```
1
                   IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
                       FOR THE DISTRICT OF MARYLAND
 2
                            NORTHERN DIVISION
     UNITED STATES OF AMERICA,
 3
          Plaintiff,
 4
                                    CRIMINAL CASE NO. CCB-17-106
          VS.
 5
     MARCUS ROOSEVELT TAYLOR,
          Defendant.
 6
 7
                         Thursday, June 7, 2018
 8
                             Courtroom 1A
                          Baltimore, Maryland
 9
10
                      THE HONORABLE CATHERINE C. BLAKE, JUDGE
            BEFORE:
11
                    MOTION FOR NEW TRIAL - SENTENCING
12
     For the Plaintiff:
13
     Leo J. Wise, Esquire
     Derek E. Hines, Esquire
14
     Assistant United States Attorneys
15
     For the Defendant:
16
     Christopher C. Nieto, Esquire
     Jenifer Wicks, Esquire
17
18
     Also Present:
     Manisha Garner, U.S. Probation Officer
19
     Special Agent Erika Jensen, FBI
20
     TFO John Sieracki
     Special Agent Kevin Bodmer, FBI
21
     Special Agent Jared Stern, FBI
22
                                Reported by:
23
                      Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR
                     Federal Official Court Reporter
24
                     101 W. Lombard Street, 4th Floor
                        Baltimore, Maryland 21201
25
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

## 1 PROCEEDINGS (2:38 p.m.)2 THE COURT: Good afternoon. You can all be seated. 3 Call the case, Mr. Wise. 4 5 MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor. The case is United States of America versus Marcus 6 7 Roosevelt Taylor, Criminal No. CCB-17-106. And we're here this afternoon for sentencing. 8 THE COURT: All right. And I believe for a motion for 9 new trial. 10 11 MS. WICKS: Good afternoon, Your Honor. Jenifer Wicks and Christopher Nieto on behalf of Mr. Taylor, who is present. 12 THE COURT: Okay. All right. Thank you. 13 So let me take up the motion for new trial. 14 First, I'm referring to the motion that counsel filed 15 16 on Mr. Taylor's behalf. I have read the memos that you've all 17 filed, obviously, so I think I have an idea of the chronology and so forth. 18 But I'll start with Ms. Wicks. Do you want to be 19 20 heard? 21 MS. WICKS: Your Honor, just briefly. In addition to our representations in the motion --22 23 and I understand and have read what the Government filed this week and previously -- I would just note that the timing is a 24 little bizarre, that Mr. Santiful, the morning of February 1st, 25

```
is seen on the pole camera. And I would note that
 1
     apparently -- I have not seen the video, but that he's seen and
 2
     he's not wearing the work vest that he would have wore to work
 3
     the evening before.
 4
 5
              But he does appear in the afternoon, when he comes to
     court and testifies in this courtroom, to be wearing that vest
 6
 7
     again. And it's discussed in the transcript how he wears that
     for work.
 8
              So it just -- I can understand why he might not have
 9
     wanted to wear it during an apparent gun transaction, but it
10
11
     still just, I think, added to what we would have wanted to
     bring out if we had known of this information.
12
13
              So otherwise, I would submit on the record before the
     Court.
14
              THE COURT:
                         All right. Thank you.
15
16
              Mr. Wise.
              MR. WISE: I'm just going to briefly address the new
17
     trial motion, Your Honor, and then Mr. Hines will address the
18
     sentencing.
19
              I don't see the significance of his work vest. I
20
     think the testimony was that he had -- he's testified in the
21
22
     afternoon, and he said he was coming from a construction site.
              I don't see how that's -- the fact that he wasn't
23
     wearing it apparently at 9:47 in the morning is of any moment.
24
              But as we laid out in the chronology, that's the
25
```

timing of when information was and wasn't known.

THE COURT: All right. Well, I have looked at it. I appreciate the chronology. First of all, the chronology does make it clear that there were not any -- apparently any Government prosecutors that would have known this new information about Mr. Santiful prior to February 13th of 2018.

I'll certainly accept that Mr. Wise and Mr. Hines didn't know it until sometime later. That doesn't necessarily excuse anything. The Government is sort of a collective entity.

But even so, it does not appear that this information, that he had been seen on a pole camera allegedly exchanging a firearm, didn't come into the possession of the Government until after the verdict in this case.

It also appears that the two counts for which he is now charged -- and, of course, it's only a charge at this point -- of drug involvement or distribution both occurred in March of 2018. Again, well after the verdict in this case.

His testimony related to a specific crime offense charged against Mr. Hersl and not Mr. Taylor.

Nonetheless, I will assume, for purposes of the motion, that any defendant would have an interest in having impeachment information against a Government witness when they are being tried jointly and are attempting to discredit overall the Government's case.

However, having said that, and looked carefully about what this additional information would be, it is clear it is impeachment information, arguably -- well, it is impeachment information of Mr. Santiful.

Mr. Santiful, of course, was impeached in the course of his testimony. It was brought out on direct, I believe, that he had a 2008 conviction for possession of firearms and a 2015 for possession of drugs.

There was an earlier one which he says that related to a gun that had been planted on him rather than admitting it, but the facts of that were also discussed to some extent.

And, again, clearly the Government brought out that he had a firearms and a drug conviction.

So the standard, it appears to me, is what is set forth in <u>Giglio versus United States</u> at 405 United States 150, <u>United States versus Bagley</u>, which is 473 U.S. 667, and then recently applied by the Fourth Circuit in <u>United States v. Wolf</u> at 860 F.3d 175.

And the points are -- I mean, the factors, first consider whether the evidence, in fact, is favorable to the defense, and I'll assume that it is, to some degree, in the sense of being impeachment information.

But it is also -- to justify a new trial, there has to be a showing of prejudice, which, according to the -- it means it has to be material, and that means that there is a

```
reasonable probability that if that evidence had been disclosed
 1
     to the defense, the result of the proceeding would have been
 2
     different.
 3
              This is minimally additional impeachment, cumulative
 4
 5
     information that I do not think demonstrates a reasonable
 6
     probability to undermine confidence in the outcome of the
     jury's consideration of this case.
 7
              And the third factor as well is did the prosecution
 8
     have the materials and fail to disclose them. Again, only in
 9
     some very large sense does it appear that a Government agency
10
11
     had some of this information. The prosecutors did not. And so
     overall, I don't find that there's any basis to grant a new
12
13
     trial.
              So counsel's motion for a new trial is denied. We'll
14
15
     proceed to sentencing.
16
              We have the presentence report.
              Mr. Taylor, of course, was convicted of one count of
17
     racketeering conspiracy, a count of racketeering, and a
18
19
     specific count of a Hobbs Act robbery.
20
              Before I get to the guidelines, let me just ask about
21
     the presentence report generally.
22
              Does the Government have any additions, corrections,
     or modifications?
23
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

Mr. Nieto?

No, Your Honor.

Okay.

MR. HINES:

THE COURT:

24

25

```
1
              MR. NIETO:
                          Yes, Your Honor. We had -- April 13th I
    had sent a letter to Ms. Garner filing -- noticing a variety of
 2
     objections that we had to the presentence report.
 3
                                                        Ιf
     Your Honor would like, I can start working my way through that
 4
 5
     or --
              THE COURT: Are you talking -- I have a number of
 6
 7
     objections that related to the factual statements and the
     quideline calculations; is that what you're talking about?
 8
 9
              MR. NIETO:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
                         Is there any other issue or objection?
10
              THE COURT:
11
              MR. NIETO:
                         No, Your Honor.
                         Okay. And, for the record, did Mr. Taylor
12
              THE COURT:
13
    have the chance to review the presentence report and discuss it
    with you?
14
15
              MR. NIETO:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT:
                         Okay. All right. Let me get to those
     facts. You have a number of them.
17
              Which specifically do you think affect the guideline
18
19
     calculation? I mean, as I read this, even accepting all the
20
     changes that you suggest, the quideline range would be a 36
     instead of a 37; a 36, criminal history category is a I, 188 to
21
     235 months.
22
23
              MR. NIETO:
                         Yes, Your Honor.
                          Okay. There were a couple of them -- and
24
              THE COURT:
25
     I'm happy to hear from Mr. Hines -- there were a couple of
```

```
objections having to do with -- I'm looking at -- Paragraph 22
was one of them. It's a discussion of the robbery of
Mr. Stevenson, and it contains an indication that Mr. Jenkins
stole 2 kilos of cocaine and gave it to Mr. Stepp to sell.
```

I'm not aware that the Government's taking the position that Mr. Taylor was involved in those 2 kilos. Are you?

MR. HINES: We're not suggesting that Mr. Taylor knew going into the episode that Mr. Jenkins was going to steal those 2 kilograms.

However, under 1B1.3, and jointly undertaking criminal activity for purposes of the guideline calculation where they've objected to Paragraph 53, Mr. Taylor is responsible for the kilograms of cocaine that were taken by his co-conspirator during the commission of this robbery.

It certainly was foreseeable to Mr. Taylor that
Mr. Jenkins would take the drugs, given that in prior
robberies, Mr. Jenkins, in front of Mr. Taylor, had stolen
drugs. This includes the robbery of Belvedere Towers. It
includes multiple robberies on the street where small amounts
of drugs were taken.

The testimony at trial from both Detective Ward and Detective Hendrix were that Mr. Taylor saw this, on a number of occasions, they discussed this. So certainly, when it comes to 1B1.3 in the application note, it's reasonably foreseeable to

him and he is responsible under the guidelines for that.

And because he's responsible, the adjusted offense level for that offense, the Stevenson episode, is, in fact, 34. And so because of the grouping calculation, no matter how the rest of the objections are determined here, his calculations still are correct, according to the presentence report and the guideline range that the presentence report calculated.

THE COURT: You mean so long as the Level 34 is properly calculated for Mr. Stevenson, which starts at Paragraph 21 of the presentence report, the other objections would not affect the guidelines?

MR. HINES: That is correct, Your Honor, because in Paragraph 66 and 67, where the calculation is actually done, the three levels for the -- under the grouping category are added to the greater of the adjusted offense levels above.

So if Group 2 for the Stevenson episode remains at Offense Level 34, the three levels are added to 34, and the -- although I don't think the defense objections have merit, the remainder, it would not affect the guidelines calculations even if they were to prevail in the presentence report.

THE COURT: Okay. Well, what was Group 1, which is also at a --

MR. HINES: Group 1 is the racketeering conspiracy robbery of Shawn Whiting.

THE COURT: Okay. Now, on the Shawn Whiting, there is

```
an objection as to whether Mr. Taylor took the 13,000 and

1 and a half kilos of heroin from Mr. Whiting. And I think

there's also an objection to the amount of loss. It appears --

and I will stand to be corrected if I'm misinterpreting this --

but that there's an increase of two for the loss being greater

than 95,000 as to that group.
```

MR. NIETO: Correct, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Which I don't think is correct.

MR. HINES: Your Honor, the value of the heroin that was taken was included in that calculation. The defense elicited during trial that the kilograms were worth \$70,000 per kilogram, and there's a missing kilo and a half that was not turned into evidence.

The testimony by Detective Ward was that

Detective Taylor was left with the money and the drug evidence.

And Mr. Whiting confirmed the amount of both the money and the drugs that he had.

There was, in fact, a photo of the money, for example, that was introduced into evidence, which was a photo of over \$16,000 from just days before the episode from Mr. Whiting's phone.

Mr. Whiting testified as to having 4 and a half kilos of heroin, and the evidence paperwork showed that only 3 kilograms of heroin were turned in.

THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else

```
specifically, Mr. Nieto? I should say, I mean, I don't think
that I need to resolve all of this. There is no reason that
these objections and statements by the defendant cannot go
along, as part of the presentence report, to the Bureau of
Prisons. It is not surprising that there's some factual
differences between your position and the Government's
position.
```

MR. NIETO: Correct, Your Honor. And I understood there's a variety of objections that, when it's all said and done, amount to simply a potential one -- a 36 as opposed to a 37 as an adjusted offense level.

But with regards to the multiple-count adjustment, from Mr. Taylor's perspective, we had -- our computation of Group 1 was not 34 but rather was 29.

For Group 2, it was not 34 but rather 33, and that's how we had -- and that's why I had -- our calculation had him at a total final offense level of a 36 as opposed to that.

THE COURT: Sure.

MR. NIETO: And if you'd like, I can address some of the things that Mr. Hines said as opposed to some of the other issues that I had, but --

**THE COURT:** Okay.

MR. NIETO: -- the long and short of it, Your Honor, I think that the evidence was pretty clear that Mr. Jenkins' activity with the drugs was a separate conspiracy involving

Mr. Stepp and that the other police officers that were with Mr. Jenkins at the time of the house raid were not privy to or aware of those drugs being located or taken or anything to that effect.

And so -- and its regard is the Government continues to use the term "the co-conspirators." I understood the co-conspiracy being for purposes of the monetary value, as was proven at trial.

But the -- I think detective -- I think Mr. Stepp was pretty clear about that. He and Jenkins had their own side deal with regards to the drugs, and that's why that was our objection, because there was nothing to suggest that Mr. Taylor was aware of, privy to, or part of the theft of those drugs from that house.

And that's why when -- I understood if it's reasonably foreseeable or part of the conspiracy at the time that it would apply; but in that particular instance, based on what the information was at the trial, we felt that it was inapplicable, which was part of our objection.

Additionally, with regards to Shawn Whiting -- and my apologies, Your Honor, the testimony over the period of the two weeks, specifically with regards to that incident, was a little bit confusing.

But as our recollection was, he had suggested that there were additional kilos of drugs and additional money that

were in the house that had been taken, as well as, I think there were some video game controllers, other things to that effect.

There had been no testimony, as I recall, there had been no testimony to suggest that Mr. Taylor had been involved in any of the superfluous thefts of that extra bit of money and those drugs specifically.

The Government didn't -- and my apologies, the Government didn't file anything in writing in opposition to what we had proposed, so I'm sort of trying to divine from what they've said recently what an appropriate response would be for that.

But my recollection was that there was absolutely no other evidence to suggest that Mr. Taylor had taken those drugs or had done anything with those drugs whatsoever. I mean, we're not talking about small amounts here that were just crumpled up and thrown away.

The Government is alleging that Mr. Taylor specifically was responsible for taking over a kilo of drugs, and yet there had been absolutely nothing else presented at that trial to support that motion.

In fact, respectfully, with the exception of Mr. Whiting saying that there had been additional drugs taken, there was nothing else to help corroborate that as well.

With respect to the additional money that had been

taken, once again, from my recollection, there had been no witness testimony that that was Mr. Taylor, but that there had been a veritable sea of police officers throughout the house that were searching it.

And so I suppose my suggestion would be to the Court that for purposes of guideline computation enhancement, the Government did not meet their burden to establish that that would be applicable for Mr. Taylor specifically.

There are also, it seems to be in every particular grouping, before the subtotal, there is an adjustment for obstruction of justice, but there is no explanation contained within the presentence report as to why that -- why that two-level bump would be appropriate or should be appropriate for each of those particular counts.

And, once again, Your Honor, I had filed the objections to it because I was unsure of the genesis for that.

With regards to the Stevenson matter, I can understand why that would be an issue.

But with regards to the other particular circumstances, there is nothing -- I mean, even the explanation, for example, in Paragraph 46, I'm not sure if that's taken directly from the sentencing guidelines or not, but it doesn't provide the Court any guidance as to why those two-level bumps should be appropriate.

And it's an aggregate issue. I mean, it adds up and

it begins to increase the advisory guideline levels to a point that we think is not appropriate based on the testimony from the trial.

So I can delve a little bit more, Your Honor, but I think Your Honor understands what I'm saying.

THE COURT: Okay. Yes. Thank you. And I appreciate it.

And I do agree it would be helpful if the Government had responded to these objections in advance of today.

But would you like to tell me about the obstruction of justice.

MR. HINES: Sure, Your Honor.

It's, again, under 1B1.3. For each episode, every single co-defendant who testified in this case testified that they lied repeatedly on incident reports, probable cause statements involving each and every charged episode and the victims who testified here. That was within the scope of their agreement. It was jointly undertaken activity.

There's no doubt Mr. Taylor knew -- he did it himself in some instances -- that they were going to lie about the amount of money, the amount of drugs that were taken during these episodes.

And in some cases -- and we'll talk about this more -there's cases where they even lied about the basis for the
probable cause, you know, trash pulls, things of that nature.

So that was the jointly undertaken activity and clearly obstruction of justice under the guidelines enhancement.

THE COURT: Well, that was my assumption. But I agree it's not completely clear in Paragraph 46. The obstruction does not refer to the video over and over again, the safe, the video of the safe. It is referring to the false reports that were filed in connection with these robberies --

MR. HINES: Correct.

THE COURT: -- and similar. Okay. All right.

MR. HINES: And, Your Honor, I just would like to note one thing on the fact of the drugs. The application note is directly on point here about this.

The hypothetical posed in the application note is if, say, Sergeant Jenkins and sergeant -- or Detective Taylor had decided to commit a robbery. And even if Detective Taylor had told Sergeant Jenkins, When we go in there, do not assault anybody. We're going to do this the right way. We're not going to assault anybody. We're just going to rob them, as if that wasn't severe enough. If Sergeant Jenkins then goes in there and commits an assault, that's jointly undertaken activity in furtherance of the jointly undertaken activity, that act, and Mr. Taylor's responsible for it.

So the same thing goes for the drugs here. It's clear that Mr. Taylor knew Mr. Jenkins was stealing these drugs.

```
Whether Mr. Jenkins was going to sell them to Donald Stepp and
 1
    Mr. Taylor was not going to benefit from it, it was still part
 2
     of the robbery, and that's why the enhancement should apply.
 3
              THE COURT:
                          Okay. All right. Anything else on the
 4
 5
     guidelines issues at the moment?
          (No response.)
 6
                         Okay. All right. I'm not going to go
 7
              THE COURT:
     through all of these point by point.
 8
              I do agree that at least as to the Oreese Stevenson
 9
     robbery that it was both jointly undertaken activity and
10
11
     reasonably foreseeable to Mr. Taylor that Mr. Jenkins would be
     stealing drugs, money.
12
              I would not describe Mr. Jenkins' drug-related
13
     activity as a separate conspiracy. I think this was undertaken
14
15
     jointly. There were different levels of involvement,
16
     certainly. Obviously, Mr. Jenkins being the most serious.
17
              But my recollection of the evidence is it certainly
     should have been clear to Mr. Taylor that Mr. Jenkins was
18
19
     taking and reselling drugs on a number of occasions, so I
20
     certainly think it is reasonably foreseeable and there is at
     least a correct calculation of an Offense Level 34 as to
21
     Mr. Stevenson and I think likely as to Mr. Whiting as well.
22
23
              But, in any event, I think the guideline
     calculation -- and we're only talking about one level anyway --
24
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

but I think it is correctly calculated at an Offense Level 37

25

and a criminal history category of I, so that is a range of 210 to 262 months.

Guidelines are only one factor.

Mr. Hines, do you want to address your specific recommendation.

MR. HINES: Certainly, Your Honor.

At the latest in January of 2014, Detective Taylor began his crime spree, committing robbery after robbery after robbery.

He betrayed the city of Baltimore and the trust placed in him, he abused his powers as a police officer, and he was consumed by greed.

Marcus Taylor stole from the citizens years before he ever even started working with Wayne Jenkins. These robberies did not occur at the direction of his supervisors. These robberies occurred because Marcus Taylor proposed them and carried them out.

Marcus Taylor stands before Your Honor having been involved in at least nine robberies, more than some of his co-defendants.

He stole drugs during some of these robberies. He obstructed justice by lying in incident reports and knowing that his co-conspirators were also lying in those reports.

He also lied in a search warrant affidavit. As a result of Mr. Taylor's lies, a man served over two and a half

years in federal prison before his conviction and sentence were vacated by Judge Bennett.

The sentencing guidelines for the defendant are 17 and a half to 22 years. When examining the sentencing factors under 18 United States Code, 3553, the United States recommends a sentence within the guidelines as the appropriate disposition of the case.

Accordingly, we're asking the Court to impose a sentence of 18 years' imprisonment.

I'd like to start, Your Honor, by discussing the first robbery that was presented in the trial, that's the robbery of Mr. Shawn Whiting.

The police arrested Mr. Whiting in his home, took him down to his living room in his boxers. Mr. Taylor and his co-defendant, Mr. Ward, remained in Mr. Whiting's bedroom.

While in the bedroom, Mr. Taylor found the money and yelled "I got it."

Mr. Ward testified that Mr. Taylor asked

Detective Ward to look out for him, which Ward understood to

mean that Ward should steal some of the money and give it to

Detective Taylor.

Ward, in fact, did steal some of the money and gave Detective Taylor a share of the \$3,000 that Ward took.

But Taylor -- Detective Taylor was also left with the money and drugs before they were submitted into evidence, and

there was testimony from not only Mr. Whiting and Mr. Ward as 1 to the entire amount of money that was there, but 2 Detective Leimbach also testified that the amount was 3 significantly smaller that he saw as well. 4 5 The evidence showed that an additional \$13,000 and 1 and a half kilograms of heroin were taken during this episode 6 and not turned into evidence. 7 After the robbery, Detective Taylor apologized to 8 Detective Ward and said he should not have blurted out that the 9 money was there in the first place, because what 10 11 Detective Taylor said is that if he had not said anything, he and Ward could have taken all of the money. This was 12 13 Detective Taylor's idea from the start. The robbery was in January 2014, over a year prior to 14 15 working with the SES unit for Sergeant Jenkins. 16 The second robbery that Your Honor heard testimony 17 about was the robbery during a search warrant at 18 Edmondson Avenue and Fremont Avenue. Ward testified that money was found. And after the 19 20 search, Ward was driving in a vehicle, Detective Taylor was in 21 another vehicle, when Detective Taylor called Ward and told him to pull over. 22 23 Ward pulled over to the side of the road, Taylor walked up to his vehicle, pretended to give him a high five 24 25 (indicating), and put cash into his hands, several hundred

dollars was the testimony, from the search warrant that had just occurred at Edmondson Avenue and Fremont Avenue.

Again, no Jenkins involved, well before SES and GTTF, and they were even called in -- both Taylor and Ward -- this is the testimony of Detective Ward -- they were called into IID to account for a complaint on this robbery and they lied about the amount of money that was taken.

This is a slide from our closing argument. We've discussed the robbery of Shawn Whiting and the robbery at Edmondson Avenue, things occurred even before, to the right of the orange line, the crime spree continued with Sergeant Jenkins.

The third episode that I'd like to mention is the search warrant of a man's home. That man was named Paul Jones. He went by Pedro.

Detective Taylor and Detective Ward were conducting an investigation of Mr. Jones. They pulled trash from a trash can near his home. In the trash can was marijuana residue and stuff that was indicative of drug packaging.

But they quickly discovered that they'd pulled the wrong trash. The trash that they had pulled was not that of Mr. Jones, and Mr. Ward testified to this on the stand. It was, in fact, somebody else's trash.

Ward told Taylor that he didn't want to go forward with writing the search warrant because they had messed up the

investigation.

Detective Taylor then wrote the search warrant, took it to a judge, submitted the search warrant, referencing that they had pulled trash from the residence that they had intended to search -- this is Judge Lipman in the District Court -- lied under oath to the judge and got a search warrant for Mr. Jones' home.

That case was presented to the U.S. Attorney's Office here. Mr. Taylor presented that case with others. It was adopted. It was charged before -- I believe it was Judge Bennett.

Mr. Jones -- and this is not a phenomenon we haven't seen, given, for example, Mr. Burley and Mr. Matthews, Mr. Jones pled guilty to the offense. In fact, he did have heroin in his home, pled guilty, and it wasn't until two and a half years later, when the U.S. Attorney's Office learned of this information, corroborated Mr. Ward, that we were able to file a motion to vacate his sentence and conviction.

This is indicative of many more cases that are out there, less federally, but many in the city State's Attorney's Office where, at a minimum, sentences are being -- and convictions are being vacated because of the credibility of Mr. Taylor and his co-defendants.

The defense in their submission claim that Mr. Taylor was vulnerable and uncritically followed the chain of command.

That is not what the evidence actually showed at trial. These three robberies are an example of how Mr. Taylor acted even before supervisors were involved, even before he paired up with Sergeant Jenkins.

And that's why not once when Detective Taylor joined Mr. Jenkins did he ever hesitate, in any of these episodes, to steal money with him. He was already committing his own crimes, and this was further opportunity for him to commit additional crimes.

Turning to the Belvedere Towers episode, this is the first robbery with Wayne Jenkins and Maurice Ward and Detective Taylor.

The testimony was that Jenkins found two individuals who had parked near the Belvedere Towers, Jenkins went up to them, he lied that he was a federal agent, they popped the trunk of the two cars of these individuals. There was two duffel bags in the car, one contained approximately 30 pounds of high-grade marijuana, the other contained approximately \$20,000.

Taylor, Ward, Jenkins took these bags, threw 'em in the trunk, they drove off to a secluded location, divvied up the money.

Jenkins and the marijuana and the rest of the money remained in the vehicle with Jenkins and Ward and Taylor when they left. It was never turned into evidence and these

individuals were never arrested.

Donald Stepp testified that, in fact, the bag of marijuana was brought to his house. He sold the marijuana and returned the proceeds to Wayne Jenkins.

This is another example of the drug crimes intersecting here and Mr. Taylor, at a minimum, being aware that drugs were being taken and that Jenkins was benefiting from them.

The Stevenson episode has been talked about significantly, so I'll be brief here.

Over \$200,000 were taken from Mr. Stevenson's home, 2 kilograms of cocaine, a Breitling watch.

And what's perhaps most significant is that after this robbery occurred, one of the leaders proposed going to his home -- that's Defendant Taylor -- to divide up the cash. He had everyone back over at his house, they went over, they split up the cash in Detective Taylor's basement.

Detective Taylor told his co-defendants he was going to use that significant sum of cash, \$20,000, to put a new deck on his home. And, in fact, he did put a new deck on his home. Detective Hendrix said that Detective Taylor told him he did that.

This is another example of Detective Taylor not being a follower, but being an active participant in each and every one of these robberies.

And then the string of robberies got even more and more egregious. You heard testimony about Detective Taylor having told his co-defendants about him and Wayne Jenkins following a drug dealer down to PG County, Washington, D.C. area, so that they could follow him and hit him over the head -- they had, of course, that duffel bag of tools, grappling hooks, sledgehammers. The testimony was that they were going to hit him over the head to steal what they believed was either cash or drugs, and it was only thwarted by happenstance that Special Agent Todd Edwards happened to be tracking the same target, but for legitimate reasons.

So Ward -- what we saw at trial was while at a certain point in time, Hendrix and Ward, they realized that this was getting -- the crime was just getting out of control and they decided not to be as involved.

Detective Taylor did not make that decision. He went along with Detective Jenkins. And the nine robberies that were shown at trial, or attempted robberies that were shown at trial, just include the ones that we know about.

There's testimony from Ward and Hendrix that Taylor was with Jenkins, they were tracking people from a place called The Joint. They were leaving and doing their own thing off the books that Ward and Hendrix were not even involved in. These were not legitimate operations.

It's clear to us that when we compare the relative

culpabilities, which I'll get to when discussing disparities, that Detective Taylor was involved in almost twice as many robberies as Mr. Hendrix and Mr. Ward.

The only example that could support the defense claim that he was sort of a follower and didn't have the will to say no potentially is that he did, in fact, follow Wayne Jenkins and his advice and put a BB gun in his vehicle. That's not something that's accounted for in the sentencing guidelines and the PSR as far as any aggravator.

So that following of Wayne Jenkins and his agreement to put a BB gun in there so that he could plant it on somebody if he got into a bad shootout is not something that mitigates Mr. Taylor's sentence from the guidelines as they currently stand. If anything, it's an aggravating factor.

And when turning to unwarranted sentencing disparities, Sergeant Jenkins was sentenced to 25 years. Sergeant Allers was sentenced to 15 years.

Both of those individuals accepted responsibility and received three points in their guideline calculation for that acceptance.

I don't know, Your Honor, if I can think of a case where those three levels have a greater effect on an individual than in this case.

Your Honor saw witness after witness come in here absolutely terrified. They did not want to testify against the

police. They did not want to have to be here in court, in an open proceeding.

Some of them had criminal histories. Some of them were, frankly, recently involved in drug dealing. And their interactions with these cops led them to trust nobody.

So Sergeant Jenkins and Sergeant Allers, they did get some credit for that acceptance, as well as the other defendants in this case. That's not something that obviously Detective Taylor or Detective Hersl will get.

Detective Hersl's guidelines are roughly 19 and a half to 24 and a half years. Our office will be seeking a sentence within that range.

Detective Rayam and Detective Gondo, even if they were to receive the maximum amount of credit, both acceptance and cooperation, their guidelines are, at a minimum, double digits, 10 to 13 years. And our office will be seeking a significant sentence at least within those guidelines.

Detective Ward and Detective Hendrix, their guidelines, after -- if they were to receive the maximum amount of potential credit, Detective Ward's guidelines are 8 to 10 years and Detective Hendrix's guidelines are 7 to 9 years.

But, again, particularly when it comes to Ward and Hendrix, Detective Taylor's actions are far distinct from their culpability, given that he committed almost twice as many robberies. And some of the robberies that he was involved in

were, one, by his design; or, two, he was one of the active -most active participants in those robberies.

For example, Stevenson, he was the one that was filming the video in the basement of the safe to cover up what they had done.

Neither Detective Ward or Detective Hendrix lied.

Neither Detective Ward or Detective Hendrix obstructed justice
by tipping off others about an investigation.

Detective Taylor, as was shown through the testimony of Detective Ward, told other people in the unit that he had received intel from an Internal Affairs officer who had told him that he was under federal investigation.

And even despite this information, despite being under a consent decree and a monitorship and believing that there's an active federal investigation, he continued his crime spree.

So Detective Taylor stole drugs, unlike Ward and Hendrix; he carried BB guns, unlike several other of his co-defendants. These things make Taylor's conduct far worse, and his guidelines are appropriate in this case.

Your Honor, in conclusion, you know, we're here today.

Detective Taylor had that choice, just like we heard from

Detective Kostoplis. You know, that's -- I think about when

Detective Kostoplis testified, and he's 26 years old and he had that conversation with Jenkins and Hersl.

And, you know, Detective Taylor was significantly

older than that, or at least several years older than that when he began his crime spree. But, again, he had that choice.

And he was -- it wasn't something where his supervisors -- Jenkins, Allers, others -- were hammering him, encouraging him to get involved in these robberies, stealing both drugs and money.

Detective Taylor chose to do this even before he started working with Wayne Jenkins.

So for those reasons, Your Honor, we believe that a sentence of 18 years is the appropriate disposition of this case and is sufficient but not greater than necessary under 18 United States Code, 3553.

Thank you.

THE COURT: Okay. Thank you. Thank you, Mr. Hines.

MS. WICKS: Good afternoon, Your Honor.

THE COURT: Good afternoon.

MS. WICKS: Your Honor, as I set out in our sentencing memorandum, we believe, given all of the information that the Court has, including the information submitted under seal regarding Mr. Taylor, that we think that the Court should take that into consideration, the Court should take into consideration the conditions that he has been detained under and will serve his sentence under.

Because of his position as a prior police officer, he is -- things have gotten worse for him. Unfortunately, when he

was moved from Harford County to Howard County right before trial in January, the conditions of his confinement increased, and it was apparent to us, his lawyers, that the stress and conditions, being kept almost -- I mean, essentially in segregation now at Howard County, which I believe may continue in the Bureau of Prisons, affect him greatly.

And I think because of the issues as discussed in the sealed information about Mr. Taylor, I think his -- the medical conditions that he has, the confinement affect him differently than other people, and we would ask the Court to take that into consideration.

In considering the numbers that the Court -- the sentences that the Court has already given out and what the Court -- I think clearly the Court has to consider the relativeness of each defendant in this case, and I submit that's a very hard task.

Jenkins and Allers were supervisors. While the Government contends that somehow taking the video, as opposed to breaking open the safe, is a more important role, I think the evidence that the Government presented was that everyone was involved.

Perhaps saying it's a different conspiracy with Stepp and Jenkins is the wrong words to use, but it was very clear from Stepp, it was very clear from all of the testimony that Jenkins was doing things that he was hiding from the other

people.

And I think the Court should take that into consideration when looking at what Jenkins was doing, what Rayam and Gondo were doing that was unrelated to the other people.

And the truth of the matter is there is no evidence that Mr. Taylor was involved in the Stepp and Jenkins activity and there's no evidence that Mr. Taylor was involved in the Rayam and Gondo involvement in the drug conspiracy and the home invasion done while apparently off duty.

In investigating this case, the information that we learned about Mr. Taylor and his -- and then-Detective Taylor and his reputation in the Baltimore Police Department was consistent with, I think it was -- it was either Hendrix or Ward saying that the reason Jenkins wanted Taylor to come was because he ran fast. He ran track in high school and he's the guy that could run after people. He -- there was no nefarious part of the reputation concerning Mr. Taylor.

And while the Government -- I understand the Government's concerns and I understand the concerns about the criminal justice system, that being concerned about the credibility of the police officers is troubling. It is troubling to me, as Mr. Taylor's lawyer, that he is being sent to prison on the words of Ward, Hendrix, Rayam, and Gondo. That's concerning to me.

I understand and we accept the jury's verdict, but Mr. Taylor will continue to challenge it. And it is a sad day for me that there are apparently hundreds and hundreds of people in the criminal justice system in Baltimore who the Government, the State's Attorney's Office, the federal prosecutor's office are saying that their convictions could be vacated because we're concerned about the credibilities of these officers, but we're going to send Mr. Taylor to prison and the Government's asking for 18 years for Mr. Taylor because of the word of Rayam, Gondo, Ward, and Hendrix.

While Mr. Santiful did not specifically have anything to say about Mr. Taylor, it is not surprising after trial that we find out that even on the very day he was testifying, that he was engaged in criminal activity.

It's not surprising to me that Dennis Armstrong -who, again, I understand is not someone that pointed the finger
at Mr. Taylor -- but that he's been arrested since trial. It's
not going to be surprising to me if I hear that
Oreese Stevenson gets arrested.

The Government gave them immunity. They're able -they're allowed to do that. They gave immunity and cooperation
agreements to Rayam, Gondo, Ward, and Hendrix to testify
against Mr. Taylor. But that doesn't mean it settles right
with me. It doesn't, Your Honor.

And so when we're considering the effect this has on

the criminal justice system, it's these very people that are sending Mr. Taylor, it's their word -- I understand that the jury accepted it, but their credibility continues to be suspect to me.

Specifically the incident -- the fact that when the search warrants are executed and in Mr. Taylor's car, there is a BB gun, there was also testimony from Hendrix that Mr. Taylor had taken a BB gun off of a child on the streets of Baltimore for the reason that he didn't want the child to be shot by someone because they thought it was a real gun.

So there are two sides to a story, and that's the other side of why Detective -- then-Detective Taylor had a BB gun in his car.

And I would also just remind the Court that Hendrix is also the officer that testified that he had his concerns about Taylor. He saw Taylor as a follower, and so he was very concerned about him being on the unit with Jenkins because he knew about Jenkins' reputation in the police department.

The Court has a lot of information in the PSI, and I won't go over it. But I think it is notable that Mr. Taylor is only 31 years old as he sits here today.

He's been in custody for well over a year. And he was very young -- he was the youngest of the officers in this case. He has a young child, and he has tried very hard to continue to be a father to that child while he's been in custody. And he

```
has -- even with an 18-year sentence, he could come out of
 1
 2
     prison with a life ahead of him.
              But at the same time, I think given all of the
 3
     information that the Court has about Mr. Taylor, I think there
 4
 5
     is a reason to go below the 15 years that Allers got and to
 6
     consider Mr. Taylor -- Mr. Taylor as a younger individual, a
 7
     person that can change, and a person that has -- I just think a
     very different -- I think there's information that the Court
 8
 9
     has that calls into question his relative culpability and his
10
     capacity.
11
              So for all of these reasons, on behalf of Mr. Taylor,
     we are asking for the Court to consider a sentence below the
12
13
     guidelines and below the 15 years that the Court imposed on
     Sergeant Allers.
14
15
              Thank you, Your Honor.
16
              THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wicks.
17
              Okay. Let me turn to Mr. Taylor.
              MS. WICKS: Your Honor, I'm sorry, do you want us to
18
19
     come to the bench briefly?
20
                         Oh, yes, I'm sorry.
              THE COURT:
              We do have a policy in this district of having a
21
22
     discussion of cooperation in every case, whether or not a
23
     defendant is cooperating. So what we're about to say will
     remain under seal.
24
```

And I will ask counsel if they would like to approach

25

```
the bench.
 1
                        Conference at the bench.
 2
          (It is the policy of this court that every quilty plea and
 3
     sentencing proceeding include a bench conference concerning
 4
 5
     whether the defendant is or is not cooperating.)
              THE COURT: Mr. Taylor, if there's anything you'd like
 6
     to say before I make a final decision in this case, you have
 7
     the right to do that. You don't have to. I won't hold it
 8
     against you if you don't. But if you'd like to speak, you have
 9
     the right to do that.
10
11
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am, I would like to say some
12
     things.
13
              THE COURT:
                          Sure.
14
              MS. WICKS: Stand up.
15
              THE DEFENDANT:
                              I'm sorry, ma'am.
16
              THE COURT:
                          That's fine. Just keep your voice up.
17
    And I'm happy to hear whatever you have to say.
              THE DEFENDANT: All right. No problem. I'll bring
18
     this mic closer to me.
19
20
              Yes, ma'am. This has been a rough year for me.
     a year -- about a year and four months if I -- a year and five
21
22
              I can't really recall the time right now.
23
              But nobody still knows the truth about what's going on
     in the city. I'm very vocal, as everybody aware in here. I
24
    have -- just to clarify, I never ran track, just 'cause my
25
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

attorney -- I never ran track. I played football, played tennis, stuff like that.

But I joined the police department just -- to actually just help people. I like helping people. My family have dealt with family members dying over heroin overdoses like that.

I have, you know, helped individuals as far as, you know, people that have been stabbed and, you know, families that have -- can't play outside in the city due to the violence.

Still to this day, no matter what, I respect the jury, I respect the -- the outcome, but I still retain [sic] my innocence and I will continue to fight to prove my innocence.

Another thing is, you know, from my understanding, it's a lot of evidence that's been -- been pretty much presented to this Court. And it's -- it's -- it's kind of sad that I'm getting accused of being not credible and presenting false evidence, as the state says. And that's pretty false.

I have just -- just two days ago, I received some things in reference to overtime. They clearly says -- say that, you know, overtime is -- you know, I was supposed to know. I'm on vacation.

I think I went above and beyond to follow the rules and regulations of my general orders of Baltimore City as far as taking time off and submitting overtime -- submitting leave slips and all that stuff like that.

But then I get contended with saying that I put in false evidence of overtime for a New York trip when I'm on vacation in the state of Maryland.

And on top of that, when I was in the

Dominican Republic, pretty much I'm on vacation. And I -- I

reached out to all the State's Attorney's -- the State's

Attorney's Office through e-mails, which we have not been

provided the e-mails of the stuff. I've done sent stuff to the

court liaison to let 'em know that I'm going to be on vacation.

But -- and then on top of that, you know, ADP system has been down. The FOP has been in litigation as far as overtime for not paying officers all the times that they worked.

But still, the Government contends that I -- I'm being fraudulent and trying to -- you know, out here committing alleged robberies when I have not done such -- such thing.

And as far as the Edmondson and Fremont incident, I never went to IID and I never been -- set foot in Internal Affairs for -- for no -- no acts about that.

And from what I seen since -- since -- seeing the discovery in December, it comes out as theft in the Internal Affairs Division.

But yet they claim I'm robbing individuals. And -- and it's sad that the co-conspirators or my ex-co-workers have lied repeatedly.

```
And just -- as my counsel said that I have -- I'm
 1
     going to jail for what -- you know, their credibility issues.
 2
     And -- and after reviewing, you know, Rayam's plea agreement,
 3
     Page 18, Line Number 66, it doesn't say that he gives me --
 4
 5
     gives me any money.
              And then on top of that, on -- on the indictment on
 6
 7
     Page 23, Number 86 it says he taxed me -- he taxed
     Mr. Sergio Summerville a little bit. He won't say anything.
                                                                    Ι
 8
     have to give Wayne $100.
 9
              But yet in trial, the Government said that -- had
10
11
     Rayam sit on the stand and say that he gave me 40 to 60 bucks
     or 60 bucks -- I believe 60 bucks was the testimony, but -- but
12
13
     initially told the -- the -- the attorneys 40 to 60 bucks, and
     I don't understand how that -- how that flies, you know, when
14
15
     you constantly lie.
16
              And then trying to say that it's on a recording.
                                                                 Ι
17
     listened to the recording probably about ten times with
18
     assistance of the counsel and stuff like that, and it never
19
     said that on that -- on the -- on the recording. It clearly
20
     doesn't say it.
              And then on top of that, you know, I haven't -- I
21
     haven't -- just -- it doesn't make sense that I'm going to get,
22
23
     you know, sentenced to a long time being away from my son
     for -- for years for something I didn't do.
24
25
              The funny thing is -- the funny thing they said it was
```

```
like -- my son told me a couple weeks ago, he was like, Dad,
 1
     you know, why are you in this position?
 2
              And I was like -- and I was kind of hesitant of
 3
     responding because with -- with young kids, they say crazy
 4
 5
     stuff.
 6
              And he says, Because your co-workers lied on you.
 7
              And it takes my son, who has no idea, that ear hustles
     on what everybody's saying, and then he -- and I said, Where do
 8
     you hear that from?
 9
              Oh, I Googled it.
10
11
              Google?
              And -- and I'm going to be away from my son for all
12
     these years for something I didn't do. And -- and I will --
13
     like, Your Honor, I sent, you know, letters. I try to reach
14
15
     out. You know, I sent motions for discovery since -- since
16
     July 6th, I believe, I wrote my motion for discovery, but I
17
     never heard anything about it.
18
              I done got rid of my last counsel because all the
19
```

I done got rid of my last counsel because all the games that's been playing on March 8th -- March 8th. And March 31st, 30th with these -- these lies that the Government tries to claim me for.

20

21

22

23

24

25

And it just gets kind of frustrating that, you know, I don't know how else to reach out. You know, I try to reach out, try to go through my counsel. I try to reach out to you, ma'am, and I just don't know what avenues I need to take to --

to have this.

And then, you know, it's just the fact that I had never -- my credibility has never been called into question. I never had no -- no trouble.

And -- and it's just -- it's sad that all of these other individuals just continue to lie just to -- they'll lie to get less time. And it gets very, very frustrating for me, ma'am.

You know, also, you know, when I went to

Dominican Republic, just to go back for the overtime, I also
was sick, and I have a doctor's note that I had presented to
the Government, advised the Government that I was sick as well.

So not only did I have the vacation days and I also have -- I also had medication from a doctor in the Dominican Republic in reference to a sickness for eating the food over there.

And I'm -- you know, and I get charged for -- and -for taking vacation. If it could happen to me, it could happen
to any one of my -- my colleagues. For taking vacation? And
then -- and then they keep -- you know, all I keep on seeing is
all this publicity saying that, oh, you know, for the first
probably about six or seven months, there was nothing about
Mr. Taylor or anything.

It gets close to trial, that's all they ever think about, Mr. Taylor; Mr. Taylor did this; Mr. Taylor did that.

```
And -- you know, and it's -- it's -- the video that they keep showing on thing -- on TV about a incident at the BP Gas station, I'm nowhere near that.
```

It just gets frustrating with all the stuff like that. And, you know, it's -- I been honest since Day One. And I have been continuing to be called a liar. And it's like, well, what do I need to prove? I mean, to prove that I -- that I'm innocent and it's just -- you know, I respect the jury, I respect the Court for, you know, everything, but it's just -- it's just not right. And I just want to let you know that I'm not going to stop until I proved -- proved the thing.

And just to bring up what I was trying to say is I just received this pay stub, notice of -- for ADP. And I haven't seen -- I don't see anything saying that some -- I guess a person came out of state to say it, but it says

M&T Bank, Manufacturer & Trader Company Trust Bank of

Baltimore, Maryland 21201.

And I see the Mayor and City of Baltimore,

401 East Fayette, Baltimore, Maryland. I don't see anything

that it says -- the times that you work, you're supposed to

know. It doesn't say that. It doesn't have that -- that type

of things. And, you know, I -- I just don't know what -- what

else to do. And it just gives you a cumulative hours of the

overtime you work.

And it's just -- I just don't know -- just -- I think

```
that's all I really have to say right now until, you know,
 1
     everybody -- it's just -- I thank you for your time,
 2
     Your Honor, and hearing me out and stuff like that.
 3
              But, you know, it's -- it's been -- it's real, real,
 4
 5
     real frustrating, 'cause all these other events at overtime, I
    had never even seen that on my indictment. You know, I
 6
     didn't -- it's -- and then they -- they said I wasn't involved
 7
     in none of these qun -- you know, handqun arrests, and I'm like
 8
     I'm there. And they -- they didn't provide anything showing
 9
     that I was not there. They didn't.
10
11
              I'm not denying that I didn't go to the
    Dominican Republic. I'm not denying I didn't go to New York.
12
    But I'm on vacation. I don't need to -- to -- you know, I
13
     don't need to put overtime slips in, and that's the only thing
14
15
     they had initially.
16
              And then they broadened it up and they're just
17
    bringing up everything, Oh, you -- 'cause you went here, you
     went here. You puttin' in overtime slips, and that's -- that's
18
19
    not even right.
20
              I told them since Day One, it's not my signature.
    haven't had an expert signature person to see who the
21
22
     signatures is. And I said that in my -- in my -- when
23
     I talked to him on March 1st and I said that throughout this
     whole -- whole year. It has not changed.
24
25
              I've been consistent with everything I've been saying
```

```
since Day One. And then my colleague -- my ex-colleagues have
 1
    been lying since -- multiple times to the Government until they
 2
     reached their -- what they believe it is.
 3
              Mr. Hines came up to me and tried to talk to me before
 4
 5
     and then tried to say I lied to him and said I was saying
 6
     something I didn't do. And we went back and forth till
 7
    Mr. Hines -- I mean Mr. Wise said, you know, let's go back --
     until Mr. Hines is the one that said I did not say that.
 8
              You know, and it's just -- it just gets -- it gets
 9
     frustrating, you know -- you know, that they -- they keep
10
11
     con -- constantly coming at me.
              And, you know, I didn't want to talk to the
12
     Government. I never wanted to. And I -- when I
13
     triggered [sic] my last counsel, I expressed that in front of
14
15
     Judge Coulson. You know, I tried to express it to the Court.
     I tried to put it in motions. I tried to put it in everything
16
17
     to just -- just -- just bring it to the Court's attention.
                                                                  Ιt
     just -- it has not been fair to me. It has not been fair.
18
              And I just -- I just -- I just want fairness.
19
              And I apologize for taking this much time, ma'am, but
20
     thank you very much. And that's all I have to say.
21
                          Okay.
                                 Thank you, Mr. Taylor, then, that's
22
              THE COURT:
23
           You can take as much time as you want.
     fine.
              Let me turn back to counsel for a minute.
24
              Any specific recommendations to the Bureau of Prisons?
25
```

```
I recognize that given his status as an officer, designation
 1
    may be outside of the ordinary.
 2
              But if there are any specific recommendations or for
 3
     conditions of supervised release or anything like that, I'm
 4
 5
    happy to hear it.
              MS. WICKS: Your Honor, we would just ask that --
 6
 7
     clearly, they'll have concerns and he has concerns for his
     safety, but we'd also like them to consider keeping him close
 8
     to the state of Maryland so that he can visit with his child.
 9
              THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else that
10
11
     anybody wants to say?
              MR. HINES: Not from the United States, Your Honor,
12
13
    no, thank you.
                         Okay. All right. Well, obviously there
14
              THE COURT:
15
     are a lot of factors to consider in sentencing.
16
              I appreciate that -- I mean, I regret that Mr. Taylor
17
     feels, as he obviously does, that he has not been fairly
18
     treated. I believe he has been.
              I believe that the evidence in this case was very
19
     strong; that the testimony of Former Officers Ward, Rayam,
20
21
     Gondo, and Hendrix were well corroborated; and that the -- I
22
     agree with the verdict of the jury in this case.
23
              Obviously, Mr. Taylor has the absolute right to
     contest it and to appeal, and I am sure he will. But I need to
24
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

sentence him based on the jury's verdict.

25

This is an extremely serious offense. I'm not going to repeat everything that I've said in prior sentencings, but you have harm to the individual victims whose money is taken who are the victims of wrongful use of official force, the wrongful use of the gun and the badge.

There's clearly an abuse of public trust, an increase of distrust in the community towards the police.

As referenced by counsel, because of the demonstrated false reports by a number of these officers, there have had to be -- and probably will be -- more dismissal of other criminal cases because of these credibility issues.

I do believe there was extensive overtime fraud, which has deprived the city of Baltimore of money that it doesn't have to spare. And it's made more difficult the job of the majority of men and women in uniform who are trying to do their job every day.

And it's very -- it undermines greatly our criminal justice system when, again, judges and juries can't rely on the word of police officers because of their own -- they need to cover up their own criminal activity.

Mr. Taylor certainly has a number of mitigating factors; everyone does. People have things that have occurred in their past and medical or other conditions that are relevant to why they wind up where they do, and I'm sure that Mr. Taylor has done many good things and helped his family. But, again,

he has committed some very serious offenses in this case.

Relative culpability is important. He was not a sergeant, a supervisor like Mr. Allers or Mr. Jenkins. He was not extensively involved with the distribution of drugs as Mr. Jenkins was.

But I do believe -- and I believe the evidence showed -- that he robbed people, that he falsified reports, he participated in overtime fraud, and that his criminal activity began as early as 2014, which is prior to his coming under the influence of Sergeant Jenkins or others.

It is also significant that Mr. Taylor did not accept responsibility, obviously does not believe that he did anything wrong. Again, he is not to be punished for that. He is not -- he has the absolute right to appeal.

But he also does not get the credit that other people, such as Sergeant Allers or even Sergeant Jenkins did by accepting responsibility, pleading guilty, not contesting what, again, seems to me to be very strong evidence of guilt.

I believe that in this case a sentence within the guidelines, as the Government requests, is the reasonable and sufficient sentence to recognize the seriousness of the offense, to act as a general deterrent, which is extremely important in this case. Also perhaps in this instance as a specific deterrent to Mr. Taylor.

So the sentence is going to be 18 years in the custody

of the Bureau of Prisons. That is going to be a concurrent sentence on Count 1, Count 2, and Count 3 in this case.

I will say, going back to the initial guidelines dispute, that it is within the range of both parties' calculations. And this same sentence would be imposed regardless of whether I'm right or wrong about the calculation being at an offense level of 37. I think this is just a reasonable sentence under all the factors for 3553(a).

There will be a period of supervised release as well, and I need to look at that. I believe three years.

Counsel, tell me if I'm wrong, but I believe three years is the guideline, appropriate guideline range. So it's three years concurrent on each count.

There are going to be some special conditions of supervised release, which will include providing any financial information to the Probation Office that they want to see, participating in any mental health treatment or counseling that the probation officer recommends, and any substance or alcohol abuse probation -- excuse me, counseling or treatment that the probation officer recommends. I don't know that that will be an issue, but I think it should be there in case it is.

Mr. Taylor's financial circumstances don't permit a fine. There's not going to be a fine.

I realize we have not addressed restitution.

MR. HINES: Your Honor, we intend to submit a global

```
restitution request for all of the parties involved, and we'll
 1
     do that at a later date.
 2
              THE COURT: All right. Restitution is deferred.
 3
              There is a $100 special assessment that needs to be
 4
 5
     imposed on each count, so that I am imposing as well.
 6
              Have I left anything out? Anything I have not covered
     in that sentence?
 7
                         No, Your Honor.
 8
              MR. HINES:
 9
              MS. WICKS:
                         No, Your Honor.
                          Okay. All right. Mr. Taylor, as I'm sure
10
              THE COURT:
11
     you're aware, you have the right to appeal from the conviction
     and from this sentence. I'm sure counsel will assist you with
12
13
            And any appeal needs to be noted within 14 days.
              Do you understand that, sir?
14
15
              THE DEFENDANT: Yes, ma'am. Thank you.
16
              THE COURT:
                          Okay.
17
              MR. HINES: Your Honor, we move to dismiss the
     original indictment as to Mr. Taylor at this time.
18
              THE COURT: Sure. All right. The original indictment
19
20
     is dismissed.
21
              Thank you.
22
                         Thank you, Your Honor.
              MR. HINES:
23
          (Court adjourned at 3:50 p.m.)
24
25
```

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

I, Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR, do hereby certify that the foregoing is a correct transcript from the stenographic record of proceedings in the above-entitled matter. /s/ Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR Registered Diplomate Reporter Certified Realtime Reporter Federal Official Court Reporter DATE: August 17, 2018 

Douglas J. Zweizig, RDR, CRR - Federal Official Court Reporter

		50
ć	37 [4] 7/21 11/11 17/25 47/7	Additionally [1] 12/20
\$		
\$100 [2] 38/9 48/4.17-C1-UU1U0-	SCB PDbdumefit/479 Filed 08/17	718-1Page 50 01/07
\$13,000 [1] 20/5	4	18/4
\$16,000 [1] 10/20	4 and a half [1] 10/22	· ·
		addressed [1] 47/24
\$20,000 [2] 23/19 24/19	40 [2] 38/11 38/13	adds [1] 14/25
\$200,000 [1] 24/11	401 East Fayette [1] 41/19	adjourned [1] 48/23
\$3,000 [1] 19/23	405 United States 150 [1] 5/15	adjusted [3] 9/2 9/15 11/11
\$70,000 [1] 10/11	46 [2] 14/21 16/5	adjustment [2] 11/12 14/10
1	473 U.S. 667 [1] 5/16	admitting [1] 5/10
	4th [1] 1/24	adopted [1] 22/10
'cause [3] 35/25 42/5 42/17	5	ADP [2] 37/10 41/13
'em [2] 23/20 37/9	5	advance [1] 15/9
,	53 [1] 8/13	advice [1] 26/7
/		· · ·
/s [1] 49/4	6	advised [1] 40/12
	60 [4] 20/11 20/12 20/12 20/12	advisory [1] 15/1
1	60 [4] 38/11 38/12 38/12 38/13	Affairs [3] 28/11 37/19 37/22
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	66 [2] 9/13 38/4	affect [5] 7/18 9/11 9/19 30/6
1 and a half [2] 10/2 20/6	667 [1] 5/16	30/9
10 [2] 27/16 27/20	67 [1] 9/13	affidavit [1] 18/24
101 [1] 1/24	6th [1] 39/16	after [12] 4/14 4/18 18/8 18/8
106 [2] 1/4 2/7	8	20/8 20/19 24/13 26/24 27/19
13 [1] 27/16	0	31/17 32/12 38/3
13,000 [1] 10/1	86 [1] 38/7	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
13th [2] 4/6 7/1	860 F.3d 175 [1] 5/18	afternoon [7] 2/3 2/8 2/11 3/5
14 [1] 48/13	8th [2] 39/19 39/19	3/22 29/15 29/16
'		again [16] 3/7 4/18 5/12 6/9
15 [3] 26/17 34/5 34/13	9	14/1 14/15 15/13 16/6 21/3
150 [1] 5/15		27/22 29/2 32/16 45/18 45/25
17 [2] 19/3 49/7	95,000 [1] 10/6	46/13 46/18
175 [1] 5/18	9:47 [1] 3/24	against [5] 4/20 4/23 26/25
18 [5] 19/9 29/10 32/9 38/4	A	32/23 35/9
46/25	A	agency [1] 6/10
18 United States Code [2] 19/5	able [2] 22/17 32/20	agent [5] 1/19 1/20 1/21 23/15
29/12	about [42] 4/6 5/1 6/20 7/8	25/10
18-year [1] 34/1	12/10 13/16 15/10 15/20 15/23	· ·
188 [1] 7/21	15/24 16/13 17/24 20/17 21/6	aggravating [1] 26/14
	24/9 25/2 25/3 25/19 28/8 28/22	aggravator [1] 26/9
19 [1] 27/10		aggregate [1] 14/25
1A [1] 1/8	30/8 31/12 31/20 31/21 32/7	ago [2] 36/18 39/1
1B1.3 [3] 8/11 8/25 15/13	32/12 33/15 33/17 33/18 34/4	agree [4] 15/8 16/4 17/9 44/22
1st [2] 2/25 42/23	34/23 35/20 35/21 35/23 37/19	agreement [3] 15/18 26/10 38/3
2	38/17 39/17 40/22 40/22 40/25	agreements [1] 32/22
4	41/2 47/6	ahead [1] 34/2
2 kilograms [2] 8/10 24/12	above [3] 9/15 36/22 49/3	
2 kilos [2] 8/4 8/6	above-entitled [1] 49/3	alcohol [1] 47/18
2008 [1] 5/7	absolute [2] 44/23 46/14	all [42] 2/3 2/9 2/13 2/16 3/15
		4/2 4/3 7/16 7/19 10/25 11/2
2014 [3] 18/7 20/14 46/9	absolutely [3] 13/13 13/20	11/9 16/10 17/4 17/7 17/8 20/12
2015 [1] 5/8	26/25	29/18 30/24 34/3 34/11 35/18
2018 [4] 1/8 4/6 4/18 49/7	abuse [2] 45/6 47/19	36/25 37/6 37/12 39/12 39/18
21 [1] 9/10	abused [1] 18/11	40/5 40/20 40/21 40/24 41/4
210 [1] 18/1	accept [3] 4/7 32/1 46/11	42/1 42/5 43/21 44/10 44/14
21201 [2] 1/25 41/17	acceptance [3] 26/20 27/7 27/14	47/8 48/1 48/3 48/10 48/19
22 [2] 8/1 19/4	accepted [2] 26/18 33/3	alleged [1] 37/16
23 [1] 38/7	accepting [2] 7/19 46/17	alleged[1] 3//16 allegedly [1] 4/12
235 [1] 7/22	according [2] 5/24 9/6	
24 [1] 27/11	Accordingly [1] 19/8	alleging [1] 13/18
25 [1] 26/16	account [1] 21/6	Allers [8] 26/17 27/6 29/4
		30/17 34/5 34/14 46/3 46/16
26 [1] 28/23	accounted [1] 26/8	allowed [1] 32/21
262 [1] 18/2	accused [1] 36/16	almost [3] 26/2 27/24 30/4
29 [1] 11/14	act [3] 6/19 16/23 46/22	along [2] 11/4 25/17
2:38 p.m [1] 2/2	acted [1] 23/2	already [2] 23/7 30/13
3	actions [1] 27/23	also [22] 1/18 4/15 5/11 5/23
	active [4] 24/24 28/1 28/2	9/22 10/3 14/9 18/23 18/24
3 kilograms [1] 10/24	28/15	19/24 20/3 33/7 33/14 33/15
30 pounds [1] 23/17	activity [12] 8/12 11/25 15/18	
30th [1] 39/20	16/1 16/22 16/22 17/10 17/14	40/9 40/10 40/13 40/14 44/8
31 [1] 33/21	31/7 32/14 45/20 46/8	46/11 46/15 46/23
		although [1] 9/18
31st [1] 39/20	acts [1] 37/19	am [2] 44/24 48/5
33 [1] 11/15	actually [3] 9/13 23/1 36/3	AMERICA [2] 1/3 2/6
34 [7] 9/3 9/8 9/17 9/17 11/14	added [3] 3/11 9/15 9/17	amount [10] 10/3 10/16 11/10
11/15 17/21	addition [1] 2/22	15/21 15/21 20/2 20/3 21/7
3553 [3] 19/5 29/12 47/8	additional [8] 5/2 6/4 12/25	27/14 27/19
36 [4] 7/20 7/21 11/10 11/17	12/25 13/23 13/25 20/5 23/9	amounts [2] 8/20 13/16
	1	

Complaint united 1479 Filed 08/1793 Filed 08 cause [2] 15/15 15/25-cr-00106 47/2 47/2 47/2 47/13 48/5 CCB [2] 1/4 2/7 computation [2] 11/13 14/6 CCB-17-106 [1] 1/4 con [1] 43/11 Count 1 [1] 47/2 certain [1] 25/12 concerned [3] 31/21 32/7 33/17 Count 2 [1] 47/2 certainly [8] 4/7 8/16 8/24 Count 3 [1] 47/2 concerning [3] 31/18 31/25 35/4 17/16 17/17 17/20 18/6 45/21 concerns [5] 31/20 31/20 33/15 counts [2] 4/15 14/14 Certified [1] 49/6 44/7 44/7 County [4] 25/4 30/1 30/1 30/5 certify [1] 49/1 conclusion [1] 28/20 couple [3] 7/24 7/25 39/1 chain [1] 22/25 concurrent [2] 47/1 47/13 course [5] 4/16 5/5 5/5 6/17 challenge [1] 32/2 conditions [7] 29/22 30/2 30/4 25/6 chance [1] 7/13 30/9 44/4 45/23 47/14 court [31] 1/1 1/24 3/6 3/14 change [1] 34/7 conduct [1] 28/18 14/5 14/23 19/8 22/5 27/1 29/19 changed [1] 42/24 29/20 29/21 30/10 30/12 30/13 conducting [1] 21/16 changes [1] 7/20 conference [2] 35/2 35/4 30/14 30/14 31/2 33/14 33/19 charge [1] 4/16 confidence [1] 6/6 34/4 34/8 34/12 34/13 35/3 confinement [2] 30/2 30/9 charged [5] 4/16 4/20 15/16 36/15 37/9 41/9 43/15 48/23 22/10 40/17 49/7 confirmed [1] 10/16 confusing [1] 12/23 child [5] 33/8 33/9 33/24 33/25 Court's [1] 43/17 courtroom [2] 1/8 3/6 44/9 connection [1] 16/8 choice [2] 28/21 29/2 consent [1] 28/14 cover [2] 28/4 45/20 chose [1] 29/7 consent decree [1] 28/14 covered [1] 48/6 Christopher [2] 1/16 2/12 consider [6] 5/20 30/14 34/6 crazy [1] 39/4 Christopher C. Nieto [1] 1/16 34/12 44/8 44/15 credibilities [1] 32/7 Christopher Nieto [1] 2/12 consideration [5] credibility [6] 22/22 31/22 6/7 29/21 33/3 38/2 40/3 45/11 chronology [4] 2/17 3/25 4/3 29/22 30/11 31/3 4/3 considering [2] 30/12 32/25 credible [1] 36/16 Circuit [1] 5/17 consistent [2] 31/14 42/25 credit [4] 27/7 27/14 27/20 circumstances [2] 14/20 47/22 conspiracy [8] 6/18 9/23 11/25 46/15 citizens [1] 18/13 12/7 12/16 17/14 30/22 31/9 crime [6] 4/19 18/8 21/11 25/14 city [7] 18/10 22/20 35/24 36/8 conspirator [1] 8/14 28/15 29/2 36/23 41/18 45/13 conspirators [3] 12/6 18/23 crimes [3] 23/8 23/9 24/5 claim [4] 22/24 26/4 37/23 37/24 criminal [14] 1/4 2/7 7/21 8/11 39/21 constantly [2] 38/15 43/11 18/1 27/3 31/21 32/4 32/14 33/1 clarify [1] 35/25 construction [1] 3/22 45/10 45/17 45/20 46/8 clear [10] 4/4 5/2 11/24 12/10 consumed [1] 18/12 Criminal No. CCB-17-106 [1] 2/7 contained [3] 14/11 23/17 23/18 16/5 16/24 17/18 25/25 30/23 CRR [3] 1/23 49/1 49/5 contains [1] 8/3 crumpled [1] 13/17 culpabilities [1] 26/1 clearly [7] 5/12 16/2 30/14 contended [1] 37/1 culpability [3] 27/24 34/9 46/2 36/19 38/19 44/7 45/6 contends [2] 30/18 37/14 close [2] 40/24 44/8 contest [1] 44/24 cumulative [2] 6/4 41/23 closer [1] 35/19 currently [1] 26/13 contesting [1] 46/17 closing [1] 21/8 continue [5] 30/5 32/2 33/24 custody [3] 33/22 33/25 46/25 co [14] 8/14 12/6 12/7 15/14 36/12 40/6 18/20 18/23 19/15 22/23 24/18 continued [2] 21/11 28/15 25/3 28/18 37/24 37/24 39/6 continues [2] 12/5 33/3 D.C [1] 25/4 co-conspiracy [1] 12/7 continuing [1] 41/6 Dad [1] 39/1 co-conspirator [1] 8/14 date [2] 48/2 49/7 control [1] 25/14 day [7] 32/2 32/13 36/10 41/5 co-conspirators [3] 12/6 18/23 controllers [1] 13/2 37/24 42/20 43/1 45/16 conversation [1] 28/24 co-defendant [2] 15/14 19/15 days [4] 10/20 36/18 40/13 convicted [1] 6/17 co-defendants [5] 18/20 22/23 48/13 conviction [5] 5/7 5/13 19/1 24/18 25/3 28/18 22/18 48/11 deal [1] 12/11 co-workers [1] 39/6 dealer [1] 25/4 convictions [2] 22/22 32/6 cocaine [3] 8/4 8/14 24/12 dealing [1] 27/4 cooperating [2] 34/23 35/5 Code [2] 19/5 29/12 cooperation [3] 27/15 32/21 dealt [1] 36/4 colleague [1] 43/1 34/22 December [1] 37/21 colleagues [2] 40/19 43/1 decided [2] 16/16 25/15 cops [1] 27/5 collective [1] 4/9 correct [8] 9/6 9/12 10/7 10/8 decision [2] 25/16 35/7 11/8 16/9 17/21 49/2 come [5] 4/13 26/24 31/15 34/1 deck [2] 24/19 24/20 34/19 decree [1] 28/14 corrected [1] 10/4 comes [4] 3/5 8/24 27/22 37/21 corrections [1] defendant [11] 1/6 1/15 4/22 6/22 11/3 15/14 19/3 19/15 24/15 coming [3] 3/22 43/11 46/9 correctly [1] 17/25 corroborate [1] 13/24 command [1] 22/25 30/15 34/23 35/5 commission [1] 8/15 corroborated [2] 22/17 44/21 Defendant Taylor [1] 24/15 commit [2] 16/16 23/8 could [9] 20/12 25/5 26/4 26/11 defendants [6] 18/20 22/23 commits [1] 16/21 31/17 32/6 34/1 40/18 40/18 24/18 25/3 27/8 28/18 committed [2] 27/24 46/1 Coulson [1] 43/15 defense [6] 5/21 6/2 9/18 10/10 committing [3] 18/8 23/7 37/15 22/24 26/4 counsel [11] 2/15 34/25 38/1 community [1] 45/7 38/18 39/18 39/24 43/14 43/24 deferred [1] 48/3 Company [1] 41/16 45/8 47/11 48/12 degree [1] 5/21

compare [1]

25/25

counsel's [1]

6/14

		53
D	discussing [2] 19/10 26/1	each [7] 14/14 15/13 15/16
Case 1:17-cr-00106-0	sėssussionu litėnt 847934 Fifed 08/17 dismiss [1] 48/17	/26/25 ag (153 of 16248/5
delve [1] 15/4 1.1. 6. 65166 demonstrated [1] 45/8		
demonstrated [1] 45/6 demonstrates [1] 6/5	dismissal [1] 45/10 dismissed [1] 48/20	earlier [1] 5/9 early [1] 46/9
denied [1] 6/14	disparities [2] 26/1 26/16	East [1] 41/19
Dennis [1] 32/15	disposition [2] 19/6 29/10	eating [1] 40/15
Dennis Armstrong [1] 32/15	dispute [1] 47/4	Edmondson [4] 20/18 21/2 21/10
denying [2] 42/11 42/12	distinct [1] 27/23	37/17
department [3] 31/13 33/18 36/3	distribution [2] 4/17 46/4	Edmondson Avenue [3] 20/18 21/2
deprived [1] 45/13	district [4] 1/1 1/1 22/5 34/21	21/10
Derek [1] 1/14	District Court [1] 22/5	Edwards [1] 25/10
Derek E. Hines [1] 1/14 describe [1] 17/13	distrust [1] 45/7 divide [1] 24/15	effect [4] 12/4 13/3 26/22 32/25
design [1] 28/1	divine [1] 13/10	egregious [1] 25/2
designation [1] 44/1	DIVISION [2] 1/2 37/22	either [2] 25/9 31/14
despite [2] 28/13 28/13	divvied [1] 23/21	elicited [1] 10/11
detained [1] 29/22	do [28] 2/19 6/5 7/18 8/1 15/8	else [7] 10/25 13/20 13/24 17/4
detective [59]	16/17 16/18 17/9 18/4 29/7	39/23 41/23 44/10
Detective Gondo [1] 27/13	32/21 34/18 34/21 35/8 35/10	else's [1] 21/23
Detective Hendrix [5] 8/23 24/21 27/18 28/6 28/7	38/24 39/8 39/13 41/7 41/23	encouraging [1] 29/5
Detective Hendrix's [1] 27/21	43/6 45/12 45/15 45/24 46/6 48/2 48/14 49/1	engaged [1] 32/14 enhancement [3] 14/6 16/3 17/3
Detective Hersl [1] 27/9	doctor [1] 40/14	enough [1] 16/20
Detective Hersl's [1] 27/10	doctor's [1] 40/11	entire [1] 20/2
Detective Jenkins [1] 25/17	does [10] 3/5 4/3 4/11 6/10	entitled [1] 49/3
Detective Kostoplis [2] 28/22	6/22 16/6 44/17 45/22 46/12	entity [1] 4/10
28/23	46/15	episode [10] 8/9 9/3 9/16 10/20
Detective Leimbach [1] 20/3	doesn't [10] 4/8 14/23 32/23	15/13 15/16 20/6 21/13 23/10
Detective Rayam [1] 27/13 Detective Taylor [27] 10/15	32/24 38/4 38/20 38/22 41/21 41/21 45/13	24/9 episodes [2] 15/22 23/6
16/15 16/16 18/7 19/21 19/23	doing [4] 25/22 30/25 31/3 31/4	Erika [1] 1/19
19/24 20/8 20/11 20/20 20/21	dollars [1] 21/1	Erika Jensen [1] 1/19
21/16 22/2 23/5 23/12 24/18	Dominican [4] 37/5 40/10 40/15	Esquire [4] 1/13 1/14 1/16 1/17
24/21 24/23 25/2 25/16 26/2	42/12	essentially [1] 30/4
27/9 28/9 28/16 28/21 28/25	Dominican Republic [4] 37/5	establish [1] 14/7
29/7	40/10 40/15 42/12	even [21] 4/11 7/19 9/19 14/20
Detective Taylor's [3] 20/13 24/17 27/23	don't [20] 3/20 3/23 6/12 9/18 10/8 11/1 26/21 35/8 35/9 38/14	15/24 16/16 18/14 21/4 21/10 23/2 23/3 25/1 25/23 27/13
Detective Ward [10] 8/22 10/14	39/23 39/25 41/14 41/19 41/22	28/13 29/7 32/13 34/1 42/6
19/19 20/9 21/5 21/16 27/18	41/25 42/13 42/14 47/20 47/22	42/19 46/16
28/6 28/7 28/10	Donald [2] 17/1 24/2	evening [1] 3/4
Detective Ward's [1] 27/20	Donald Stepp [2] 17/1 24/2	event [1] 17/23
determined [1] 9/5	done [9] 9/13 11/10 13/15 28/5	events [1] 42/5
deterrent [2] 46/22 46/24	31/10 37/8 37/16 39/18 45/25	ever [3] 18/14 23/6 40/24
did [24] 6/8 6/11 7/12 14/7 15/19 18/15 19/22 22/14 23/6	double [1] 27/15 doubt [1] 15/19	every [7] 14/9 15/13 15/16 24/24 34/22 35/3 45/16
24/20 24/21 25/16 26/6 26/25	Douglas [3] 1/23 49/1 49/5	everybody [2] 35/24 42/2
27/1 27/6 32/11 40/13 40/25	down [3] 19/14 25/4 37/11	everybody's [1] 39/8
40/25 43/8 46/11 46/12 46/16	driving [1] 20/20	everyone [3] 24/16 30/20 45/22
didn't [16] 4/8 4/13 13/8 13/9	drove [1] 23/21	everything [5] 41/9 42/17 42/25
21/24 26/5 33/9 38/24 39/13	drug [9] 4/17 5/13 10/15 17/13	43/16 45/2
42/7 42/9 42/10 42/11 42/12 43/6 43/12	21/19 24/5 25/4 27/4 31/9 drug-related [1] 17/13	evidence [23] 5/20 6/1 10/13 10/15 10/19 10/23 11/24 13/14
differences [1] 11/6	drug=related [1] 17/13 drugs [28] 5/8 8/17 8/19 8/21	17/17 19/25 20/5 20/7 23/1
different [4] 6/3 17/15 30/22	10/17 11/25 12/3 12/11 12/13	23/25 30/20 31/6 31/8 36/14
34/8	12/25 13/7 13/14 13/15 13/19	36/17 37/2 44/19 46/6 46/18
differently [1] 30/9	13/23 15/21 16/12 16/24 16/25	ex [2] 37/24 43/1
difficult [1] 45/14	17/12 17/19 18/21 19/25 24/7	ex-co-workers [1] 37/24
digits [1] 27/15	25/9 28/16 29/6 46/4	ex-colleagues [1] 43/1
Diplomate [1] 49/6 direct [1] 5/6	due [1] 36/8	examining [1] 19/4
direct [1] 5/6 direction [1] 18/15	duffel [2] 23/17 25/6 duffel bag [1] 25/6	example [8] 10/18 14/21 22/13 23/2 24/5 24/23 26/4 28/3
directly [2] 14/22 16/13	duffel bags [1] 23/17	exception [1] 13/22
disclose [1] 6/9	during [7] 3/10 8/15 10/11	exchanging [1] 4/12
disclosed [1] 6/1	15/21 18/21 20/6 20/17	excuse [2] 4/9 47/19
discovered [1] 21/20	duty [1] 31/10	executed [1] 33/6
discovery [3] 37/21 39/15 39/16	dying [1] 36/5	expert [1] 42/21
discredit [1] 4/24 discuss [1] 7/13	E	explanation [2] 14/11 14/21
discussed [5] 3/7 5/11 8/24	e-mails [2] 37/7 37/8	express [1] 43/15 expressed [1] 43/14
21/9 30/7	E. [1] 1/14	extensive [1] 45/12

		5
	Former [1] 44/20	grappling [1] 25/7
E		
extensively [1] 46/47-cr-00106-	CBh 150cument5475 471fed 08/17	1918 Fade 54 61 62 7 3 4 6 7 4 4
extensively [I] 46/4	forward [1] 21/24	7 29/11 49 5 7 7 5
extent [1] 5/11	found [3] 19/16 20/19 23/13	greatly [2] 30/6 45/17
extra [1] 13/6	four [1] 35/21	greed [1] 18/12
1	_ · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	
extremely [2] 45/1 46/22	Fourth [1] 5/17	group [6] 9/16 9/21 9/23 10/6
F	Fourth Circuit [1] 5/17	11/14 11/15
-	frankly [1] 27/4	Group 1 [3] 9/21 9/23 11/14
F.3d [1] 5/18	fraud [2] 45/12 46/8	Group 2 [2] 9/16 11/15
fact [14] 3/23 5/20 9/3 10/18	fraudulent [1] 37/15	grouping [3] 9/4 9/14 14/10
13/22 16/12 19/22 21/23 22/14	Fremont [3] 20/18 21/2 37/17	GTTF [1] 21/3
24/2 24/20 26/6 33/5 40/2	Fremont Avenue [2] 20/18 21/2	guess [1] 41/15
factor [3] 6/8 18/3 26/14	front [2] 8/18 43/14	quidance [1] 14/23
factors [5] 5/19 19/4 44/15	frustrating [5] 39/22 40/7 41/4	guideline [11] 7/8 7/18 7/20
45/22 47/8	42/5 43/10	8/12 9/7 14/6 15/1 17/23 26/19
facts [2] 5/11 7/17	funny [2] 38/25 38/25	47/12 47/12
factual [2] 7/7 11/5	further [1] 23/8	guidelines [22] 6/20 9/1 9/11
fail [1] 6/9	furtherance [1] 16/22	9/19 14/22 16/2 17/5 18/3 19/3
fair [2] 43/18 43/18		
	G	19/6 26/8 26/13 27/10 27/15
fairly [1] 44/17		27/17 27/19 27/20 27/21 28/19
fairness [1] 43/19	game [1] 13/2	34/13 46/20 47/3
false [5] 16/7 36/17 36/17 37/2	games [1] 39/19	guilt [1] 46/18
45/9	Garner [2] 1/19 7/2	
		guilty [4] 22/14 22/15 35/3
falsified [1] 46/7	Gas [1] 41/3	46/17
families [1] 36/7	gave [5] 8/4 19/22 32/20 32/21	gun [10] 3/10 5/10 26/7 26/11
family [3] 36/4 36/5 45/25	38/11	33/7 33/8 33/10 33/13 42/8 45/5
far [7] 26/9 27/23 28/18 36/6	general [2] 36/23 46/22	guns [1] 28/17
	-	
36/23 37/11 37/17	generally [1] 6/21	guy [1] 31/17
fast [1] 31/16	genesis [1] 14/16	Н
father [1] 33/25	get [11] 6/20 7/16 26/1 27/6	11
favorable [1] 5/20	27/9 29/5 37/1 38/22 40/7 40/17	had [64]
Fayette [1] 41/19	46/15	half [9] 10/2 10/12 10/22 18/25
FBI [3] 1/19 1/20 1/21	gets [7] 32/19 39/22 40/7 40/24	19/4 20/6 22/16 27/10 27/11
February [2] 2/25 4/6	41/4 43/9 43/9	hammering [1] 29/4
February 13th [1] 4/6	getting [3] 25/14 25/14 36/16	handgun [1] 42/8
February 1st [1] 2/25	Giglio [1] 5/15	hands [1] 20/25
federal [7] 1/24 19/1 23/15	Giglio versus United States [1]	happen [2] 40/18 40/18
28/12 28/15 32/5 49/7	1 5	
	5/15	happened [1] 25/10
federally [1] 22/20	give [3] 19/20 20/24 38/9	happenstance [1] 25/10
feels [1] 44/17	given [7] 8/17 22/13 27/24	happy [3] 7/25 35/17 44/5
felt [1] 12/18	29/18 30/13 34/3 44/1	hard [2] 30/16 33/24
fight [1] 36/12	gives [3] 38/4 38/5 41/23	Harford [1] 30/1
file [2] 13/9 22/18	global [1] 47/25	Harford County [1] 30/1
filed [5] 2/15 2/17 2/23 14/15		
		harm [1] 45/3
16/8	33/20 34/5 39/24 40/10 42/11	has [33] 5/23 5/25 24/9 29/19
filing [1] 7/2	42/12 43/7	29/22 30/9 30/13 30/14 32/25
filming [1] 28/4	goes [2] 16/20 16/24	33/19 33/24 33/24 34/1 34/4
final [2] 11/17 35/7	going [27] 3/17 8/9 8/9 15/20	34/7 34/9 35/20 37/11 37/11
financial [2] 47/15 47/22	16/18 16/19 16/19 17/1 17/2	39/7 40/3 42/24 43/18 43/18
find [2] 6/12 32/13	17/7 24/14 24/18 25/8 32/8	44/7 44/17 44/18 44/23 45/13
fine [4] 35/16 43/23 47/23	32/18 35/23 37/9 38/2 38/22	45/21 45/25 46/1 46/14
47/23	39/12 41/11 45/1 46/25 47/1	have [62]
finger [1] 32/16		haven't [5] 22/12 38/21 38/22
firearm [1] 4/13	Gondo [7] 27/13 31/4 31/9 31/24	41/14 42/21
firearms [2] 5/7 5/13		having [7] 4/22 5/1 8/1 10/22
1111Eq1M3  2  3/1 3/13		maying 1/1 4/22 5/1 8/1 10/22
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16	18/18 25/3 34/21
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109]
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4 foregoing [1] 49/2	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6 31/20 32/9	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8 helping [1] 36/4
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4 foregoing [1] 49/2 foreseeable [5] 8/16 8/25 12/16	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6 31/20 32/9 grade [1] 23/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8 helping [1] 36/4 Hendrix [18] 8/23 24/21 25/13
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4 foregoing [1] 49/2	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6 31/20 32/9	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8 helping [1] 36/4
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4 foregoing [1] 49/2 foreseeable [5] 8/16 8/25 12/16	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6 31/20 32/9 grade [1] 23/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8 helping [1] 36/4 Hendrix [18] 8/23 24/21 25/13
first [7] 2/15 4/3 5/19 19/10 20/10 23/11 40/21 five [2] 20/24 35/21 flies [1] 38/14 Floor [1] 1/24 follow [3] 25/5 26/6 36/22 followed [1] 22/25 follower [3] 24/24 26/5 33/16 following [2] 25/4 26/10 food [1] 40/16 foot [1] 37/18 football [1] 36/1 FOP [1] 37/11 force [1] 45/4 foregoing [1] 49/2 foreseeable [5] 8/16 8/25 12/16	good [5] 2/3 2/11 29/15 29/16 45/25 Google [1] 39/11 Googled [1] 39/10 got [6] 19/17 22/6 25/1 26/12 34/5 39/18 gotten [1] 29/25 Government [27] 2/23 4/5 4/9 4/13 4/23 5/12 6/10 6/22 12/5 13/8 13/9 13/18 14/7 15/8 30/18 30/20 31/19 32/5 32/20 37/14 38/10 39/20 40/12 40/12 43/2 43/13 46/20 Government's [5] 4/25 8/5 11/6 31/20 32/9 grade [1] 23/18	18/18 25/3 34/21 he [109] he's [9] 3/2 3/3 3/21 9/2 28/23 31/16 32/17 33/22 33/25 head [2] 25/6 25/8 health [1] 47/17 hear [5] 7/25 32/18 35/17 39/9 44/5 heard [5] 2/20 20/16 25/2 28/21 39/17 hearing [1] 42/3 help [2] 13/24 36/4 helped [2] 36/6 45/25 helpful [1] 15/8 helping [1] 36/4 Hendrix [18] 8/23 24/21 25/13

Н	36/16 36/21 37/2 37/5 37/9
Case 1:17-cr-00106-(	\$\frac{2}{2}\frac{1}{4}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac{2}{2}\frac
31/14 31/24 32/10 32/22 33/7	42/11 42/12 42/13 44/4 45/1
33/14 44/21	45/24 47/6 47/11 48/10 48/1
Hendrix's [1] 27/21	I've [4] 37/8 42/25 42/25 4
here [17] 2/7 9/5 13/16 15/17	idea [3] 2/17 20/13 39/7
16/13 16/24 22/9 24/6 24/10	IID [2] 21/5 37/18
26/24 27/1 28/20 33/21 35/24 37/15 42/17 42/18	immunity [2] 32/20 32/21
hereby [1] 49/1	impeached [1] 5/5 impeachment [5] 4/23 5/3 5/
heroin [7] 10/2 10/9 10/23	5/22 6/4
10/24 20/6 22/15 36/5	important [3] 30/19 46/2 46
Hersl [3] 4/20 27/9 28/24	impose [1] 19/8
Hersl's [1] 27/10	imposed [3] 34/13 47/5 48/5
hesitant [1] 39/3	imposing [1] 48/5
hesitate [1] 23/6 hiding [1] 30/25	imprisonment [1] 19/9
high [3] 20/24 23/18 31/16	inapplicable [1] 12/18 incident [6] 12/22 15/15 18
high-grade [1] 23/18	33/5 37/17 41/2
him [27] 5/10 9/1 11/16 18/11	include [3] 25/19 35/4 47/1
19/13 19/19 20/21 20/24 23/7	included [1] 10/10
23/8 24/21 25/3 25/5 25/5 25/8	includes [2] 8/19 8/20
28/12 29/4 29/5 29/25 30/6 30/9	including [1] 29/19 increase [3] 10/5 15/1 45/6
33/17 34/2 42/23 43/5 44/8 44/25	
himself [1] 15/19	increased [1] 30/2 indicating [1] 20/25
Hines [10] 1/14 3/18 4/7 7/25	indication [1] 8/3
11/20 18/4 29/14 43/4 43/7 43/8	indicative [2] 21/19 22/19
his [55]	indictment [4] 38/6 42/6 48
histories [1] 27/3	48/19
history [2] 7/21 18/1	individual [3] 26/22 34/6 4
hit [2] 25/5 25/8 Hobbs [1] 6/19	individuals [7] 23/13 23/16 24/1 26/18 36/6 37/23 40/6
Hobbs Act robbery [1] 6/19	influence [1] 46/10
hold [1] 35/8	information [22] 3/12 4/1 4
home [10] 19/13 21/14 21/18	4/11 4/23 5/2 5/3 5/4 5/22
22/7 22/15 24/11 24/15 24/20	6/11 12/18 22/17 28/13 29/1
24/20 31/10	29/19 30/8 31/11 33/19 34/4
home invasion [1] 31/10 honest [1] 41/5	34/8 47/16
Honor [44] 2/5 2/11 2/21 3/18	initial [1] 47/3 initially [2] 38/13 42/15
6/24 7/1 7/4 7/9 7/11 7/15 7/23	innocence [2] 36/12 36/12
9/12 10/7 10/9 11/8 11/23 12/21	innocent [1] 41/8
14/15 15/4 15/5 15/12 16/11	instance [2] 12/17 46/23
18/6 18/18 19/10 20/16 26/21	instances [1] 15/20
26/24 28/20 29/9 29/15 29/17 32/24 34/15 34/18 39/14 42/3	instead [1] 7/21
44/6 44/12 47/25 48/8 48/9	intel [1] 28/11 intend [1] 47/25
48/17 48/22	intended [1] 22/4
HONORABLE [1] 1/10	interactions [1] 27/5
hooks [1] 25/7	interest [1] 4/22
hours [1] 41/23	Internal [3] 28/11 37/19 37
house [6] 12/2 12/14 13/1 14/3	Internal Affairs [2] 28/11
24/3 24/16 how [8] 3/7 3/23 9/4 11/16 23/2	37/19  intersecting [1] 24/6
38/14 38/14 39/23	introduced [1] 10/19
Howard [2] 30/1 30/5	invasion [1] 31/10
Howard County [2] 30/1 30/5	investigating [1] 31/11
However [2] 5/1 8/11	investigation [5] 21/17 22/
hundred [1] 20/25	28/8 28/12 28/15
hundreds [2] 32/3 32/3 hustles [1] 39/7	involved [17] 8/6 13/5 18/1
hypothetical [1] 16/14	21/3 23/3 25/15 25/23 26/2 27/25 29/5 30/21 31/7 31/8
	46/4 48/1
I	involvement [3] 4/17 17/15
I'd [2] 19/10 21/13	involving [2] 11/25 15/16
I'11 [6] 2/19 4/7 5/21 24/10	is [112]
26/1 35/18 Tim [41] 2/15 2/17 7/25 8/1 8/5	issue [4] 7/10 14/18 14/25
I'm [41] 2/15 3/17 7/25 8/1 8/5	47/21

I'm [41] 2/15 3/17 7/25 8/1 8/5 10/4 13/10 14/21 15/5 17/7 34/18 34/20 35/15 35/17 35/24

36/16 36/21 37/2 37/5 37/9 5/1 8/12 5 45/2 5/3 46/23 8/5 18/22 7/15 5/6 19 48/18 6 45/3 /16 /6 L 4/6 22 6/5 9/18 4/4 37/21 11 22/1 8/19 /2 27/4 /8 42/7 L5 31/9

issues [5] 11/21 17/5 30/7 38/2

45/11

it [124] 3/10/8/17/14/85 54/16/9 3/5/7 /4/16/6 8/2 8/25 8 42/9 11/9 12915 14/25 15/13 16/5 16/24 25/25 26/14 30/22 32/15 32/17 33/1 33/2 36/14 36/15 36/15 36/15 37/24 38/16 40/2 40/5 40/5 41/1 41/1 41/5 41/6 41/8 41/9 41/10 41/25 42/2 42/4 42/4 42/4 42/7 42/20 43/9 45/14 45/17 47/12 its [1] 12/5

J

J. [1] 1/13 jail [1] 38/2 January [3] 18/7 20/14 30/2 January 2014 [1] 20/14 Jared [1] 1/21 Jared Stern [1] 1/21 Jenifer [2] 1/17 2/11 Jenifer Wicks [2] 1/17 2/11 Jenkins [49] 8/3 8/9 8/17 8/18 12/2 12/10 16/15 16/17 16/20 16/25 17/1 17/11 17/16 17/18 18/14 20/15 21/3 21/12 23/4 23/6 23/11 23/13 23/14 23/20 23/23 23/24 24/4 24/7 25/3 25/17 25/21 26/6 26/10 26/16 27/6 28/24 29/4 29/8 30/17 30/23 30/25 31/3 31/7 31/15 33/17 46/3 46/5 46/10 46/16 Jenkins' [3] 11/24 17/13 33/18 Jensen [1] 1/19 job [2] 45/14 45/16 John [1] 1/20 John Sieracki [1] 1/20 joined [2] 23/5 36/3 Joint [1] 25/22 jointly [8] 4/24 8/11 15/18 16/1 16/21 16/22 17/10 17/15 Jones [5] 21/14 21/17 21/22 22/12 22/14 Jones' [1] 22/6 judge [7] 1/10 19/2 22/3 22/5 22/6 22/11 43/15 Judge Bennett [2] 19/2 22/11 Judge Coulson [1] 43/15 Judge Lipman [1] 22/5 judges [1] 45/18 July [1] 39/16 July 6th [1] 39/16 June [1] 1/8 juries [1] 45/18 jury [4] 33/3 36/10 41/8 44/22 jury's [3] 6/7 32/1 44/25 just [57] justice [9] 14/11 15/11 16/2 18/22 28/7 31/21 32/4 33/1 45/18 justify [1] 5/23

keep [5] 35/16 40/20 40/20 41/2 43/10 keeping [1] 44/8 kept [1] 30/4 Kevin [1] 1/20 Kevin Bodmer [1] 1/20 kids [1] 39/4 kilo [2] 10/12 13/19 kilogram [1] 10/12 kilograms [6] 8/10 8/14 10/11

minute [1] 43/24

misinterpreting [1] 10/4

40/19 42/6 42/20 42/22 42/22

42/22 43/1 43/1 43/14

long [3] 9/8 11/23 38/23

look [2] 19/19 47/10

		5
N	office [9] 22/8 22/16 22/21	paired [1] 23/3
Case 1:17-cr-00106-0	ÇK11D864f164796 Filed 08/17	paperworke[57 of0623
named [1] 21/14 1.17-C1-00100-0	47/16	
nature [1] 15/25	officer [8] 1/19 18/11 28/11	9/13 14/21 16/5
near [3] 21/18 23/14 41/3	29/24 33/15 44/1 47/18 47/20	Paragraph 21 [1] 9/10
necessarily [1] 4/8	officers [9] 12/1 14/3 31/22	Paragraph 22 [1] 8/1
necessary [1] 29/11	32/8 33/23 37/12 44/20 45/9	Paragraph 46 [2] 14/21 16/5
need [8] 11/2 39/25 41/7 42/13	45/19	Paragraph 53 [1] 8/13
42/14 44/24 45/19 47/10	official [3] 1/24 45/4 49/7	Paragraph 66 [1] 9/13
needs [2] 48/4 48/13	oh [4] 34/20 39/10 40/21 42/17	parked [1] 23/14
nefarious [1] 31/17	Okay [20] 2/13 6/25 7/12 7/16	part [6] 11/4 12/13 12/16 12/19
Neither [2] 28/6 28/7	7/24 9/21 9/25 10/25 11/22 15/6	17/2 31/18
never [13] 23/25 24/1 35/25	16/10 17/4 17/7 29/14 34/17	participant [1] 24/24
36/1 37/18 37/18 38/18 39/17	43/22 44/10 44/14 48/10 48/16	participants [1] 28/2
40/3 40/3 40/4 42/6 43/13	old [2] 28/23 33/21	participated [1] 46/8
new [12] 1/11 2/10 2/14 3/17	older [2] 29/1 29/1	participating [1] 47/17
4/5 5/23 6/12 6/14 24/19 24/20	once [3] 14/1 14/15 23/5	particular [4] 12/17 14/9 14/14
37/2 42/12	one [18] 5/9 6/17 8/2 11/10	14/19
New York [2] 37/2 42/12	16/12 17/24 18/3 23/17 24/14	particularly [1] 27/22
Nieto [4] 1/16 2/12 6/25 11/1	24/25 28/1 28/1 28/3 40/19 41/5	parties [1] 48/1
nine [2] 18/19 25/17	42/20 43/1 43/8	parties' [1] 47/4
no [27] 1/4 6/24 7/11 9/4 11/2	ones [1] 25/19	past [1] 45/23
13/4 13/5 13/13 14/1 14/11	only [11] 4/16 6/9 10/23 17/24	Paul [1] 21/14
15/19 17/6 21/3 26/6 31/6 31/8	18/3 20/1 25/9 26/4 33/21 40/13	Paul Jones [1] 21/14
31/17 35/18 36/10 37/19 37/19	42/14	pay [1] 41/13
39/7 40/4 40/4 44/13 48/8 48/9	open [2] 27/2 30/19	pay stub [1] 41/13
No. [1] 2/7	operations [1] 25/24	paying [1] 37/12
nobody [2] 27/5 35/23	opportunity [1] 23/8	Pedro [1] 21/15
none [1] 42/8	opposed [4] 11/10 11/17 11/20	people [14] 25/21 28/10 30/10
Nonetheless [1] 4/21	30/18	31/1 31/5 31/17 32/4 33/1 36/4
NORTHERN [1] 1/2	opposition [1] 13/9	36/4 36/7 45/22 46/7 46/15
not [86]	orange [1] 21/11	per [1] 10/11
notable [1] 33/20	orders [1] 36/23	perhaps [3] 24/13 30/22 46/23
note [7] 2/24 3/1 8/25 16/11	ordinary [1] 44/2	period [2] 12/21 47/9
16/12 16/14 40/11	Oreese [2] 17/9 32/19	permit [1] 47/22
noted [1] 48/13	Oreese Stevenson [2] 17/9 32/19	person [4] 34/7 34/7 41/15
nothing [5] 12/12 13/20 13/24	original [2] 48/18 48/19	42/21
14/20 40/22	other [20] 7/10 9/10 11/20 12/1	perspective [1] 11/13
notice [1] 41/13	13/2 13/14 14/19 23/18 27/7	PG [1] 25/4
noticing [1] 7/2	28/10 28/17 30/10 30/25 31/4	PG County [1] 25/4
now [5] 4/16 9/25 30/5 35/22	33/12 40/6 42/5 45/10 45/23	phenomenon [1] 22/12
42/1	46/15	phone [1] 10/21
nowhere [1] 41/3	others [4] 22/9 28/8 29/4 46/10	photo [2] 10/18 10/19
number [8] 7/6 7/17 8/23 17/19	otherwise [1] 3/13	place [2] 20/10 25/21
38/4 38/7 45/9 45/21	our [11] 2/22 11/13 11/16 12/11	placed [1] 18/10
Number 86 [1] 38/7	12/19 12/24 21/8 27/11 27/16	Plaintiff [2] 1/3 1/12
numbers [1] 30/12	29/17 45/17	plant [1] 26/11
0	out [23] 3/12 3/25 5/6 5/12	planted [1] 5/10
	18/17 19/19 20/9 22/19 25/14	play [1] 36/8
oath [1] 22/6	29/17 30/13 32/13 34/1 37/6	played [2] 36/1 36/1
objected [1] 8/13	37/15 37/21 39/15 39/23 39/24	playing [1] 39/19
objection [5] 7/10 10/1 10/3	39/24 41/15 42/3 48/6	plea [2] 35/3 38/3
12/12 12/19	outcome [2] 6/6 36/11	pleading [1] 46/17
objections [10] 7/3 7/7 8/1 9/5	outside [2] 36/8 44/2	pled [2] 22/14 22/15
9/10 9/18 11/3 11/9 14/16 15/9	over [18] 10/19 12/21 13/19	point [6] 4/17 15/1 16/13 17/8
obstructed [2] 18/22 28/7	16/6 16/6 18/25 20/14 20/22	17/8 25/13
obstruction [4] 14/11 15/10	20/23 24/11 24/16 24/16 25/5	pointed [1] 32/16
16/2 16/5	25/8 33/20 33/22 36/5 40/16	points [2] 5/19 26/19
obviously [7] 2/17 17/16 27/8	overall [2] 4/24 6/12	pole [2] 3/1 4/12
44/14 44/17 44/23 46/12	overdoses [1] 36/5	pole camera [2] 3/1 4/12
occasions [2] 8/24 17/19	overtime [12] 36/19 36/20 36/24	police [12] 12/1 14/3 18/11
occur [1] 18/15	37/2 37/12 40/10 41/24 42/5	19/13 27/1 29/24 31/13 31/22
occurred [6] 4/17 18/16 21/2	42/14 42/18 45/12 46/8	33/18 36/3 45/7 45/19
21/10 24/14 45/22	own [5] 12/10 23/7 25/22 45/19	policy [2] 34/21 35/3
off [6] 23/21 25/22 28/8 31/10	45/20	popped [1] 23/15
33/8 36/24	P	posed [1] 16/14
offense [13] 4/19 9/2 9/3 9/15		position [5] 8/6 11/6 11/7
9/17 11/11 11/17 17/21 17/25	p.m [2] 2/2 48/23	29/24 39/2
22/14 45/1 46/22 47/7	packaging [1] 21/19	possession [3] 4/13 5/7 5/8
	Page [2] 38/4 38/7	potential [2] 11/10 27/20
Offense Level 37 [1] 17/25	Page 18 [1] 38/4	potentially [1] 26/6
offenses [1] 46/1	Page 23 [1] 38/7	pounds [1] 23/17

request [1] 48/1

requests [1] 46/20

41/14 42/25 43/5

says [7] 5/9 36/17 36/19 38/7

47/4 47/12

rather [3] 5/10 11/14 11/15

stand [5] 10/4 21/22 26/14

では3 PMは1m1を140479 序記を2008/17/25/1や38台は59 of 62 says... [3] Case 1:17-cr-00106school [1] 31/16 showed [4] 10/23 20/5 23/1 46/7 stands [1] 18/18 scope [1] 15/17 showing [3] 5/24 41/2 42/9 start [4] 2/19 7/4 19/10 20/13 sea [1] 14/3 shown [3] 25/18 25/18 28/9 started [2] 18/14 29/8 seal [2] 29/19 34/24 starts [1] 9/9 state [4] 36/17 37/3 41/15 44/9 sic [2] 36/11 43/14 sealed [1] 30/8 sick [2] 40/11 40/12 search [11] 18/24 20/17 20/20 sickness [1] 40/15 State's [4] 22/20 32/5 37/6 21/1 21/14 21/25 22/2 22/3 22/5 side [3] 12/10 20/23 33/12 37/6 22/6 33/6 sides [1] 33/11 statements [3] 7/7 11/3 15/16 Sieracki [1] 1/20 search warrant [8] 18/24 20/17 STATES [12] 1/1 1/3 1/14 2/6 21/1 21/14 21/25 22/2 22/3 22/6 signature [2] 42/20 42/21 5/15 5/15 5/16 5/17 19/5 19/5 search warrants [1] 33/6 signatures [1] 42/22 29/12 44/12 searching [1] 14/4 significance [1] 3/20 station [1] 41/3 seated [1] 2/3 significant [4] 24/13 24/19 status [1] 44/1 secluded [1] 23/21 27/16 46/11 steal [5] 8/9 19/20 19/22 23/7 second [1] 20/16 significantly [3] 20/4 24/10 see [7] 3/20 3/23 41/14 41/18 stealing [3] 16/25 17/12 29/5 28/25 41/19 42/21 47/16 stenographic [1] 49/2 similar [1] 16/10 seeing [2] 37/20 40/20 simply [1] 11/10 Stepp [8] 8/4 12/1 12/9 17/1 seeking [2] 27/11 27/16 since [9] 32/17 37/20 37/20 24/2 30/22 30/24 31/7 39/15 39/15 41/5 42/20 43/1 seems [2] 14/9 46/18 Stern [1] 1/21 seen [8] 3/1 3/2 3/2 4/12 22/13 43/2 Stevenson [10] 8/3 9/3 9/9 9/16 37/20 41/14 42/6 14/17 17/9 17/22 24/9 28/3 single [1] 15/14 sir [1] 48/14 sit [1] 38/11 segregation [1] 30/5 32/19 sell [2] 8/4 17/1 Stevenson's [1] 24/11 send [1] 32/8 site [1] 3/22 still [7] 3/11 9/6 17/2 35/23 sits [1] 33/21 sending [1] 33/2 36/10 36/11 37/14 sense [3] 5/22 6/10 38/22 six [1] 40/22 stole [4] 8/4 18/13 18/21 28/16 sent [5] 7/2 31/23 37/8 39/14 sledgehammers [1] 25/7 stolen [1] 8/18 39/15 slide [1] 21/8 stop [1] 41/11 sentence [20] 19/1 19/6 19/9 slips [3] 36/25 42/14 42/18 story [1] 33/11 22/18 26/13 27/11 27/17 29/10 small [2] 8/20 13/16 street [2] 1/24 8/20 29/23 34/1 34/12 44/25 46/19 streets [1] 33/8 smaller [1] 20/4 46/21 46/25 47/2 47/5 47/8 48/7 so [39] 2/14 2/17 2/18 3/9 3/13 stress [1] 30/3 48/12 4/11 5/14 6/11 6/14 8/24 9/4 string [1] 25/1 strong [2] 44/20 46/18 sentenced [3] 26/16 26/17 38/23 9/8 9/16 12/5 13/10 14/5 15/4 sentences [2] 22/21 30/13 16/1 16/24 17/19 18/1 24/10 stub [1] 41/13 sentencing [12] 1/11 2/8 3/19 25/5 25/12 26/10 26/11 27/6 stuff [9] 21/19 36/2 36/25 37/8 6/15 14/22 19/3 19/4 26/8 26/15 28/16 29/9 32/25 33/11 33/16 37/8 38/18 39/5 41/4 42/3 29/17 35/4 44/15 34/11 34/23 40/13 44/9 46/25 submission [1] 22/24 sentencings [1] 45/2 47/12 48/5 submit [3] 3/13 30/15 47/25 separate [2] 11/25 17/14 sergeant [16] 16/15 16/15 16/17 sold [1] 24/3 some [23] 5/11 5/21 6/10 6/11 submitted [3] 19/25 22/3 29/19 submitting [2] 36/24 36/24 16/20 20/15 21/12 23/4 26/16 11/5 11/19 11/20 13/2 15/20 substance [1] 47/18 26/17 27/6 27/6 34/14 46/3 15/23 18/19 18/21 19/20 19/22 subtotal [1] 14/10 46/10 46/16 46/16 27/3 27/3 27/7 27/25 35/11 such [3] 37/16 37/16 46/16 Sergeant Allers [4] 26/17 27/6 36/18 41/14 46/1 47/14 sufficient [2] 29/11 46/21 34/14 46/16 somebody [2] 21/23 26/11 suggest [4] 7/20 12/12 13/5 Sergeant Jenkins [10] 16/15 somehow [1] 30/18 13/14 16/17 16/20 20/15 21/12 23/4 someone [2] 32/16 33/10 suggested [1] 12/24 26/16 27/6 46/10 46/16 something [7] 26/8 26/12 27/8 suggesting [1] 8/8 Sergio [1] 38/8 29/3 38/24 39/13 43/6 suggestion [1] serious [3] 17/16 45/1 46/1 sometime [1] 4/8 sum [1] 24/19 son [4] 38/23 39/1 39/7 39/12 Summerville [1] 38/8 seriousness [1] 46/21 serve [1] 29/23 sorry [3] 34/18 34/20 35/15 superfluous [1] 13/6 served [1] 18/25 sort [3] 4/9 13/10 26/5 supervised [3] 44/4 47/9 47/15 SES [2] 20/15 21/3 spare [1] 45/14 supervisor [1] 46/3 set [3] 5/14 29/17 37/18 speak [1] 35/9 supervisors [4] 18/15 23/3 29/4 settles [1] 32/23 special [6] 1/19 1/20 1/21 30/17 seven [1] 25/10 47/14 48/4 40/22 support [2] 13/21 26/4 several [3] 20/25 28/17 29/1 Special Agent [4] 1/19 1/20 suppose [1] 14/5 supposed [2] 36/20 41/20 severe [1] 16/20 1/21 25/10 share [1] 19/23 specific [6] 4/19 6/19 18/4 sure [9] 11/18 14/21 15/12 35/13 44/24 45/24 48/10 48/12 Shawn [5] 9/24 9/25 12/20 19/12 43/25 44/3 46/24 21/9 specifically [8] 7/18 11/1 48/19 Shawn Whiting [4] 9/24 9/25 12/22 13/7 13/19 14/8 32/11 surprising [4] 11/5 32/12 32/15 12/20 21/9 33/5 32/18 shootout [1] 26/12 split [1] 24/16 suspect [1] 33/3 short [1] 11/23 spree [4] 18/8 21/11 28/15 29/2 system [5] 31/21 32/4 33/1 shot [1] 33/9 stabbed [1] 36/7 37/10 45/18

should [11] 11/1 14/13 14/24

there's [15] 6/12 10/3 10/5 T Bank [1] Case 1:17-cr-00106-( take [8] 2/14 8/17 29/20 29/21 30/10 31/2 39/25 43/23 taken [17] 8/14 8/21 10/10 12/3 13/1 13/14 13/23 14/1 14/22 15/21 20/6 20/12 21/7 24/7 24/11 33/8 45/3 takes [1] 39/7 taking [8] 8/5 13/19 17/19 30/18 36/24 40/18 40/19 43/20 talk [3] 15/23 43/4 43/12 talked [2] 24/9 42/23 talking [4] 7/6 7/8 13/16 17/24 they've [2] 8/13 13/11 target [1] 25/11 task [1] 30/16 taxed [2] 38/7 38/7 TAYLOR [109] Taylor's [12] 2/16 11/13 16/23 18/25 20/13 24/17 26/13 27/23 28/18 31/23 33/6 47/22 tell [2] 15/10 47/11 ten [1] 38/17 tennis [1] 36/2 term [1] 12/6 terrified [1] 26/25 testified [12] 3/21 10/22 15/14 15/14 15/17 19/18 20/3 20/19 21/22 24/2 28/23 33/15 testifies [1] 3/6 testify [2] 26/25 32/22 testifying [1] 32/13 testimony [23] 3/21 4/19 5/6 8/22 10/14 12/21 13/4 13/5 14/2 15/2 20/1 20/16 21/1 21/5 23/13 25/2 25/7 25/20 28/9 30/24 33/7 38/12 44/20 TFO [1] 1/20 than [8] 5/10 10/6 18/19 26/23 29/1 29/1 29/11 30/10 thank [16] 2/5 2/13 3/15 15/6 29/13 29/14 29/14 34/15 34/16 42/2 43/21 43/22 44/13 48/15 48/21 48/22 that [354] that's [30] 3/23 3/25 11/15 11/16 12/11 12/15 14/22 16/21 17/3 19/11 23/5 24/15 26/7 26/8 27/8 28/22 30/16 31/25 33/11 35/16 36/14 36/17 39/19 40/24 42/1 42/14 42/18 42/18 43/21 43/22 The Joint [1] 25/22 theft [2] 12/13 37/21 thefts [1] 13/6 their [19] 12/10 14/7 15/17 22/24 25/22 26/19 27/4 27/15 27/18 27/23 32/6 33/2 33/3 38/2 43/3 45/15 45/19 45/20 45/23 them [16] 6/9 7/17 7/24 8/2 16/19 17/1 18/16 18/17 23/15 24/8 27/3 27/3 27/5 32/20 42/20 44/8 then [21] 3/18 5/16 16/20 22/2 25/1 31/12 33/12 37/1 37/10 38/6 38/16 38/21 39/8 40/2 40/20 40/20 42/7 42/16 43/1 43/5 43/22 then-Detective Taylor [2] 31/12 33/12 there [54]

世紀120h代5pheff 47619 中語名408/1 7打8at Pagel 60 4行82 25/20 28/14 31/8 34/8 35/6 45/6 比reatment [2] 47/17 47/19 47/23 these [30] 11/3 15/9 15/22 16/8 16/25 17/8 18/14 18/15 18/21 23/1 23/6 23/16 23/20 23/25 24/25 25/23 27/5 28/18 29/5 32/8 33/1 34/11 39/13 39/20 39/20 40/5 42/5 42/8 45/9 45/11 they [62] they'd [1] 21/20 they'll [2] 40/6 44/7 they're [3] 32/20 32/21 42/16 thing [10] 16/12 16/24 25/22 36/13 37/16 38/25 38/25 41/2 41/11 42/14 things [12] 11/20 13/2 15/25 21/10 28/18 29/25 30/25 35/12 36/19 41/22 45/22 45/25 think [39] 2/17 3/11 3/21 6/5 7/18 9/18 10/2 10/8 11/1 11/24 12/9 12/9 13/1 15/2 15/5 17/14 17/20 17/22 17/23 17/25 26/21 28/22 29/20 30/7 30/8 30/14 30/19 31/2 31/14 33/20 34/3 34/4 34/7 34/8 36/22 40/24 41/25 47/7 47/21 third [2] 6/8 21/13 this [77] those [16] 7/16 8/6 8/10 12/3 12/13 13/7 13/14 13/15 14/14 14/23 18/23 26/18 26/22 27/17 28/2 29/9 thought [1] 33/10 three [8] 9/14 9/17 23/2 26/19 26/22 47/10 47/11 47/13 threw [1] 23/20 through [5] 7/4 17/8 28/9 37/7 39/24 throughout [2] 14/3 42/23 thrown [1] 13/17 Thursday [1] 1/8 thwarted [1] 25/9 till [1] 43/6 time [12] 12/2 12/16 25/13 34/3 35/22 36/24 38/23 40/7 42/2 43/20 43/23 48/18 times [4] 37/12 38/17 41/20 43/2 timing [2] 2/24 4/1 tipping [1] 28/8 today [3] 15/9 28/20 33/21 Todd [1] 25/10 Todd Edwards [1] 25/10 told [11] 16/17 20/21 21/24 24/18 24/21 25/3 28/10 28/11 38/13 39/1 42/20 took [5] 10/1 19/13 19/23 22/2 23/20 tools [1] 25/6 top [4] 37/4 37/10 38/6 38/21 total [1] 11/17 towards [1] 45/7 Towers [3] 8/19 23/10 23/14 track [3] 31/16 35/25 36/1 tracking [2] 25/11 25/21 Trader [1] 41/16 transaction [1] 3/10 transcript [2] 3/7 49/2 trash [8] 15/25 21/17 21/17

21/18 21/21 21/21 21/23 22/4 trial [23] 1/11 2/10 2/14 3/18 5/23 6/13 6/14 8/22 10/11 12/8 12/18 13/21 15/3 19/11 23/1 25/12 25/18 25/19 30/2 32/12 32/17 38/10 40/24 tried [7] 4/24 33/24 43/4 43/5 43/15 43/16 43/16 tries [1] 39/21 triggered [1] 43/14 trip [1] 37/2 trouble [1] 40/4 troubling [2] 31/22 31/23 trunk [2] 23/16 23/21 trust [4] 18/10 27/5 41/16 45/6 truth [2] 31/6 35/23 try [4] 39/14 39/23 39/24 39/24 trying [5] 13/10 37/15 38/16 41/12 45/15 turn [2] 34/17 43/24 turned [4] 10/13 10/24 20/7 23/25 turning [2] 23/10 26/15 TV [1] 41/2 twice [2] 26/2 27/24 two [13] 4/15 10/5 12/21 14/13 14/24 18/25 22/15 23/13 23/16 23/16 28/1 33/11 36/18 two-level [2] 14/13 14/24 type [1] 41/21 U.S [1] 1/19

U.S. [3] 5/16 22/8 22/16 U.S. Attorney's [2] 22/8 22/16 uncritically [1] 22/25 under [16] 8/11 9/1 9/14 15/13 16/2 19/5 22/6 28/12 28/13 29/11 29/19 29/22 29/23 34/24 46/9 47/8 undermine [1] 6/6 undermines [1] 45/17 understand [10] 2/23 3/9 14/17 31/19 31/20 32/1 32/16 33/2 38/14 48/14 understanding [1] 36/13 understands [1] 15/5 understood [4] 11/8 12/6 12/15 19/19 undertaken [6] 15/18 16/1 16/21 16/22 17/10 17/14 undertaking [1] 8/11 Unfortunately [1] 29/25 uniform [1] 45/15 unit [3] 20/15 28/10 33/17 UNITED [12] 1/1 1/3 1/14 2/6 5/15 5/15 5/16 5/17 19/5 19/5 29/12 44/12 United States [3] 2/6 19/5 44/12 United States v. Wolf [1] 5/17 United States versus Bagley [1] 5/16 unlike [2] 28/16 28/17 unrelated [1] 31/4 unsure [1] 14/16 until [7] 4/8 4/14 22/15 41/11 42/1 43/2 43/8 unwarranted [1] 26/15 up [19] 2/14 13/17 14/25 20/24

## 25/19 25/25 28/21 29/9 29/18 23/21 24/15 24/17 28/4 35/14 35/16 41/12 42/16 42/17 43/4 45/20 45/24 us [3] 25/25 30/3 34/18 use [5] 12/6 24/19 30/23 45/4 45/5 V v. [1] 5/17 vacate [1] 22/18 vacated [3] 19/2 22/22 32/7 vacation [8] 36/21 37/3 37/5 37/9 40/13 40/18 40/19 42/13 value [2] 10/9 12/7 variety [2] 7/2 11/9 vehicle [5] 20/20 20/21 20/24 23/24 26/7 verdict [5] 4/14 4/18 32/1 44/22 44/25 veritable [1] 14/3 versus [3] 2/6 5/15 5/16 very [18] 6/10 30/16 30/23 30/24 32/13 33/1 33/16 33/23 33/24 34/8 35/24 40/7 40/7 43/21 44/19 45/17 46/1 46/18 vest [3] 3/3 3/6 3/20 victims [3] 15/17 45/3 45/4 video [7] 3/2 13/2 16/6 16/7 28/4 30/18 41/1 44/21 violence [1] 36/9 visit [1] 44/9 vocal [1] 35/24 voice [1] 35/16 vulnerable [1] 22/25 walked [1] 20/24 want [12] 2/19 18/4 21/24 26/25 27/1 33/9 34/18 41/10 43/12 43/19 43/23 47/16 wanted [4] 3/10 3/11 31/15 43/13 wants [1] 44/11 Ward [41] 8/22 10/14 19/15 19/18 19/19 19/19 19/20 19/22 19/23 20/1 20/9 20/12 20/19 20/20 20/21 20/23 21/4 21/5 21/16 21/22 21/24 22/17 23/11 23/20 23/24 25/12 25/13 25/20 25/23 26/3 27/18 27/22 28/6 28/7 28/10 28/16 31/15 31/24 32/10 32/22 44/20 Ward's [1] 27/20 warrant [8] 18/24 20/17 21/1 21/14 21/25 22/2 22/3 22/6 warrants [1] 33/6 was [147] Washington [1] 25/4 wasn't [6] 3/23 4/1 16/20 22/15 29/3 42/7 watch [1] 24/12 way [2] 7/4 16/18 Wayne [8] 18/14 23/11 24/4 25/3 whole [2] 42/24 42/24 26/6 26/10 29/8 38/9

Wayne Jenkins [7] 18/14 23/11

7/3 11/13 11/16 12/18 13/10

15/2 16/17 22/12 22/17 25/12

we [34] 3/11 3/12 3/25 6/16 7/1

24/4 25/3 26/6 26/10 29/8

44/6 47/24 47/25 48/17 we'd [1] 44/8 we'll [3] 6/14 15/23 48/1 we're [13] 2/7 8/8 13/16 16/18 16/18 16/19 17/24 19/8 28/20 32/7 32/8 32/25 34/23 We've [1] 21/8 wear [1] 3/10 wearing [3] 3/3 3/6 3/24 wears [1] 3/7 week [1] 2/24 weeks [2] 12/22 39/1 well [19] 4/2 4/18 5/3 6/8 9/21 13/1 13/24 16/4 17/22 20/4 21/3 27/7 33/22 40/12 41/6 44/14 44/21 47/9 48/5 went [10] 21/15 23/14 24/16 25/16 36/22 37/18 40/9 42/17 42/18 43/6 were [48] 4/4 5/11 7/24 7/25 8/14 8/21 8/23 9/20 10/11 10/24 12/1 12/2 12/25 13/1 13/2 13/16 14/4 15/20 15/21 16/8 17/15 18/23 19/1 19/25 20/6 21/4 21/5 21/16 22/17 23/3 24/1 24/7 24/11 25/8 25/17 25/18 25/21 25/22 25/23 25/24 27/4 27/13 27/19 28/1 29/4 30/17 31/4 what [31] 2/23 3/11 5/2 5/14 7/8 9/21 12/17 13/10 13/10 13/11 15/5 20/10 23/1 25/8 25/12 28/4 30/13 31/3 31/3 34/23 36/10 37/20 38/2 39/8 39/25 41/6 41/12 41/22 41/22 43/3 46/17 what's [2] 24/13 35/23 whatever [1] 35/17 whatsoever [1] 13/15 when [30] 3/5 4/1 4/23 8/24 11/9 12/15 16/17 19/4 20/21 22/16 23/5 23/24 25/25 26/1 26/15 27/22 28/22 29/1 29/25 31/3 32/25 33/5 37/2 37/4 37/16 38/14 40/9 42/22 43/13 45/18 where [9] 8/12 8/20 9/13 15/24 22/21 26/22 29/3 39/8 45/24 whether [6] 5/20 10/1 17/1 34/22 35/5 47/6 which [18] 4/15 5/9 5/16 5/24 7/18 9/9 9/21 10/8 10/19 12/19 19/19 26/1 30/5 37/7 45/12 46/9 46/22 47/15 while [7] 19/16 25/12 30/17 31/10 31/19 32/11 33/25 Whiting [12] 9/24 9/25 10/2 10/16 10/22 12/20 13/23 17/22 19/12 19/13 20/1 21/9 Whiting's [2] 10/20 19/15 who [11] 2/12 15/14 15/17 23/14 28/11 32/4 32/16 39/7 42/21 45/4 45/15 whose [1] 45/3 why [13] 3/9 11/16 12/11 12/15 14/12 14/12 14/18 14/23 17/3 23/5 33/12 39/2 45/24 Wicks [4] 1/17 2/11 2/19 34/16 will [20] 3/18 4/21 10/4 26/5

27/9 27/11 27/16 29/23 32/2 @3/20030/11m3h/14732/1=1326108/17/138/27-30/25136f18239/13 44/24 4/12 34/21 37/7 42/20 43/6 48/12 wind [1] 45/24 Wise [5] 1/13 2/4 3/16 4/7 43/7 within [8] 14/12 15/17 19/6 27/12 27/17 46/19 47/4 48/13 witness [4] 4/23 14/2 26/24 26/24 Wolf [1] 5/17 women [1] 45/15 won't [3] 33/20 35/8 38/8 word [3] 32/10 33/2 45/19 words [2] 30/23 31/24 wore [1] 3/3 work [6] 3/3 3/3 3/8 3/20 41/20 41/24 worked [1] 37/13 workers [2] 37/24 39/6 working [4] 7/4 18/14 20/15 29/8 worse [2] 28/18 29/25 worth [1] 10/11 would [31] 2/24 3/1 3/3 3/11 3/13 4/5 4/22 5/2 6/2 7/4 7/20 8/17 9/11 9/19 12/16 13/11 14/5 14/8 14/13 14/18 15/8 15/10 16/11 17/11 17/13 30/10 33/14 34/25 35/11 44/6 47/5 writing [2] 13/9 21/25 wrong [5] 21/21 30/23 46/13 47/6 47/11 wrongful [2] 45/4 45/5 wrote [2] 22/2 39/16

year [8] 20/14 33/22 34/1 35/20 35/21 35/21 35/21 42/24 years [23] 18/13 19/1 19/4 22/16 26/16 26/17 27/11 27/16 27/21 27/21 28/23 29/1 29/10 32/9 33/21 34/5 34/13 38/24 39/13 46/25 47/10 47/12 47/13 years' [1] 19/9 yelled [1] 19/17 yes [9] 7/1 7/9 7/15 7/23 15/6 34/20 35/11 35/20 48/15 yet [3] 13/20 37/23 38/10 York [2] 37/2 42/12 you [98] you'd [3] 11/19 35/6 35/9 you're [3] 7/8 41/20 48/11 you've [1] 2/16 young [3] 33/23 33/24 39/4 younger [1] 34/6 youngest [1] 33/23 your [49] 2/5 2/11 2/21 3/18 6/24 7/1 7/4 7/9 7/11 7/15 7/23 9/12 10/7 10/9 11/6 11/8 11/23 12/21 14/15 15/4 15/5 15/12 16/11 18/4 18/6 18/18 19/10 20/16 26/21 26/24 28/20 29/9 29/15 29/17 32/24 34/15 34/18 35/16 39/6 39/14 42/2 42/3 44/6 44/12 47/25 48/8 48/9 48/17 48/22 Your Honor [44] 2/5 2/11 2/21 3/18 6/24 7/1 7/4 7/9 7/11 7/15 7/23 9/12 10/7 10/9 11/8 11/23 12/21 14/15 15/4 15/5 15/12 16/11 18/6 18/18 19/10 20/16

				62
Y				
Your Honor[18] 1:17-cr-00106-CCB	Document 479	Filed 08/17/18	Page 62 of 62	
28/20 29/9 29/15 29/17 32/24 34/15 34/18 39/14 42/3 44/6				
44/12 47/25 48/8 48/9 48/17				
48/22				
Z				
Zweizig [3] 1/23 49/1 49/5				
		I		