 56/22 57/18 57/20 57/23 | 12/17 12/17 12/18 12/21 12/23 | yawning [1] 52/14 |
| 57/24 58/4 58/11 58/12 58/13 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 2 & 13 / 9 & 13 / 22 & 27 / 3 & 32 / 8 & 49 / 21\end{array}$ | yawning gap [1] 52/14 |
| 58/13 58/14 58/18 59/3 59/3 | 50/6 50/7 50/11 50/14 50/23 | year [5] 14/12 25/24 67/2 69/7 |
| 59/6 60/19 63/13 63/18 64/13 | 50/24 56/18 $56 / 22$ 71/8 72/14 | 77/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll}65 / 18 & 70 / 5 & 71 / 3 & 72 / 3 & 74 / 8 & 75 / 21\end{array}$ | 73/23 74/24 $74 / 25$ 75/6 78/8 | Year's [1] 73/12 |
| 77/5 77/5 78/4 78/5 78/13 78/13 | 78/23 80/19 82/18 82/19 83/15 | years [31] 10/13 16/21 20/19 |
| 78/14 80/2 | 84/7 84/10 85/13 85/22 86/2 | 20/25 25/21 47/7 52/11 52/25 |




[^0]:    49/22 50/3 52/6 52/17 56/21

