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controls," rather than quoting from transcript. So I don't want to object, but that is my objection preliminarily.

MR. WISE: So what I have is some slides that I may or may not use, depending on the arguments, where I have bullets with what -- and I'll say this: It's your memory that controls. This is my recollection.

I do identify, so they're not wondering, the trial testimony of -- and I have the witness. But I'll tell them: This is my memory, and your memory controls.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. PURPURA: That's --
MR. WISE: I don't have, like, screenshots of pages out of the transcript or anything like that.

THE COURT: Okay. As long as you include that. And if you think there's anything else that needs to be said, I mean, I will have a couple of words for them at the end. But, I mean, that's exactly what $I$ would say.

MR. PURPURA: Okay. And if you'd just repeat that as well, that this is the memory of counsel and not from the transcript itself.

THE COURT: Well, what $I$ was saying is I think he's going to say that during --

MR. PURPURA: Right.
THE COURT: -- during his remarks. I mean, if you
want me to make a general statement: You've heard the
arguments. Just to remind everybody, the arguments themselves and what counsel recall the testimony to be is -- that's their recollection. And it's your recollection that controls --

MR. PURPURA: Thank you. That's it.
THE COURT: -- for everybody.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you very much.
THE COURT: Sure. I'll be happy to do that. Okay.) (Bench conference concluded.)

THE COURT: All right. Then we're ready for the jury? MR. PURPURA: Yes. Thank you.

THE COURT: Ms. Wicks, Mr. Nieto, you'll be ready to go?

MS. WICKS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
(Pause.)
(Jury entered the courtroom at 10:11 a.m.)
THE COURT: Good morning, everyone. And welcome back. You can be seated.

All right. We'll be continuing with closing arguments.

Ms. Wicks.
MS. WICKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
The Government has gone to the depths of the criminal underworld in Baltimore. And what have they found, ladies and
gentlemen? They have found convicted criminals who are authorized, with immunity, to come in here and testify.

They have found professional liars who want and need to help themselves get out of jail. It's deplorable, and it's nauseating.

Think about each witness coming here and putting up their right hand (indicating) and swearing to tell the truth, taking the oath that they will answer the questions truthfully to you.

What is the gut feeling you have as you think about each of those witnesses swearing to tell the truth and answering questions in this courtroom in front of you?

What is your gut feeling after the parade of witnesses that all have bias and reasons to lie? That is a reason to doubt, ladies and gentlemen.

Mr. Taylor, Marcus Roosevelt Taylor (indicating), is sitting here innocent before you. He is in trial because he's innocent of what he's been accused of. Unless and until you believe the testimony that came in here and lied to you repeatedly, until you can believe that, he is innocent.

What is the truth here, and what is the lie? That's for you to determine, and I'd submit you all heard a lot of lies.

How can you even be sure? How can you even be sure of what happened on any of those days based on one version with
someone with a lot of different reasons to lie; two different versions that are mutually exclusive, don't make any sense; three versions; or simply insufficient evidence.

As the judge has already instructed you, if you have a reasonable doubt as to Mr. Taylor's guilt, you should not hesitate for any reason to find him not guilty of each charge.

You and only you are the judges of the facts in this case. You have to base your decision in this case on the evidence presented in this courtroom. Do not assume about other facts.

Your job is to determine based on the evidence, the testimony, the pieces of paper, the recordings that you can listen to. Based on all of that, that is what you consider and weigh.

The so-called conspiracy. The Government has strung together a rather tattered litany of events; activities that Wayne Jenkins, who appears to have been involved in, hiding things from one -- from other people on his squad, hiding things from his wife, hiding things from his partner in crime, Donald Stepp. He tried hard to keep information and knowledge about his wrongdoings from people on his squad. He tried hard to keep knowledge of wrongdoings of some people on his squad from other people on his squad.

One other example is Rayam, who, when stopping
Ronald Hamilton, steals something like $\$ 3,000$ out of his
pocket, sticks it in his pocket, and apparently made no attempt to share it with anybody. Is that really a conspiracy?

Hendrix tried to keep Mr. -- Sergeant Jenkins away from Mr. Taylor, because he was concerned about Jenkins. He was concerned about what Jenkins was doing.

Gondo is protecting -- during all of this time, Gondo's protecting his childhood friend, a drug dealer on the streets of Baltimore, from getting arrested. He's intervening, trying to keep Sergeant Jenkins from him, keeping that conspiracy in the know about what the police is doing, and trying to keep -- now he's trying to keep himself out of jail in two cases.

Jenkins has this sidekick career drug user and drug pusher, Donald Stepp, a thief, career thief, robbing before, during, and after his police work. During this time, during the time of the conspiracy that the Government has charged, Detective Kostoplis, who was the last witness, having already worked several months with Wayne Jenkins before he left and went to New Jersey, when he comes back to Baltimore, he specifically asked to go work with Wayne Jenkins.

He didn't see anything bad going on when he worked with Wayne Jenkins before. And other than this one incident that after the fact he realized was -- seemed a little off, he didn't see anything else that gave him concern from October until February, I believe his testimony was, the period that he
worked in GTTF.
Think about that. There's an officer in GTTF that doesn't see anything wrong with what's going on. He doesn't see anything. Things are going on. We know Wayne Jenkins -now, during that time period, I submit, he's out for a couple months. But before Wayne Jenkins leaves, while Wayne Jenkins has left, and after Wayne Jenkins comes back, there's nothing that that officer is concerned about.

Many of the witnesses testified about things that Sergeant Jenkins told them. And the Government wholeheartedly uses this evidence to try to prove the charges in this case, the conspiracy, the racketeering enterprise.

But every witness in one way or the other agreed that you can't believe Sergeant Jenkins. So if you can't believe Sergeant Jenkins, someone else repeating what he said doesn't make it true. It means, yeah, he said that, or maybe not; but even if he said that, the truth of what he said is probably not true. You can't rely on that.

Even the story -- and several witnesses said this, Oh, Jenkins told us that we needed to keep a BB gun or something in case something went down back.

Well, the only BB gun that you heard about in this case was the $B B$ gun that the Government seized from Detective Taylor's car, work car, when he got arrested. And you heard from one of the detectives that Detective Taylor had
taken that off a child.
A child had that gun that looked just like a real gun. And you'll have pictures or that back in -- that you can look at. And the testimony was Detective Taylor took it off of a child so that he wouldn't get hurt, so that someone wouldn't think that child had a gun, a real gun.

Shawn Whiting. Now, the Government claimed in their closing that he had nothing to report because he didn't count; that somehow, back when this all happened, he had nothing -- he could report it was anybody because he didn't count.

Well, as I recall, Shawn Whiting said he did complain, he complained to IAD when he got the paperwork back where he's saying the money didn't match. Maybe someone didn't listen, but he spoke up. He had a voice.

Now, the problem is, he's complaining about his money. The evidence is from Ward -- Ward claims he processed the money on the scene and he's the one that brings it to HIDTA. Ward's story somehow is he claimed that Taylor seized the money and says to Ward, Look out for me.

This doesn't make much sense. If Taylor is one of the officers there on the scene looking for evidence and finds the money, why is Taylor then telling Ward, Hey, look out for me? Because I'm too busy? I can't take it? You got to take it?

> This doesn't make sense.

So Ward says he recovers it. He says he takes $\$ 3,000$.

And you have his word that he gave Detective Taylor part of it.
You have Shawn Whiting's word that there's -- were really 4 and a half kilos of heroin in this house when he's trying to get you to believe that the 20 -ton hydraulic press is a hydraulic lift for a car to do the brakes?

One other thing about Shawn Whiting is -- recall his testimony. He would cut heroin. So he's thinking he had 4 and a half kilos. But maybe he hadn't cut it yet, because if he cut -- his testimony -- and I believe Jimmie Griffin also -when they had heroin, they would cut it so that they could make even more money than selling undiluted heroin.

Shawn Whiting also, as you may recall, is the person that was asked twice on direct by the Government if he had a pending case. And both times he said "no."

The reason why that's relevant, ladies and gentlemen, is because he lied to you. He did have a pending case, unrelated to the search warrant at his house. But as he was sitting here on the stand testifying to you and saying "no," he had a pending case. He has a pending case.

Now, it didn't seem as remarkable at the beginning of the trial. But the other thing you may remember is Maurice Ward was asked to identify Danny Hersl and Marcus Taylor in the courtroom, and he identified them.

Shawn Whiting was asked to identify Detective Taylor, the person who had been in his house, and he pointed in that
general direction.
And then when asked to describe what that person was wearing, he could not.

The evidence was he identified Detective Taylor in the grand jury from the picture. But when he came into the courtroom and was asked to identify that person and describe that person by their clothing, he could not do that.

Maurice Ward is one of the professional liars that the Government brought in to testify to you.

Prior to being arrested, even, we know he had lied about a death in his family to get out of a meeting about the Oreese Stevenson case with the federal government about whether or not Oreese Stevenson would be prosecuted federally. He lied and said he had a death in the family so he couldn't attend that meeting.

He lied to agents in his proffers after he got arrested. He lied to judges and juries, just like you, before he got arrested.

And I'll submit, he then came in here and over the first day and the second day, which was two days later, he lied repeatedly to you, repeatedly violated his oath as a police officer and as a witness.

It's clear that the oath really doesn't mean anything to him.

He made ridiculous statements to you that the $\$ 5,000$,
the $\$ 20,000$, he just sort of left behind in Wayne Jenkins' car and got rid of near his house.

Now, first of all, we know there's nothing to corroborate that. The agent was a little skeptical of that and went to look, and there was nothing there. There's nothing reported in that neighborhood.

But recall he also first said that the money flew off in the breeze when he left it in the woods. But perhaps because he's reminded that the money was rubber-banded, he changed his story and said he just tossed it in the woods.

He came in here and lied to you all about who videotaped this safe opening in Oreese Stevenson's house.

He had told the agents repeatedly it wasn't him. Then he came in here and said it was. And then he said it was Detective Taylor. That's two different stories from one witness.

Whatever Maurice Ward thought the Government needed, he is willing to say.

Raytawn Benjamin -- now, the Government closed. And in their closing argument they said, Well, you heard from every one of the complainants for each of these incidents.

Ladies and gentlemen, that's not true. Maurice Ward is the only person that testified about an incident with Raytawn Benjamin. Maurice Ward said Raytawn Benjamin threw a gun into a pickup truck as Detective Taylor and Detective Ward
were chasing after him, that they both searched him. There was a cell phone and money and only, according to Detective Ward, no other evidence that Raytawn Benjamin had been arrested, that Raytawn Benjamin had run, that Raytawn Benjamin had a gun, that Raytawn Benjamin had money, that Raytawn Benjamin had a cell phone. There's no evidence. Only Maurice Ward.

The Government claims that every complainant came in here. Not Raytawn Benjamin. No police report, no pictures, no gun even to show any corroboration with what Maurice Ward said.

There's another incident that Maurice Ward talked about. He claimed that there's a guy named Paul Jones, also known as Pedro, that he saw selling drugs in his friend's neighborhood, repeatedly selling drugs on the street, hand-to-hand sales. Based on that, he starts investigating. He says he goes and pulls trash from the backyard of Mr. Jones' house.

Based on that, they get a search warrant. They go back and check it out. And it's his testimony that he then figures out that he didn't pull the trash from the right location.

And what does Maurice Ward do when he comes in here and testifies? Blames it on Detective Taylor.

Oh, well, I knew of the mistake, and I told Detective Taylor. But he said, No. Let's go ahead and do it anyway.

So there's apparently a search warrant. There's apparently an arrest of Paul Jones, guns and drugs recovered. This is something Maurice Ward claimed in November for the first time. He didn't volunteer this to the Government in his proffer sessions when he started cooperating. They came to him to ask him about this, and that's the story he comes up with.

So this is not like Maurice Ward wants to get all this off the weight of his chest. He's coming up with a story when approached about a situation.

And the Government is telling you, Well, we brought in all these witnesses. There's nothing to corroborate Maurice Ward's testimony about what happened with Paul Jones or even if Paul Jones was arrested. Think about that. You only have Maurice Ward's words.

Sometime in the spring of 2015, Maurice Ward was the first witness that claimed $\$ 20,000$ and 25 pounds of weed were stolen from these two guys at Belvedere Towers. His story is Hendrix isn't there that day, but part of the story of that day is that we have to meet up with him. And that's why he's meeting up with us in the woods afterwards, because he either left his wallet or his keys at work; I don't remember which, but Ward is trying to put Hendrix there, 'cause he's trying to take the weight off himself and point at other people.

But the problem is Hendrix says he never met up at the woods. There was never a time when he was off work and he had
to go back and get his wallet and his keys. Never.
So not -- not that day. Hendrix says never.
About the same incident, Donald Stepp, again, telling you what Wayne Jenkins told him -- well, no. First, he claims -- it was his testimony under oath, I recall, was -first, he says it's 60 pounds of marijuana. It was 60 pounds of marijuana that Sergeant Jenkins brought him to sell. Two questions later, it becomes 30 pounds of marijuana.

Then you had his little story about, well, when Jenkins first showed up with this big bag, the big bag that Stepp apparently leaves at his house and identifies as the bag the marijuana came in, which conveniently is at Stepp's house so that he has something to help himself with, he says, Yeah, that's the bag. He says when Jenkins showed up, first he told me it was cocaine.

Do you remember practically the twinkle in his eye? This is a cocaine user and a cocaine seller. And he told you that was his drug. That was his drug of choice when he was using. When he got out of prison after many years in prison because of his using drugs, he started to sell cocaine. He had the clientele. He had the business. He has this international connections that over years he has cultivated.

So when -- even when testifying here and thinking back to that day of Jenkins telling him, Oh, it's this huge amount of cocaine, he was pretty excited.

And that's who Donald Stepp is, ladies and gentlemen. He's the guy that got out of prison and started selling, according to him, massive amounts of cocaine. And his testimony was, Yeah, I was using a little and I was buying -and I was selling a little. A little of both.

We know that Maurice Ward is lying about these incidents. And he's lying about these incidents because the only time when he gets immunity is when the Government has confronted him about it.

When he can finger someone here at trial, then he's going to help himself, ladies and gentlemen. When he's just getting himself into more problems, that's not helping himself.

So when confronted here about accusations involving him, Jenkins, and Hendrix in which they're being sued for 1 point million dollars [sic], he says nothing happened. There was nothing wrong with that one.

There's nothing wrong with that one 'cause he can't point the finger at Detective Taylor (indicating).

A man walks out of a house with a backpack and gets into a van on Jonquil Avenue --

MR. WISE: Your Honor, may we approach?
THE COURT: All right.
(Bench conference on the record:
MR. WISE: I thought this was the issue Mr. Purpura just raised, transcripts.

MS. WICKS: This is Oreese Stevenson's grand jury. This is admitted as a defense exhibit.

MR. WISE: It's not admitted as a defense exhibit. It's for ID only.

MS. WICKS: No. It's a prior inconsistent statement under oath.

MR. WISE: No, no. It was not admitted in evidence. It's not in the -- we've looked at the exhibits. It's not admitted. It's not evidence.

THE COURT: Okay.
MS. WICKS: A prior inconsistent statement under oath comes in as substantive evidence, so --

MR. WISE: But when he was on the stand, this was not introduced into evidence.
tHE COURT: Ms. Moyé, what exhibit number is it?
Can you tell me the exhibit number.
MS. WICKS: I think it's 3, but I --
THE COURT: Do you have anything for Defense Exhibit 3 as to whether --

MS. WICKS: It's grand jury of Oreese Stevenson.
THE COURT: -- it was or was not admitted?
THE CLERK: Taylor No. 3 was the sentencing guideline matrix, and that was ID only.

Grand jury testimony was Exhibit No. 5, and that was ID only.

be admitted. It's not going to go back. Just go ahead and refer to it --

MS. WICKS: I'll just refer to it as what
Mr. Whiting --
MR. WISE: It just has to be taken down, and you can argue about it if they're going to be thinking this is evidence by seeing it on the screen.

MS. WICKS: I think $I$ can clear it up that that's what he was confronted with and what he had said in the grand jury, and I'll just leave it at that.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MS. WICKS: Okay.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
MS. WICKS: A man walks out of a house with a backpack and gets into a van on Jonquil Avenue. Officers go to talk to the gentleman in the van. And according to the testimony, then they go talk to the residents outside of the house on Jonquil.

Oreese Stevenson, in the grand jury -- and he was confronted with this here in court -- after here in court, he could not recall how many kilos of cocaine were in this house.

In the grand jury, when asked, "What else do you have in the house?" he said, "Drugs."
"How much drugs?"
He first said, "8 kilos."
Then later on when asked, "And was there also, in
addition to the 8 kilos, was there additional cocaine in, like, an Igloo Cooler?" in the grand jury he said, "Yeah."
"Question: So what was the total? More like closer to 10 kilograms, maybe?
"Yeah."
Oreese Stevenson tries to claim personal knowledge of what's inside an oatmeal bag inside a backpack being carried by the guy that gets into his van. He is claiming and keeps trying to claim that there's $\$ 21,500$ in that box. Only you know now, because he's been confronted on the stand, that he didn't have personal knowledge. He hadn't counted that money. He didn't know what was in that box.

What we do know is that $\$ 15,000$ was seized that day and submitted by Detective Taylor.

No one came here and testified -- not Mr. Brown, not anyone else, none of the detectives said that there was any money taken from that box. The money in the box was submitted.

Then we have a little bit of a problem with the stories because Oreese Stevenson, according to the testimony of the detectives, he sits in the van and talks to Detective Hendrix and Detective Jenkins, and he's trying to get out of the situation he's in. He's in a van with a lot of money, $\$ 15,000$, at least, according to him, 21,500 , and a half a kilo of cocaine.

The detectives -- Detective Ward's claim is: We made
up -- that he made up the fact that Oreese Stevenson threw it in the back, and that's how they knew to go look for the cocaine.

But according to Hendrix, that's not a made-up fact. Oreese Stevenson, seeing that the police are stopping them, is trying to get away from the cocaine, throwing it in the back of the car.

The detectives are saying Oreese Stevenson provided information and is trying to call his supplier right there that day in the afternoon in the van.

So Oreese Stevenson was trying to help himself out right there, right then.

Yeah. He said -- he testified he didn't want to come to court. He didn't want to come testify. He doesn't -wouldn't want to admit that. And he's not admitting that, although there are some officers claiming he did that.

Detective Hendrix is in the van with him. Detective Ward is outside with the other guy when Oreese Stevenson is in the van. What would they be doing in the van?

But he's trying to cut himself a break on that very day, and he's still trying to cut himself a break.

No one else is saying there were $\$ 300,000$ in that house except Oreese Stevenson, who's also trying to claim there's $\$ 21,500$ in that oatmeal box. That's not his money.

That belonged to Mr. Brown.
However much money was in that box, Mr. Brown knew. Mr. Brown didn't come in here and say there was twenty-one five. But Oreese Stevenson is trying to say it's close to 300,000 in his house, between the safe and the bags of money around the house, and eight or ten, depending on what time he's asked the question, kilos of cocaine.

And the Government brought in Ms. Holloway to testify. Ms. Holloway, who has no knowledge of $\$ 300,000$ being in the house, has no knowledge of any cocaine, much less 10 kilos of cocaine in the house. Ms. Holloway, who has no knowledge of multiple guns in her basement where her children live.

Oreese Stevenson was apparently lying to her about that. And then he came in here and lied to you all about that. He lied to the police on that day in the van. He was not claiming Heathfield Road. He did not want to be living at Heathfield Road with money, drugs, and guns. He gave them the Baker Street address.

They have apparently in their investigation of a large-scale drug transaction that they just intercepted, they're investigating and they get to the Heathfield address.

Again, Mr. Ward's version is that he -- the officers made up someone coming out of the back of the house and being in the alley. Only according to Donald stepp, the one thing that he has eyewitness testimony about is he sees this guy, the
teenager in the back the first time he goes through. And he's talking to Jenkins. And Jenkins says, Go through again. He goes through again, and the guy leaves.

Jenkins and Stepp are communicating that day because they're in that conspiracy that day. They're the ones trying to get the cocaine out of the house to sell. The other detectives don't even know what Jenkins is doing.

And according to the numbers that Ward and Hendrix are claiming, Wayne Jenkins, by -- between Oreese Stevenson's calculations and their calculations, Sergeant Jenkins stole, I think if I get the math right, there's $\$ 100,000$ that was placed into evidence. That was the testimony of HIDTA Officer Glover. There's $\$ 100,000$ that's seized by the State of Maryland. So that's not something that they can blame and point the finger at Detective Taylor for.

But according to Oreese Stevenson, there's another $\$ 200,000$ in that house between the safe and the bags of money that he had conveniently packaged -- for what? Apparently more drug dealing that Ms. Holloway is not aware of, although she's on the phone with him and he's telling -- he's the first person she's -- she is the first person he's telling about, Hey, that's not all the money.

So for a woman that comes in here and claims she knows nothing about that, wouldn't she have been a little surprised that there's money in bags all over the house and a safe in the
basement full of money?
Maurice Ward claims that the throw was made up.
Maurice Ward claims that the person in the back of the house is made up. And then Oreese Stevenson can't keep straight what story he's trying to tell. And he didn't complain to the authorities when this all happened. He got immunity, like many of the witnesses, when they came to him. And he -- his case got dismissed in court without him having to say anything.

Donald Stepp, who's mysteriously called by Wayne Jenkins because of the little side business, Jenkins tells him -- and this is how Jenkins gets into Stepp's mind. You can sort of see the scenarios here.

Sergeant Jenkins telling him he has a guy in his office and there's a quarter of a million dollars on top of a small safe and there are half a million dollars of cash in that safe. And 6 feet to the left of the safe, Sergeant Jenkins tells Donald Stepp there's a closet that contains 10 kilos of cocaine. That's what gets Donald Stepp going on that day.

He tells him, Donnie, you're looking at a total take of $\$ 1.75$ million on this. He says, If you get into that house, we can split it.

On that day, Donald Stepp says, Oh, yeah, I believed him. He sees a young teenage boy when he shows up with a large, empty bag. And after a second pass down the alley, that guy leaves.

| concerned that there may be somebody there. But he still <br> meets -- not right outside. As I recall, his testimony was a |
| :---: |
| block or so away, Jenkins meets him to give him the 2 kilos of |
| cocaine, because Jenkins is hiding this fr |
| Jenkins is doing his own thing with Stepp. |
| The big risk assessor here, Donald Stepp, refuses to |
| go in the house. <br> And Wayne Jenkins is selling him these kilos of |
|  |  |
|  |
| 15,000, not a half kilo like Oreese Stevenson was selling for |
| \$15,000. Donald Stepp was getting a kilo for \$15,000 from |
| Wayne Jenkins.And apparently he -- Wayne Jenkins hides over $\$ 100,000$ |
|  |  |
|  |
|  |
| had close to \$300,000 in the house, " then that's Jenkins |
| putting over $\$ 100,000$ in his pocket alone. |
| Now, Ms. Holloway also claims to have been watching |
| the house till 4:00 or 5 o'clock in the morning, and she says |
| the officers were still there. And that really doesn't fit |
| with the testimony of the other officers that early in the |
| morning hours of the $23 r d$, they're at Detective Taylor's house |
| litting up the money. |
| So either the officers still had to be in the house |

till 4:00, 5 o'clock in the morning; or according to the Government's evidence, they're at Marcus Taylor's basement splitting up the money.

But either way, there's nothing to corroborate either version of this story. Wouldn't it make sense that this would be one point that there's four detectives' cell phones all in one place at Marcus Taylor's residence? That would be a great piece of evidence for you all to have to corroborate somebody's story. What time was it that they were at that house, if they were even at the house, if Marcus Taylor even got anything from this?

And if you have questions about that, you look to the Government, ladies and gentlemen.

If you have questions about why the witnesses to this somewhat simple allegation on one day of this case, why the witnesses' versions, the detectives, the civilians, why are their versions all so different, look to the Government, ladies and gentlemen.

Why are there no drugs? There were apparently 8 kilograms of drugs recovered that day. Why did they not bring the drugs? Why is there no forensic analysis? Why is there no cell phone evidence?

Ladies and gentlemen, there's nothing to corroborate any of these stories.

And then let's think about -- yes, I'm showing you the
ankle.
Sorry, Bill.
If I could figure out how to just show you the date, I would. But this is a pretty short point, ladies and gentlemen.

The Government introduces Exhibit DA-9 with a date on the Exhibit of August 9th, 2016. The problem with this now is that you know that's not the correct date, because the file in Donald Stepp's phone was created on August 8th, 2006--2016.

I'll just move on so you don't have to look at it anymore. But you'll have that back there with you, the defense exhibit that shows the date that that file was created, which was August 8th, 2016, at 10:29 p.m.

Why does that matter? That matters because the Government labeled an exhibit as August 9th, 2016. And they labeled an exhibit as of August -- for August 9th, 2016. And you have Donald Stepp -- again, another person telling you what Wayne Jenkins told him.

And what did Wayne Jenkins tell you through Donald Stepp about that day? That Detective Taylor messed up the investigation; that Detective Taylor didn't do what he was supposed to do. He was supposed to go sit on the other house. And the lady came out of the house with the big two huge bags, and Detective Taylor messed up that investigation.

How is that possible? How is that possible?
You learned later in the trial from records that
appear to be reliable that in April of 2006 , Marcus Taylor made a reservation to go to the Dominican Republic on United Airlines. And the records show that he went to the Dominican Republic on the 5th and came back the late evening on the 9th.

So Wayne Jenkins, from the records, it appears, is lying to Donald Stepp. Marcus Taylor had nothing to do with that incident. Marcus Taylor wasn't there. And the records show that. The Government brought in bank records of Marcus Taylor's to show that he rented a car in the Dominican Republic.

It matters when the Government labels an exhibit August 9th and the actual evidence that it's relying on is August 8th. That's a problem, ladies and gentlemen.

These pictures of Donald Stepp's -- we won't go back and look at the picture. But he's taking a picture I guess to memorialize, I had to jump over -- crawl over a fence; but I'm moti -- he's motivated. And he told you he was motivated by 10 kilos of cocaine and $\$ 220,000$ that he thought was in the storage unit of Police Officer Thomas Wilson's brother-in-law, is what he's told by Wayne Jenkins, 'cause Wayne Jenkins is making up some pretty good stories to motivate Donald Stepp.

And then when there isn't 10 kilos and there is not $\$ 220,000$, Wayne Jenkins has to make up another story. And he -- back then he puts the finger on Marcus Taylor.

Marcus Taylor messed up this investigation, the stupid guy, according to Gondo and Rayam, which is how they referred to Detective Taylor on some of the recordings you listen to. Detective Taylor wasn't messing up that investigation at all, as Wayne Jenkins claimed to Donald Stepp.

Now, these United records and the bank records appear to be easy to explain, and Special Agent Jensen didn't have a problem explaining those records to you.

But when you have Special Agent Jensen testifying about records that are from BPD and ADP that she can't explain and you have questions, that's a reason to doubt, because those records aren't explaining everything to you, because they don't have the person here that can answer all of your questions.

If you have questions about these records, you look to the Government because they bear the burden, as you were instructed.

VMI, what is that? Where does it show up? What does it mean? Vacation days, Detective Jensen wasn't quite sure how that's reflected. These are individuals that are salaried employees, and so they're getting paid. And then the real issue is: Is it vacation time? Is it work time? But she wasn't sure about how that's reflected in the records.

If you look at the records carefully, the BPD overtime records have former GTTF Sergeant Allers signing off in July 2016.

it was 25 pounds and $\$ 20,000$.
So the facts there don't even match up. The testimony was Belvedere Towers was a place that they went by and checked often. So the 30 pounds that came from Belvedere Towers could have been a completely different incident. It doesn't seem to match up, ladies and gentlemen.

Also, what doesn't seem to match up is the testimony of DEA Special Agent Todd Edwards, September 1st, 2016.

He says he is providing surveillance over a target closer to D.C., in the Upper Marlboro, near a church and a trucking area. And he says he's sitting in his car on surveillance, not trying to really be noticed, since he's watching somebody.

And he claims that he sees this other car pull up, and he thinks it's a police car because it's tinted and that Wayne Jenkins hops out and comes over, knocks on his window, and talks to him. That's Detective -- I'm sorry, Special Agent Todd Edwards' version.

According to Maurice Ward, Taylor is in that car, and the agent comes over to Jenkins' car. And the concern was the guy that they were targeting for those game of telephone that we're playing of what Jenkins then told Ward and then Ward repeats and tell you, Jenkins claiming that the agent told them that it was an informant and that he was there to make a buy. And so that's why they had to get out of there.

Ward claims Jensen [sic] went over and knocked on the agent's car, which I guess that's another lie of Detective Jenkins. Or did that really even happen? And who was there?

Special Agent Todd Edwards can't see whether there was anyone else in that car.

And then we hear from Gondo that Hendrix was there. Oh, no. He told the agents when he proffered last year that he had been told it was Hendrix, Marcus Taylor, and Jenkins that were together that day. And then he told you he never told the agent that. And then the agent came in here and testified, yes, he had said that.

But either way, what we do know is Special Agent Todd Edwards didn't see anyone else in that car. He interacted with Jenkins that day, and Jenkins left. And there's no evidence to corroborate whether Marcus Taylor was there, whether Marcus Taylor and Hendrix was there, or was Donald Stepp perhaps in the car with Jenkins that day? Because that's the guy that was doing those kind of things with Jenkins back then.

Sergio Summerville came in here and testified, and he pointed to -- this is the lower right-hand picture on the first page of the Government's exhibit. There's four photographs. In the lower right-hand picture, this is that picture, and Sergio Summerville pointed to the black officer on the left
side, which is Rayam, and said, He and the two white officers went into the storage unit.

According to Rayam, Sergio Summerville also gave consent and provided a key to his locker.

Now, that may or may not be true, but
Sergio Summerville certainly didn't have a reason to lie about who are the officers that went into the storage unit. And he was very clear: It was this guy, the guy on the left-hand side, Detective Rayam. And he and the two white officers went in the storage unit.

When those officers came back, that officer, which is Detective Rayam, hands him the sock back.

Detective Taylor was on the scene that night. He's in the picture right there. He would have had no reason to even know if the sock was lighter when Detective Rayam gave it back to Summerville.

The sock is taken out of the storage unit where Detective Taylor is not, where Detective Rayam is. Detective Rayam comes out to the area that you see in the video where Sergio Summerville was with Detective Taylor. And they're at the back of the car, and he puts the sock down and gives it back.

Now, of course, like many of the witnesses,
Sergio Summerville tells the FBI, Well, it was like around seventeen or nineteen thousand -- I mean, I'm sorry, seventeen
or nineteen hundred, and I only got twelve hundred back. And then by the time he gets to the grand jury, he says, No. It was more like 4800, and I only got 2800 back.

But what is interesting about this again is the greed. Detective Rayam is very motivated by greed, and the Government has a recording. And it's Microphone Recording Session 89 that they played for you, and they have a transcript.

But I'll ask you to listen to it again. And what you will hear is some unintelligible that does not sound like he had to give Taylor anything.

But what Detective Rayam did admit was he lied on there. He lied to Gondo that night, complaining that he had to give $\$ 100$ to Jenkins. According to Sergio Summerville, Rayam stole $\$ 2,000$. And now he's complaining in the car with Gondo about the fact that he had to give Wayne Jenkins $\$ 100$.

But what do we find out from Detective Gondo on the stand? He didn't even give Jenkins any money.

So let's listen to it.
(Audio was played but not reported.)
MS. WICKS: There's no credible evidence that Marcus Roosevelt Taylor took money that night or even was aware that the sock that was returned to Mr . Summerville was lighter than when Rayam took it out of the storage unit. And this is the very greedy Rayam, who admitted taking Ronald Hamilton's money when no one else was looking. He took $\$ 3,000$ out of

Ronald Hamilton's pocket and put it in his and had no compunction about not sharing that with the other officers. No compunction whatsoever.

Ladies and gentlemen, there's no forensic evidence that Marcus Roosevelt Taylor conspired with anyone, robbed anyone. No fingerprints of his on any of this evidence.

We don't even have drugs or money -- or the money that was submitted to Evidence Control in these incidents that the Government's talking about relate to him. His DNA is not on something to make you believe he had something to do with hurting anyone, taking anything. No hair or fibers linking Mr. Taylor to anything.

The type of evidence from this FBI investigation started with records, and you have a lot of the records -- and you will have a lot of the records with you while you're deliberating.

But the records can only say so much.
When you sit down and look at the evidence, you will have questions that aren't answered by the records. These records from Baltimore Police Department and ADP, these overtime slips, who is changing or correcting the information on the overtime forms? Who is even filling out the forms? Who is signing off on these forms?

The testimony was, in terms of whether or not someone's present and whether or not someone's getting overtime
is the roll book. There's not -- you don't have any pages from the roll book where the officers are working.

These are salaried individuals, and -- but someone's changing on these forms by a minute, by an hour the time that someone's working. We don't know who's signing -- we don't know whose signature it is on the form. The Government is claiming for a lot of these it's not the person it purports to be, but there's no testimony about who is it that's doing the signing.

Who signs off on the overtime? Why is Allers, who's left GTTF, signing off on the overtime? Or is someone using that? Is someone else faking his signature? We don't know.

The Government listened to jail recordings of people after they were arrested. Oreese Stevenson told you he was on the phone talking to his girlfriend and complaining when she's telling him that the money is gone, the money that she apparently didn't know about before.

Just because he said he said that on the calls, can you believe that he did that?

Witnesses -- the Government would get the records, the jail recordings, police reports from incidents. And then they started interviewing witnesses. And they brought in a parade of witnesses that are criminals with immunity. Every single one of them testified with immunity. Even Ronald Hamilton, who claims during the period of time he was not a drug dealer.

That's his claim to you, ladies and gentlemen.
But the criminals with immunity is symbolic of the glaring flaw in the Government's case. Not one person was truly honest with them, and not one person was truly honest with you.

Each of these drug dealers lied to agents. And then after they took an oath to tell the truth before you, to tell the truth, each of them lied under oath repeatedly.

So, yes, ladies and gentlemen, it matters when Ronald Hamilton claims he's not a drug dealer. It's not about whether or not he is a drug dealer. It's about the fact that he had the audacity to come in here and lie to you.

You saw the pictures of the house where he claims there's water damage. You saw the records and you'll have the records where he's claiming all of this income when he's trying to get his money back from Carroll County. And then he comes in here and tells you, Well, no, actually, I didn't get that $\$ 1100$. I would only get 10 percent.

You can't accept his testimony in the overwhelming evidence that he has a huge cash business that he laundered through his casino gambling. You have the records back there. Mr . Purpura brought them.

Huge amounts of cash that he's playing at the casino so he can launder his really large cash business, not the rental properties, not the cars. The drugs, ladies and gentlemen.

It is absolutely relevant to your consideration of that witness. Look at the credibility instructions. It is outrageous that the Government is telling you that doesn't matter. It matters, because if the witness that they're calling comes in here and lies to you about something, that's part of the calculus for whether or not you can credit what he's saying.

It is outrageous for the Government to think that you wouldn't consider that. That's why that evidence was presented to you, because he's been a drug dealer. Those convictions and the convictions of the other witnesses, you were instructed about that that affects the credibility. That's why that's relevant. That's why that's relevant, because the credibility of witnesses is incredibly important.

There's no way that Ronald Hamilton, on his alleged joint income of $\$ 130,000$, before taxes, would be able to afford a half-a-million-dollar home. No one's saying he doesn't deserve it. But the problem is he can't have it unless he's also selling drugs and laundering the money through the casinos and paying his mortgage. That's how he's getting it, ladies and gentlemen. That's why that's relevant.

Ronald Hamilton didn't just lie to you; he lied, first, to Carroll County about his income, and now his explanation of his income is another lie. So he just keeps
lying.
The testimony from the former detectives was that Ronald Hamilton offered up his supplier on that very day. When brought down to the barn and questioned, he gave up his drug supplier. And now he tries to come in here and testify and say he wasn't a drug dealer.

Jenkins wanted to keep Gondo and Rayam away from his squad when he first comes to GTTF because of their side business. He's aware of what Gondo's doing. He is aware of Gondo helping Kyle Wells, his childhood friend. He is aware of Gondo being mixed in and under investigation for a federal narcotics conspiracy.

The Government wants you to believe Wayne Jenkins selects the people that are on his squad. Do you think Wayne Jenkins wanted Rayam and Gondo on his squad if he's trying to keep them away from everyone else on his squad because of what they're doing?

Talk about deplorable and nauseating. The testimony of Rayam and Gondo. Rayam and Gondo each fingered each other for a murder. Rayam says Gondo says, "I laid a guy out," and that to Rayam means he killed somebody.

Gondo says Rayam admitted cold-blooded murder. When he stopped a guy and the guy was trying to get away, first Rayam lies and says the guy was going to hit his partner. That was not true. And the reality is what he says to Gondo is, "I
shot him because I didn't want to chase after him." Those are the -- two of the people that the Government brings to testify and for you to consider their credibility when they're pointing at each other for committing murders and neither one agrees.

They tried to get their stories straight at
Howard County Detention Center before they got separated. And even in there, it's somewhat ironic. Rayam is letting Gondo know, Hey, the Government might ask you about a murder.

Is Rayam warning him that he may -- the Government may ask Gondo about Rayam's murder? Or is Rayam warning him because they may ask Gondo about Gondo's murder?

Either way, he's still trying to look out for his buddy.

The testimony was that each of them lied multiple times going back a decade.

Rayam conspired with his fellow officer, Michael Sylvester, his police academy buddy. They -- he lied in an investigation of Michael Sylvester. They took drugs.

And Rayam admitted, even back then, the now police officer who's his cousin, I believe, in Philadelphia, that he sold drugs through in present day, that was who he and Michael Sylvester got selling marijuana that they seized and stole a decade ago.

This is Rayam, who's off the force for two years. But then Baltimore police brings him back and sticks him on the

Gun Trace Task Force.
And the Government wants you to believe their testimony. They operated outside of the unit. They committed their own armed home invasion. Rayam pointed his gun at a woman in that house. And he thinks he probably said, I could kill you.

But they've been rewarded. Like many of the witnesses, they have been rewarded because they're going to get credit for coming into this courthouse and testifying before you and lying and lying and pointing the finger at Marcus Taylor.

For their purposes, the truth is not the actual truth; rather, it's what they want you to believe so that at the end of the day, they can go home sooner.

They are facing 20 and 60 years. And they want to go home, ladies and gentlemen. So they have to come up with something, because telling on themselves isn't going to do it.

They need you to believe that Marcus Roosevelt Taylor has done something wrong, because that would serve the Government's needs. And they need the Government to need them.

However, as you know, there's no immunity if the Government thinks either one of them are lying. How do we reconcile that fact with the fact that each of them told the federal agents that the other one was responsible for murder? And even after all of that, they are here testifying under
oath. Are they taking the oath? Are they saying, No, not really, pinky finger (indicating), so I don't have to tell the truth.

Witness 1, Rayam, says Witness 2, Gondo, committed the murder.

Witness 2, Gondo, says witness -- that he never did that. So one of them is lying about either one of these murders.

Gondo talked about specific conversations he had had with Rayam in which he literally admitted to murder. And yet Rayam testifies in front of you, talking about how he has a clean conscience now.

A clean conscience? Ladies and gentlemen, that's a witness with blood on his hands. His hands are dirty. His hands are filthy. He doesn't have a clean conscience.

But no one wants you to actually focus on that. To me, this is the most glowing example of what I'm talking about: The Government turning a blind eye, relying on the uncorroborated and untruthful testimony of professional liars just to convict one man (indicating), Marcus Roosevelt Taylor, the man who is the youngest, the man who's inexperienced, and the one who is working in the unit.

And you heard from the other young officer that was in the unit that didn't see anything going on. And the Government, because these other people are coming in here and
pointing the finger at Detective Taylor (indicating), they want you to believe that he was part of it.

You heard evidence from the wiretap of Gondo's two phones and his Baltimore police car. Marcus Taylor is not on the wiretap, and he's not inside the police car.

One day you hear a recording where he's outside of the car, and he's the one in the unit that's checking on the guy in the crash. And at one point in that recording he says, We could have just gotten out.

He's the one that checked on that person, ladies and gentlemen.

Read again, please, each of the Government's instructions on evaluating the credibility of witnesses, the credibility of drug users, the credibility of people with plea agreements, co-conspirators, cooperators, people with criminal convictions, and witnesses with immunity.

These people, every single one of these witnesses that the Government wants you to rely on, has baggage, suitcases of reasons of why they are biased, biased against one of the defendants, biased for the Government because they're trying to get something from the Government, biased because they don't want to get charged with that murder, biased because they got immunity for what they said to the agents and what they testify about in this Court.

And with this bias, they know that if they in some way
finger Marcus Roosevelt Taylor (indicating), they can get out of jail. They can go home. Maybe they can get their drug money back. They're certainly getting a break on what they did, selling kilos of cocaine and heroin on the streets of Baltimore.

All of these witnesses have been around the block, in the streets, and they don't want to go to jail and they don't want to stay in jail. They want to come home. And they know that the Government gives benefits for information and assists the people that are providing information in this investigation.

Corroboration of each witness's testimony would be independent evidence, ladies and gentlemen, that establishes what the witness is saying. Repetition by others is not corroboration.

Evidence has shown that their own stories of each witness do not even corroborate each other. Are these stories the truth or are they lies, ladies and gentlemen? How can you be sure? I would submit you simply cannot.

There is reasonable doubt all over this case. It walked in the front door. It walked in the side door. It walked in the back door (indicating).

The witnesses argued with me, and they argued with the other lawyers.

I would ask you to consider, when making the decision
in this case, an important decision that you'd have to make in your own lives.

Would you trust the word of these witnesses to assist you in making that decision or even to assist you from getting from Point A to Point B?

If your travel agent was Jemell Remell -- Jemell Rayam and he promised you that he made a reservation on the airline for your ticket and that he debited your card for the price of the ticket and he told you that the price would be $\$ 700$, are you trusting that your bank statement will say $\$ 700$ was taken out of your account or maybe 2,000?

You get to the airport after -- hopefully you have a ticket. And you're checking in with Agent Donald Stepp. Yep, he tells you, you're checked in and your bags are marked to get to your destination. Or are you? Is he going to rifle through them and sell the contents?

Security Agent Gondo, don't worry; he checked everyone coming through security, and no one is traveling with anything dangerous on this flight.

Do you feel safe getting on that plane?
As you're sitting waiting to get on the plane, you look out the window at the gate where the plane is and you see the baggage handler, Shawn Whiting. And he's using his hydraulic press or hydraulic lift to put luggage on the plane.

When you get to the plane, you gate-check your child's

car seat with Maurice Ward. No problem. Or do you have a concern that it might not make it there?

When he shows up, might it be a little lighter?
Pilot Oreese Stevenson is -- you're sitting on the plane, and Pilot Oreese Stevenson is calling the tower to get clearance for takeoff, or is he? His co-pilot says he is. His co-pilot, Hendrix, says he called. He called.

Oreese Stevenson says, No, that's not correct.
If these are the people to get you from Point A to Point $B$ on a plane, would you make different plans? You would, ladies and gentlemen. And, therefore, I submit you cannot convict Marcus Roosevelt Taylor on this evidence.

Mr. Taylor has a right to ask questions in this trial. He has a right to ask questions that will help you assess the credibility of the witnesses. And the Government seemed to make fun or light of that in their closing argument.

But when you look at the instructions, I'll submit that's what the defense lawyers did here for you, help you get the information that you need to check out these witnesses and assess their credibility.

The Government has not proven beyond a reasonable doubt that Mr. Taylor did anything. There's no evidence of a single conspiracy. There's no evidence that he attempted to conspire. And he simply did not rob anyone.

With this wishy-washy, "Well, I didn't sign, but
somebody did," you cannot convict Mr. Taylor on the evidence brought in this courtroom.

There's many reasons to doubt, ladies and gentlemen. If you do not believe that a witness said -- if you do not believe something that a witness said -- and you have heard many examples of the trial of what you cannot believe and reasons why you cannot believe the witnesses, if believing two witnesses gives you two different versions of the events, if believing a witness or trying to believe a witness gives you two or three multiple versions.

You sat there very patiently and listened to all of this. And Mr. Taylor and I thank you for that. You have been patient for the time, and I'm sure you're excited to finally get to talk about it and to examine the evidence.

Your doubt about the evidence may not be your neighbor's doubt, but listen to each of you and listen to it all and consider the evidence.

The Government argues last because they bear the burden of proof in this case, ladies and gentlemen. Hold them to that burden. That's your job.

You simply cannot convict Mr. Taylor on the evidence that was brought into this courtroom.

A doubt that gives you a pause is enough to say that the Government has not proved their case.

The Government gets the chance to argue last. I can't
make an argument after the Government. Please consider when you deliberate what arguments Mr. Nieto and I would have made to what the Government argues now.

On March 1st, 2017, Detective Marcus Roosevelt Taylor was arrested and he fell into this nightmare. He is sitting before you innocent.

The Government's so-called evidence cannot take that away from him. You have listened so carefully. We ask you -we implore you to weigh this evidence and return the correct verdict. And the correct verdict for Marcus Roosevelt Taylor is not guilty.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Ms. Wicks.
We'll take a short break.
(Jury left the courtroom at 11:28 a.m.)
(Recess taken.)
THE COURT: You can all be seated. I'll see counsel at the bench briefly.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: So I just wanted to tell you so you wouldn't be -- I just wanted to tell you so you wouldn't be surprised when I get to the beginning of what I'm going to say and I mention that counsel's argument is not evidence and, you know, which there's no transcripts of testimony in evidence and your recollection controls, I am also going to mention that
counsel may have addressed issues related to the elements of the offense, witness credibility, definitions such as
"reasonable doubt." And I will just remind you all that, again, it is the written instructions controlling.

MR. WISE: We are going to ask to refer to that. The argument that it's a pause is obviously not what the law --

THE COURT: Right. It's not correct.
MR. WISE: -- is not correct.
THE COURT: Right.
MR. WISE: And so we would actually ask that if the Court could read again from the instructions on Page 10 that the Government's burden is not to prove guilt beyond a reasonable doubt but, rather, not to prove guilt beyond all possible doubt, which is what the pause suggests, but, rather, beyond a reasonable doubt.

THE COURT: I'm not going to re-read that, but I will tell them that they need to look at the instructions and follow the instructions.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. NIETO: Your Honor, since we're up here, I just wanted to remind the Court, because of the sort of state practice with the reporters, just a reminder to the alternates if they're released that they don't have to --

THE COURT: Yes. Thank you. I did make a note of that for myself at the end, that I'm going to tell them not --

MR. NIETO: It's partially for them and partially for the audience so they know sort of the rules and the way things work.

THE COURT: Okay.
MR. NIETO: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Thank you.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: All right. Are we ready for the jury?
MR. WISE: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.
(Jury entered the courtroom at 11:44 a.m.)
THE COURT: You can all be seated.
Okay. All right. The Government does have the opportunity for rebuttal.

Mr. Wise.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
Ms. Wicks just told you that in the course of this investigation, the United States went to the depths of the criminal justice system, and she's right.

And what we found in those depths were Daniel Thomas Hersl (indicating) and Marcus Roosevelt Taylor (indicating).

And she criticized the course of this case for putting on what she described as a parade of witnesses whose acts were deplorable and nauseating.

were locked up and left in their living room as they ran through their house, those people don't deserve to be believed. They don't deserve to be believed because either they committed crimes or they were committing crimes or ironically of all because they won't admit that they're committing crimes that the defense lawyers believe they're committing.

Now, this morning I'm going to try to address some of the arguments Ms. Wicks made and then Mr. Purpura made yesterday.

But at the end of the day, as Her Honor instructed you, arguments don't change facts. Arguments don't change evidence. And the evidence in this case is overwhelming.

Now, Ms. Wicks started by pointing to the jury instructions that talked about knowledge of the conspiracy, and she read to you here (indicating), or she put it on a PowerPoint slide.

Well, I want to draw your attention to what appears just above that, because she said Wayne Jenkins hid things. Well, that's true, because in a conspiracy, as you heard, a criminal agreement, members of the conspiracy hide things from one another. They steal dirty money when no one's looking. And as you were instructed, to become a member of the conspiracy, the defendant need not have known the identities of each and every other member, nor need he have been aware of all their activities.

Moreover, the defendant need not have been fully informed as to all of the details or the scope of the conspiracy in order to justify an inference of knowledge on his part.

And that is exactly what you saw and heard in this courtroom. Sometimes they stole together. Sometimes they stole on their own. Sometimes they kept it for themselves. Sometimes they split it up.

But what they all agreed to do was when the opportunity presented itself, when they had someone vulnerable, locked up, on the street or in their house, they took money from them, and that was robbery and it was extortion.

Ms. Taylor [sic] talked about the fact that you heard that Jenkins told members of the units to keep BB gun pistols in their car to plant on people in case there was a shooting or an accident and nothing was recovered.

And I was surprised she brought this up, because who is the one person, when he was arrested, that had one of these BB gun pistols in his car? Marcus Roosevelt Taylor. And what did Ms. Wicks say, well, he had taken it from someone. Well, if he took it from someone, why didn't he turn it in? And he didn't turn it in because Wayne Jenkins had told him to keep it to plant it if he needed to.

She then said why would Taylor tell Ward, in the Shawn Whiting search warrant, to look out for him, to cut him
in on the proceeds if there was a robbery?
Ward explained that to you. That was his
search warrant and that's how they operated. If it was your search warrant, you made the call about who got money and how much they got. The Hamiltons was Rayam's search warrant, and he took the money out of the house and split it up with his co-defendants.

She said that Ward couldn't keep his story straight about whether Taylor took the video after they broke into Oreese Stevenson's safe and then closed it up and broke it open again.

Well, you'll remember. You saw that video. We showed it to you. And who is in that video? Ward and Hendrix working on the safe and then they call down Jenkins. So who's taking the video? It's Taylor. It's Taylor.

Ms. Wicks talked about Oreese Stevenson, the man who could not have wanted to be anywhere else more. What was that man's incentive to lie to you and say he had $\$ 300,000$ in drug proceeds taken from him?

He wasn't in jail. He wasn't brought in here to beat charges. He wasn't trying to reduce a sentence. He testified he didn't want to come within a mile of this courthouse. He testified he wouldn't meet with us. He showed up because he had a subpoena and he told you what happened.

And when he was asked -- and he clearly didn't want to
have to say this in open court. He told you he had 10 kilograms of cocaine in the house. And Ms. Wicks tried to say, well, first he said 8, then he said 10. He said 10.

And you heard testimony about what was turned in, 8 kilograms.

And you heard testimony from Donald Stepp about where the other 2 kilograms went. Wayne Jenkins brought it out of the front door, met up with Donald Stepp, and gave it to him to sell.

So Oreese Stevenson told you the truth. He had 10 kilograms to start. Donald Stepp got 2 from Jenkins and 8 were left over.

Now, all throughout the argument -- and Mr. Purpura did this too -- there's this flip-flop that Ward and Hendrix and Gondo and Rayam, they're all liars except when they say something they like. And when they say something they like, then you should believe them.

You get to decide who is credible, using the skills and the common sense you bring into this courtroom.

And every one of those witnesses testified and testified about events that they engaged in with other people, both co-defendants and victims. And those witnesses were called. And other law enforcement agents, and those witnesses were called.

And to the extent there was evidence in wiretaps and
microphones, that evidence was presented. And together it paints a picture of what happened. And the evidence corroborates what happened in each of these instances.

You know, Ms. Wicks said that Oreese Stevenson was here to cut himself a break. A break for what? what did he get from coming in here and having to be questioned in Federal Court about his drug dealing? What did he get for claiming to have $\$ 200,000$ more than what was turned in?

That man, like the other victims in this case, had no incentive to lie.

And Ms. Wicks told you, believe Ward and Hendrix when they said they didn't take any money from the car stop. But don't believe them when they said they took money from the house, from Oreese Stevenson's safe.

Why on earth would they lie about taking $\$ 200,000--$ about cracking open a safe and taking hundreds of thousands of dollars out of it?

If it's not true, why on earth would they admit to it and come in here and describe it to you in strikingly similar detail?

Ms. Wicks criticized Mr. Ward in this episode for saying that he claims there was a person, the person in the back of the house was made up. But he testified he didn't actually go to the back of the house.

And then who does Ms. Wicks want you to believe that
there was someone in the back of the house? Donald Stepp; that's what he testified to.

So wait, wait. I thought Donald Stepp was a professional liar. Well, I guess he's not when he says something they like.

And at the end of the day does it matter if there was someone at the back of the house? Does it change the fact that money was stolen from Oreese Stevenson's basement?

I mean, listening to the way the defense attorneys flipped and flopped through the testimony of the witnesses in this case, it was like gum in hair. The more they tried to work it, the worse and more confusing it got.

We got shown that Stepp photo again. And what was the argument? That the date on the photo is a day later than the date it was taken. Well, Stepp testified -- and this is the evidence. Ms. Wicks says, well, the Government labeled the photo. There's no evidence the Government labeled the photo.

Stepp testified -- and if I talk about testimony, it's your memory that controls. And $I$ will talk about testimony, just like Ms. Wicks did and Mr. Purpura did and Mr. Hines did, but it's your memory that controls. So if I put up a slide or talk about testimony to you, that's my recollection, but yours is the only one that matters.

But my recollection is that Stepp testified that if the picture comes back into my phone through a different -- if

I put it in my favorites or something, it might have timestamped it differently.

But at the end of the day, what were they arguing for? Ms. Wicks was arguing that the photo was actually taken on August the 8th. That's the day the Dennis Armstrong storage locker was robbed.

So what does all that prove? It proves that when Donald Stepp tells you he climbed over that fence to rob that storage locker, it happened, 'cause he busted his ankle and he took a picture of it.

It proves that when the professional liars, as they call them, describe what happened at that storage unit, they're corroborated by independent evidence of what happened at that storage unit.

And I guess, I guess, 'cause it's hard to know, that the reason for all that time spent on that -- on the date on the picture was Ms. Taylor's [sic] argument that when Jenkins said Taylor had screwed up the search, that can't be right, because Taylor was in the Dominican Republic from the 5th to the 9th of August, and you saw evidence of that.

And so the argument boiled down to, well, he wasn't at the storage unit committing a crime. No, he was in the Dominican Republic committing time and attendance fraud. That's what you're left with. That's what you're left with.

And Ms. Wicks said, well, Special Agent Jensen, she
couldn't answer questions about what was on the ADP time sheet, even though she did. And she couldn't answer questions about Baltimore Police Department human resources practices. And somehow that means you shouldn't rely on the evidence of the time and attendance fraud.

Well, the Government called a witness from ADP, flew him up from Atlanta to testify about the $A D P$ eTIME ${ }^{\circledR}$ system. And the Government called Lieutenant Friel, the head of human resources from the Baltimore Police Department. And any questions that they may have had about ADP or the Baltimore Police Department they had the opportunity to ask.

Now, Ms. Wicks said that -- she talked about the instance where Donald Stepp used Jenkins and Taylor and a number of other Baltimore Police Department officers to provide security for his cartel drug-supply connection.

And she reminded you all that Stepp said that at that strip club, he was told, when he asked one of the other officers how Taylor was doing, that he had participated in the Belvedere Towers robbery where the marijuana and money was taken.

And she reminded you that, of course, Ward testified that Taylor was there with him and Jenkins when they took that marijuana and that money. And she argued, well, Ward said it was 25 pounds of marijuana, Stepp said it was 30 . So that means that they somehow made it up?

I mean, Maurice Ward has been in jail since March the 1st of 2017. He's never met Donald Stepp. What is the likelihood that Ward and Stepp would make up, independent of one another, that Taylor participated in the Belvedere Towers robbery?

And when you see the jury instructions, you will see that Belvedere Towers is not one of the racketeering acts. It's not in the indictment. So when Stepp testified he had the indictment, he had no independent way of knowing about Belvedere Towers.

What he did have, what he did have was the physical evidence that was put in front of him and Maurice Ward, the bag the marijuana came in.

And, again, without ever meeting, Maurice Ward, who sat in jail since March the 1st, and Donald Stepp independently testified that was the bag that was taken at Belvedere Towers and brought to Donald Stepp.

Now, Ms. Wicks asked the question: Who is filling out the overtime slips? That there's doubts on how because -because for specific slips or specific signatures, you didn't hear who that was or who did that.

Well, you heard testimony from all four co-defendants that this rampant, rampant fraud, that frankly the defense wants you to believe is even worse than what was charged -- and it may be, which doesn't make it right one bit. But the

co-defendants testified this rampant fraud was going on and they all filled out slips for each other.

And you heard wiretap calls where they're talking about that. Can you fill in a slip for me? Who's signing the slips? Ward's signing the slips. John Clewell won't do it. You heard all of that from the time when they didn't know anyone was listening.

So then the argument is, well, who signed the slip? Well, on that point, ladies and gentlemen, you know, your boss can't authorize fraud.

You hear in the jury instructions that there's something about good faith. Good-faith is a belief that what you're doing is right. If your boss signs a fraudulent overtime slip for time you knew you didn't work -- and this is not a complicated concept, ladies and gentlemen. We've got a 17-year veteran (indicating) and a veteran of the Baltimore Police Department (indicating). These are grown men. They know you get an honest day's wage for an honest day's work. It's not a complicated concept.

So if your boss is signing a fraudulent overtime slip, he or she isn't authorizing it. He or she is in on it.

And at the end of the day, at the end of the day, who got the paychecks? Who saw that they were getting thousands and thousands and thousands of dollars in overtime that they hadn't earned?

Daniel Hersl more than doubled his salary in 2015. He made more in overtime than he made in his base pay. And in 2016, he made almost $\$ 38,000$. Marcus Taylor, in 2015, made $\$ 43,000$ in overtime. And in 2016, a remarkably similar number of 44,000 .

They saw these paychecks. They knew they weren't working that much time. They can't hide behind the fact that you can't say who a scribble on a slip was. Of course you can't. That's how this thing was carried out. If somebody wanted to question a scribble on a slip, who are they going to ask?

Now, Ms. Wicks talked about how Ronald Hamilton had immunity. All the witnesses had immunity, and we brought that out on direct.

So just think through this for a second.
Ronald Hamilton had immunity. Whatever he said couldn't be used against him. So when he said he wasn't selling drugs, he had no incentive to lie.

If he didn't have immunity and he got on that stand and he had been selling drugs, he's probably not going to tell you about it.

So the witnesses were given immunity so that no matter what they did, they could honestly testify about it.

And so what did they attack him over again and again and again? This house in Carroll County. It was too big. It
was too nice for a man like that.
MS. WICKS: Objection.
THE COURT: This is just argument. It's not evidence. The jury's recollection of what everybody's -- counsel's argument has been will control.

MR. WISE: It was an ugly, ugly argument in an ugly case.

Mr. Hamilton wasn't on trial. Mr. Hamilton was never even charged. Like all the other witnesses in this case that came in here from the street, he had no incentive to lie to you about what happened.

And he was another example of where they want you to believe some of the things he says but not others, and they can't explain the difference.

So Ms. Wicks wants you to believe that when Ronald Hamilton said, "I had money taken," that was a lie.

Mr. Purpura told you that's true, 'cause Mr. Purpura told you Mr. Hersl got some of that money.

And what's the central question in this case? Is it how did Ronald Hamilton make his money? Or is it was Ronald Hamilton's money taken? That's the central -- that's the central question in all of these instances.

How the money was made doesn't matter. Whether it was made selling drugs or selling Girl Scout cookies, it doesn't matter.

And some of the witnesses said they sold drugs, and then they attacked them for that. And some of the witnesses said they didn't sell drugs, and then they attacked them because they wouldn't say they sold drugs.

But time and time again, the only issue that mattered, whether money was taken, was established. In some cases admitted to.

Dennis Armstrong said he was a drug dealer, and Mr. Purpura told you Mr. Hersl took some of his money. Mr. Armstrong wasn't lying about that.

You know, Ms. Wicks wants you to believe Rayam when he says he committed crimes with Michael Sylvester. That's one of the names that they elicited as they went through their cross-examination. And they did that -- they did it as much as they could. And they want you to believe all that. They want you to believe Rayam and Gondo and Ward and Hendrix when they tell you about the crimes they committed and who they committed them with except, except when they talk about the crimes they committed with this man, Daniel Hersl (indicating), and that man, Marcus Taylor (indicating). But they can't have it both ways.

If they want you to discount the testimony because these witnesses are robbers, you have to believe they committed these robberies.

But there's no consistent or even comprehensible
theory for why Rayam would admit to crimes he wasn't charged with, admit to crimes that they claim he didn't commit, implicate people that aren't even on trial, and then make up stories about the witness -- the defendants that are.

And, you know, you heard Gondo and Rayam and Ward and Hendrix. The four of those witnesses didn't say all these robberies I committed with Hersl (indicating) and Taylor (indicating) and more.

They were very clear about what they did with these men and what they didn't do, and that's an indicia of reliability. That shows you they're being credible.

Both counsel pointed this out again and again, that Rayam went into a house on Marnat Road and pointed a gun in a woman's face, and they want you to believe that, because they want you to hate Rayam and think he's a horrible person.

Well, how do we know he did that? 'Cause he told you he did that.

Again and again, both lawyers talked about the rewards the witnesses are going to get, and we went over this with each witness. The United States Attorney's Office doesn't sentence these witnesses; Judge Blake does.

We don't have get-out-of-jail-free cards like Mr. Purpura put up on the screen and we don't decide what their sentences are or if they serve one day less in jail because they cooperated with the Government. They have tried to
confuse you on that issue over and over and over again.
It's Judge Blake that will decide a just punishment for those men.

Now, turning to Mr. Purpura, I've mentioned a couple of the places they overlapped, and I may do that again.

But Mr. Purpura started his argument with this "thief, not a robber" argument, and that's all it is, that Daniel Hersl received stolen property or was a thief but didn't commit robberies or extortion.

And if you step back and think about this for a second, you know, imagine five people go into -- are going to rob a bank and one of them's going to drive the lookout car and four of them go inside. Three of them hold down the customers and one goes to the teller and says, "Put the money in the bag." And then they all come out and get in the car and go back to one of their houses to split up the money.

Well, under Mr. Purpura's theory, four of those five could say "I'm not a bank robber. I just received stolen property."

MR. PURPURA: Objection, respectfully, Your Honor. Just misleading.

THE COURT: I think I'll strike that particular characterization of Mr . Purpura's argument and just ask you to rephrase.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.

Let me use an example that Mr. Purpura gave you. I'll use two.

So Mr. Purpura called the Marnat Road robbery, the robbery where Gondo and Rayam went in -- or Rayam went in and Gondo waited outside with Gondo's childhood friend Wells and robbed that woman. He called that a real robbery.

Where was Gondo during the robbery? He was sitting outside. Under this receipt-of-stolen-property theory, Gondo didn't commit robbery.

MR. PURPURA: Again, respectfully object, Your Honor, again --

THE COURT: Do you want to come up to the bench.
MR. PURPURA: Certainly.
(Bench conference on the record:
MR. PURPURA: I apologize for objecting, but I believe this is misleading to the jury. The issue is his intent to the point of taking. If he would phrase it that way, then it's not misleading, and that's the point. That's the instruction. That's the argument. That's what I made from beginning to end. And what he's suggesting now is misleading.

MR. WISE: Your Honor, we have never commented -- we did not object when they characterized what we said, when they characterized what we were arguing. I think I should be allowed to argue -- which is all it is -- the logical implication of Mr . Purpura's argument using the same examples
he gave, and they can decide who they think is persuasive on that.

THE COURT: Right. But I think the point is that -and it was limited to two particular instances, as I recall, really, the money being admitted and Mr . Purpura offering this theory, that part of his point was that Mr. Hersl was not in on it at the beginning.

MR. WISE: But that's his argument. But that's his argument.

THE COURT: No. But now we're getting into a question of the legal elements as well. I mean, he's not arguing that if Mr. Hersl had gone and sat outside, as I understand it, that if Mr. Hersl had gone and sat outside at what he knew was going to be a robbery and was being the lookout and, you know, in that way facilitating what was clearly a robbery, but that was not his argument.

MR. WISE: Our argument is that Hersl did all those things.

THE COURT: That's fine.
MR. PURPURA: You can argue that, but you can't say, by analogy, here's -- you know, if you're sitting outside, the getaway driver of the bank robbery, you can't say it's a theft.

THE COURT: Why don't -- yes, why don't we just not try to characterize his legal argument, because I agree with him in terms of what his theory was. You can just argue it in
terms of what the elements are and what you think the evidence showed.

MR. WISE: Okay. Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Okay. We're just going to rephrase without reference to Mr . Purpura's argument.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
It was elicited on cross-examination, from both Gondo and Rayam, that Marnat Road was a robbery. And there is no difference between the robberies they admitted to committing at Marnat Road and the robberies they admitted to committing when they wore their police uniforms.

And the most striking example of that is the other robbery that both Gondo and Rayam were asked about by defense counsel, the birdseed store robbery. And that wasn't one of the racketeering acts in this case. It's not a robbery that is alleged to have involved these two defendants, although I'll note that if you believe the defense arguments, that Gondo and Rayam are making all this up, they certainly could have said they were there, but they didn't.

So what you heard about this birdseed store robbery was that Rayam went to someone's house and he sent in two people wearing Baltimore Police Department vests with badges and a gun, and those people believed their house was being
searched and they had money taken.
And that is exactly what happened to the Hamiltons. Exactly what happened to the Hamiltons. And that is why what happened to the Hamiltons was a robbery, not a receipt of stolen property; it was a robbery.

MR. PURPURA: Judge, again, I respectfully object.
THE COURT: That's based on his interpretation of the evidence.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
MR. WISE: And Mr. Purpura put up a slide when he started that had a summary of the elements of robbery and extortion, and then it also had some language about this receipt-of-stolen-property argument and theft. And you may have paused for a moment and said, wait a minute, $I$ don't remember the judge instructing us on receipt of stolen property or theft.

THE COURT: Counsel, come back up to the bench.
MR. PURPURA: Objection again.
THE COURT: Come back up to the bench.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: I kept it out of the instructions on the theory that he could argue that it was theft.

MR. WISE: But then he put the elements in his slide.
THE COURT: He put elements in his slide because he probably already had them there.

MR. WISE: And all I want -- I'm afraid they're confused. All I want to do is say it's not in the jury instructions and you should not consider those elements, 'cause if they wrote them down, they're going back now with an alternate set of instructions. So that's all I want to say.

MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, I think -- again, I requested an instruction on theft. The Court did not give me an instruction on theft. I believe, even without an instruction, $I$ can tell the jury generally what theft is, because that's a theory of our case, and that's what I did.

I'm not saying these are the Court's instructions. I'm not saying anything else except this is what theft is. That's -- I think I can say that. I think I did say that.

What's wrong now is for the Government to suggest that the Court did not give that instruction to the jury, because --

THE COURT: It's going to sound as though they can't consider his defense.

MR. WISE: But the problem is that slide had Page 28, here's the elements of robbery. Page 28 , here's the elements of theft. And then --

MR. PURPURA: No, no, no.
MR. WISE: Let me finish.
-- here's the elements of receipt of stolen property and here's the elements of theft. I think he was thinking you were going to give the instruction.

MR. PURPURA: No. I can show you the slide. The only -- the elements of robbery -- the Court just changed in the morning the robbery, and I put that on there with the page number on that portion. I can show you the slide. There was nothing on any other -- and that's -- I referenced the Court's instructions on that only, not the others, at all. And I can show you the slide on it if you want.

THE COURT: I think you're right. I'm going to -again, as I told you, I'm going to remind the jury, before I go into my conclusion, as far as the elements of offense, there's legal definitions, reasonable doubt, anything else they need to be guided by the instructions.

But I do not want to take away from Mr. Purpura the argument that I think he had a right to make that this amounted to -- I think you wound up arguing more receipt of stolen property than a theft.

MR. PURPURA: One incident.
MR. WISE: I understand. If I could just preview what I want to do so we don't have to keep coming back up.

I do want to say that those offenses are not charged in this case, and that's a factual point. I think there's going to be some confusion as to that if they're not told that.

I do want to say that it's not a defense to say that this is one crime and not -- and that that means that it's not this one. Something to that effect.

I mean, I'm on the fly now because I'm departing from what I intend, but I want to make that clear, that it's not a defense to say because he committed some uncharged crime, he's not guilty of this one. They have to decide if we've met the elements. And whether there are other uncharged crimes that he may have committed, the decision is whether we met the elements. Something like that.

THE COURT: You can say that, but the decision is whether -- you know, they have to make is whether you've satisfied all the elements.

MR. PURPURA: That's it.
THE COURT: But to say that -- I mean, if all
Mr. Hersl did was receive stolen property, he's not guilty.
MR. WISE: Agreed.
THE COURT: And that is an argument that Mr. Purpura had made, and I really don't think it would be a good idea for you to say anything that takes that defense away.

So it's not correct, necessarily, to say -- you know, it comes across as, well, he's guilty of receiving stolen property, that's not a defense. It is to the extent that that's all he's guilty of. You've got additional elements --

MR. WISE: Right.
THE COURT: -- that you have to prove.
I think -- I mean, if you want to say, look, as a result of robbery, yes, you know, you wind up with property
that was taken from someone, but here's why we've satisfied all -- you know, wasn't just possessing stolen property. It was this, this, this, and this, and here's how we proved it. That would be fine.

MR. WISE: Got it.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Okay. We're going to rephrase again, ladies and gentlemen.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
The defendants in this case are charged with robbery and extortion and overtime fraud, and you were instructed on the elements of those offenses and you've been presented with evidence that meets those elements and the argument -- and it's just an argument -- that that might also, or that after these robberies were committed, if you find they were committed, that that might also or could also be charged as the receipt of stolen property or theft doesn't change the fact that if you find the evidence meets the elements of robbery and extortion, then that's what these defendants did.

Now, Mr. Purpura talked about the dynamic under Sergeant Allers, and he said Allers didn't trust Hersl. And here again was an instance where, after calling Gondo and Rayam a liar 43 times and their court appearances and everything that we brought out on direct, then he flips and says, But believe
them when they say that. Believe them when they say that, that Allers didn't trust Hersl. And they testified to both of those facts.

And, again, if they were making it up, wouldn't they have said, Allers, we robbed people with Allers and Hersl? No. They told you what happened. They told you that Allers didn't trust Hersl, and so they didn't cut Hersl in when they committed robberies with Allers when he was the sergeant.

Now, keep in mind -- and we're going to talk about in a moment what happened when Jenkins became the sergeant -- keep in mind that Hersl had robbed people before he joined the GTTF, as you heard from Herbert Tate and Antonio Santiful and Jimmie Griffin.

But what changed when Sergeant Jenkins took over the GTTF? Well, the dynamics changed, because if Allers didn't trust Hersl, Jenkins certainly did.

And you saw that, you saw that from multiple witnesses. And, again, it's your memory that controls.

But Jenkins -- Gondo testified that Jenkins told him Hersl was straight, and Gondo explained that that meant that he had taken money before, Hersl had taken money before, which he had, and that if money comes up, you can basically split the money and he'll keep his mouth shut.

And Jenkins told Ward something similar. He said, "Danny's good. He's just like one of us."

And Ward and Hendrix and Taylor and Jenkins had been robbing people before they joined the GTTF, together in a conspiracy. They joined -- they committed the Oreese Stevenson robbery before they joined the GTTF. They committed the Belvedere Towers robbery before they joined the GTTF. So when Jenkins joins, this is what he tells someone he trusts.

And what happens in this new dynamic with Jenkins in charge, the very same day Jenkins becomes the sergeant? You heard Rayam testify about this search warrant on Culver Street where he and Hersl discussed how if either one of them found money, they would keep it and they would split it.

And, ladies and gentlemen, the conspiracy began on the SES unit between Jenkins and Ward and Hendrix and Taylor. And when those men came together to the GTTF, Gondo and Rayam and Hersl joined that conspiracy.

And actions speak louder than words. And you can see evidence of Hersl's role in the conspiracy again and again and again. Jenkins picked Hersl to go to Westminster with him and Gondo and Rayam. He sent Clewell away. Jenkins picked Hersl for the attempted robbery on July 25th of 2016.

On August the 8th, when Jenkins went to threaten that maintenance man at the storage facility, who did he take with him? He took Hersl. And that maintenance worker testified these two men said to him, "You look like someone who needs to get robbed."

And when Jenkins took Kostoplis for a ride -- and Mr. Purpura commented on how there were other people in the office that day -- who did Jenkins choose to propose to Kostoplis that he join the conspiracy? Jenkins chose Hersl.

And even after Hersl left the GTTF to go to Citywide Shootings, when Jenkins gets a crew together to talk about going back to rob Oreese Stevenson, what Mr. Hines referred to as the Twisted Tea proposal because of what Hersl and Jenkins were drinking, who does he pull together to propose that robbery? Hersl and Taylor and Ward.

And so on July 8th -- and Mr. Purpura talked a lot about Westminster, and it's a Racketeering Act, it's part of the conspiracy, and it's the Hobbs Act count, the subject of the Hobbs Act count for Defendant Hersl and the possession of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence count, so I'm going to talk about it with you.

Jenkins chose Rayam and Gondo and Hersl to go to Westminster. And why did he do that? Well, he did that, Rayam told you, because Hersl was part of the team. He testified that going into Westminster, they were going to take money if they found it. Hersl was part of the team. He was okay with taking money. And Clewell was not.

And, again, actions speak louder than words. Clewell was sent to the dud house, that's what Gondo testified to, where they didn't expect to find money.

And you heard again and again from these witnesses about the intent they had in their mind, that if they found a gun, they'd turn it in, because, frankly, then they could bang on the overtime. If they found drugs, they'd sometimes turn it in, although you heard from Donald Stepp that Sergeant Jenkins routinely did not. And if they found money, they would take some of it and submit some of it.

They'd submit some of it to BPD to cover their tracks, but this is what they had going into Westminster. This was the team: Hersl and Gondo and Jenkins and Rayam and not John Clewell.

And Gondo told you, just like Rayam did, that if they found money, their intent going into that robbery, if they found money, they would take it.

And even Mr. Hamilton, the victim, he knew what was going on. He told you as he sat handcuffed in the back of that police car, after having told the -- who he thought was the U.S. Attorney -- that's how Jenkins presented himself at the barn -- that he had tens of thousands of dollars in his house, knowing he didn't have any drugs, knowing he didn't have any guns, he said to his wife, "They're gettin' ready to rob. They're going to rob me." He knew what was going on.

And the idea that Detective Hersl didn't, a 17-year detective has all this swirling around him and a dim bulb doesn't go off after the conversation with Rayam at

Culver Street that if we find money, we're taking it? That as they dragged the Hamiltons from place to place to place on the hunt for their money, he didn't know exactly what was going on and what was going to happen is preposterous.

And now Mr. Purpura and Ms. Wicks want you to believe Ronald Hamilton is a liar; right? I mean, they both said this.

But as I've said, Mr. Purpura said Hamilton was telling the truth when he told you money was taken, which is the central issue in this case. And also he's telling the truth when he provides an alibi, or what Mr. Purpura, I guess, thinks is an alibi, for Daniel Hersl. 'Cause Mr. Purpura argued that Hamilton said -- and, again, it's your memory that controls -- that Hersl was with him in the living room.

Well, search your memories. And I would submit to you that what Mr. Hamilton said was he was brought downstairs. And he wasn't brought downstairs by Hersl. And he didn't know where Hersl went when he was brought downstairs.

And Gondo and Rayam testified that they went upstairs with Hersl, and that's where the money was found. And Gondo and Rayam testified they left Hersl in the room with the money when the $\$ 3,000$ went missing.

And Rayam testified he went to Sergeant Jenkins, the leader of the conspiracy, to ask him what to do. Again, they all went in with the intent that if they found money, they'd take it. That's a conspiracy.

claim not to have known? That he was actively participating in an ongoing robbery.

So the real cops get there, they recover the $\$ 50,000$, and then they leave. And what does Jenkins say to Hamilton? He says, "Well, if you were going to rob somebody, who would you rob?" And he says this in front of Gondo and Rayam and Hersl.

And both Mr. Hamilton testified that's what he said and the others testified as to what they said.

And then where did they go? Where did the team go after Westminster? Well, they went to dinner after robbing the Hamiltons. And what did they talk about? Jenkins told them, "We can do this three times a year, but don't get greedy." "We can rob people three times a year, but don't get greedy."

And then where'd the team go? Well, a couple weeks later you heard Jenkins, on a wiretap -- not witness testimony -- on a wiretap with the excitement in his voice talking about this condo where there's a $\$ 200,000$ Mercedes in front of it, and who does Jenkins want? "I only have Danny and you coming in. You need to call Rayam and say Jenkins needs you."

Why? Because they were going to rob that house if they found money, and that's what they discussed on the way, and Gondo told you that.

That Jenkins said there might be 40 to 50 thousand
dollars in it. And Hersl, who had just closed on his house five days earlier, said he could really use that money.

And where does the team go next? Well, in August they find Dennis Armstrong. This is another instance where Mr. Purpura said money was taken. So Dennis Armstrong's not lying.

He saw Hersl go into his glove compartment where he had $\$ 8,000$. After the paddy wagon left, Hersl took Rayam aside and they went off to 7-Eleven and the parking lot of a school and split up the money.

Now, Mr. Purpura spent a lot of time attacking Antonio Santiful and Herbert Tate. And you heard them and you listened to them and you know they had no reason to come in here and lie. All they got was a day off at work to be subjected to questioning in a Federal Courthouse. They didn't have charges they were trying to beat or sentences they were trying to reduce.

Mr. Purpura argued to you that Santiful's lying because he played a jail call where, the way Mr. Purpura understands it, it means he admitted that he had drugs when he was arrested.

Well, what other -- what else was played on that jail call? Mr. Santiful said he had $\$ 700$ taken.

So if Mr. Purpura wants you to rely on the jail call to believe that Antonio Santiful really had sold drugs that
day -- again, which doesn't matter -- then he has to accept that when he said "I had $\$ 700$ taken," he was telling the truth too. And, of course, only $\$ 216$ of that was turned in.

And how about Mr. Tate? Well, Mr. Tate had small bills on him and was in the wrong neighborhood. That's the argument why Mr. Tate was a liar.

You heard again and again that Mr. Hersl would take money, stick it in his pocket, stick it in his vest. Who knows what he eventually turned in to cover up the money he took.

And this whole idea of the wrong neighborhood, that makes Mr. Tate a drug dealer? It was the neighborhood he grew up in. It was the neighborhood he coached basketball in. It was the neighborhood where he had friends that he considered family. That's why he was there. That's what he told you. And there's no evidence he had anything less than the $\$ 530$ he said he had that was taken from him and only $\$ 218$ was turned in.

And let me ask you: What do you think the likelihood is that two men that have never met one another concoct a story that the same detective robbed them, on back-to-back days, and there's a $\$ 2$ difference between the amount of money that was turned in? What do you think the likelihood is that that could happen?

Now, Mr. Purpura also talked about Jimmie Griffin.
Jimmie Griffin was the one witness who was in jail, and he was
in jail because he admitted the drugs at Pinewood were in his house. Mr. Purpura claimed he proved that. That's like proving the sun rose. That wasn't in contest. Mr. Griffin told you he was a drug dealer, and he told you he had $\$ 6,000$ on him.

And Mr. Purpura called two witnesses to tell you what? That George Lee was arrested coming out of the Pinewood house and he had $\$ 8,000$ on him.

The problem is for the defense argument, there's no evidence Jimmie Griffin was at the house when George Lee bought the drugs.

His testimony was he met his aunt in
Northeast Baltimore, she gave him $\$ 6,000$, he went and bought weed with it, that's what he said, and then went to Evesham to smoke it. And where was he arrested? At Evesham. He wasn't arrested at Pinewood.

And he testified that his cousin, Tyrone Creighton, who also sold drugs, lived at the Pinewood house.

So the argument that George Lee, the guy in the tight pants, means that Jimmie Griffin couldn't have had $\$ 6,000$ doesn't hold up.

Now, briefly -- and you're probably tired of this, but the overtime evidence is overwhelming, and I'm not going to go through it again.

Mr. Purpura said, well, you didn't see -- you didn't
see cell phone location information for the days that are charged as racketeering acts like you saw for the month that Mr. Hersl was spending working on his house on the Government's dime.

But what you did see was a day or more in each of the charged racketeering acts when he wasn't working where he claimed to be working or doing what he claimed to be doing.

He wasn't at the raid on Milton Miller's house. Ward and Gondo were there. They testified he wasn't. Special Agent Jensen testified he's not even on the paperwork.

Neither Hersl or Taylor worked the hours they claimed for the Grindon arrest. Hersl wasn't even there. Hendrix and Ward were, and they testified to that.

Gondo was back at headquarters when they got back. He said Hersl's out at the bar on a call to Rayam when he didn't think anyone was listening. And Ward testified that the time they put down for that Grindon arrest wasn't what they actually worked.

July 23rd, Gondo and Rayam described -- discussed on a call that it was just going to be Gondo and Jenkins that night working, that everybody else had plans. And they all miraculously put in the same overtime slips, including Hersl and Taylor.

July 29th, Hersl showed up from Pennsylvania at 9:40 at night. Hersl's not even there.

And August the 8th, the Armstrong search, testimony was they didn't work the hours they claimed to have worked. Taylor wasn't even in the country at the time.

Very briefly, both defense lawyers -- and this always happens -- say you could have done this, you could have done that, what about hair? What about DNA? What about satellite images? It happens so commonly, there's an instruction that tells you the Government doesn't have to use specific investigative techniques.

The evidence as to the crimes charged in this case and the elements of those crimes is overwhelming. Could the FBI have done more? Could we have called more than 32 witnesses? Could they have tapped more than two phones? Could they have bugged more than one Baltimore Police Department vehicle? Yes.

But does that mean there isn't sufficient evidence to establish the elements of this crime? No.

And on this point about why wasn't anything recovered from Hersl's house, well, Gondo testified that Hersl told him that Hersl knew Gondo was on a wiretap, so Hersl's not talking to Gondo on the phone. Hersl's not talking in Gondo's car. And when we got to their houses, they knew we were coming. That's what you can infer from the fact that every one of these defendants -- Taylor and Hersl included -- and Ward and Hendrix told you this, that Taylor knew about the investigation too -knew we were coming, and these are law enforcement officers
that know how to cover their tracks.
So in sum, ladies and gentlemen, none of the defense arguments changed the facts in this case. So what you are left with are these two men (indicating) and the evidence of what they did.

And these two men were supposed to be sentinels, guarding this city from the people that would break the law, people like these officers, the good cops that testified in this case.

But instead, these men became hunters and they had big kills, like Oreese Stevenson.

But more often than not, they preyed on the weak and the vulnerable. The weak, like Antonio Santiful, who came in here in the reflective vest he wears at the construction site he works. And the vulnerable, people who are committing crimes, and, therefore, the defendants thought couldn't complain or wouldn't complain. And people like Mr. Hamilton, who, because of a record that will follow him for the rest of his life, the defendants counted on no one believing.

But these two men had a choice to make, just like Officer Kostoplis. And Officer Kostoplis presented you with the path not taken. He told Jenkins and Hersl that the badge on his chest is what separated him from the criminals.

And at the end of the day, this is a simple case. You heard from victim after victim with appalling similarity about
how these men (indicating) operated as both cops and robbers.
And robbers carry guns and sometimes they wear masks to hide their face. But these men, these men, they hid behind their badges.

And they hid on August the 31st, 2016, when they chased that car in the rain at night and stood on the roadside after it crashed.

And Ms. Wicks said Taylor wanted to get out, but we know what Taylor said.

MS. WICKS: Objection, Your Honor.
May we approach?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Bench conference on the record:
MS. WICKS: Your Honor, that wasn't the argument I made. He was -- I said that wasn't the argument I made. And the recording shows he was out, he did go look. I brought that up.

THE COURT: I don't think that's what the recording shows. It's going to be up to the jury to decide what the recording shows.

MS. WICKS: He told him to get in the car. He's outside. And he comments on the fact that he went in and saw the person.

MR. WISE: If I objected every time I disagreed with the way they characterized something, I would have been up 300
times.
MS. WICKS: I mean, I think he's mischaracterizing what I said. He can characterize the evidence differently, but I think he's mischaracterizing my argument.

THE COURT: Well, wasn't your argument that Taylor was out of the car, that Taylor wanted to help?

MS. WICKS: Yes; and he's --
MR. WISE: I'm going to play what he said.
THE COURT: He's going to play what the recording actually is.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
MR. WISE: So let's hear what Taylor said.
(Audio was played but not reported.)
MR. WISE: He said, "Dude's unconscious. He ain't sayin' shit." That's what Taylor said.

And then Hersl said they could falsify their overtime slips to make it look like they weren't there, and he even practiced what he would say if anyone asked him.
(Audio was played but not reported.)
MR. WISE: And why is that recording so relevant?
'Cause that man might have been their next victim.
The badges and the guns they carried were powerful, power they chose to abuse.

But now, now you have the chance and the responsibility to speak truth to power.

The evidence in this case establishes beyond a reasonable doubt that Hersl and Taylor committed the crimes with which they are charged, and they should be found guilty.

Thank you.
THE COURT: Thank you, Mr. Wise.
THE COURT: Ladies and gentlemen, you have heard quite lengthy arguments from all counsel, and they have reminded you of this; but I will remind you as well: What counsel say in their arguments is not evidence. It is, as they're properly entitled to do, their interpretation of what the evidence is shown. It is what they all reminded you, that what you recall is what controls.

There have been some references to witness testimony. Again, that's perfectly appropriate. I just want to be sure you understand there are not any transcripts of testimony that are admitted in evidence in this case. Your recollection of the evidence and the testimony will control.

The only transcripts that you will have are the transcripts of the recorded conversations. And as I've already told you, that's just an aid as well. What's actually on the recordings is for you to consider.

You've also heard from -- again, all counsel have probably talked about some of the elements of the law, the offenses that are charged here, issues relating to witness credibility, reasonable doubt, and other matters.

I'll just remind you that what is in my instructions is what controls in terms of the law in this case.

So in conclusion, I'm going to remind you that your verdict has to be unanimous, reflecting the judgment of each and every one of you.

You should consider it in the jury room deliberately and carefully in light of the instructions I've given you and that you will have in writing.

Use the same common sense and the same intelligence that you would use in determining any important matter you have to decide in the course of your own affairs.

It is your duty as jurors to consult with one another and deliberate with a view to reaching an agreement, if you can do so without violence to your individual judgment.

Each of you must decide the case for yourself, but do so only after a careful consideration of the evidence with your fellow jurors.

In the course of your deliberations, don't hesitate to re-examine your own views and change your opinion if convinced it is erroneous.

But don't surrender your honest conviction as to the weight or the effect of evidence solely because of the opinion of your fellow jurors or for the mere purpose of returning a verdict.

If after carefully considering all the evidence and
the arguments of your fellow jurors you entertain a conscientious view that differs from the others, you're not to yield your conviction simply because you are outnumbered.

Now, when you go back to the jury room, as I think I've told you, your first duty will be to elect a foreperson. Again, the foreperson doesn't have an extra vote. We just need someone to preside over your deliberations and to be your spokesperson in court.

If it becomes necessary during your deliberations to communicate with the Court, you can send out a note, something in writing. There will be a court security officer posted outside the door. You should not attempt to communicate with the Court except in writing.

And you'll notice from the oath that the court security officer takes that they, as well as everyone else, are forbidden from communicating in any way or manner with any member of the jury on anything that relates to the merits of the case.

Your deliberations, your discussion of the evidence, any votes you take in the jury room, that is for you alone. You should not tell the Court or anyone else how you stand in terms of any numerical division or vote you've taken until after you've reached a unanimous verdict.

To record your verdict in this case, you will have a verdict form. I believe you saw some parts of that in one of
counsel's presentation. You'll have that in writing.
And I'm going to go over it with you just a bit.
So it starts with Count 1 , which is the racketeering conspiracy. And Count 1 happens to start with Mr. Hersl. So there is an initial question or statement, but it's really a question for you.

It says: We, the jury, find the Defendant Daniel Thomas Hersl, with respect to Count 1, racketeering conspiracy -- and there's a place for you to fill in guilty or not guilty, depending on your unanimous verdict.

If you answered guilty, however, it must have been on the basis of certain other decisions that you've already made.

If you answer guilty, you will be asked to identify which two racketeering acts you unanimously find that either the defendant you're considering or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit.

So there will be a list of racketeering acts for you. Some of them, the alleged robbery and/or extortion; some of them, the alleged wire fraud. And if you are finding Mr. Hersl guilty, you will also need to indicate which racketeering acts, again, you've unanimously found that either he or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit.

Also on Count 1, but then separately as to Mr. Taylor, you will be asked to answer the same questions: Do you find that he is guilty or not guilty of the racketeering conspiracy?


Count 3, you will be asked whether the defendant, Mr. Taylor, is guilty or not guilty of Hobbs Act robbery and extortion.

If you find him not guilty, you do not go on to Count 4.

Count 4 is the charge of possession of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence. One of the elements is that he committed a crime of violence. So if you found him not guilty on Count 3, you would stop there.

But if you find him guilty of the Hobbs Act robbery and extortion charged in Count 3, you would continue to Count 4: Did he possess a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence? Has the Government proved that beyond a reasonable doubt?

There is a similar relationship between Counts 5 and 6 as to Mr. Hersl. You are first asked whether the Government has proved him guilty of Count 5, a Hobbs Act robbery and extortion. If not guilty, you stop; you don't go on to Count 6.

If you find him guilty as to Count 5, the Hobbs Act robbery and extortion, you continue to Count 6: Did the Government prove possession of a firearm in furtherance of a crime of violence?

So there are a number of questions for you to look at and answer. You will have the verdict form to do that.

What we ask is that when you have reached a unanimous verdict, your foreperson, whoever that is, should fill out this verdict form in accordance with your agreement and sign it and date it. There's a place for signature and date at the end of this form.

And then somebody should knock on the door and let the clerk or the court security officer who answers, just let that person know that you have agreed upon a verdict. You shouldn't say what it is at that point, because the verdict is to be announced for the first time in open court.

So when you come back to the jury box at the end of your deliberations, the clerk will ask something like "Have you agreed upon your verdict?"

And we hope you collectively respond that you have.
And the clerk will then ask something like, "Who shall speak for you?"

And you should also respond your foreperson, whoever that is.

The foreperson will be asked to stand and present the verdict form to the clerk. She will show it to me. And after I review it, it is returned to the clerk and to the foreperson for reading aloud in open court.

Now, the last thing I have to do -- and I never know whether this is going to make people happy or not -- we do have four alternates. I'd like to be able to say you should all go
back and deliberate, but $I$ can't. I can only send 12 back in to deliberate. So I have to excuse the four of you who are alternates.

However, as long as the trial is continuing, until the end of the deliberations and the return of the verdict, until this is all done, I assume that Ms. Moyé will know how to get in touch with you in case it becomes necessary. And I still need to ask you not to talk about the case, not to do any research on the case, not to go on the Internet, read the newspaper, anything like that, until we are positive that we will not need to ask you for anything further. Those same rules control, but $I$ do have to excuse you at this point.

So I'm going to ask Ms. Moyé at this point to take the -- go with the four alternates. And I'll ask counsel to approach the bench for a minute.
(Alternate jurors excused at 12:59 p.m.)
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: Okay. I found a couple more very tiny, minor edits in my jury instructions so that l'll get a full, clean copy for the jury.

The verdict form, anybody have any concerns about the current jury instructions or verdict form that they haven't already had a chance to address?

MR. WISE: No, Your Honor.
MS. WICKS: No.
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MR. PURPURA: No.
THE COURT: Where are you in terms of exhibits?

MR. PURPURA: I think we're just about ready to have -- at least all defense exhibits are ready to go in.

MR. WISE: And Mr. Kerrigan has been doing it every evening, so we're all caught up.

THE COURT: You're all pretty much ready?

MR. WISE: Uh-huh.
THE COURT: Well, I'm going to tell the 12 jurors that it may take a few minutes, but we'll gather up the exhibits and send things in.

Now, obviously, so if they want to hear any of the recordings, we'll need to bring them back out here to listen to it.

Is there any other piece of evidence that's not going?
MR. WISE: The mic, the -- yeah, the mic recordings, the wiretap recordings, I guess the video from Sergio Summerville.

THE COURT: The video?

MR. WISE: Yeah, I think that's the only video, yeah. Oh, and the guns --

THE COURT: Are there guns?
MR. WISE: Yeah, there's guns.
MR. HINES: BB gun.
MR. WISE: Drugs.

THE COURT: Actual drugs in?
MR. WISE: Yes.

MR. PURPURA: They're not going in.
MR. WISE: Oh, all the machetes and things, grappling hook.

MR. HINES: We can specify all that.
MR. WISE: So there's two huge bags.
THE COURT: I'll just say the bags of tools, you're not planning to send any. You've got photographs of all of that.

MR. WISE: Yeah.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Anything else?
MR. PURPURA: Are you going to send the jury to lunch first? Or are they going to have --

THE COURT: We ordered lunch for them, so they will have their lunch to eat.

And, yes, and so you all need to be close by in case of questions, obviously.

MS. WICKS: Your Honor, did they give -- I didn't know if we had a sense of how late if -- I mean --

THE COURT: Sure. Good question. And I'll tell them what -- my plan would be to invite them back in here at 5 o'clock or at least send a note in at 5 o'clock and ask them at that point if they want to continue to deliberate. And I give them a choice of 5:30 or 6:00, not later than that, and it
will be up to them.
MS. WICKS: And then tomorrow, assuming we're here tomorrow, they would come in at 10:00?

THE COURT: I probably would give them the option of coming in at 9:30 if they want to, but it would be up to them.

And then we'll just have to work around -- I understand there are other court proceedings tomorrow, but I hope you'll all be close by.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Okay. Just a couple last words, ladies and gentlemen.

It may take a few minutes; but we are going to gather up a copy of the instructions, a copy of the verdict form, the exhibits that have been admitted into evidence. Pretty much all of that will be sent back into the jury room for you to look at.

There are certain things that we will not be sending back: The recordings of the conversations themselves, either from the wiretap or the mic in the car. There's a video.

If you want to listen to them, which it's completely up to you, we would bring you back into the courtroom to listen. You'll have the transcripts, but you can listen to the recordings back here in the courtroom if you'd like to.

There were at least one or two exhibits involving guns and drugs, and there are some tools that were in a couple of
large black bags. We're not planning to send those back with you either. But if there's anything that you want to look at that's physical evidence of that type, again, you can send out a note and let us know. And we'll bring you back into court and give you an opportunity to look at that if you want to for some reason.

Other than that, I think the first thing, I'm hoping that there will be some lunch for you --

THE CLERK: There is.
THE COURT: Good.
-- when you go back in there and deliberate. We'll wait to hear from you.

Again, as I think I mentioned to you the other day, the length of your deliberations in general is entirely up to you. I will send in a note, probably, or either bring you out or send in a note if we hadn't heard anything by about 5 o'clock, just to ask if you want to continue deliberating a little bit later today. Do you want to go home and come back tomorrow? That will be totally up to you.

I don't keep everybody here any later than 6:00, for sure, but it's entirely up to you how long you need to deliberate on the case.

Thank you very much.
THE CLERK: CSO.
THE COURT: We will have the oath taken by the court
security officer.
THE CLERK: Please raise your right hand.
FRANK ALSTON, COURT SECURITY OFFICER, SWORN.
THE CLERK: Please state your name for the record. COURT SECURITY OFFICER: Frank Alston.

THE COURT: All right. Thank you, ladies and gentlemen. You can go with the court security officer out that way.
(Jury retired to begin deliberations at 1:05 p.m.)
THE COURT: We're going to go get the binders back. Ms. Moyé is going to go do that right now.

MR. WISE: Can I just collect my things, Your Honor, while --

THE COURT: Sure, you can go ahead and collect your things.

But could I see counsel at the bench on these binders.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: So we're getting them back because Mr. Kerrigan just pointed out that there's things in the binders that haven't actually been admitted. Now, the problem with that is if we're taking back all the binders, which we're doing, immediately, does that mean they don't have the transcripts of what was admitted?

MR. HINES: So for each victim, there was a jail call that was on -- that was ready to go in case there was a prior
inconsistent -- prior consistent statement that came into play, so I think those are the only transcripts we need to remove.

We'll double-check and make sure everything else is there.
THE COURT: So you'll give it back. You'll take out what was --

MR. WISE: We'll can do that. The three of us will do it together. We'll help Mr. Kerrigan.

THE COURT: Okay. And obviously if defense counsel want to be involved, they can be involved as well. Make sure that only the exhibits admitted into evidence actually --

MS. WICKS: Crystal can look at it.
THE COURT: I'm sorry?
MS. WICKS: She has our list. The paralegal, Crystal, has the list.

THE COURT: Great. If you all want to just cooperate and make sure it's only what it should be.

MS. WICKS: Yes. Thank you.
THE COURT: Good. Thank you.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: Okay. So, for the record, the binders are all being brought back and counsel are going to go through them and remove whatever should not be in there and then send them back in with what should be in there.

I will go make some copies of the verdict form and jury instructions and get them back down and wait and see what
happens.
Thank you, all.
(Recess taken.)
(3:24 p.m.)
THE COURT: Counsel, we have a question from the jury. Do you want to come up to the bench.
(Bench conference on the record:
MR. PURPURA: They wanted the definition of "theft"? Just kidding.

THE COURT: I thought -- did Ms. Moyé not check?
MR. PURPURA: She did. Just being my silly self.
THE COURT: So as you know, and I've just invited you up to the bench at this point because of the possibility of the press hearing this.

The question is: After we have two acts that we have found the defendant to be guilty, are we obligated to look at any others of the acts?

I'm happy to hear what you all have to say. Seems to me as to Counts 1 and 2, as I look at the verdict form, we've asked them to identify at least two.

MR. WISE: So our position would be that the statute does require at least two. It's not unique federal statute in that regard. But, I mean, we would ask that the Court ask them to continue deliberating as to the other charged racketeering acts. Obviously, if they can't reach a unanimous decision,
they can stop. But it would seem to me that they should continue until they reach that point.

THE COURT: I had drafted something along those lines that said you may consider the questions in the verdict form in any order you choose; in other words, if they're stuck, you know, I don't want them to feel that they can't move on to Count 2, Count 3, 4, 5, 6.

But if you can come to a unanimous agreement, you should indicate as to each alleged Racketeering Act whether or not you find it has been proved.

MR. PURPURA: I could not object to that instruction. I think it's probably appropriate.

MS. WICKS: I agree, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Then if you like -- and I'm assuming -- and, of course, as we have throughout the trial, your clients can listen in. But if you want to consult with them just to make sure that this is satisfactory, I would propose, rather than bringing the jury back in and reading this aloud, that $I$ would just handwrite more neatly what I've just told you.

MR. PURPURA: We would ask the Court to do that on behalf of Mr. Hersl. Thank you.

MS. WICKS: Yes, Your Honor.
THE COURT: All right. Why don't you just check with your clients to see if it's satisfactory to them.

MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. PURPURA: Sure. Thank you.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
(The defendants conferred with counsel.)
(Bench conference on the record:
MS. WICKS: On behalf of Mr. Taylor, that's fine,
Your Honor.
MR. PURPURA: Yes, Mr. Hersl as well. Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me just read it into the record one more time. This is what will go back in writing:
"Dear jurors, you may consider the questions in the verdict form in any order you choose. But if you can come to a unanimous agreement, you should indicate as to each alleged racketeering act whether or not you find it has been proved."

MR. PURPURA: That's fair.
THE COURT: Satisfactory?
MS. WICKS: Yes, Your Honor.
MR. WISE: Yes.

MR. PURPURA: Obviously, no one's going to say anything public on this; correct? I mean defendants or Government?

MR. WISE: We don't say anything public anytime.
MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
THE COURT: No. That's why it's up at the bench.) (Bench conference concluded.)
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THE COURT: All right. Thank you.
(Recess taken.)
(4:04 p.m.)
THE COURT: Counsel, would you like to approach the bench.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: All right. So, for the record, again, $I$ assume you've seen this note.

And it says: For Count 1, if/after we are unanimous on two acts as guilty, are we obligated to deliberate the remaining acts within Count 1 for each defendant? For example, Act A, guilty; Act B, guilty? Acts C through F, do they need to be deliberated?

It seems to me that this is very similar to the question just answered.

MR. WISE: I mean, my take on it was that the last note pertained to Count 2. Because Mr. Hines in his opening sort of said, I'm going to focus on Count 2 to start. And then he went back -- he said, You can go through the counts any way you want.

MR. PURPURA: The first note.
MR. WISE: The first note, yeah.
THE COURT: Ms. Moyé, do you have the first note?
THE CLERK: (Handing.)
THE COURT: Thank you.

How are you getting Count 2 out of that?
MR. WISE: When he said --
MR. PURPURA: It's his guess based on the opening argument by Mr. Hines.

THE COURT: Okay. I mean, it may mean that, but I don't think it's at all clear that it relates to Count 2. It just says: Finding two acts.

So --
MS. WICKS: Your Honor, are they -- I didn't see them. Are they written by the same juror?

THE COURT: No.
MS. WICKS: Oh, okay.
MR. PURPURA: This is not who the foreperson is?
THE COURT: It is not. The first note, which is clearly different handwriting, indicates Juror No. 4.

The second note indicates Juror No. 3. So I do not know whether either one of them is the foreperson or not. They are completely different jurors.

What I've drafted, subject to, obviously, your conversation -- and I'll show you this in a minute -- is: Yes, you may move ahead to consider Counts 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, if you prefer, without deciding all the acts alleged in Count 1.

But eventually you should indicate as to all alleged racketeering acts whether or not you find they have been proved, if you can reach a unanimous decision.

MS. WICKS: Well, Your Honor, looking at the verdict form for Count 1, there's not a place for them to say -- on the verdict form, it's only --

MR. WISE: Right. It's which one -- whether -- said: Agreed to commit.

MS. WICKS: Right.
MR. WISE: So it's a different issue whether it's proved or not.

MS. WICKS: It's different than --

MR. WISE: Than Count 2.

MS. WICKS: Right.
THE COURT: So the word --
MS. WICKS: It actually gives me a little concern because of the difference between the counts. The question as to Count 1-- can I see the note again. I'm sorry.

THE COURT: Sure (handing).
I mean, I can use the language out of the verdict form, which is: You should identify as to all alleged racketeering acts whether you find that either the defendant or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit that, if you can reach a unanimous decision.

I mean, I can use the language if you think that's preferable.

MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, my position would be that on Count 1, since it's the conspiracy count, that if they do, as
they're required to do, find two of the racketeering acts, that is sufficient and they need not deliberate any further on that. The more substantive acts are broken down in Count 2. As a matter of fact, Count 2 contains more acts than set out in Count 1.

So I would then -- my request would be to instruct them to consider all the racketeering acts set forth in Count 2 and then go forward to Counts 3, 4, and 5. The answer to the first question is: Yes, it is sufficient on Count 1 if you find two racketeering acts, period.

THE COURT: It's not actually a "yes" because of the way they phrased the question, but I understand your point. As long as we then tell them to go ahead and consider all the alleged racketeering acts as to Count 2 --

MR. PURPURA: Yes. Great. And then continue on to 3, 4 , and 5.

THE COURT: And 6.
MR. PURPURA: Yes, 6. Thank you.
MS. WICKS: And, Your Honor, my concern on Count 1 is that because of the way the verdict form reads, they're making a -- they're making a decision as to guilty or not guilty on the count; and then they're agreeing -- they're not asked -maybe I'm getting too much into the wording of the question. But since they're not being asked -- the question literally is not guilty on the racketeering acts as to Count 1. It's
checking a box if they unanimously find that. I mean, I agree --

THE COURT: But you're agreeing with the concept?
MS. WICKS: Yes. That's fine.
THE COURT: Let me start writing something. I'll give this back.

MR. WISE: I'm just thinking out loud. I think our position is the same as to the other note, which is they should deliberate until they are no longer reaching unanimous decisions and then they can stop.

This just seems like a time-saving issue at this point. You know, we've got two; can we just stop?

And I think the issue is evidence was presented as to all of these. They've been instructed as to all of these. They should consider them. If they can't reach a unanimous decision, then it would seem appropriate to stop if they've got more than two. But if they haven't . . .

MS. WICKS: Your Honor, I think they're literally following the instruction, because there isn't someplace to say it's not proven. They only have to find two that are proven. So I think we would be -- I think --

MR. WISE: It's agreed to.
MS. WICKS: Right. But I'm saying they don't have -there's not two different boxes to check. There's only -- I don't know if that makes sense, but . . .

THE COURT: I don't think that's -- I mean, I think they understand that. I think they have -- they either check or leave blank.

MS. WICKS: Right.
THE COURT: And the issue is: Do we ask them to check all of them that they can agree on unanimously? Which is what I'm hearing is the Government's position.

MR. WISE: Yes.
THE COURT: Or Mr. Purpura's position: Do you tell them that if they have unanimously found two of these acts -again, could use the exact language. If they've found that either the defendant or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit at least two acts, at that point --

MS. WICKS: Yes, I agree with Mr. Purpura.
THE COURT: -- you can stop and move on --
MR. PURPURA: Right, yes.
THE COURT: -- as to the other ones.
MR. PURPURA: And the reason being, which really makes sense, because we really want to find the individual guilt or innocence on these particular acts as it applies to Taylor and Hersl. And obviously in the overall conspiracy, it could be Jenkins or it could be Hendrix or anybody else at that time.

And so I think it's more than sufficient for them at this point because, in essence, they will resolve all these questions in Count 2, one way or the other, to move on to the
substantive count of RICO.
MR. WISE: I mean, what would look strange -- I'm just thinking out loud -- is if they find the defendants committed certain racketeering acts in Count 2 and then because they just stopped deliberating on Count 1, those racketeering acts are not identified as racketeering acts that were even agreed to be committed.

MR. PURPURA: And the point -- because they didn't have to find those. And if you're concerned about appeal, let's assume that they do -- which I understand --

MR. WISE: Right? Let's assume.
MR. PURPURA: Let's assume they find the acts that you want them to find in Count 2 , which they would, one way or the other; then I think you're appeal-proof on that issue. They clearly followed the Court's instructions; found the conspiracy, two acts; and the other acts they found as well.

If they -- 'cause they're all -- or they are all contained in Count 2. It's just clear -- and I think they're a little confused right now because it's repetitive.

MR. WISE: I think it's a time -- I mean, I think it's a time issue, that if they don't have to deliberate more than they have to, then I think they're being sort of practical about it. Do they have to keep going?

But I think the issue is: We had a three-week trial, and they've been instructed on all of this. And . . .

THE COURT: Aren't they going to answer virtually all of these if they go on to Count 2?

MR. WISE: See, the issue is, let's say they find that one of these defendants didn't commit one of those racketeering acts. So let's say they -- let's say they find that Mr. Hersl didn't commit Racketeering Act 10, which is the Hamiltons in Count 2. They certainly could find that Rayam did; and they, therefore, certainly could identify that as one of the two count -- one of the two racketeering acts that a member of the conspiracy agreed to commit.

I mean, if they buy the argument: He really didn't know; he really wasn't involved, but there's no doubt Rayam did it.

MR. PURPURA: That's why -- you know, even -- I'm a bad example, but it's a difficult concept, $I$ think. And that's what I think they're struggling with. And that's why I believe -- and what we're really looking for here is not really the numbers counting. You're really looking for the individual responsibility of these particular defendants, and that's in Counts 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6.

Rayam, Hendrix, Ward, Gondo, they've all already pled guilty. So --

MR. WISE: But the conspiracy count, it's important that we know what they're basing the conspiracy count on.

MR. PURPURA: Wire fraud.

MS. WICKS: And we would know by Count 2 .
MR. PURPURA: Yeah.
MR. WISE: But if there's a sufficiency argument as to Count 1 or if there's some argument -- if there wasn't sufficient evidence as to the racketeering acts that they find were agreed to commit, if we -- if they've just stopped deliberating, we're prejudiced by that. I mean, they could ignore all of this and just decide: We're not going to try very hard to reach unanimity.

MR. PURPURA: But we're not letting them do that because we're asking them to go through and detail Counts 2.

MR. WISE: It just depends on how hard they want to actually do it.

THE COURT: I think why the answer that I gave them originally is going to make just as much sense is because if we're telling them your alternative, that they have to answer all of the questions in Count 2, by the time they do that, they'll be able to come back and fill them in on Count 1.

MR. PURPURA: I guess the fundamental part which I'm struggling with is the Counts 2 through 6 are individualized to these defendants here. And I think that's the issue with the jury right now, that they're not sure if they're supposed to be -- if these counts are individual for Hersl or Taylor or are they for the other co-conspirators who have actually pled.

I mean, we could fill it in for them. I mean,
clearly, if our clients are part of the conspiracy, as Mr. Wise said, that Gondo, Rayam, and Jenkins and others were -- you know, committed racketeering acts with the Hamiltons and other people.

So I think we're trying to get a clean verdict without confusing the jury. And I think if we get them fundamentally on to Count 2 to address those individual acts which are laid out clearly and crisply, we'd be in a better position.

So perhaps the Court can phrase the question that at this point the suggestion is to go on to Count 2 and to answer the questions in Count 2 to the best of your ability and then continue to Counts 3, 4, 5, and 6.

THE COURT: Then you can come back to Count 1.
MR. PURPURA: And then you can come back to Count 1.
THE COURT: That's the concept that I was trying to get across, that they could go ahead -- and I think if they do that, by the time they answer all the questions for those, they --

MR. PURPURA: Let's see if that helps move the bar.
THE COURT: Okay. All right.
MR. PURPURA: I'm glad you're writing this, Judge.
THE COURT: Okay. So I'll have to rewrite it again, but what I've got as a short answer is "yes." I can say: But you may move ahead to consider Counts 2, 3, 4, 5, and 6, if you prefer, without deciding all the acts alleged in Count 1.

Eventually you should return to Count 1 to identify as to all alleged racketeering acts whether you find that either the defendant or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit that act.

MR. PURPURA: I know it's not on the verdict form. But for sentencing purposes, wouldn't it be helpful if they identified who committed those acts if it was another member of the conspiracy versus Hersl or --

MR. WISE: Well, you'll be able to tell from Count 2 and Count 1.

THE COURT: We'd better rely on Count 2 for that, I think, rather than --

MR. PURPURA: All right. We'll see. Thank you.
THE COURT: Let me try to write this down while you go consult with your clients.
(The defendants conferred with counsel.)
(Pause.)
MR. WISE: I agree.
MR. PURPURA: Your Honor, I agree. But, again, I believe in the conspiracy count for sentencing purposes, whether -- that they should identify if it's these defendants, Hersl or Taylor, or another defendant as to Count 1.

MR. WISE: They'll know that from Count 2, because if they find them guilty of the Hamiltons in Count 2 and they check it in Count 1, that means Hersl -- they found that Hersl
committed it. If they find it's not proved as to Hersl in Count 2 but it's checked in Count 1, that means Rayam committed it.

MR. PURPURA: See, the problem I'm having is that they may consider, then, that if a co-conspiracy -- if they find in Count 1, let's say that a co-conspirator such as Rayam and Gondo did do the Hamiltons, then they would spill over onto the actual involvement that --

MR. WISE: The subpredicate is a conspiracy, too. So they actually could find that Hersl was part of the conspiracy for the Hamiltons and for Count 1, so it's not -- I mean, there's symmetry there.

MR. PURPURA: Again, I think it's confusing, and that's the reason.

THE COURT: I think at this point it would be more confusing to the jury to change the verdict sheet, which is what we'd have to do to ask them to now identify which -whether it was the defendant or another member of the conspiracy. And I do think we'll get enough information from the answers to Counts 2 through 6 to address any sentencing.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
THE COURT: Okay. Let me read into the record, and then I'm just going to ask Ms. Moyé to make a copy of this note before it goes back in.

Okay. But we're going to tell them: Dear jurors,
yes, you may move ahead to consider Counts $2,3,4,5$, and 6 , if you prefer, without deciding all the acts alleged in Count 1.

But eventually, you should return to Count 1 to identify as to all the alleged racketeering acts whether or not you find that the defendant or another member of the conspiracy agreed to commit those acts, if you can reach a unanimous decision.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
MR. WISE: Thank you.
MS. WICKS: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
(Pause.)
MR. PURPURA: May counsel approach the bench?
THE COURT: Sure.
(Bench conference on the record:
MR. PURPURA: I'm noticing it's 4:30 now. Would the Court consider sending back the note at this point whether they wish to leave at 5:00 or stay till 6:00 tonight? Because it gives us . . .

THE COURT: Sure. We can do that.
We were just -- Ms. Moyé had just advised the marshals wanted to know if we were -- the jury was going to be deliberating on Friday.

What I'm suggesting for her to tell them is that if they do not reach a verdict today, then, yes, we will have them back tomorrow, Friday, to deliberate, if that's their question.

THE CLERK: Yes, that was their question.
THE COURT: So what I asked you to do, Ms. Moyé, was just to make a copy of that note before you take it back in. While you're making a copy, I will write another note asking them to indicate if they want to continue deliberating until 5:00, 5:30, or 6:00, check one.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you.
THE CLERK: I'll have to go to the fourth floor to make a copy, unless I can see if Judge Gordon has a copier in his chambers.

MS. WICKS: Do you want us to take a picture?
MR. WISE: We can go up to ours on fifth, on five.
THE CLERK: I can easily go to the fourth floor.
THE COURT: It's all right.
All right. I already read it into the record.
All right. Let me give you that.
THE CLERK: I can see if the CSOs have a copier and make a copy of it before $I$ take it back to them in the office.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you, Your Honor.
MS. WICKS: Thank you, Your Honor.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
(Pause.)

THE COURT: We'll just wait for the answer on the deliberation question.
(Pause.)
THE COURT: Counsel, come back up to the bench.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: So they checked 5 o'clock, but they then indicated that Juror 12 cannot attend Friday due to multiple commitments and doctors' appointments.

Juror 8 has a midday meeting in D.C. tomorrow, Friday.
Juror No. 1 has work-related meetings.
They can't deliberate on Friday. So that's three of them. I think we'll bring them back on Monday.

MR. PURPURA: Okay.
MS. WICKS: Okay. I guess we should wait the next 18 minutes.

THE COURT: You guys may sit in the courtroom for the next 18 minutes. I think that probably makes sense. If the marshals feel strongly that they want to bring your clients, bring them back, as long as everybody's in the courtroom at 5 o'clock.

MR. PURPURA: Are you going to bring the jury back in and dismiss them?

THE COURT: I'm going to bring the jury back in at 5 o'clock and warn them, give them the usual instructions, and tell them to come back Monday, yes.

Just trying to get on my calendar. There's no reason -- I'll give them the option of coming back at 9:30.

MR. HINES: I think we had a motions hearing Monday in the Fields case.

THE COURT: We do. Starts at 10:00. I believe we're down to one.

MR. HINES: I'm going to be trying that now.
THE COURT: Right. So, no. And we'll do that here.
We'll just have to move it.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
(Recess taken.)
(5:01 p.m.)
THE COURT: Okay. Can I see counsel at the bench, please.
(Bench conference on the record:
THE COURT: Okay. So now that we have the defendants back in the room, let me just read this note into the record. I don't think we'll be trying to answer it today. This is a note from Juror No. 6.

It says: We have reviewed in detail the instructions regarding extortion. We are concerned about the type and extent of consent that would constitute extortion versus a robbery.

For example, if an individual is handcuffed by an officer, what exact consent must be given that will allow the
officer to keep the money for himself (unlawfully)?
So for this one, perhaps we'll get somebody to make copies of it all, to have a copy of that and think about it between now and when the jury comes back.

I sent a note in to the jury about whether they wanted to come back at 9:30 or 10:00 on Monday. And they asked if they could come back at 9:00, which is fine.

So what I usually tell them is we don't all need to meet here at 9:00. We just wait until they're all here and the court security officer locks them in and then they start deliberating.

Obviously, based on what we've been seeing so far, please be close around. And perhaps we should try to meet in chambers at 9:00. Are you all available Monday to talk about this note?

MS. WICKS: I am.
MR. NIETO: I think so. I had mentally mapped out Monday for trial when we originally scheduled it, so . . .

MS. WICKS: That's fine.
THE COURT: Okay. All right. Then you can all go back and sit down.)
(Bench conference concluded.)
THE COURT: All right. We can bring the jury back in. (Jury entered the courtroom at 5:05 p.m.)

THE COURT: You can all be seated.

So I am aware that, apparently, in terms of continuing deliberations, that there are some of you for whom coming back tomorrow would be a hardship. And I'm also aware that we have been sitting Monday through Thursday. And I did not particularly warn you about that Friday possibility in advance, so that's perfectly understandable.

So instead, we'll be asking you to come back on Monday to resume deliberating. I have a note indicating that you'd like to come at 9 o'clock. That's absolutely fine. We'll all be here by then.

So that's fine.
When you come back, in fact, at 9 o'clock on Monday, we don't need to bring everybody in here at 9 o'clock. Just wait until all of you are here; and then once all of you are here -- and there will be a court security officer -- you can just go ahead and resume deliberating.

We will, of course, endeavor to answer questions as they occur.

Over this time period, the Friday/Saturday/Sunday, of course, leave your notes here. Don't talk about the case. Usual instructions: No research, et cetera, et cetera.

Thank you very much, and you are all excused until 9 o'clock on Monday. Thank you.
(Jury excused at 5:06 p.m.)
THE COURT: All right. So, Ms. Moyé, if you can let
the marshals know that we will not be here tomorrow. And if someone can make a copy of this note so we can all have a look at it.

And unless you all decide if you want to call, if you want to try to call and talk about anything tomorrow, otherwise, we'll just meet in chambers at 9:00 on Monday and we can talk about what comes next.

MR. PURPURA: Thank you, Your Honor.
MR. WISE: Thank you, Your Honor.
THE COURT: Okay. Thank you.
(Court adjourned at 5:07 p.m.)
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| \$ |  | 9:40 [1] 86/24 <br>  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \$1.75 million [1] 25/20 | 2016 [11] 28/6 28/8 28/12 $28 / 14$ | A |
| \$100 [2] 35/13 35/15 | 28/15 30/25 32/8 63/3 63/4 | A |
| \$100,000 [4] 24/11 24/13 26/14 | 77/20 89/5 | a.m [4] 3/2 5/16 49/15 51/11 |
| 26/18 | 2017 [2] 49/4 61/2 | ability [1] 117/11 |
| \$1100 [1] 38/18 | 2018 [2] 1/9 126/18 | able [4] 39/17 97/25 116/18 |
| \$130,000 [1] 39/17 | 21,500 [1] 21/23 | 118/9 |
| \$15,000 [4] 21/13 21/23 26/12 | 21201 [1] 1/25 | about [138] |
| 26/12 | 23rd [2] 26/23 86/19 | above [2] 53/18 126/14 |
| \$2 [1] 84/21 | 25 pounds [3] 15/16 32/1 60/24 | above-entitled [1] 126/14 |
| \$2,000 [1] 35/14 | 25th [1] 77/20 | absolutely [2] 39/2 125/9 |
| \$20,000 [3] 13/1 15/16 32/1 | 28 [2] 72/18 72/19 | abuse [1] 90/23 |
| \$200,000 [4] 24/17 57/8 57/15 | 2800 [1] 35/3 | academy [1] 41/17 |
| 82/18 | 29th [1] 86/24 | accept [2] 38/19 84/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llll}\$ 21,500 & {[2]} & 21 / 9 & 22 / 25\end{array}$ | 3 | access [3] 3/13 3/19 3/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lll}\$ 216 & {[1]} & 84 / 3 \\ \$ 218 & {[1]} & 84 / 16\end{array}$ | 3 30 [1] 60/24 | accident [1] 54/16 accordance [1] $97 / 3$ |
| \$220,000 [2] 29/19 29/24 | 30 pounds [3] $16 / 8 \quad 31 / 22 \quad 32 / 4$ | according [14] $14 / 2$ 17/3 $20 / 16$ |
| \$3,000 [4] 7/25 10/25 35/25 | 300 [1] 89/25 | 21/19 21/23 22/4 23/24 24/8 |
| 80/21 | 300,000 [1] 23/5 | 24/16 27/1 30/2 32/19 34/3 |
| \$300,000 [4] 22/23 23/9 26/17 | 31st [1] 89/5 | 35/13 |
| 55/18 | 32 [1] 87/12 | account [2] 46/11 52/22 |
| \$38,000 [1] 63/3 | 3:24 p.m [1] 105/4 | accusations [1] 17/13 |
| \$43,000 [1] 63/4 | 4 | accused [1] 6/18 |
| \$5,000 [1] 12/25 |  | across [2] 74/19 117/16 |
| \$50,000 [1] 82/3 | 40 [1] 82/25 | act [14] 78/12 78/13 78/14 |
| \$530 [1] 84/15 | 43 [1] 75/24 | 95/12 96/2 96/10 96/17 96/20 |
| \$6,000 [3] 85/4 85/13 85/20 | 44,000 [1] 63/5 | 106/9 107/14 108/12 108/12 |
| \$700 [4] $46 / 9$ 46/10 83/23 84/2 | 4800 [1] 35/3 | 115/6 118/4 |
| \$8,000 [2] 83/8 85/8 | 4:00 [2] 26/20 27/1 | actions [3] 52/22 77/16 78/23 |
| 'cause [12] 15/22 17/17 26/1 | 4 th [1] 1/24 | acts [49] 51/24 61/7 70/17 86/2 |
| 29/21 59/9 59/15 64/17 66/16 | 5 | 86/6 94/14 94/17 94/20 95/2 |
| 72/3 80/11 90/21 114/17 | 5 | 95/12 95/17 95/22 105/15 105/17 |
| / | $\|$5 O'clock $[8]$ $26 / 20$ $27 / 1$ $100 / 23$ <br> $100 / 23$ $102 / 17$ $122 / 6$ $122 / 20$ | 105/25 108/10 108/11 108/12 109/7 109/22 109/24 110/19 |
| /s [1] 126/15 | 122/24 | 111/1 111/3 111/4 111/7 111/10 |
| 1 | 50 [1] 82/25 | 111/14 111/25 113/10 113/13 |
|  | 5:00 [2] 120/20 121/9 | 113/20 114/4 $114 / 5$ 114/6 $114 / 12$ |
| $\begin{array}{rrrrrl}10 & \text { [5] } \\ 115 / 6 & 19 / 19 & 50 / 11 & 56 / 3 & 56 / 3\end{array}$ | 5:01 p.m [1] 123/12 | 114/16 114/16 115/5 115/9 116/5 |
| 115/6 | 5:05 p.m [1] 124/24 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}117 / 3 & 117 / 7 & 117 / 25 & 118 / 2 & 118 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 10 kilograms [3] 21/4 56/2 | 5:06 p.m [1] 125/24 | 120/2 120/5 120/7 |
| 56/11 | 5:07 p.m [1] 126/11 | actual [6] 3/18 29/13 42/12 |
| 10 kilos [4] 23/10 25/17 29/19 | 5:30 [2] 100/25 121/9 | 95/7 100/1 119/8 |
| 29/23 | 5th [2] 29/4 59/19 | actually [16] $3 / 13$ 38/17 43/16 |
| 10 percent [1] 38/18 | 6 | 50/10 57/24 59/4 86/17 90/10 |
| $\begin{array}{lll} 101 & {[1]} & 1 / 24 \\ 106 & {[1]} & 1 / 4 \end{array}$ | 6 feet [1] 25/16 | $\begin{array}{llll}91 / 20 & 103 / 20 & 104 / 10 & 110 / 13 \\ 111 / 11 & 116 / 13 & 116 / 24 & 119 / 10\end{array}$ |
| 10:00 [3] 101/3 123/5 124/6 | 60 [1] 42/15 | addition [1] 21/1 |
| 10:06 a.m [1] 3/2 | 60 pounds [2] 16/6 16/6 | additional [2] 21/1 74/21 |
| 10:11 a.m [1] 5/16 | 6:00 [4] 100/25 102/20 120/20 | address [6] 23/18 23/21 53/7 |
| 10:29 p.m [1] 28/12 | 121/9 | 98/23 117/7 119/20 |
| 11:28 a.m [1] 49/15 | 7 | addressed [1] 50/1 |
| 11:44 a.m [1] 51/11 | 7- [1even [1] 83/9 | adjourned [1] 126/11 |
| 12 [3] 98/1 99/9 122/7 | 7-Eleven [1] 83/9 | admissible [1] 19/22 |
| 12:59 p.m [1] 98/16 | 8 | admit [6] 22/15 35/11 53/5 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}16 & \text { [1] } & 126 / 18 \\ 17-\text { year } & {[2]} & 62 / 16 & 79 / 23\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}8 & \text { kilograms } & {[2]} & 27 / 20 & 56 / 5 \\ 8 & \text { kilos [3] } & 19 / 17 & 20 / 24 & 21\end{array}$ | admitted [21] $18 / 2$ 18/3 18/7 |
| 18 [2] 122/14 122/17 | 89 [1] 35/6 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}18 / 9 & 18 / 21 & 20 / 1 & 35 / 24 & 40 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 1:05 p.m [1] 103/9 | 8th [7] 28/8 28/12 $29 / 14$ 59/5 | $\begin{array}{lllll} \\ 70 / 12 & 83 / 20 & 85 / 1 & 91 / 16 & 101 / 14\end{array}$ |
| 1A [1] 1/9 | 77/21 78/11 87/1 | 103/20 103/23 104/10 |
| 1st [4] $32 / 8$ 49/4 61/2 61/15 | 9 | admitting [1] 22/15 |
| 2 | 9 o'clock [4] 125/9 125/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { ADP } & {[6]} & 30 / 10 & 36 / 20 & 60 / 1 & 60 / 6\end{array}$ |
| 2 kilograms [1] 56/7 | 125/13 125/23 | $\begin{aligned} \text { 60/7 } 60 / 10 \\ \text { advance [1] } \end{aligned} \quad 125 / 5$ |
| 2 kilos [1] 26/4 | 9:00 [4] 124/7 124/9 124/14 | $\begin{array}{lll}\text { advised [1] } & 120 / 23\end{array}$ |
| 2,000 [1] 46/11 | 126/6 | affairs [1] 92/11 |
| 20 [1] 42/15 | 9:30 [3] 101/5 123/2 124/6 | affects [1] 39/13 |


after [33] 6/13 8/15 8/23 9/7
$\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 16 & 14 / 1 & 16 / 19 & 20 / 19 & 25 / 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}37 / 14 & 38 / 7 & 41 / 1 & 42 / 25 & 46 / 12\end{array}$ 49/1 55/9 75/15 75/23 78/5 79/17 79/25 81/14 82/11 82/11 83/8 88/25 89/7 92/16 92/25 93/23 97/20 105/15 108/9
afternoon [1] 22/10
afterwards [1] 15/20
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against [2] 44/19 63/17 $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { agent } & {[17]} & 2 / 8 & 13 / 4 & 30 / 7 \\ 30 / 9\end{array}$ $32 / 8 \quad 32 / 17$ 32/20 32/23 33/5 $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 11 & 33 / 11 & 33 / 13 & 46 / 6 & 46 / 13\end{array}$ 46/17 59/25 86/10
agent's [1] 33/2
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { agents [7] } & 12 / 16 & 13 / 13 & 33 / 8\end{array}$ 38/6 42/24 44/23 56/23
ago [1] 41/23
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { agree [7] } & 69 / 24 & 106 / 13 & 112 / 2\end{array}$ 113/6 113/14 118/18 118/19 agreed [17] 9/13 54/9 74/14 94/16 94/22 95/3 97/8 97/13 110/5 110/20 112/22 113/12 $\begin{array}{llllll}114 / 6 & 115 / 10 & 116 / 6 & 118 / 3 & 120 / 7\end{array}$ agreeing [2] 111/22 112/3
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agreements [1] 44/15
agrees [1] 41/4
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { ahead [9] } & 14 / 24 & 20 / 1 & 103 / 14\end{array}$
109/21 111/13 117/16 117/24
120/1 125/16
aid [1] 91/20
ain't [1] 90/14
airline [1] 46/7
Airlines [1] 29/3
airport [1] 46/12
alibi [2] 80/10 80/11
all [138]
allegation [1] 27/15
alleged [14] 39/16 70/18 94/18 94/19 106/9 107/13 109/22 109/23 110/18 111/14 117/25 118/2 120/2 120/5
Allers [10] 30/24 37/10 75/22 $\begin{array}{lllllll}75 / 22 & 76 / 2 & 76 / 5 & 76 / 5 & 76 / 6 & 76 / 8\end{array}$ 76/15
alley [2] 23/24 25/24
allow [1] 123/25
allowed [1] 68/24
almost [1] 63/3
alone [2] 26/18 93/20
along [1] 106/3
aloud [2] 97/22 106/19
already [9] 7/4 8/17 19/25

71/25 91/19 94/12 98/23 115/21 $\quad$ appeal [2] $114 / 9$ 114/14

also [26] 2/7 11/9 11/12 139477/
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$\begin{array}{llll}14 / 11 & 20 / 25 & 22 / 24 & 26 / 19 \\ 31 / 17\end{array}$
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97/17 125/3
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alternate [2] 72/5 98/16
alternates [4] 50/22 $97 / 25$ 98/3
98/14
alternative [1] 116/16
although [4] 22/16 24/19 70/18 79/5
always [2] 3/17 87/4
am [3] $49 / 25$ 124/16 $\quad 125 / 1$
AMERICA [1] $1 / 3$
amount [2] 16/24 84/21
amounted [1] 73/14
amounts [2] 17/3 38/23
analogy [1] 69/21
analysis [1] 27/21
and/or [1] 94/18
ankle [2] 28/1 59/9
announced [1] 97/10
another [23] $14 / 10 \quad 24 / 16 \quad 28 / 16$ 29/24 $33 / 2$ 39/25 $53 / 21$ 61/4 $\begin{array}{lllll}64 / 12 & 83 / 4 & 84 / 19 & 92 / 12 & 94 / 15\end{array}$ $94 / 21$ 95/2 110/20 113/12 118/3
$\begin{array}{llll}118 / 7 & 118 / 22 & 119 / 18 & 120 / 6 \\ 121 / 7\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { answer }[20] & 6 / 8 & 19 / 15 & 30 / 13\end{array}$ 60/1 60/2 94/13 94/24 95/11 95/12 $96 / 25$ 111/8 115/1 116/14 116/16 117/10 117/17 117/23 122/1 123/18 125/17
answered [3] 36/19 94/11 $108 / 15$
answering [1] 6/12
answers [2] 97/7 119/20
Antonio [4] $76 / 12 \quad 83 / 12 \quad 83 / 25$ 88/13
Antonio Santiful [4] 76/12 83/12 83/25 88/13
any [36] 6/25 7/2 $7 / 6$ 14/9
$\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 16 & 23 / 10 & 27 / 24 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 5\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}35 / 17 & 36 / 6 & 37 / 1 & 57 / 12 & 60 / 9 & 73 / 5\end{array}$
$79 / 20 \quad 79 / 20 \quad 91 / 15 \quad 92 / 10 \quad 93 / 16$
93/16 93/20 93/22 95/13 98/8
$\begin{array}{llll}98 / 21 & 99 / 12 & 99 / 15 & 100 / 9 \\ 102 / 20\end{array}$
105/17 106/5 107/12 108/19
111/2 119/20
anybody [4] $8 / 2$ 10/10 $98 / 21$ 113/22
anymore [1] 28/10
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { anyone }[11] & 21 / 16 & 33 / 6 & 33 / 14\end{array}$ $36 / 5 \quad 36 / 6 \quad 36 / 11 \quad 47 / 24 \quad 62 / 7$ 86/16 90/18 93/21
anything [30] $4 / 13 \quad 4 / 15 \quad 8 / 21$ 8/24 9/3 9/4 12/23 18/18 25/8 $27 / 10 \quad 35 / 10 \quad 36 / 11 \quad 36 / 12 \quad 43 / 24$
$\begin{array}{llllll}46 / 18 & 47 / 22 & 72 / 12 & 73 / 11 & 74 / 17\end{array}$
84/15 87/17 93/17 98/10 98/11
100/12 102/2 102/16 107/20
107/22 126/5
anytime [1] 107/22
anyway [1] 14/25
anywhere [1] 55/17
apologize [1] 68/15
appalling [1] 88/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { apparently } & {[11]} & 8 / 1 & 15 / 1 & 15 / 2\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 11 & 23 / 13 & 23 / 19 & 24 / 18 & 26 / 14\end{array}$ 27/19 37/17 125/1
appearances [1] 75/24
appears [3] $7 / 17$ 29/6 $53 / 17$
applies [1] 113/20
appointments [1] 122/8
approach [6] 3/6 17/21 89/11
98/15 108/4 120/15
approached [1] 15/9
appropriate [3] 91/14 $106 / 12$ 112/16
April [1] 29/1
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { are }[108] & 6 / 1 & 7 / 2 & 7 / 7 & 9 / 4 & 22 / 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 8 & 22 / 16 & 24 / 4 & 24 / 8 & 25 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}26 / 16 & 27 / 16 & 27 / 19 & 30 / 10 & 30 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}30 / 19 & 34 / 7 & 37 / 2 & 37 / 3 & 37 / 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 14 & 41 / 1 & 42 / 15 & 42 / 22 & 42 / 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}43 / 1 & 43 / 1 & 43 / 14 & 43 / 15 & 43 / 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 19 & 45 / 10 & 45 / 17 & 45 / 18 & 46 / 9\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}46 / 14 & 46 / 15 & 47 / 9 & 50 / 5 & 51 / 8\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llll}62 / 17 & 63 / 10 & 65 / 23 & 66 / 4 \\ 66 / 19\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}66 / 24 & 67 / 11 & 70 / 1 & 70 / 20 & 72 / 11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 20 & 74 / 5 & 75 / 11 & 86 / 1 & 87 / 25\end{array}$
$88 / 3$ 88/4 88/15 91/3 91/15
91/16 $91 / 18 \quad 91 / 24 \quad 93 / 3 \quad 93 / 15$
94/19 95/6 95/19 96/16 96/24
98/2 98/10 99/2 99/4 99/22
100/13 100/14 101/7 101/12
101/17 101/25 104/2 104/20
104/21 105/16 108/9 108/10 109/1 109/9 109/10 $109 / 18$ 111/3
112/9 112/20 114/5 114/17
$116 / 20$ 116/23 116/23 117/1
$117 / 7$ 122/21 123/21 124/14
125/2 125/14 125/14 125/22
area [2] $32 / 11 \quad 34 / 19$
aren't [4] $30 / 12 \quad 36 / 19 \quad 66 / 3$ 115/1
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { argue [6] } 20 / 6 & 48 / 25 & 68 / 24\end{array}$ 69/20 69/25 71/22
argued [5] 45/23 45/23 60/23 80/12 83/18
argues [2] 48/18 $49 / 3$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { arguing [5] } & 59 / 3 & 59 / 4 & 68 / 23\end{array}$ 69/11 73/15
argument [41] 13/20 47/16 49/1 $49 / 23$ 50/6 56/13 $58 / 14 \quad 59 / 17$ 59/21 62/8 64/3 64/5 64/6 67/6 67/7 $67 / 23 \quad 68 / 19 \quad 68 / 25 \quad 69 / 8$ 69/9 69/16 69/17 $69 / 24 \quad 70 / 7$ $\begin{array}{lllll}71 / 13 & 73 / 14 & 74 / 15 & 75 / 14 & 75 / 15\end{array}$ 81/12 84/6 85/9 85/19 89/14 89/15 90/4 90/5 109/4 115/11 116/3 116/4
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { arguments [14] } & 4 / 4 & 5 / 1 & 5 / 1 & 5 / 20\end{array}$
49/2 52/23 53/8 53/11 53/11 70/19 88/3 91/7 91/9 93/1
armed [1] 42/4
Armstrong [5] 59/5 65/8 65/10 83/4 87/1
Armstrong's [1] 83/5
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { around [7] } & 23 / 6 & 34 / 24 & 45 / 6\end{array}$
79/24 81/21 101/6 124/13
arrest [3] 15/2 86/12 $86 / 17$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { arrested [14] } & 8 / 8 & 9 / 24 & 12 / 10\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 17 & 12 / 18 & 14 / 3 & 15 / 13 & 37 / 14\end{array}$
49/5 54/18 83/21 85/7 85/15
85/16
as [127]
aside [1] 83/8
ask [32] $15 / 6$ 35/8 $41 / 8 \quad 41 / 10$

| A | 84/20 86/14 86/14 93/4 97/11 | 125/15 126/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
|  | 101/18101/21 $1019231021^{1017}$ | beat [2] |
| 47/14 49/8 50/5 50/10 60/11 | 102/4 102/11 102/18 103/10 | became [2] 76/10 88/10 |
| 63/11 67/23 80/23 84/18 95/1 | 103/18 103/21 104/4 104/21 | because [94] $3 / 19$ 3/21 6/17 $8 / 4$ |
| 97/1 97/12 97/15 98/8 98/11 | 104/23 104/25 106/18 107/10 | 10/8 10/10 10/23 11/8 11/16 |
| 98/13 98/14 100/23 102/17 | 108/19 112/6 116/18 117/13 | 13/9 15/20 16/20 17/7 19/2 |
| 105/23 105/23 106/21 113/5 | 117/14 119/24 120/19 121/3 | 19/10 19/11 19/19 21/10 21/19 |
| 119/17 119/23 | 121/6 121/21 122/4 122/12 | 24/4 25/10 26/5 28/7 28/13 |
| asked [27] 8/20 11/13 11/22 | 122/19 122/21 122/23 122/25 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}11 / 24 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 6 & 20 / 21 & 20 / 25\end{array}$ | 123/2 123/17 124/4 124/6 124/7 |  |
| 23/7 55/25 60/17 61/18 70/15 | 124/21 124/23 125/2 125/7 | 40/17 $41 / 1$ 41/11 $42 / 8 \quad 42 / 17$ |
| 90/18 94/13 94/24 95/6 95/7 | 125/12 | 42/19 43/25 44/20 44/21 44/22 |
| 95/19 96/1 96/16 97/19 105/20 | back-to-back [1] 84/20 | 48/18 50/21 53/3 53/5 53/18 |
| 111/22 111/24 121/5 124/6 | backpack [3] 17/19 20/14 21/7 | 53/19 54/17 54/22 55/23 59/19 |
| asking [3] 116/11 121/7 125/7 | backyard [1] 14/15 | 61/19 61/20 65/4 65/22 66/14 |
| asks [1] 31/19 | bad [2] 8/21 115/15 | 66/24 69/24 71/24 72/10 72/15 |
| assess [2] 47/14 47/ | badge [1] 88/22 | 74/1 74/3 76/15 78/8 78/19 79/3 |
| assessor [1] 26/7 | badges [3] 70/24 89/4 90/22 | 81/12 82/22 83/19 85/1 88/18 |
| assist [2] 46/3 46/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { bag [9] } & 16 / 10 & 16 / 10 & 16 / 11 & 16 / 14\end{array}$ | 92/22 93/3 97/9 103/18 105/13 |
| Assistant [1] 1/19 | 21/7 25/24 61/12 61/16 67/15 | 108/17 110/14 111/11 111/20 |
| assists [1] 45/9 | baggage [2] 44/18 46/23 | 112/19 113/19 113/24 114/4 |
| assume [6] 7/9 98/6 108/8 | bags [8] 23/5 24/17 24/25 28/22 | 114/8 114/19 116/11 116/15 |
| 114/10 114/11 114/12 | 46/14 100/7 100/8 102/1 | 118/23 120/20 |
| assuming [2] 101/2 106/15 | Baker [1] 23/18 | become [1] 53/22 |
| Atlanta [1] 60/7 | Baker Street [1] 23/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { becomes [4] } & 16 / 8 & 77 / 8 & 93 / 9 & 98 / 7\end{array}$ |
| attack [1] 63/24 | Baltimore [18] 1/10 1/25 5/25 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { been [40] } & 3 / 17 & 6 / 18 & 7 / 17 & 11 / 25\end{array}$ |
| attacked [2] 65/2 65/3 | 8/8 8/19 36/20 41/25 44/4 45/5 | 14/3 21/10 24/24 26/19 31/25 |
| attacking [1] 83/11 | 52/10 60/3 60/9 60/10 60/14 | 32/5 33/9 39/11 42/7 42/8 45/6 |
| attempt [2] 8/1 93/12 | 62/16 70/24 85/13 87/14 | 48/12 52/15 52/21 53/24 54/1 |
| attempted [2] 47/23 77/ | banded [1] 13/9 | 61/1 63/20 64/5 75/13 77/1 |
| attend [2] 12/14 122/7 | bang [1] 79/3 | 81/13 89/25 90/21 91/13 94/11 |
| attendance [2] 59/23 60/5 | bank [6] 29/9 30/6 46/10 67/12 | 99/5 101/14 103/20 106/10 |
| attention [1] 53/17 | 67/18 69/22 | 107/14 109/24 112/14 114/25 |
| Attorney [1] 79/18 | bar [2] 86/15 117/19 | 124/12 125/4 |
| Attorney's [1] 66/20 | barn [3] 40/4 79/19 81/16 | before [27] 1/11 6/17 8/14 8/18 |
| attorneys [2] 1/19 58/9 | base [2] 7/8 63/2 |  |
| audacity [1] 38/12 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { based [8] } & \text { 6/25 7/11 } & 7 / 13 & 14 / 14\end{array}$ | 41/6 42/9 49/6 52/15 73/9 76/11 |
| audience [1] 51/2 | 14/17 71/7 109/3 124/12 | 76/21 76/21 77/2 77/4 77/5 |
| Audio [3] 35/19 90/13 90/19 | basement [4] 23/12 25/1 27/2 | 81/20 81/21 81/24 119/24 121/6 |
| August [15] 28/6 28/8 28/12 | 58/8 | 121/21 |
| 28/14 28/15 28/15 29/13 29/14 | basically [1] 76/22 | began [1] 77/12 |
| 59/5 59/20 77/21 83/3 87/1 89/5 | basing [1] 115/24 | begin [1] 103/9 |
| 126/18 | basis [1] 94/12 | beginning [6] 11/20 19/22 49/22 |
| August 8th [3] 28/8 28/12 29/14 | basketball [1] 84/12 | 52/9 68/19 69/7 |
| August 9th [4] 28/6 28/14 28/15 | BB [6] 9/20 9/22 9/23 54/14 | behalf [2] 106/22 107/6 |
| 29/13 | 54/19 99/24 | behind [4] 13/1 52/23 63/7 89/3 |
| aunt [1] 85/12 | BB gun [6] 9/20 9/22 9/23 54/14 | being [15] 12/10 17/14 21/7 |
| authorities [1] 25/6 | 54/19 99/24 | 23/9 23/23 40/11 66/11 69/5 |
| authorize [1] 62/10 | be [114] 3/3 3/24 4/15 5/2 5/7 | 69/14 70/25 104/21 105/11 |
| authorized [1] 6/2 | 5/11 5/18 5/19 6/24 6/24 12/13 | 111/24 113/18 114/22 |
| authorizing [1] 62/21 | 19/21 20/1 20/5 20/6 22/19 | belief [1] 62/12 |
| available [1] 124/14 | 23/16 26/2 $26 / 25$ 27/6 27/7 $29 / 1$ | believe [45] 6/19 6/20 8/25 |
| Avenue [2] 17/20 20/15 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 7 & 31 / 13 & 32 / 12 & 34 / 5 & 37 / 8\end{array}$ | 9/14 $9 / 14 \begin{array}{llll} & 11 / 4 & 11 / 9 & 36 / 10\end{array} 37 / 19$ |
| aware [8] $24 / 19$ 35/21 40/9 40/9 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}39 / 17 & 45 / 12 & 45 / 19 & 46 / 9 & 47 / 3\end{array}$ | 40/13 41/20 42/2 42/13 42/18 |
| 40/10 53/24 125/1 125/3 | 48/15 49/17 $49 / 21$ 49/21 51/12 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}44 / 2 & 48 / 4 & 48 / 5 & 48 / 6 & 48 / 7 & 48 / 9\end{array}$ |
| away [10] 8/3 22/6 26/4 40/7 | 53/2 53/3 55/17 57/6 59/18 | 53/6 56/17 57/11 57/13 57/25 |
| 40/16 40/23 49/8 73/13 74/17 | 61/25 63/16 68/23 69/14 73/12 | 61/24 64/13 64/15 65/11 65/15 |
| 77/19 | 73/22 74/16 75/4 75/17 82/25 | 65/16 65/23 66/14 68/15 70/19 |
| B | 83/14 86/7 86/7 86/20 88/6 | 72/8 75/25 76/1 80/5 81/12 |
|  | 89/19 91/3 91/14 92/4 93/5 93/7 | 83/25 93/25 115/17 118/20 123/5 |
| B. [1] 2/2 | 93/11 94/13 94/17 94/24 95/4 | believed [4] 25/22 53/2 53/3 |
| back [102] 5/17 8/19 9/7 9/21 | 95/6 95/8 96/1 97/9 97/19 97/25 | 70/25 |
|  | 100/17 100/22 101/1 101/5 101/8 | believing [4] 26/16 48/7 48/9 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}16 / 23 & 20 / 1 & 22 / 2 & 22 / 6 & 23 / 23 & 24 / 1\end{array}$ | 101/15 101/17 102/8 102/19 | 88/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 3 & 28 / 10 & 29 / 4 & 29 / 15 & 29 / 25\end{array}$ | 104/9 104/9 104/16 104/22 | belonged [1] 23/1 |
| 33/20 34/11 $34 / 12$ 34/15 34/21 | 104/23 105/16 105/21 108/13 | Belvedere [10] $15 / 17$ 31/23 $32 / 3$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}34 / 22 & 35 / 1 & 35 / 3 & 38 / 16 & 38 / 21\end{array}$ | 110/24 111/6 112/21 113/21 | 32/4 60/19 61/4 61/7 61/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}41 / 15 & 41 / 19 & 41 / 25 & 45 / 3 & 45 / 22\end{array}$ | 113/22 114/6 116/18 116/23 | 61/16 77/5 |
| 57/23 57/24 58/1 58/7 58/25 | 117/8 118/6 118/9 119/15 120/24 | Belvedere Towers [10] 15/17 |
| 67/10 67/16 71/17 71/19 72/4 | 123/7 123/18 123/25 124/13 | 31/23 32/3 32/4 60/19 61/4 61/7 |
| 73/19 78/7 79/16 81/17 84/20 | 124/25 125/3 125/7 125/10 | 61/10 61/16 77/5 |


$\begin{array}{llllll}19 / 10 & 20 / 13 & 49 / 18 & 49 / 19 & 51 / 7 \\ 68 / 12 & 68 / 14 & 70 / 5 & 71 / 17 & 71 / 19\end{array}$
71/20 75/7 89/13 90/11 98/15
98/17 101/9 103/16 103/17
104/19 105/6 105/7 105/13 107/3
107/5 107/24 107/25 108/5 108/6
120/13 120/15 120/17 121/24
122/4 122/5 123/10 123/13
123/15 124/22
benefits [1] 45/9
Benjamin [9] 13/19 13/24 13/24
$\begin{array}{lllllll}14 / 3 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 8\end{array}$
best [1] 117/11
better [2] 117/8 118/11
between [9] 23/5 24/9 24/17 70/11 77/13 84/21 96/15 110/14 124/4
beyond [7] $47 / 21$ 50/12 $50 / 13$ 50/15 52/21 91/1 96/13
bias [2] 6/14 44/25
biased [5] 44/19 44/19 44/20 44/21 44/22
big [6] 16/10 16/10 26/7 28/22 63/25 88/10
Bill [1] 28/2
bills [1] $84 / 5$
binders [5] 103/10 103/16
103/20 103/21 104/20
birdseed [2] 70/16 70/22
bit [4] 21/18 61/25 94/2 102/18
black [2] 33/25 102/1
BLAKE [3] 1/11 66/21 67/2
blame [1] 24/14
Blames [1] 14/22
blank [1] 113/3
blind [1] 43/18
blinds [1] 81/14
block [2] 26/4 45/6
blood [1] 43/14
blooded [1] 40/22
boiled [1] 59/21
book [2] 37/1 37/2
boss [3] 62/9 62/13 $62 / 20$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { both [14] } & 11 / 14 & 14 / 1 & 17 / 5 & 56 / 22\end{array}$
65/20 66/12 66/18 70/9 70/15
76/2 80/6 82/8 87/4 89/1
bottom [1] 19/8
bought [2] 85/10 85/13
box [8] 21/9 21/12 21/17 21/17
22/25 23/2 97/11 112/1
boxes [1] 112/24
boy [1] 25/23
$\begin{array}{llllll}B P D & {[4]} & 30 / 10 & 30 / 23 & 31 / 11 & 79 / 8\end{array}$
brakes [1] 11/5
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { break [7] } & 22 / 21 & 22 / 22 & 45 / 3\end{array}$ 49/14 57/5 57/5 88/7
breeze [1] 13/8
briefly [4] $3 / 6$ 49/18 $85 / 22$ 87/4
brilliant [1] 3/12
bring [14] 3/21 27/21 56/19 99/13 101/21 102/4 102/15 122/12 $122 / 18$ 122/19 $122 / 21$ 122/23 124/23 125/13
bringing [1] 106/18
brings [3] $10 / 17$ 41/2 $41 / 25$
broke [2] 55/9 55/10
broken [1] 111/3
brother [1] 29/20

48/22 $54 / 17$ 55/20 $56 / 7 \quad 61 / 17$
63/13 75/25 80/15 80/16 80/17 89/16 104/21
Brown [4] $21 / 15$ 23/1 23/2 $23 / 3$
buddy [2] 41/13 41/17
bugged [1] 87/14
bulb [1] 79/24
bullets [1] $4 / 4$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { burden [4] } & 30 / 15 & 48 / 19 & 48 / 20\end{array}$ 50/12
business [6] $16 / 21 \quad 25 / 10 \quad 31 / 10$ 38/20 38/24 40/9
busted [1] 59/9
busy [1] 10/23
buy [2] 32/24 115/11
buying [1] 17/4
C
C. [1] 2/4
calculations [2] 24/10 24/10
calculus [1] 39/7
calendar [1] 123/1
call [13] $22 / 9$ 55/4 55/14 59/12 82/20 83/19 83/23 83/24 86/15 86/20 103/24 126/4 126/5
called [14] $7 / 15$ 25/9 47/7 $47 / 7$ 49/7 52/22 56/23 56/24 60/6
60/8 68/3 68/6 85/6 87/12
calling [3] 39/6 47/5 75/23
calls [2] 37/18 62/3
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { came [24] } & 6 / 19 & 12 / 5 & 12 / 19 & 13 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 14 & 14 / 7 & 15 / 5 & 16 / 12 & 19 / 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 15 & 23 / 14 & 25 / 7 & 28 / 22 & 29 / 4\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 22 & 32 / 4 & 33 / 11 & 33 / 21 & 34 / 11\end{array}$ 61/13 64/10 77/14 88/13 104/1 can [87] $3 / 6 \quad 5 / 18$ 6/20 6/24 6/24 7/12 10/3 17/10 18/16 20/5 $\begin{array}{llll}20 / 8 & 24 / 14 & 25 / 12 & 25 / 21\end{array} 30 / 13$ 36/17 37/18 38/24 39/7 42/14 $\begin{array}{llllll}45 / 1 & 45 / 2 & 45 / 2 & 45 / 18 & 49 / 17\end{array}$ 51/12 62/4 69/1 69/20 69/25 $\begin{array}{llllll}72 / 9 & 72 / 13 & 73 / 1 & 73 / 4 & 73 / 6 & 74 / 8\end{array}$
76/22 77/16 82/13 82/14 87/22 90/3 92/13 93/10 98/1 100/6 101/22 102/3 103/7 103/12 103/14 104/6 104/9 104/11 $106 / 1$ 106/8 106/16 107/12 108/19 109/25 110/15 110/17 110/21 110/22 112/10 112/12 113/6 113/15 117/9 117/13 117/14 117/23 120/7 120/22 121/12 121/15 121/16 121/20 123/13 124/20 124/23 124/25 125/15 125/25 126/2 126/2 126/7
can't [26] $9 / 14 \quad 9 / 14 \quad 9 / 18 \quad 10 / 23$ $\begin{array}{lllll}17 / 17 & 25 / 4 & 30 / 10 & 33 / 5 & 38 / 19\end{array}$ 39/19 48/25 59/18 62/10 63/7 63/8 63/9 64/14 65/20 69/20 69/22 72/16 98/1 105/25 106/6 112/15 122/11
cannot [9] $3 / 17 \quad 45 / 19 \quad 47 / 11$ $\begin{array}{lllll}48 / 1 & 48 / 6 & 48 / 7 & 48 / 21 & 49 / 7 \\ 122 / 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { car } & \text { [33] } & 9 / 24 & 9 / 24 & 11 / 5\end{array} 13 / 1$ 22/7 29/10 32/11 $32 / 14 \quad 32 / 15$ $32 / 19 \quad 32 / 20 \quad 33 / 2 \quad 33 / 6 \quad 33 / 14$ $\begin{array}{lllll}33 / 18 & 34 / 21 & 35 / 14 & 44 / 4 & 44 / 5\end{array}$ 44/7 47/1 54/15 54/19 57/12 67/12 67/15 79/17 81/14 87/20 89/6 89/21 90/6 101/19

92/25
carried [3] $21 / 7$ 63/9 90/22
Carroll [3] 38/16 39/24 63/25
Carroll County [3] 38/16 39/24 63/25
carry [1] 89/2
cars [1] 38/25
cartel [1] 60/15
case [52] $1 / 4 \quad 7 / 8 \quad 7 / 8 \quad 9 / 11 \quad 9 / 21$
9/23 11/14 11/16 11/19 11/19
$\begin{array}{llllllllll}12 / 12 & 25 / 7 & 27 / 15 & 31 / 9 & 38 / 3\end{array}$
45/20 46/1 $48 / 19$ 48/24 51/23
52/9 53/12 54/15 57/9 58/11
64/7 64/9 64/19 70/17 72/10
73/21 75/11 80/9 87/10 88/3
88/9 88/24 91/1 91/16 92/2
92/15 93/18 $93 / 24$ 95/18 $98 / 7$ 98/8 98/9 100/17 102/22 103/25 123/4 125/20
cases [3] 8/12 52/16 65/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { cash [4] } & 25 / 15 & 38 / 20 & 38 / 23\end{array}$
38/24
casino [2] 38/21 38/23
casinos [1] 39/20
CATHERINE [1] $1 / 11$
caught [1] 99/6
CCB [1] 1/4
CCB-17-106 [1] 1/4
$\begin{array}{lllllll}\text { cell }[5] & 14 / 2 & 14 / 6 & 27 / 6 & 27 / 22\end{array}$ 86/1
cell phone [4] 14/2 14/6 27/22 86/1
cell phones [1] 27/6
Center [1] 41/6
central [4] 64/19 64/21 64/22 80/9
certain [3] 94/12 101/17 114/4 certainly [7] 34/6 45/3 68/13
70/20 76/16 115/7 115/8
Certified [1] 126/17
certify [1] 126/12
cetera [2] 125/21 125/21
chambers [3] 121/13 124/14 126/6
chance [3] 48/25 90/24 98/23
change [6] 53/11 53/11 58/7 75/18 92/19 119/16
changed [6] 13/10 19/23 73/2 76/14 $76 / 15$ 88/3
changing [2] 36/21 37/4
characterization [1] 67/23
characterize [2] 69/24 90/3
characterized [3] 68/22 68/23 89/25
$\begin{array}{lllllllllll}\text { charge [4] } & 7 / 6 & 77 / 8 & 95 / 7 & 96 / 6\end{array}$
charged [15] 8/16 44/22 61/24
64/9 66/1 73/20 75/11 75/17
86/2 86/6 87/10 91/3 91/24
96/11 105/24
charges [3] 9/11 55/21 83/16
chase [1] 41/1
chased [1] 89/6
chasing [1] 14/1
check [11] $14 / 18$ 46/25 47/19
104/3 105/10 106/24 112/24
113/2 113/5 118/25 121/9
checked [7] $3 / 15$ 32/3 $44 / 10$

| C | 23/11 24/6 25/18 26/5 26/10 | 71/20 75/7 89/13 90/11 98/17 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 601中age313\} 6f4159 105/7 107/3 |
| checked. | cold [1] 40/ | 107/5 $10772510876120 / 13$ |
| 119/2 122/6 | cold-blooded [1] 40/22 | 120/17 121/24 122/5 123/10 |
| checking [3] 44/7 46/13 112/1 | collect [2] 103/12 103/1 | 123/15 124/22 |
| chest [2] 15/8 88/23 | collectively [1] 97/14 | conferred [2] 10 |
| child [4] 10/1 10/2 10/5 10/6 | come [32] 6/2 22/13 22/14 23/3 | confirm [1] 31/6 |
| child's [1] 46/25 | 38/12 40/5 42/16 45/8 55/22 | confronted [5] 17/9 17/13 20/9 |
| childhood [3] 8/7 40/10 68/5 | 57/19 67/15 68/12 71/17 71/19 | 20/19 21/10 |
| children [1] 23/12 | 83/13 95/25 97/11 101/3 102/18 | confuse [1] 67/1 |
| choice [3] $16 / 18$ 88/20 100/25 | 105/6 106/8 107/12 116/18 | confused [2] 72/2 114/19 |
| choose [3] 78/3 106/5 107/12 | 117/13 117/14 122/4 122/25 | confusing [4] 58/12 117/6 |
| chose [5] 52/4 52/4 78/4 78/17 | 124/6 124/7 125/7 125/9 125/12 | 119/13 119/16 |
| 90/23 | comes [17] 8/19 9/7 14/21 15/6 | confusion [1] 73/22 |
| chosen [2] 52/1 52/2 | 18/12 24/23 32/16 32/20 34/19 | connection [1] 60/15 |
| Christopher [1] 2/4 | 38/16 39/6 40/8 58/25 74/19 | connections [1] 16/22 |
| Christopher C. Nieto [1] 2/4 | 76/22 124/4 126/7 | conscience [3] 43/12 43/13 |
| church [1] 32/ | coming [16] 6/6 15/8 19/21 | 43/15 |
| city [1] 88/7 | 23/23 42/9 43/25 46/18 57/6 | conscientious [1] 93/2 |
| Citywide [1] 78/6 | 73/19 82/20 85/7 87/21 87/25 | consent [3] 34/4 123/22 123/25 |
| Citywide Shootings [1] 78/6 | 101/5 123/2 125/2 | consider [21] 7/13 39/10 41/3 |
| Civilians [1] 27/16 | commented [2] 68/21 78/2 | 45/25 $48 / 17 \quad 49 / 1 \quad 72 / 3 \quad 72 / 17$ |
| claim [8] 21/6 21/9 21/25 22/24 | comments [1] 89/22 | 91/21 92/6 95/20 106/4 107/11 |
| 31/24 38/1 66/2 82/1 | commit [17] 52/5 66/2 67/8 68/9 | 109/21 111/7 111/13 112/15 |
| claimed [11] 10/7 10/18 14/11 | 81/11 94/16 94/22 95/3 110/5 | 117/24 119/5 120/1 120/19 |
| 15/3 15/16 30/5 85/2 86/7 86/7 | 110/20 113/13 115/4 115/6 | consideration [2] 39/2 92/16 |
| 86/11 87/2 | 115/10 116/6 118/3 120/7 | considered [1] 84/13 |
| claiming [8] 21/8 22/16 23/16 | commitments [1] 122/8 | considering [2] 92/25 94/15 |
| 24/9 32/23 37/7 38/15 57/8 | committed [26] 42/3 43/4 52/20 | consistent [3] 65/25 81/2 104/1 |
| claims [16] 10/16 14/7 16/5 | 53/3 65/12 65/17 65/17 65/19 | conspiracy [45] $7 / 15$ 8/2 $8 / 10$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}24 / 23 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 3 & 26 / 19 & 31 / 9\end{array}$ | 65/23 66/7 74/3 74/6 75/16 | 8/16 9/12 $24 / 5$ 40/12 47/23 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 19 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 14 & 33 / 1 & 37 / 25\end{array}$ | 75/16 76/8 77/3 77/4 91/2 95/13 | 53/14 53/19 53/20 53/23 54/3 |
| 38/10 38/13 57/22 | 96/8 114/3 114/7 117/3 118/7 | 77/3 77/12 77/15 77/17 78/4 |
| clean [5] 43/12 43/13 43/15 | 119/1 119/2 | 78/13 80/23 80/25 81/1 94/4 |
| 98/20 117/5 | committing [9] $41 / 4 \quad 53 / 4 \quad 53 / 5$ | 94/9 94/16 94/22 94/25 95/3 |
| clear [7] 12/23 20/8 34/8 66/9 | 53/6 59/22 59/23 70/11 70/12 | 110/20 110/25 113/12 113/21 |
| 74/2 109/6 114/18 | 88/15 | 114/16 115/10 115/23 115/24 |
| clearance [1] 47/6 | common [2] 56/19 92/9 | 117/1 118/3 118/8 118/20 119/5 |
| clearly [6] 55/25 69/15 109/15 | common sense [2] 56/19 92/9 | 119/9 119/10 119/19 120/6 |
| 114/15 117/1 117/8 | commonly [1] 87/7 | conspirator [1] 119/6 |
| clerk [5] 97/7 97/12 97 | communicate [2] 93/10 93/12 | conspirators [2] 44/15 116/24 |
| 97/20 97/21 | communicating [2] 24/4 93/16 | conspire [1] 47/24 |
| Clewell [5] 62/5 77/19 78/22 | compartment [1] 83/7 | conspired [2] 36/5 41/16 |
| 78/23 79/11 | complain [4] 10/11 25/5 88/17 | constitute [1] 123/22 |
| client [1] 31/10 | 88/17 | construction [1] 88/14 |
| clientele [1] 16/21 | complainant [1] 14/7 | consult [3] 92/12 106/16 118/15 |
| clients [5] 106/16 106/25 117/1 | complainants [1] 13/21 | consulted [1] |
| 118/15 122/18 | complained [1] 10/12 | contained [1] 114/18 |
| climbed [1] 59/8 | complaining [4] $10 / 15$ 35/12 | contains [2] 25/17 111/4 |
| close [6] 3/17 23/4 26/17 | 35/14 37/15 | contents [1] 46/16 |
| 100/17 101/8 124/13 | complete [2] 19/12 19/1010 | contest [1] 85/3 |
| closed [3] 13/19 55/10 83/1 | completely [3] 32/5 101/20 | continue [9] 96/11 96/21 100/24 |
| closer [2] 21/3 32/10 | 109/18 | 102/17 105/24 106/2 111/15 |
| closet [1] 25/17 | complicated [2] 62/15 62/19 | 117/12 121/8 |
| closing [5] 3/11 5/19 10/8 | comprehensible [1] 65/25 | continuing [4] 5/19 95/19 98/4 |
| 13/20 47/16 | compunction [2] 36/2 36/3 | 125/1 |
| clothing [1] 12/7 | concept [5] 62/15 62/19 112/3 | control [4] 36/8 64/5 91/17 |
| club [1] 60/17 | 115/15 117/15 | 98/12 |
| co [10] 44/15 47/6 47/7 55/7 | concern [5] 8/24 32/20 47/2 | controlling [1] 50/4 |
| 56/22 61/22 62/1 116/24 119/5 | 110/13 111/19 | controls [11] 4/1 4/6 4/9 5/3 |
| 119/6 | concerned [7] 3/22 8/4 8/5 9/8 | 49/25 58/19 58/21 76/18 80/13 |
| co-conspiracy [1] 119/5 | 26/2 114/9 123/21 | 91/12 92/2 |
| co-conspirator [1] 119/6 | concerns [1] 98/21 | conveniently [2] 16/12 24/18 |
| co-conspirators [2] 44/15 | concluded [14] 5/8 20/13 51/7 | conversation [2] 79/25 109/20 |
| 116/24 | 70/5 75/7 90/11 101/9 104/19 | conversations [3] 43/9 91/19 |
| co-defendants [4] 55/7 56/22 | 107/3 107/25 120/13 121/24 | 101/18 |
| 61/22 62/1 | 123/10 124/22 | convict [4] 43/20 47/12 48/1 |
| co-pilot [2] 47/6 47/7 | conclusion [2] 73/10 92/3 | 48/21 |
| coached [1] 84/12 | concoct [1] 84/19 | convicted [1] 6/1 |
| cocaine [21] $16 / 15$ 16/17 $16 / 17$ | condo [1] 82/18 | conviction [2] 92/21 93/3 |
| 16/20 16/25 17/3 20/20 21/1 | conference [28] 3/9 5/8 17/23 | convictions [3] 39/11 39/12 |
| 21/24 22/3 22/6 23/7 23/10 | 20/13 49/19 51/7 68/14 70/5 | 44/16 |


| C | 109/6 110/10 111/3 111/4 111/7 | cross-examination [2] 65/14 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 60/Bage 132 of 152 |
| nvinced 119 ${ }^{\text {a/1 }}$ | $114 / 18115 / 2115 / 7$ 116/1 11 | CRR [3] ${ }_{\text {l }}{ }^{1723} 126712126 / 16$ |
| cookies [1] 64/24 | 117/7 117/10 117/11 118/9 | Crystal [3] 2/11 104/11 104/13 |
| Cooler [1] 21/2 | 118/11 118/23 118/24 119/2 | Crystal Panas [1] 2/ |
| cooperate [1] 104/15 | Count 3 [4] 96/1 96/9 96/11 | CSO [1] 102/24 |
| cooperated [1] 66/25 | 106/7 | CSOs [1] 121/20 |
| cooperating [1] 15/5 | Count 4 [3] 96/5 96/6 96/12 | cultivated [1] 16/22 |
| cooperators [1] 44/15 | Count 5 [3] 95/25 96/17 96/20 | Culver [2] 77/9 80/1 |
| copier [2] 121/12 121/20 | Count 6 [2] 96/19 96/21 | Culver Street [2] 77/9 80/1 |
| copies [2] 104/24 124/3 | counted [2] 21/11 88/19 | current [1] 98/22 |
| cops [4] 81/21 82/3 88/8 | counting [1] 115/18 | customers [1] 67/13 |
| copy [10] 98/20 101/13 101/13 | country [1] 87/3 | cut [9] $11 / 7$ 11/8 $11 / 9$ 11/10 |
| 119/23 121/6 121/7 121/12 | counts [15] 95/25 96/15 105/19 | 22/21 22/22 54/25 57/5 76/7 |
| 121/21 124/3 126/2 | 108/19 109/21 110/14 111/8 | D |
| correct [9] 28/7 47/8 49/9 | 115/20 116/11 116/20 116/23 | D |
| 49/10 50/7 50/8 74/18 107/20 | 117/12 117/24 119/20 120/1 | D.C [2] 32/10 122/9 |
| 126/13 | Counts 1 [1] 105/19 | DA [1] 28/5 |
| correcting [1] 36/21 | Counts 2 [7] 109/21 115/20 | damage [1] 38/14 |
| corroborate [7] 13/4 15/11 27/4 | 116/11 116/20 117/24 119/20 | dangerous [1] 46/19 |
| 27/8 27/23 33/16 45/17 | 120/1 | DANIEL [9] $1 / 5$ 2/1 51/21 63/1 |
| corroborated [1] 59/13 | Counts 3 [3] 95/25 111/8 117/12 | 65/19 67/7 80/11 94/8 95/9 |
| corroborates [1] 57/3 | Counts 5 [1] 96/15 | Daniel Hersl [5] 2/1 63/1 65/19 |
| corroboration [3] 14/9 45/12 | County [4] 38/16 39/24 41/6 | 67/7 80/11 |
| 45/15 | 63 | Daniel Thomas Hersl [3] 51/21 |
| couch [1] 81/6 | couple [7] 4/16 9/5 67/4 82/15 | 94/8 95/9 |
| could [47] 10/10 11/10 12/3 | 98/18 101/10 101/25 | Danny [2] 11/22 82/19 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}12 / 7 & 20 / 20 & 28 / 3 & 31 / 1 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 3\end{array}$ | course [11] 34/23 51/17 51/23 | Danny Hersl [1] 11/22 |
| 31/5 32/4 42/5 44/9 50/11 52/16 | 60/21 63/8 84/3 92/11 92/18 | Danny's [1] 76/25 |
| 52/23 55/17 63/23 65/15 67/18 | 106/15 125/17 125/20 | date [10] 28/3 28/5 28/7 28/11 |
| 70/20 71/22 73/18 75/17 79/3 | court [37] 1/1 1/24 20/19 20/19 | 58/14 58/15 59/16 97/4 97/4 |
| 81/25 83/2 84/22 87/5 87/5 | 22/14 25/8 44/24 50/11 50/21 | 126/18 |
| 87/11 87/12 87/13 87/13 90/16 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { 56/1 57/7 72/7 } & 72 / 15 & 73 / 2 & 75 / 24\end{array}$ | day [39] 12/20 12/20 15/18 |
| 103/16 106/11 113/11 113/21 | 93/8 93/10 93/11 93/13 93/14 | 15/18 16/2 16/24 21/13 22/10 |
| 113/22 115/7 115/8 116/7 116/25 | 93/21 97/7 97/10 97/22 101/7 | 22/22 23/15 24/4 24/5 25/18 |
| 117/16 119/10 124/7 | 102/4 102/25 103/3 103/7 105/23 | 25/22 27/15 27/20 28/19 33/10 |
| couldn't [8] 12/14 55/8 60/1 | 106/21 117/9 120/19 124/10 | 33/15 33/18 40/3 41/21 42/14 |
| 60/2 63/16 81/7 85/20 88/16 | 125/15 126/11 126/18 | 44/6 53/10 58/6 58/14 59/3 59/5 |
| counsel [21] 4/19 5/2 49/17 | Court's [3] 72/11 73/5 114/15 | 62/22 62/22 66/24 77/8 78/3 |
| 50/1 66/12 70/16 71/17 91/7 | courthouse [3] 42/9 55/22 83/15 | 83/14 84/1 86/5 88/24 102/13 |
| 91/8 91/22 98/14 103/16 104/8 | courtroom [18] 1/9 5/16 6/12 | day's [2] 62/18 62/18 |
| 104/21 105/5 107/4 108/4 118/16 | 7/9 11/23 12/6 48/2 48/22 49/15 | days [6] 6/25 12/20 30/18 83/2 |
| 120/15 122/4 123/13 | 51/11 52/7 54/6 56/19 101/21 | 84/20 86/1 |
| counsel's [3] 49/23 64/4 94/1 | 101/23 122/16 122/19 124/24 | DEA [1] 32/8 |
| count [83] 10/8 10/10 78/13 | cousin [2] 41/20 85/17 | dealer [11] 8/7 31/11 37/25 |
| 78/14 $78 / 15$ 94/3 94/4 $94 / 8$ | cover [3] 79/8 84/9 88/1 | 38/10 38/11 39/11 40/6 65/8 |
| 94/23 95/7 95/8 95/9 95/19 | cracking [1] 57/16 | 81/13 84/11 85/4 |
| 95/25 96/1 96/5 96/6 96/9 96/11 | crash [1] 44/8 | dealers [1] 38/6 |
| 96/12 96/17 96/19 96/20 96/21 | crashed [1] 89/7 | dealing [2] 24/19 57/7 |
| 106/7 106/7 108/9 108/11 108/17 | crawl [1] 29/17 | Dear [2] 107/11 119/25 |
| 108/18 109/1 109/6 109/22 110/2 | created [2] 28/8 28/11 | death [2] 12/11 12/14 |
| 110/10 110/15 110/25 110/25 | credibility [11] 39/3 39/13 | debited [1] 46/8 |
| 111/3 111/4 111/5 111/7 111/9 | 39/14 $41 / 3$ 44/13 $44 / 14$ 44/14 | decade [2] 41/15 41/23 |
| 111/14 111/19 111/22 111/25 | 47/15 47/20 50/2 91/25 | decide [10] 56/18 66/23 67/2 |
| 113/25 114/1 114/4 114/5 114/13 | credible [3] 35/20 56/18 66/11 | 69/1 74/4 89/19 92/11 92/15 |
| 114/18 115/2 115/7 115/9 115/23 | credit [2] 39/7 42/9 | 116/8 126/4 |
| 115/24 116/1 $116 / 4 \quad 116 / 17$ | Creighton [1] 85/17 | deciding [3] 109/22 117/25 |
| 116/18 117/7 117/10 117/11 | crew [1] 78/6 | 120/2 |
| 117/13 117/14 117/25 118/1 | crime [11] 7/19 59/22 73/24 | decision [12] 7/8 45/25 46/1 |
| 118/9 118/10 118/11 118/20 | 74/3 78/15 81/11 87/16 $96 / 7$ | 46/4 74/6 74/8 105/25 109/25 |
| 118/22 118/23 118/24 118/25 | 96/8 96/12 96/23 | 110/21 111/21 112/16 120/8 |
| 119/2 119/2 119/6 119/11 120/3 | crimes [15] $52 / 5$ 52/20 $53 / 4$ | decisions [2] 94/12 112/10 |
| 120/4 | 53/4 53/5 65/12 65/17 65/18 | defendant [17] $2 / 112 / 3$ 53/23 |
| Count 1 [29] 94/3 94/4 94/8 | 66/1 66/2 74/5 87/10 87/11 | 54/1 78/14 94/7 94/15 95/9 96/1 |
| 94/23 108/9 108/11 109/22 110/2 | 88/16 91/2 | 105/16 108/11 110/19 113/12 |
| 110/15 110/25 111/5 111/9 | criminal [5] $1 / 4$ 5/24 $44 / 15$ | 118/3 118/22 119/18 120/6 |
| 111/19 111/25 114/5 116/4 | 51/19 53/20 | Defendant Hersl [1] 78/14 |
| 116/18 117/13 117/14 117/25 | criminals [4] $6 / 1$ 37/23 $38 / 2$ | defendants [22] 1/6 44/20 55/7 |
| 118/1 118/10 118/22 118/25 | 88/23 | 56/22 61/22 62/1 66/4 70/18 |
| 119/2 119/6 119/11 120/3 120/4 | crisply [1] 117/8 | 75/11 75/20 87/23 88/16 88/19 |
| Count 2 [30] 95/7 95/8 95/9 | criticized [2] 51/23 57/21 | 107/4 107/20 114/3 115/4 115/19 |
| 95/19 106/7 108/17 108/18 109/1 | cross [2] 65/14 70/9 | 116/21 118/16 118/21 123/16 |


| D | 31/13 31/14 31/18 34/13 34/18 | 48/4 54/9 62/5 66/10 66/16 67/5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| defense [22] ${ }^{\text {/ }} 11$ | ective Taylor's [2] ${ }^{\text {a }} 24$ (17/ | 73/23 9 / 18 \% $80 / 2 \frac{1}{3}$ 81/2 82/13 |
| 18/18 28/10 47/18 53/6 58/9 | 26/23 | 84/18 84/22 91/10 92/14 92/15 |
| 61/23 70/15 $70 / 19$ 72/17 73/23 | Detective Ward [4] 13/25 14/2 | 94/24 95/3 96/4 $96 / 25$ 97/23 |
| 74/3 74/17 74/20 81/12 85/9 | 22/18 31/25 | 97/24 98/8 98/12 102/18 103/11 |
| 87/4 88/2 99/4 104/8 | Detective Ward's [1] 21/25 | 104/6 104/6 105/6 106/21 108/12 |
| definition [1] 105/8 | detectives [8] 9/25 21/16 21/20 | 108/23 109/16 110/25 111/1 |
| definitions [2] 50/2 73/11 | 21/25 22/8 24/7 27/16 40/2 | 113/5 113/9 114/10 114/23 |
| deliberate [13] 49/2 92/13 98/1 | detectives' [1] 27/6 | 116/10 116/13 116/17 117/16 |
| 98/2 100/24 102/11 102/22 | Detention [1] 41/6 | 119/7 119/17 119/19 120/22 |
| 108/10 111/2 112/9 114/21 121/3 | determine [2] 6/22 7/11 | 121/2 121/5 121/14 123/5 123/8 |
| 122/11 | determining [1] 92/10 | 126/12 |
| deliberated [1] 108/13 | did [69] 10/11 11/16 19/14 | doctors' [1] 122/8 |
| deliberately [1] 92/6 | 22/16 23/16 27/20 28/18 33/3 | does [19] 14/21 28/13 30/17 |
| deliberating [10] 36/16 102/17 | 35/11 $37 / 19$ 43/6 $45 / 4$ 47/18 | 30/17 35/9 51/13 57/25 58/6 |
| 105/24 114/5 116/7 120/25 121/8 | 47/22 $47 / 24$ 48/1 $50 / 24$ 52/7 | 58/7 59/7 66/21 78/9 81/23 82/4 |
| 124/11 125/8 125/16 | 54/20 56/14 57/5 57/7 58/20 | 82/19 83/3 87/15 103/22 105/22 |
| deliberation [1] 122/2 | 58/20 58/20 60/2 61/11 61/11 | doesn't [24] 3/19 9/3 9/3 9/15 |
| deliberations [9] 92/18 93/7 | 61/21 63/23 63/24 64/20 65/14 | 10/20 10/24 12/23 22/14 26/21 |
| 93/9 93/19 97/12 98/5 102/14 | 65/14 66/9 66/16 66/17 68/22 | 32/5 32/7 39/4 39/18 43/15 |
| 103/9 125/2 | 69/17 72/7 72/10 72/13 72/15 | 61/25 64/23 64/24 66/20 75/18 |
| Dennis [4] 59/5 65/8 83/4 83/5 | 74/13 75/20 76/16 77/22 78/3 | 79/25 84/1 85/21 87/8 93/6 |
| Dennis Armstrong [3] 59/5 65/8 | 78/18 78/18 79/6 79/12 81/3 | doing [17] $8 / 5$ 8/10 $22 / 19$ 24/7 |
| 83/4 | 81/11 82/10 82/10 82/12 86/5 | 26/6 31/22 33/19 37/8 40/9 |
| Dennis Armstrong's [1] 83/5 | 88/5 89/16 96/12 96/21 100/19 | 40/17 60/18 62/13 81/4 86/7 |
| departing [1] 74/1 | 105/10 105/11 115/7 115/12 | 86/7 99/5 103/22 |
| Department [9] 36/20 52/10 60/3 | 119/7 125/4 | dollar [1] 39/18 |
| 60/9 60/11 60/14 62/17 70/24 | didn't [67] 8/21 8/24 10/8 | dollars [7] 17/15 25/14 $25 / 15$ |
| 87/14 | 10/10 10/13 10/13 11/20 14/19 | 57/17 62/24 79/19 83/1 |
| depending [3] 4/4 23/6 94/10 | 15/4 19/7 21/11 $21 / 12$ 22/13 | Dominican [6] 29/2 29/4 $29 / 11$ |
| depends [1] 116/12 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll} & 22 / 14 & 23 / 3 & 25 / 5 & 28 / 20 & 30 / 7 & 31 / 5\end{array}$ | 31/10 59/19 59/23 |
| deplorable [4] 6/4 40/18 51/25 |  | Dominican Republic [5] 29/2 |
| 52/6 | 39/23 41/1 43/24 47/25 54/21 | 29/4 29/11 59/19 59/23 |
| Depot [1] 81/15 | 54/22 55/22 55/25 57/12 57/23 | don't [53] $3 / 15$ 4/1 $4 / 12$ 7/2 |
| depths [3] 5/24 51/18 51/20 | 61/20 62/6 62/14 63/19 65/3 | 15/21 $24 / 7$ 28/9 $30 / 12$ 31/4 $32 / 2$ |
| Derek [1] 1/18 | 66/2 66/6 66/10 67/8 68/9 70/21 | $\begin{array}{llllllllll}36 / 7 & 37 / 1 & 37 / 5 & 37 / 5 & 37 / 12 & 43 / 2\end{array}$ |
| Derek E. Hines [1] 1/18 | 75/22 76/2 76/6 76/7 76/15 |  |
| describe [4] 12/2 12/6 57/19 | 78/25 79/20 79/20 79/23 80/3 | 53/2 53/3 53/11 53/11 57/13 |
| 59/12 | 80/16 83/15 85/25 85/25 86/15 | 66/22 66/23 69/23 69/23 71/14 |
| described [2] 51/24 86/19 | 87/2 100/19 109/9 114/8 115/4 | 73/19 74/16 82/13 82/14 89/18 |
| deserve [3] 39/19 53/2 53/3 | 115/6 115/11 | 92/18 92/21 96/18 102/20 103/22 |
| destination [1] 46/15 | difference [4] 64/14 70/11 | 106/6 106/24 107/22 109/6 |
| detail [3] 57/20 116/11 123/20 | 84/21 110/14 | 112/23 112/25 113/1 114/21 |
| details [1] 54/2 | different [13] 7/1 7/1 13/15 | 123/18 124/8 125/13 125/20 |
| detective [50] $8 / 17$ 9/24 $9 / 25$ | 27/17 32/5 47/10 48/8 58/25 | Donald [35] 7/20 8/14 16/3 17/1 |
|  | 109/15 109/18 110/7 110/9 | 23/24 25/9 25/17 25/18 25/22 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 25 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 22 & 14 / 24\end{array}$ | 112/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 1 & 26 / 7 & 26 / 12 & 28 / 8 & 28 / 16\end{array}$ |
| 17/18 21/14 $21 / 21 \quad 21 / 21 \quad 21 / 25$ | differently [2] 59/2 90/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}28 / 19 & 29 / 7 & 29 / 15 & 29 / 22 & 30 / 5\end{array}$ |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 17 & 22 / 18 & 24 / 15 & 26 / 23 & 28 / 19\end{array}$ | differs [1] 93/2 | 31/8 31/18 $31 / 19$ 33/18 $46 / 13$ |
| 28/20 28/23 30/3 30/4 30/18 | difficult [1] 115/15 | 56/6 56/8 56/11 58/1 58/3 59/8 |
| 31/13 31/14 31/18 31/25 32/17 | dim [1] 79/24 | 60/13 61/2 61/15 61/17 79/5 |
| 33/3 34/9 34/12 34/13 34/15 | dime [1] 86/4 | Donald Stepp [32] 7/20 8/14 |
| 34/18 34/18 34/19 34/20 35/5 | dinner [1] 82/11 | 16/3 17/1 23/24 25/9 25/17 |
| 35/11 35/16 $44 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll} & 49 / 4 & 79 / 23\end{array}$ | Diplomate [1] 126/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}16 / 18 & 25 / 22 & 26 / 1 & 26 / 7 & 26 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 79/24 84/20 | direct [3] 11/13 63/14 75/25 | 28/16 28/19 29/7 29/22 30/5 |
| Detective Gondo [1] 35/16 | direction [1] 12/1 | 31/18 31/19 33/18 $46 / 13$ 56/6 |
| Detective Hendrix [2] 21/21 | dirty [2] 43/14 53/21 | 56/8 56/11 58/1 58/3 59/8 60/13 |
| 22/17 | disagreed [1] 89/24 | 61/2 61/15 61/17 79/5 |
| Detective Hersl [1] 79/23 | discount [1] 65/22 | Donald Stepp's [3] 28/8 29/15 |
| Detective Jenkins [2] 21/21 $33 / 3$ | discussed [3] 77/10 82/23 86/19 <br> discussion [1] 93/19 | ```31/8 [llllll``` |
| Detective Jensen [1] 30/18 | dismiss [1] 122/22 | 87/12 98/6 |
| Detective Kostoplis [1] 8/17 | dismissed [1] 25/8 | Donnie [1] 25/19 |
| Detective Marcus Roosevelt Taylor | DITSITRICT [2] $1 / 11 / 1$ | door [6] 45/21 45/21 45/22 56/8 |
| 49/4 | division [2] 1/2 93/22 | 93/12 97/6 |
| Detective Rayam [7] 34/9 34/12 | DNA [2] 36/9 87/6 | double [1] 104/3 |
| 34/15 34/18 34/19 35/5 35/11 | do [83] 3/22 4/7 5/7 7/9 11/5 | double-check [1] 104/3 |
| Detective Taylor [24] 9/25 10/4 | 12/7 14/21 14/24 $16 / 16$ 18/18 | doubled [1] 63/1 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 1 & 11 / 24 & 12 / 4 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 25\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 20/21 } & 21 / 13 & 28 / 20 & 28 / 21 & 29 / 7\end{array}$ | doubt [19] 6/15 7/5 30/11 45/20 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}14 / 22 & 14 / 24 & 17 / 18 & 21 / 14 & 24 / 15\end{array}$ | 33/13 35/16 36/10 $40 / 14$ 42/17 | 47/22 48/3 48/15 48/16 48/23 |
| 28/19 28/20 28/23 30/3 30/4 | 42/22 45/17 $46 / 20$ 47/1 48/4 | 50/3 50/13 50/14 50/15 52/21 |


| D | 96/7 | 53/12 56/25 57/1 57/2 58/16 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 2.p560/4 61/12 |
| doubt... [5] $7371191 / 2$ 91725 | elicited [2] $55 / 1375 / 90011 /$ | $64 / 37811^{1} 71 / 875914$ 75/19 |
| 96/14 115/12 |  | 77/17 84/15 85/10 85/23 87/10 |
| doubts [1] 61/19 | 20/21 21/16 22/23 26/5 33/6 | 87/15 88/4 90/3 91/1 91/9 91/10 |
| Douglas [3] 1/23 126/12 126/16 | 33/14 35/25 37/12 40/16 55/17 | 91/16 91/17 92/16 92/22 92/25 |
| down [16] 9/21 20/5 25/24 34/21 | 72/12 73/11 83/22 86/21 93/15 | 93/19 99/15 101/14 102/3 104/10 |
| 36/18 40/4 55/14 59/21 67/13 | 93/21 100/12 104/3 113/22 | 112/13 116/5 |
| 72/4 86/17 104/25 111/3 118/14 | elsewhere [1] 81/7 | Evodio [1] 52/19 |
| 123/6 124/21 | employees [1] 30/20 | Evodio Hendrix [1] 52/19 |
| downstairs [4] $80 / 1781 / 5$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { employment [2] } & 31 / 12 & 31 / 15\end{array}$ | exact [2] 113/11 123/25 |
| drafted [2] 106/3 109/19 | end [13] $4 / 16$ 42/13 50/25 53/10 | 80/3 |
| dragged [3] 80/2 81/15 81/17 | 58/6 59/3 62/22 62/22 68/19 | examination [2] 65/14 70/9 |
| draw [1] 53/17 | 88/24 97/4 97/11 98/5 | examine [2] 48/14 92/19 |
| drinking [1] 78/9 | endeavor [1] 125/17 | example [8] 7/24 43/17 64/12 |
| drive [1] 67/12 | enforcement [2] 56/23 87/25 | 68/1 $70 / 14108 / 11$ 115/15 $123 / 24$ |
| driver [1] 69/22 | engaged [1] 56/21 | examples [2] 48/6 68/25 |
| drug [24] $8 / 7$ 8/13 $8 / 13$ 16/18 | enough [2] 48/23 119/19 | except [6] 22/24 56/15 65/18 |
| 16/18 23/20 24/19 31/10 37/25 | entered [3] 5/16 51/11 124/24 | 65/18 72/12 93/13 |
| 38/6 38/10 38/11 $39 / 11$ 40/4 | enterprise [1] 9/12 | excited [2] 16/25 48/13 |
| 40/6 44/14 45/2 55/18 57/7 | entertain [1] 93/1 | excitement [1] 82/17 |
| 60/15 65/8 81/13 84/11 85/4 | entirely [2] 102/14 102/21 | exclusive [1] 7/2 |
| drug-supply [1] 60/15 | entitled [2] 91/10 126/14 | excuse [2] 98/2 98/12 |
| drugs [32] 14/12 14/13 15/2 | episode [1] 57/21 | excused [3] 98/16 125/22 125/24 |
| 16/20 19/15 20/22 20/23 23/17 | Erika [1] 2/8 | executing [1] 81/4 |
| 27/19 27/20 27/21 36/7 38/25 | Erika Jensen [1] 2/8 | exhibit [13] 18/2 18/3 18/15 |
| 39/20 41/18 41/21 63/17 63/20 | erroneous [1] 92/20 | 18/16 18/18 $18 / 24 \quad 28 / 5$ 28/6 |
| 64/24 65/1 65/3 65/4 79/4 79/20 | Esquire [5] $1 / 18$ 1/18 $2 / 2 \mathrm{l} / 4$ | 28/11 $28 / 14$ 28/15 29/12 $33 / 23$ |
| 83/20 83/25 85/1 85/11 85/18 | 2/5 | Exhibit DA-9 [1] 28/5 |
| 99/25 100/1 101/25 | essence [1] 113/24 | Exhibit No. 5 [1] 18/24 |
| dud [1] 78/24 | establish [1] 87/16 | Exhibit of [1] 28/6 |
| dud house [1] 78/24 | established [1] 65/6 | exhibits [7] 18/8 99/2 99/4 |
| Dude's [1] 90/14 | establishes [2] 45/13 91/1 | 99/10 101/14 101/24 104/10 |
| due [1] 122/7 | et [2] 125/21 125/21 | expect [1] 78/25 |
| during [10] 4/22 4/24 8/6 8/15 | et cetera [2] 125/21 125/21 | explain [4] $30 / 7 \quad 30 / 10$ 31/2 |
| 8/15 8/15 9/5 37/25 68/7 93/9 | etime [1] 60/7 | 64/14 |
| duty [2] 92/12 93/5 | evaluating [1] 44/13 | explained [2] 55/2 76/ |
| dynamic [2] 75/21 77 | even [41] $6 / 24$ 6/24 $9 / 17$ 9/19 | explaining [2] 30/8 30/12 |
| dynamics [1] 76/15 | 11/11 12/10 14/9 15/13 16/23 | explanation [1] 39/25 |
| E | 24/7 27/10 27/10 $32 / 2 \quad 33 / 3$ | exposed [1] 52/7 |
|  | $\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 14 & 35 / 17 & 35 / 21 & 36 / 7 & 36 / 22\end{array}$ | extent [3] 56/25 74/20 123/22 |
| E. [1] | 37/24 41/7 41/19 42/25 45/17 | extortion [12] 54/12 67/9 71/12 |
| each [27] 6/6 6/11 7/6 13/21 | 46/4 60/2 61/24 64/9 65/25 66/3 | 75/12 75/19 94/18 96/3 96/11 |
| 38/6 38/8 40/19 40/19 41/4 | 72/8 78/5 79/15 81/11 86/10 | 96/18 96/21 123/21 123/22 |
| 41/14 42/23 44/12 45/12 45/16 | 86/12 86/25 87/3 90/17 114/6 | extra [1] 93/6 |
| 45/17 48/16 53/24 57/3 62/2 | 115/14 | eye [2] 16/16 43/18 |
| 66/19 86/5 92/4 92/15 103/24 | evening [2] 29/4 99/6 | eyewitness [1] 23/25 |
| 106/9 107/13 108/11 | events [3] 7/16 48/8 56/21 | F |
| earlier [1] 83/2 | eventually [4] 84/9 109/23 | F |
| early [1] 26/22 | 118/1 120/4 | face [2] 66/14 89/3 |
| earned [1] 62/25 | ever [2] 52/22 61/14 | facilitating [1] 69/15 |
| earth [2] 57/15 57/18 | every [11] 9/13 13/20 14/7 | facility [2] 77/22 81/15 |
| easily [1] 121/16 | 37/23 44/17 53/24 56/20 87/22 | facing [1] 42/15 |
| easy [1] 30/7 | 89/24 92/5 99/5 | fact [16] 8/23 22/1 22/4 35/15 |
| eat [1] 100/16 | everybody [6] 5/1 5/5 26/5 | 38/11 42/23 42/23 54/13 58/7 |
| edits [1] 98/19 | 86/21 102/20 125/13 | 63/7 75/18 81/1 87/22 89/22 |
| Edwards [3] $32 / 8 \quad 33 / 5$ 33/14 | everybody's [2] 64/4 122/19 | 111/4 125/12 |
| Edwards' [1] 32/18 | everyone [5] 3/3 5/17 40/16 | facts [6] $7 / 7$ 7/10 $32 / 2 \quad 53 / 11$ |
| effect [3] 19/23 73/25 92/22 | 46/17 93/15 | 76/3 88/3 |
| eight [1] 23/6 | everything [3] 30/12 75/24 | factual [1] 73/21 |
| either [20] 15/20 26/25 27/4 | 104/3 | fair [1] 107/15 |
| 27/4 $33 / 13$ 41/12 $42 / 22 \quad 43 / 7$ | Evesham [2] 85/14 85/15 | faith [2] 62/12 62/12 |
| 53/3 77/10 94/14 94/21 101/18 | evidence [83] 7/3 7/9 7/11 $9 / 11$ | faking [1] 37/12 |
| 102/2 102/15 109/17 110/19 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}10 / 16 & 10 / 21 & 12 / 4 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 6 & 18 / 7\end{array}$ | falsify [1] 90/16 |
| 113/2 113/12 118/2 |  | family [3] $12 / 11$ 12/14 84/14 |
| elect [1] 93/5 | 24/12 $27 / 2 \quad 27 / 8 \quad 27 / 22 \quad 29 / 13$ | far [3] 73/10 95/7 124/12 |
| elements [24] 50/1 69/11 70/1 |  | favorites [1] 59/1 |
| 71/11 71/23 71/24 72/3 72/19 | 36/13 36/18 38/20 39/10 44/3 | FBI [4] $2 / 8$ 34/24 $36 / 13$ 87/11 |
| 72/19 72/23 72/24 73/2 73/10 |  | February [2] 1/9 8/25 |
| 74/5 74/7 74/10 74/21 75/13 |  | federal [8] 1/24 12/12 40/11 |
| 75/14 75/19 87/11 87/16 91/23 | 49/7 49/9 49/23 49/24 53/12 | 42/24 57/7 83/15 105/22 126/18 |


| F | forbidden [1] | $6 / 4 \quad 10 / 5 \quad 11 / 4$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| E Case 1:17-c-00106-C |  | 22/6 |
| al Cour | foregoing [1] 126413 | 23/21-24/6 $24 / 1125 / 20$ 32/25 |
| federal government [1] 12/12 | forensic [2] 27/21 36/4 | 37/20 38/16 38/17 38/18 40/23 |
| federally [1] 12/13 | foreperson [8] 93/5 93/6 97/2 |  |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { feel [3] 46/20 } & 106 / 6 & 122 / 18\end{array}$ | 97/17 97/19 97/21 109/13 109/17 | 46/12 $46 / 1446 / 2146 / 25 ~ 47 / 5$ |
| feeling [2] 6/10 6/13 | form [19] 31/11 37/6 93/25 | 47/9 $47 / 18$ 48/14 49/22 $46 / 18$ |
| feet [1] 25/16 | 96/25 97/3 97/5 97/20 98/21 | 57/6 57/7 62/18 66/19 66/22 |
| fell [1] 49/5 | 98/22 101/13 104/24 105/19 | 67/15 77/25 81/21 82/3 82/13 |
| fellow [4] 41/16 92/17 92/23 | 106/4 107/12 110/2 110/3 110/18 | 82/14 89/8 89/21 98/6 98/19 |
| 93/1 | 111/20 118/5 | 103/10 104/25 117/5 117/6 |
| fence [2] 29/17 59/8 | former [2] 30/24 40/2 | 117/16 119/19 123/1 124/2 |
| few [2] 99/10 101/12 | forms [4] 36/22 36/22 36/23 | get-out-of-jail-free [1] 66/22 |
| fibers [1] 36/11 | 37/4 | getaway [1] 69/22 |
| Fields [1] 123/4 | forth [1] 111/7 | gets [10] 17/8 17/19 20/15 21/8 |
| fifth [1] 121/15 | forward [1] 111/8 | 25/11 25/18 35/2 48/25 78/6 |
| figure [1] 28/3 | found [27] 5/25 6/1 6/3 51/20 | 81/25 |
| figures [1] 14/19 | 77/10 78/21 79/2 79/4 79/6 | gettin' [1] 79/21 |
| file [2] 28/7 28/11 | 79/13 79/14 80/19 80/24 82/23 | getting [15] 8/8 17/12 26/10 |
| fill [6] 62/4 94/9 95/14 97/2 | 91/3 94/21 95/13 95/16 95/22 | $\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 12 & 30 / 20 & 36 / 25 & 39 / 21 & 45 / 3\end{array}$ |
| 116/18 116/25 | 96/8 98/18 105/16 113/10 113/11 | 46/4 46/20 62/23 69/10 103/18 |
| filled [1] 62/2 | 114/15 114/16 118/25 | 109/1 111/23 |
| filling [2] 36/22 61/18 | four [9] 27/6 33/23 61/22 66/6 | Girl [1] 64/24 |
| filthy [1] 43/15 | 67/13 67/17 97/25 98/2 98/14 | Girl Scout [1] 64/24 |
| finally [1] 48/13 | fourth [2] 121/11 121/16 | girlfriend [1] 37/15 |
| find [45] 7/6 35/16 52/17 75/16 | FRANK [2] 103/3 103/5 | give [17] 26/4 35/10 35/13 |
| 75/19 78/25 80/1 83/4 94/7 | FRANK ALSton [2] 103/3 103/5 | 35/15 35/17 72/7 72/15 72/25 |
| 94/14 94/24 95/1 95/5 95/6 95/9 | frankly [2] 61/23 79/3 | 100/19 100/25 101/4 102/5 104/4 |
| 95/14 95/17 95/20 95/21 96/4 | fraud [8] 59/23 60/5 61/23 62/1 | 112/5 121/19 122/24 123/2 |
| 96/10 96/20 106/10 107/14 | 62/10 75/12 94/19 115/25 | given [3] 63/22 92/7 123/25 |
| 109/24 110/19 111/1 111/10 | fraudulent [2] 62/13 62/20 | gives [7] 34/22 45/9 48/8 48/9 |
| 112/1 112/20 113/19 114/3 114/9 | free [1] 66/22 | 48/23 110/13 120/21 |
| 114/12 114/13 115/3 115/5 115/7 | Friday [7] 120/25 121/3 122/7 | glad [1] 117/21 |
| 116/5 118/2 118/24 119/1 119/5 | 122/9 122/11 125/5 125/19 | glaring [1] 38/3 |
| 119/10 120/6 | Friday/Saturday/Sunday [1] | glove [1] 83/7 |
| finding [2] 94/19 109/7 | 125/19 | Glover [1] 24/12 |
| finds [1] 10/21 | Friel [1] 60/8 | glowing [1] 43/17 |
| fine [9] 20/11 69/19 75/4 107/6 | friend [3] 8/7 40/10 68/5 | go [70] 5/12 8/20 14/17 $14 / 24$ |
| 112/4 124/7 124/19 125/9 125/11 | friend's [1] 14/12 |  |
| finger [8] 17/10 17/18 24/14 | friends [1] 84/13 | 24/2 26/1 26/8 28/21 29/2 29/15 |
| 29/25 42/10 43/2 44/1 45/1 | front [8] 6/12 31/18 43/11 | 42/14 42/15 45/2 $45 / 7 \quad 52 / 10$ |
| fingered [1] 40/19 | 45/21 56/8 61/12 82/6 82/19 | 52/17 57/24 67/11 67/13 67/15 |
| fingerprints [1] 36/6 | full [2] 25/1 98/19 | 73/9 77/18 78/5 78/17 79/25 |
| finish [1] 72/22 | fully [1] 54/1 | 81/7 82/10 82/10 82/15 83/3 |
| firearm [4] 78/15 96/6 96/12 | fun [1] 47/16 | 83/7 85/23 89/16 93/4 94/2 96/4 |
| 96/22 | fundamental [1] 116/19 | 96/18 97/25 98/9 98/14 99/4 |
| firm [3] 31/9 31/11 31/16 | fundamentally [1] 117/6 |  |
| first [28] 12/20 13/3 13/7 15/4 | further [2] 98/11 111/2 | 103/11 103/14 103/25 104/21 |
| 15/16 16/4 16/6 16/10 16/14 | furtherance [4] 78/15 96/7 | 104/24 107/10 108/19 111/8 |
| 20/24 24/1 24/20 24/21 33/22 | 96/12 96/22 | 111/13 115/2 116/11 117/10 |
| 39/24 40/8 40/23 56/3 93/5 | G | 117/16 118/14 121/11 121/15 |
| 96/16 97/10 100/14 102/7 108/21 |  | 121/16 124/20 125/16 |
| 108/22 108/23 109/14 111/9 | gambling [1] 38/21 | goes [5] 14/15 24/1 24/3 67/14 |
| fit [1] 26/21 | game [1] 32/21 | 119/24 |
| five [5] 23/4 67/11 67/17 83/2 | gate [2] 46/22 46/25 | going [84] $4 / 22$ 8/21 $9 / 3$ 9/4 |
| 121/15 | gate-check [1] 46/25 | 17/11 19/25 20/1 20/6 25/18 |
| flaw [1] 38/3 | gather [2] 99/10 101/12 | 40/24 41/15 42/8 $42 / 17$ 43/24 |
| flew [2] 13/7 60/6 | gave [11] $8 / 2411 / 1 \quad 23 / 17 \quad 34 / 3$ |  |
| flight [1] 46/19 | 34/15 40/4 56/8 68/1 69/1 85/13 | 50/25 52/10 53/7 62/1 63/10 |
| flip [1] 56/14 | 116/14 | 63/20 66/19 67/11 67/12 69/13 |
| flip-flop [1] 56/14 | general [3] 4/25 12/1 102/14 | 70/6 72/4 72/16 72/25 73/8 73/9 |
| flipped [1] 58/10 | generally [1] 72/9 | 73/22 75/8 76/9 78/7 78/16 |
| flips [1] 75/25 | gentleman [1] 20/16 | 78/20 78/20 79/9 79/13 79/16 |
| floor [3] 1/24 121/11 121/16 | gentlemen [34] 5/23 6/1 6/15 | 79/22 79/22 80/3 80/4 81/9 |
| flop [1] 56/14 |  | 81/24 82/5 82/22 85/23 86/20 |
| flopped [1] 58/10 | 27/18 27/23 28/4 29/14 32/6 | 89/19 90/8 90/9 92/3 94/2 95/24 |
| fly [1] 74/1 | $36 / 4$ 38/1 $38 / 9$ 39/1 $39 / 22 \quad 42 / 16$ | 97/24 98/13 99/9 99/15 100/3 |
| focus [2] 43/16 108/18 | 43/13 44/11 45/13 45/18 47/11 | 100/13 100/14 101/12 103/10 |
| follow [2] 50/17 88/18 | 48/3 48/19 62/9 62/15 75/9 | 103/11 104/21 107/19 108/18 |
| followed [1] 114/15 | 77/12 88/2 91/6 101/11 103/7 | 114/23 115/1 116/8 116/15 |
| following [1] 112/19 | George [3] 85/7 85/10 85/19 | 119/23 119/25 120/24 122/21 |
| follows [1] 3/25 | George Lee [3] 85/7 85/10 85/19 | 122/23 123/7 |


| G |  | 24 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | thandpuffe 1366 ¢ ${ }^{1 / 155}$ 81/18 |
| 35/14 35/16 40/7 40/10 40/11 | guilty [41] $7 / 6$ 49/11 74/4 | handler [1] 46/23 |
|  | 74/13 $74 / 19$ 74/21 $91 / 3$ 94/9 | hands [4] $34 / 12$ 43/14 $43 / 14$ |
| 40/25 41/7 41/10 $41 / 11$ 43/4 | 94/10 94/11 94/13 94/20 94/25 | 43/15 |
| 43/6 43/9 46/17 52/18 56/15 | 94/25 95/1 95/6 95/10 95/10 | handwrite [1] 106/19 |
| 65/16 66/5 68/4 68/5 68/7 68/8 | 95/11 95/14 95/16 95/21 95/21 | handwriting [1] 109/15 |
| 70/9 70/15 70/19 75/23 76/19 | 95/21 96/2 96/2 96/4 96/9 96/10 | happen [3] 33/3 80/4 84/23 |
| 76/20 77/14 $77 / 19$ 78/17 78/24 | 96/17 96/18 96/20 105/16 108/10 | happened [18] 6/25 10/9 15/12 |
| 79/10 79/12 80/18 80/19 82/6 | 108/12 108/12 111/21 111/21 | 17/15 25/6 52/18 55/24 57/2 |
| 82/24 86/9 86/14 86/19 86/20 | 111/25 115/22 118/24 | 57/3 59/9 59/12 59/13 64/11 |
| 87/18 87/19 87/20 115/21 117/2 | gum [1] 58/11 | 71/2 71/3 71/4 76/6 76/10 |
| 119/7 | gun [19] $9 / 20$ 9/22 $9 / 23$ 10/2 | happening [1] 81/8 |
| Gondo's [6] 8/7 40/9 41/11 $44 / 3$ | 10/2 10/6 10/6 13/25 14/4 14/9 | happens [6] 31/12 77/7 87/5 |
| 68/5 87/20 | 42/1 42/4 $52 / 12$ 54/14 54/19 | 87/7 94/4 105/1 |
| gone [4] 5/24 37/16 69/12 $69 / 13$ | 66/13 70/25 79/3 99/24 | happy [3] $5 / 7$ 97/24 $105 / 18$ |
| good [13] 3/3 3/10 5/17 5/23 | Gun Trace Task Force [2] 42/1 | hard [5] 7/20 7/21 59/15 116/9 |
| 29/22 62/12 62/12 74/16 76/25 | 52/12 | 116/12 |
| 88/8 100/21 102/10 104/18 | guns [10] 15/2 23/12 23/17 | hardship [1] 125/3 |
| Good-faith [1] 62/12 | 79/21 89/2 90/22 99/21 99/22 | has [45] 3/17 5/24 7/4 7/15 |
| Gordon [1] 121/12 | 99/23 101/24 | 8/13 8/16 9/7 11/19 16/13 16/21 |
|  | gut [2] 6/10 6/13 | 16/22 17/8 20/5 23/9 23/10 |
| 12/18 13/2 16/19 17/2 25/6 25/8 | guy [19] 14/11 17/2 21/8 22/18 | 23/11 23/25 25/13 29/24 35/6 |
| 27/10 $35 / 1$ 35/3 $41 / 6$ 41/22 | 23/25 24/3 $25 / 13$ 25/25 30/1 |  |
| 44/22 55/4 55/5 56/11 58/12 | 32/21 33/19 $34 / 8$ 34/8 40/20 | 47/13 47/14 47/21 48/24 61/1 |
| 58/13 62/15 62/23 63/19 64/18 | 40/23 40/23 40/24 44/7 85/19 | 64/5 79/24 84/1 92/4 95/18 |
| 74/21 75/5 83/14 86/14 87/21 | guys [2] 15/17 122/16 | 96/13 96/17 99/5 104/13 104/14 |
| 100/9 112/12 112/16 117/23 | H | 106/10 107/14 121/12 122/9 |
| gotten [1] 44/9 | H | 122/10 |
| government [66] 5/24 7/15 8/16 | had [108] $3 / 13$ 9/25 10/2 10/6 | hate [1] 66/15 |
| 9/10 9/23 $10 / 7$ 11/13 12/9 12/12 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}10 / 8 & 10 / 9 & 10 / 14 & 11 / 7 & 11 / 10\end{array}$ | have [182] |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 17 & 13 / 19 & 14 / 7 & 15 / 4 & 15 / 10\end{array}$ | 11/13 11/19 11/25 12/10 12/14 | haven't [3] 98/22 103/20 112/17 |
| 17/8 19/8 19/10 19/19 23/8 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}13 / 13 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 5 & 14 / 5\end{array}$ | having [5] 8/17 25/8 57/6 79/17 |
| 27/13 27/17 $28 / 5$ 28/14 $29 / 9$ | 15/25 16/9 16/20 16/21 20/9 | 119/4 |
| 29/12 30/15 35/5 37/6 37/13 | 24/18 26/17 26/25 29/7 29/17 | he [446] |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}37 / 20 & 39 / 4 & 39 / 9 & 40 / 13 & 41 / 2 & 41 / 8\end{array}$ | $31 / 6$ 32/25 33/9 33/12 34/14 | he'd [1] 19/23 |
| 41/9 42/2 42/20 42/22 43/18 | $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 35 / 10 & 35 / 12 & 35 / 15 & 36 / 1 & 36 / 10\end{array}$ | he'll [1] 76/23 |
| 43/25 44/18 44/20 44/21 45/9 | 38/12 43/9 43/9 52/25 54/10 | he's [76] $4 / 21$ 6/17 6/18 8/8 |
| 47/15 47/21 48/18 48/24 48/25 | 54/18 54/20 54/22 55/18 55/24 |  |
| 49/1 49/3 51/13 58/16 58/17 | 56/1 56/10 57/9 59/18 60/10 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}11 / 7 & 13 / 9 & 15 / 8 & 15 / 19 & 15 / 22 & 17 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 60/6 60/8 66/25 72/14 87/8 | 60/11 60/18 61/8 61/9 63/12 | 17/7 17/10 17/11 21/10 21/21 |
| 95/17 95/23 96/13 96/16 96/22 | 63/13 63/16 63/18 63/20 64/10 | 21/22 21/22 22/15 22/21 22/22 |
| 107/21 | 64/16 69/12 69/13 71/1 71/11 | 23/6 24/1 24/20 24/20 24/21 |
| Government's [11] 2/10 27/2 | 71/12 71/25 $72 / 18$ 73/14 $74 / 16$ | 25/5 26/1 26/10 29/16 29/18 |
| 33/23 36/9 38/3 42/20 44/12 | 76/11 76/21 76/21 76/22 77/1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 21 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 11 & 32 / 12 & 34 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 49/7 50/12 $86 / 3113 / 7$ | 79/2 79/9 79/19 81/13 83/1 83/8 |  |
| grand [10] $12 / 5$ 18/1 $18 / 20$ | 83/13 83/20 83/23 83/25 84/2 | 39/8 39/11 39/19 39/21 40/9 |
| 18/24 19/12 20/9 20/18 20/21 | 84/4 84/13 84/15 84/16 85/4 | 40/15 $41 / 12$ 44/5 $44 / 6$ 44/7 |
| 21/2 35/2 | 85/8 85/20 86/21 88/10 88/20 | 44/10 46/23 58/4 61/2 63/20 |
| grand jury [10] $12 / 5$ 18/1 $18 / 20$ | 98/23 100/20 106/3 114/24 | 66/15 68/20 69/11 74/3 74/13 |
| 18/24 19/12 20/9 20/18 20/21 | 120/23 123/3 124/17 | 74/19 74/21 76/25 80/9 86/10 |
| 21/2 35/2 | hadn't [4] 11/8 21/11 62/25 | 89/21 90/2 90/4 90/7 90/9 |
| grappling [1] 100/4 | 102/16 | head [1] 60/8 |
| great [5] 3/11 27/7 31/22 | hair [3] 36/11 58/11 87/6 | headquarters [1] 86/14 |
| 104/15 111/15 | half [7] 11/3 11/8 21/23 25/15 | hear [11] $33 / 7$ 35/9 44/6 61/21 |
| greed [2] 35/4 35/5 | 26/10 26/11 39/18 | 62/11 81/10 81/10 90/12 99/12 |
| greedy [3] 35/24 82/13 82/14 | half-a-million-dollar [1] 39/18 | 102/12 105/18 |
| grew [1] 84/11 | Hamilton [25] 7/25 37/24 38/10 | heard [29] 4/25 6/22 9/22 9/25 |
| Griffin [7] 11/9 76/13 84/24 | 39/16 39/23 40/3 63/12 63/16 | 13/20 43/23 $44 / 3$ 48/5 53/19 |
| 84/25 85/3 85/10 85/20 | 64/8 64/8 64/16 64/20 79/15 | 54/5 54/13 56/4 56/6 61/22 62/3 |
| Grindon [2] 86/12 86/17 | 80/6 80/7 80/12 80/15 81/8 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}62 / 6 & 66 / 5 & 70 / 22 & 76 / 12 & 77 / 9 & 79 / 1\end{array}$ |
| grown [1] 62/17 | 81/11 81/12 81/13 81/19 82/4 | 79/5 82/16 83/12 84/7 88/25 |
| GTTF [12] $9 / 1$ 9/2 30/24 37/11 | 82/8 88/17 | 91/6 91/22 102/16 |
| 40/8 76/11 76/15 77/2 77/4 77/5 | Hamilton's [3] 35/24 36/1 64/21 | hearing [3] 105/14 113/7 123/3 |
| 77/14 78/5 | Hamiltons [12] 55/5 71/2 71/3 | Heathfield [3] 23/16 23/17 |
| guard [1] 81/18 | 71/4 80/2 81/6 82/12 115/6 | 23/21 |
| guarding [2] 81/5 88/7 | 117/3 118/24 119/7 119/11 | Heathfield Road [2] 23/16 23/17 |
| guess [10] 29/16 33/2 58/4 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { hand [7] } & 6 / 7 & 14 / 14 & 14 / 14 & 33 / 22\end{array}$ | help [8] 6/4 16/13 17/11 22/11 |
| 59/15 59/15 80/10 99/17 109/3 | 33/24 34/8 103/2 | 47/14 47/18 90/6 104/7 |
| 116/19 122/14 | hand-to-hand [1] 14/14 | helpful [1] 118/6 |
| guided [1] 73/12 | handcuffed [3] 79/16 81/6 | helping [2] 17/12 40/10 |


| H | 96/10 96/17 96/20 | huge [5] 16/24 28/22 38/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | \%3ade 0137 of 15 |
| helps [1] | 17/11 | [1] ${ }^{\text {a }}$ 98 |
| Hendrix [26] 8/3 15/18 15/22 | 22/22 57/5 79/18 124/1 | human [2] 60/3 60/8 |
| 15/24 16/2 17/14 21/21 22/4 | Hines [5] 1/18 58/20 78/7 | hundred [2] 35/1 35/1 |
| 22/17 $24 / 8$ 33/7 33/9 33/17 47/7 | 108/17 109/4 | hundreds [1] 57/16 |
| 52/19 55/13 56/14 57/11 65/16 | Hines' [1] 3/11 | hunt [1] 80/3 |
| 66/6 77/1 77/13 86/12 87/23 | hired [1] 31/9 | hunters [1] 88/10 |
| 113/22 115/21 | his [137] | hurt [1] 10/5 |
| her [9] 23/12 23/12 23/13 53/10 | hit [1] 40/24 | hurting [1] 36/11 |
| 81/16 81/17 81/18 81/19 121/1 | Hobbs [6] 78/13 78/14 96/2 | husband [1] 81/16 |
| Her Honor [1] 53/10 | 96/10 96/17 96/20 | hydraulic [4] $11 / 4$ 11/5 $46 / 24$ |
| Herbert [2] 76/12 83/12 | Hobbs Act [6] 78/13 78/14 96/2 | 46/24 |
| Herbert Tate [2] 76/12 83/12 | 96/10 96/17 96/20 | I |
| here [59] 6/2 6/6 6/17 6/19 | hold [3] 48/19 67/13 85/21 | 1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}6 / 21 & 11 / 18 & 12 / 19 & 13 / 11 & 13 / 14\end{array}$ | Holloway [5] 23/8 23/9 23/11 | I'd [2] 6/22 97/25 |
| 14/8 14/21 16/23 17/10 17/13 | 24/19 26/19 | I'll [23] $4 / 5$ 4/8 5/7 $12 / 19$ |
| 20/19 20/19 21/15 $23 / 3 \quad 23 / 14$ | home [9] $39 / 18$ 42/4 $42 / 1442 / 16$ | 20/3 20/10 28/9 35/8 47/17 |
| 24/23 25/12 $26 / 7$ 30/13 33/11 | 45/2 45/8 81/15 81/18 102/18 | 49/17 67/22 68/1 70/18 92/1 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}33 / 21 & 38 / 12 & 38 / 17 & 39 / 6 & 40 / 5\end{array}$ | Home Depot [1] 81/15 | 98/14 98/19 100/8 100/21 109/20 |
| 42/25 43/25 47/18 50/20 53/15 | home invasion [1] 42/4 | 112/5 117/22 121/11 123/2 |
| 55/20 57/5 57/6 57/19 64/10 | honest [5] 38/4 38/4 62/18 | I'm [50] 3/21 10/23 19/22 27/25 |
| 75/23 83/14 88/14 91/24 99/13 | 62/18 92/21 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}29 / 17 & 32 / 17 & 34 / 25 & 43 / 17 & 48 / 13\end{array}$ |
| 100/22 101/2 101/23 102/20 | honestly [1] 63/23 | 49/22 50/16 50/25 53/7 67/18 |
| 115/17 116/21 123/8 124/9 124/9 | Honor [39] 3/10 5/13 5/22 17/21 |  |
| 125/10 125/13 125/14 125/15 | 50/19 50/20 51/5 51/9 51/16 | 74/1 78/15 85/23 90/8 92/3 94/2 |
| 125/20 126/1 | 53/10 67/20 67/25 68/10 68/21 | 95/24 98/13 99/9 102/7 104/12 |
| here's [7] 69/21 72/19 72/19 | 70/3 70/8 72/6 75/10 89/10 | 105/18 106/14 108/18 110/15 |
| 72/23 72/24 75/1 75/3 | 89/14 98/24 100/19 103/12 | 111/23 112/7 112/23 113/7 114/2 |
| hereby [1] 126/12 | 106/13 106/23 107/1 107/7 | 115/14 116/19 117/21 119/4 |
| heroin [5] 11/3 11/7 11/10 | 107/17 109/9 110/1 110/24 | 119/23 120/18 121/1 122/23 |
| 11/11 45/4 | 111/19 112/18 118/19 120/11 | 123/7 125/3 |
| HERSL [81] $1 / 5$ 2/1 11/22 51/21 | 121/22 121/23 126/8 126/9 | I've [9] $67 / 4 \quad 80 / 7 \quad 91 / 19$ 92/7 |
| 63/1 64/18 65/9 65/19 66/7 67/7 | HONORABLE [1] 1/11 | 93/5 105/12 106/19 109/19 |
| 69/6 69/12 69/13 69/17 74/13 | hook [1] 100/5 | 117/23 |
| 75/22 76/2 $76 / 5$ 76/7 76/7 $76 / 11$ | hope [2] 97/14 101/8 | IAD [1] 10/12 |
| 76/16 76/20 76/21 77/10 77/15 | hoped [1] 52/20 | ID [3] 18/4 18/23 18/25 |
| 77/18 77/19 77/23 78/4 78/5 | hopefully [1] 46/12 | idea [3] 74/16 79/23 84/10 |
| 78/8 78/10 78/14 78/17 78/19 | hoping [1] 102/7 | identified [4] $11 / 23$ 12/4 $114 / 6$ |
| 78/21 79/10 79/23 80/11 80/13 | hops [1] 32/16 | 118/7 |
| 80/16 80/17 80/19 80/20 81/4 | horrible [2] 52/15 66/15 | identifies [1] 16/11 |
| 81/23 82/7 83/1 83/7 83/8 84/7 | hour [1] 37/4 | identify [13] $4 / 7$ 11/22 $11 / 24$ |
| 86/3 86/11 86/12 86/22 86/24 | hours [3] $26 / 23$ 86/11 87/2 | 12/6 94/13 95/2 105/20 110/18 |
| 87/18 87/19 87/23 88/22 90/16 | house [66] $11 / 3$ 11/17 $11 / 25$ | 115/8 118/1 118/21 119/17 120/5 |
| 91/2 94/4 94/8 94/19 95/5 95/6 | 13/2 13/12 14/16 16/11 16/12 | identities [1] 53/23 |
| 95/9 96/16 106/22 107/8 113/21 | 17/19 19/14 20/14 20/17 20/20 | if/after [1] 108/9 |
| 115/5 116/23 118/8 118/22 | 20/22 22/24 23/5 23/6 23/10 | Igloo [1] 21/2 |
| 118/25 118/25 119/1 119/10 | 23/11 23/23 24/6 24/17 24/25 | Igloo Cooler [1] 21/2 |
| Hersl's [6] 77/17 86/15 86/25 | 25/3 25/20 26/1 26/8 26/17 | ignore [1] 116/8 |
| 87/18 87/19 87/20 | 26/20 26/23 26/25 27/9 27/10 | images [1] 87/7 |
| hesitate [2] 7/6 92/18 | 28/21 28/22 38/13 42/5 53/2 | imagine [1] 67/11 |
| Hey [3] 10/22 24/21 41/8 | 54/11 55/6 56/2 57/14 57/23 | imagined [1] 52/16 |
| hid [3] 53/18 89/3 89/5 | 57/24 58/1 58/7 63/25 66/13 | immediately [1] 103/22 |
| hide [4] 52/23 53/20 63/7 89/3 | 70/23 70/25 78/24 79/19 81/7 | immunity [14] 6/2 17/8 25/6 |
| hides [1] 26/14 | 81/13 81/17 81/21 81/24 82/22 | 37/23 37/24 38/2 42/21 44/16 |
| hiding [4] 7/17 7/18 7/19 26/5 | 83/1 85/2 85/7 85/10 85/18 86/3 | 44/23 63/13 63/13 63/16 63/19 |
| HIDTA [2] 10/17 24/12 | 86/8 87/18 | 63/22 |
| him [79] $7 / 6$ 8/9 8/24 $12 / 24$ | houses [2] 67/16 87/21 | impeached [2] 19/9 19/13 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}13 / 13 & 14 / 1 & 14 / 1 & 15 / 5 & 15 / 6 & 15 / 19\end{array}$ | how [40] 6/24 6/24 19/16 20/20 | implicate [1] 66/3 |
| 16/4 16/7 $16 / 2417 / 317 / 9$ 17/14 | 20/23 22/2 25/11 28/3 28/24 | implication [1] 68/25 |
| 19/9 19/13 21/23 22/17 24/20 | $\begin{array}{llllll}28 / 24 & 30 / 2 & 30 / 18 & 30 / 22 & 39 / 21\end{array}$ | implore [1] 49/9 |
| 25/7 25/8 25/11 $25 / 13$ 25/19 |  | important [4] 39/15 46/1 92/10 |
| 25/23 26/4 26/4 26/9 28/17 | 60/18 61/19 63/9 63/12 64/20 | 115/23 |
| 32/17 34/12 36/9 37/16 41/1 | 64/23 66/16 75/3 77/10 78/2 | incentive [4] 55/18 57/10 63/18 |
| 41/1 41/9 41/10 41/25 41/25 | 79/18 81/25 84/4 88/1 89/1 | 64/10 |
| 49/8 54/22 54/25 54/25 55/19 | 93/21 98/6 100/20 102/21 109/1 | incident [7] $8 / 22$ 13/23 14/10 |
| 56/8 60/7 60/22 61/12 63/17 | 116/12 | 16/3 29/8 32/5 73/17 |
| 63/24 69/25 76/19 77/18 77/23 | How's [1] 31/20 | incidents [5] 13/21 17/7 17/7 |
| 77/24 79/24 80/13 80/23 84/5 | Howard [1] 41/6 | 36/8 37/21 |
| 84/16 85/5 85/8 85/13 87/18 | Howard County [1] 41/6 | include [1] 4/14 |
| 88/18 88/23 89/21 90/18 95/14 | however [4] 23/2 42/21 94/11 | included [2] 19/19 87/23 |
| 95/16 95/20 95/21 96/4 96/8 | 98/4 | including [1] 86/22 |

39/25
inconsistent [4] 18/5 18/11 19/4 104/1
incredibly [1] 39/15
independent [4] 45/13 59/13 61/3 61/9
independently [1] 61/15
indicate [8] 3/18 94/20 95/16 95/22 106/9 107/13 109/23 121/8 indicated [1] 122/7
indicates [2] 109/15 109/16
indicating [23] 6/7 6/16 17/18
$\begin{array}{llllll} & 43 / 2 & 43 / 20 & 44 / 1 & 45 / 1 & 45 / 22\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}51 / 21 & 51 / 22 & 52 / 3 & 52 / 3 & 52 / 7\end{array}$
53/15 62/16 62/17 65/19 65/20
66/7 66/8 88/4 89/1 125/8
indicia [1] 66/10
indictment [2] 61/8 61/9
individual [6] $92 / 14 \quad 113 / 19$
115/18 116/23 117/7 123/24
individualized [1] $116 / 20$
individuals [2] 30/19 37/3
inexperienced [1] 43/21
infer [1] 87/22
inference [1] 54/3
informant [1] 32/24
information [8] $7 / 20 \quad 22 / 9 \quad 36 / 21$
45/9 45/10 47/19 86/1 119/19
informed [1] 54/2
initial [1] 94/5
innocence [1] 113/20
innocent [4] 6/17 6/18 6/20 49/6
inside [7] $21 / 7$ 21/7 $44 / 5 \quad 52 / 10$ 52/11 52/17 67/13
instance [3] $60 / 13 \quad 75 / 23 \quad 83 / 4$
instances [3] $57 / 3 \quad 64 / 22 \quad 69 / 4$
instead [2] 88/10 125/7
instruct [1] 111/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { instructed [8] } & 7 / 4 & 30 / 16 & 39 / 12\end{array}$
53/10 53/22 75/12 112/14 114/25 instructing [1] 71/15
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { instruction }[9] & 68 / 18 & 72 / 7 & 72 / 8\end{array}$ 72/9 72/15 72/25 87/7 106/11 112/19
instructions [26] 39/3 44/13 47/17 50/4 $50 / 11$ 50/17 $50 / 18$ $\begin{array}{llll}53 / 14 & 61 / 6 & 62 / 11 & 71 / 21 \\ 72 / 3\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}72 / 5 & 72 / 11 & 73 / 6 & 73 / 12 & 92 / 1 & 92 / 7\end{array}$ 98/19 98/22 101/13 104/25
114/15 122/24 123/20 125/21
insufficient [1] 7/3
intelligence [1] $92 / 9$
intend [1] 74/2
intent [5] $68 / 16$ 79/2 $79 / 13$
80/24 81/23
interacted [1] 33/14
intercepted [1] $23 / 20$
interesting [1] 35/4
international [1] 16/21
Internet [1] 98/9
interpretation [2] 71/7 91/10
interrogated [1] 81/16
intervening [1] 8/8
interviewing [1] 37/22
introduced [1] 18/14
introduces [1] 28/5
invasion [1] 42/4
investigating [2] 14/14 23/21
investigative [1] 87/9
invite [1] 100/22
invited [1] 105/12
involved [5] $7 / 17$ 70/18 $104 / 9$
104/9 115/12
involvement [1] 119/8
involving [2] 17/13 101/24
ironic [1] 41/7
ironically [1] 53/4
is [311]
isn't [6] $15 / 18 \quad 29 / 23 \quad 42 / 17$
62/21 87/15 112/19
issue [16] $3 / 12 \quad 17 / 24 \quad 30 / 21$
65/5 67/1 68/16 80/9 110/7
112/11 112/13 113/5 114/14
114/21 114/24 115/3 116/21
issues [2] 50/1 91/24
it [269]
it's [97] $3 / 18$ 3/25 $4 / 5 \quad 5 / 3 \quad 6 / 4$
$\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 4 & 12 / 23 & 14 / 18 & 16 / 6 & 16 / 24 & 18 / 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 4 & 18 / 5 & 18 / 8 & 18 / 8 & 18 / 9 & 18 / 17\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}18 / 20 & 19 / 3 & 19 / 3 & 19 / 25 & 19 / 25\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}20 / 1 & 23 / 4 & 29 / 13 & 32 / 15 & 32 / 15\end{array}$
35/6 37/7 38/10 38/11 $41 / 7$
$42 / 13$ 50/6 50/7 51/1 55/15
55/15 57/18 58/18 58/21 59/15
61/8 62/19 64/3 67/2 68/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}69 / 22 & 70 / 17 & 72 / 2 & 72 / 16 & 73 / 23\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}73 / 24 & 74 / 2 & 74 / 18 & 75 / 14 & 76 / 18\end{array}$
78/12 78/12 78/13 80/12 89/19
94/5 101/20 102/21 104/16
105/22 106/12 106/25 107/24
109/3 109/6 110/3 110/4 110/7
$110 / 7$ 110/9 110/25 111/11
$\begin{array}{lll}111 / 25 & 112 / 20 & 112 / 22 \\ 113 / 23\end{array}$
114/18 114/19 114/20 114/20
115/15 115/23 118/5 118/21
119/1 119/2 119/11 119/13
120/18 121/17
its [1] 95/18
itself [2] 4/20 54/10
$J$
J. [1] 1/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { jail } & {[18]} & 6 / 4 & 8 / 11 & 37 / 13 \\ 37 / 21\end{array}$
45/2 45/7 45/8 55/20 61/1 61/15
66/22 66/24 83/19 83/23 83/24
84/25 85/1 103/24
jail call [4] 83/19 83/23 83/24 103/24
Jemell [3] 46/6 46/6 52/18
Jemell Rayam [2] $46 / 6$ 52/18
Jenifer [1] 2/5
Jenifer Wicks [1] 2/5

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Jenkins | $[111]$ | $7 / 17$ | $8 / 3$ | $8 / 4$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}8 / 9 & 8 / 13 & 8 / 18 & 8 / 20 & 8 / 22 \\ 9 / 4 & 9 / 6\end{array}$ 9/6 9/7 9/10 9/14 9/15 9/20 $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 4 & 16 / 7 & 16 / 10 & 16 / 14 & 16 / 24\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 14 & 21 / 21 & 24 / 2 & 24 / 2 & 24 / 4 & 24 / 7\end{array}$ 24/9 24/10 25/10 25/10 25/11 $\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 13 & 25 / 16 & 26 / 4 & 26 / 5 & 26 / 6 & 26 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}26 / 13 & 26 / 14 & 26 / 17 & 28 / 17 \\ 28 / 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 6 & 29 / 21 & 29 / 21 & 29 / 24 & 30 / 5\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}31 / 19 & 31 / 21 & 32 / 16 & 32 / 22 \\ 32 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllll}33 / 3 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 15 & 33 / 15 & 33 / 18\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 19 & 35 / 13 & 35 / 15 & 35 / 17 & 40 / 7\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}40 / 13 & 40 / 15 & 52 / 12 & 53 / 18 & 54 / 14\end{array}$ 54/22 55/14 56/7 56/11 59/17 60/13 60/22 76/10 76/14 76/16

$77 / 2178 / 1 \quad 78 / 378 / 4 \quad 78 / 6 \quad 78 / 9$
78/17 79/5 79/10 79/18 80/22
81/3 82/4 82/12 82/16 82/19
82/20 82/25 86/20 88/22 113/22 117/2
Jenkins' [4] $13 / 1$ 31/9 31/15
32/20
$\begin{array}{llllll} \\ \text { Jensen } & {[7]} & 2 / 8 & 30 / 7 & 30 / 9 & 30 / 18\end{array}$
33/1 59/25 86/10
Jersey [1] 8/19
Jimmie [6] 11/9 76/13 84/24
84/25 85/10 85/20
$\begin{array}{llll} \\ J i m m i e & \text { Griffin [6] } & 11 / 9 & 76 / 13\end{array}$
84/24 84/25 85/10 85/20
job [2] 7/11 48/20
John [3] 2/9 62/5 79/11
John Clewell [2] 62/5 79/11
John Sieracki [1] 2/9
join [1] 78/4
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { joined }[6] & 76 / 11 & 77 / 2 & 77 / 3 & 77 / 4\end{array}$
77/5 77/15
joins [1] 77/6
joint [1] 39/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Jones [4] } & 14 / 11 & 15 / 2 & 15 / 12\end{array}$
15/13
Jones' [1] 14/15
Jonquil [3] $17 / 20 \quad 20 / 15 \quad 20 / 17$
Jonquil Avenue [2] 17/20 20/15
Jr [1] 2/2
judge [8] $1 / 11$ 7/4 66/21 $67 / 2$
71/6 71/15 117/21 121/12
Judge Blake [2] 66/21 67/2
Judge Gordon [1] 121/12
judges [2] 7/7 12/17
judgment [2] 92/4 92/14
July [5] 30/25 77/20 78/11
86/19 86/24
July 2016 [1] 30/25
July 23rd [1] 86/19
July 25th [1] 77/20
July 29th [1] 86/24
July 8th [1] 78/11
jump [1] 29/17
juries [1] 12/17
juror [7] 109/10 109/15 109/16 122/7 122/9 122/10 123/19
Juror No. 1 [1] 122/10
Juror No. 3 [1] 109/16
Juror No. 4 [1] 109/15
Juror No. 6 [1] 123/19
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { jurors [9] } & 92 / 12 & 92 / 17 & 92 / 23\end{array}$
93/1 98/16 99/9 107/11 109/18
119/25
jury [54] $1 / 12 \quad 3 / 19 \quad 3 / 19 \quad 5 / 9$
$\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 16 & 12 / 5 & 18 / 1 & 18 / 20 & 18 / 24\end{array}$
19/12 20/9 20/18 20/21 21/2
$\begin{array}{llllllll} & 35 / 2 & 49 / 15 & 51 / 8 & 51 / 11 & 53 / 13\end{array}$
61/6 62/11 68/16 72/2 $72 / 9$
72/15 73/9 89/19 92/6 93/4
93/17 93/20 94/7 95/8 97/11
98/19 98/20 98/22 100/13 101/15
103/9 104/25 105/5 106/18
116/22 117/6 119/16 120/24
$\begin{array}{llll}122 / 21 & 122 / 23 & 124 / 4 & 124 / 5\end{array}$
124/23 124/24 125/24
jury's [1] 64/4
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { just } & \text { [95] } & 3 / 6 & 3 / 11 & 3 / 21 & 4 / 18\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllllll}5 / 1 & 10 / 2 & 12 / 17 & 13 / 1 & 13 / 10 & 17 / 11\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}17 / 25 & 20 / 1 & 20 / 3 & 20 / 5 & 20 / 10\end{array}$
23/20 28/3 28/9 37/18 39/23

| J | 54/3 | liars [5] 6/3 12/8 43/19 56/15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | O1 |
|  |  | [15] 6/14 6/21 7/1 33/2 |
| 49/20 49/21 50/3 50/20 50/22 | Kostoplis [5] 8/17 78/1 78/4 | 34/6 38/12 39/23 39/25 55/18 |
| 51/17 53/18 58/20 63/15 64/3 | 88/21 88/21 | 57/10 57/15 63/18 64/10 64/16 |
| 67/2 67/18 67/21 67/23 69/23 | Kyle [1] 40/10 | 83/14 |
| 69/25 70/6 73/2 73/18 75/2 | Kyle Wells [1] 40/10 | lied [17] 6/19 11/16 12/10 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}75 / 15 & 76 / 25 & 79 / 12 & 83 / 1 & 86 / 20\end{array}$ | L |  |
| 88/20 91/14 91/20 92/1 93/6 |  | 23/14 23/15 35/11 35/12 38/6 |
| 94/2 97/7 99/3 100/8 101/6 | labeled [4] 28/14 28/15 58/16 | 38/8 39/23 41/14 41/17 |
| 101/10 102/17 103/12 103/19 | 58/17 | lies [4] 6/23 39/6 40/24 45/18 |
| 104/15 105/9 105/11 105/12 | labels [1] 29/12 | Lieutenant [1] 60/8 |
| 106/17 106/19 106/19 106/24 | ladies [34] 5/23 5/25 6/15 | Lieutenant Friel [1] 60/8 |
| 107/9 108/15 109/7 112/7 112/11 | 11/15 13/22 17/1 17/11 27/13 | life [1] 88/19 |
| 112/12 114/2 114/4 114/18 116/6 | 27/17 27/23 28/4 29/14 32/6 | lift [2] 11/5 46/24 |
| 116/8 116/12 116/15 119/23 | $36 / 4$ 38/1 38/9 38/25 39/21 | light [2] 47/16 92/7 |
| 120/23 120/23 121/6 122/1 123/1 | 42/16 43/13 44/10 45/13 45/18 | lighter [3] 34/15 35/22 47/3 |
| 123/9 123/17 124/9 125/13 | 47/11 48/3 48/19 62/9 62/15 | like [46] 4/12 4/13 7/25 10/2 |
| 125/16 126/6 | 75/9 77/12 88/2 91/6 101/10 | 12/17 15/7 21/1 21/3 25/6 26/11 |
| justice [1] 51/19 | 103/6 | 34/23 34/24 35/3 35/9 42/7 |
| justify [1] 54/3 | lady [1] 28/22 | 52/23 56/16 56/16 57/9 58/5 |
| K | laid [2] 40/20 117/7 | 58/11 58/20 64/1 64/9 66/22 |
|  | language [4] 71/12 110/17 | 74/7 76/25 77/24 79/12 85/2 |
| keep [21] 7/20 7/22 8/3 8/9 | 110/22 113/11 | 86/2 88/8 88/11 88/13 88/17 |
| 8/11 8/11 9/20 25/4 40/7 40/16 | large [4] 23/20 25/24 38/24 | 88/20 90/17 97/12 97/15 97/25 |
| 54/14 54/22 55/8 73/19 76/9 | 102/1 | 98/10 101/23 106/14 108/4 |
| 76/10 76/23 77/11 102/20 114/23 | large-scale [1] 23/20 | 112/11 125/9 |
| 124/1 | last [7] 8/17 33/8 48/18 48/25 | likelihood [3] 61/3 84/18 84/22 |
| keeping [1] 8/9 | 97/23 101/10 108/16 | limited [1] 69/4 |
| keeps [2] 21/8 39/25 | late [2] 29/4 100/20 | lines [1] 106/3 |
| kept [2] 54/7 71/21 | later [10] 12/20 16/8 19/18 | linking [1] 36/11 |
| Kerrigan [4] 2/10 99/5 103/19 | 20/25 28/25 58/14 82/16 100/25 | list [3] 94/17 104/13 104/14 |
| 104/7 | 102/18 102/20 | listed [1] 95/23 |
| key [1] 34/4 | launder [1] 38/24 | listen [12] 7/13 10/13 30/3 |
| keys [2] 15/21 16/1 | laundered [1] 38/20 | 35/8 35/18 $48 / 16$ 48/16 99/13 |
| kidding [1] 105/9 | laundering [1] 39/20 | 101/20 101/22 101/22 106/16 |
| kill [1] 42/6 | law [7] 29/20 50/6 56/23 87/25 | listened [4] 37/13 48/11 49/8 |
| killed [1] 40/21 | 88/7 91/23 92/2 | 83/13 |
| kills [1] 88/11 | law enforcement [2] 56/23 87/25 | listening [3] 58/9 62/7 86/16 |
| kilo [4] 21/24 26/10 26/11 | lawyer [1] 52/23 | litany [1] 7/16 |
| 26/12 | lawyers [5] 45/24 47/18 53/6 | literally [3] 43/10 111/24 |
| kilograms [6] 21/4 27/20 56/2 | 66/18 87/4 | 112/18 |
| 56/5 56/7 56/11 | leader [2] 80/23 81/1 | little [13] 8/23 13/4 16/9 17/4 |
| kilos [14] 11/3 11/8 19/17 | learned [1] 28/25 | 17/5 17/5 21/18 24/24 25/10 |
| 20/20 20/24 21/1 23/7 23/10 | least [8] 21/23 95/2 99/4 | 47/3 102/18 110/13 114/19 |
| 25/17 26/4 26/9 29/19 29/23 | 100/23 101/24 105/20 105/22 | live [1] 23/12 |
| 45/4 | 113/13 | lived [1] 85/18 |
| kind [1] 33/19 | leave [5] 20/10 82/4 113/3 | lives [1] 46/2 |
| kinds [1] 52/14 | 120/20 125/20 | living [5] 23/16 53/1 80/13 |
| knew [14] 14/23 22/2 23/2 31/3 | leaves [4] 9/6 16/11 24/3 25/25 | 81/5 81/17 |
| 62/14 63/6 69/13 79/15 79/22 | led [1] 52/11 | local [2] 3/15 3/16 |
| 81/9 87/19 87/21 87/24 87/25 | Lee [3] 85/7 85/10 85/19 | location [2] 14/20 86/1 |
| knock [1] 97/6 | left [20] 8/18 9/7 $13 / 1$ 13/8 | locked [2] 53/1 54/11 |
| knocked [1] 33/1 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 21 & 25 / 16 & 33 / 15 & 33 / 25 & 34 / 8\end{array}$ | locker [3] 34/4 59/6 59/9 |
| knocks [1] 32/16 | 37/11 49/15 53/1 56/12 59/24 | locks [1] 124/10 |
| know [67] $3 / 15$ 3/15 8/10 9/4 | 59/24 78/5 80/20 81/20 83/8 | logical [1] 68/24 |
| 12/10 13/3 17/6 21/10 21/12 | 88/3 | Lombard [1] 1/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 21 / 13 & 24 / 7 & 28 / 7 & 31 / 4 & 31 / 5 & 33 / 13\end{array}$ | left-hand [1] 34/8 | long [5] 4/14 98/4 102/21 |
| 34/15 37/5 37/6 37/12 37/17 | legal [3] 69/11 69/24 73/11 | 111/13 122/19 |
| 41/8 42/21 44/25 45/8 49/24 | length [1] 102/14 | longer [1] 112/9 |
| 51/2 57/4 59/15 62/6 62/9 62/18 | lengthy [1] 91/7 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { look [31] } & 10 / 3 & 10 / 19 & 10 / 22 & 13 / 5\end{array}$ |
| 65/11 66/5 66/16 67/11 69/14 | Leo [1] 1/18 | 22/2 27/12 27/17 28/9 29/16 |
| 69/21 74/9 74/18 74/25 75/2 | Leo J. Wise [1] 1/18 | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 14 & 30 / 23 & 36 / 18 & 39 / 3 & 41 / 12\end{array}$ |
| 80/3 80/16 81/10 81/20 83/13 | less [3] 23/10 66/24 84/15 | 46/22 47/17 $50 / 17$ 54/25 74/24 |
| 88/1 89/9 97/8 97/23 98/6 | let [13] 68/1 72/22 84/18 97/6 | 77/24 89/16 90/17 96/24 101/16 |
| 100/19 102/4 105/12 106/6 | 97/7 102/4 107/9 112/5 118/14 | 102/2 102/5 104/11 105/16 |
| 109/17 112/12 112/25 115/12 | 119/22 121/19 123/17 125/25 | 105/19 114/2 126/2 |
| 115/14 115/24 116/1 117/3 118/5 | let's [12] 14/24 27/25 35/18 | looked [2] 10/2 18/8 |
| 118/23 120/24 126/1 | 90/12 114/10 114/11 114/12 | looking [9] 10/21 25/19 35/25 |
| knowing [3] 61/9 79/20 79/20 | 115/3 115/5 115/5 117/19 119/6 | 53/21 81/14 81/21 110/1 115/17 |
| knowledge [9] 7/20 7/22 21/6 | letting [2] 41/7 116/10 | 115/18 |
| 21/11 23/9 23/10 23/11 53/14 | liar [4] 58/4 75/24 80/6 84/6 | lookout [2] 67/12 69/14 |

lying [12] $17 / 6$ 17/7 $23 / 13$ 29/7 $\begin{array}{lllll}40 / 1 & 42 / 10 & 42 / 10 & 42 / 22 & 43 / 7\end{array}$ 65/10 83/6 83/18
M
machetes [1] 100/4
made [27] $8 / 1 \quad 12 / 25$ 21/25 $22 / 1$ $\begin{array}{llllll}22 / 4 & 23 / 23 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 4 & 29 / 1 & 46 / 7\end{array}$ 49/2 53/8 53/8 55/4 57/23 60/25 63/2 63/2 63/3 63/3 64/23 64/24 68/19 74/16 89/15 89/15 94/12 made-up [1] 22/4
maintenance [2] 77/22 77/23 make [38] 4/25 7/2 9/16 10/20 $\begin{array}{lll}10 / 24 & 11 / 10 & 27 / 5 \\ 29 / 24 & 32 / 24\end{array}$ 36/10 $46 / 1$ 47/2 $47 / 10$ 47/16 $\begin{array}{lllll}49 / 1 & 50 / 24 & 61 / 3 & 61 / 25 & 64 / 20\end{array}$ 66/3 73/14 74/2 74/9 88/20 90/17 97/24 104/3 104/9 104/16 104/24 106/17 116/15 119/23 121/6 121/12 121/21 124/2 126/2
makes [4] 84/11 112/25 113/18 122/17
making [9] $29 / 22 \quad 45 / 25$ 46/4 70/20 76/4 81/7 111/20 111/21 121/7
$\operatorname{man}[14] \quad 17 / 19 \quad 20 / 14 \quad 43 / 20$ 43/21 43/21 52/2 52/3 55/16 57/9 64/1 65/19 65/20 77/22 90/21
man's [1] 55/18
manner [1] 93/16
many [8] 9/9 16/19 20/20 25/6
34/23 42/7 48/3 48/6
mapped [1] 124/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { March [3] } & 49 / 4 & 61 / 1 & 61 / 15\end{array}$
March 1st [1] 49/4
MARCUS [31] $1 / 6$ 2/3 6/16 11/23 27/2 27/7 27/10 29/1 29/7 29/8 $\begin{array}{lllll}29 / 10 & 29 / 25 & 30 / 1 & 31 / 20 & 33 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 16 & 33 / 17 & 35 / 21 & 36 / 5 & 42 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 18 & 43 / 20 & 44 / 4 & 45 / 1 & 47 / 12\end{array}$ 49/4 49/10 51/21 54/19 63/3 65/20
Marcus Roosevelt Taylor [9] 6/16 35/21 36/5 42/18 45/1 47/12 49/10 51/21 54/19
Marcus Taylor [16] 2/3 11/23 $\begin{array}{lllllll}27 / 10 & 29 / 1 & 29 / 7 & 29 / 8 & 29 / 25 & 30 / 1\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}31 / 20 & 33 / 9 & 33 / 16 & 33 / 17 & 42 / 11\end{array}$ 44/4 63/3 65/20
Marcus Taylor's [3] 27/2 27/7 29/10
marijuana [9] $16 / 6$ 16/7 $16 / 8$ 16/12 41/22 60/19 60/23 60/24 61/13
marked [1] 46/14
Marlboro [1] 32/10
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Marnat [4] } & 66 / 13 & 68 / 3 & 70 / 10\end{array}$ 70/12
Marnat Road [4] 66/13 68/3

MARYLAND [5] $1 / 1 \quad 1 / 10 \quad 1 / 25$
24/13 81/22
masks [1] 89/2
massive [1] 17/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { match [4] } & 10 / 13 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 6 & 32 / 7\end{array}$
math [1] 24/11
matrix [1] 18/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { matter }[10] & 28 / 13 & 39 / 5 & 58 / 6\end{array}$ 63/22 64/23 64/25 84/1 92/10 111/4 126/14
mattered [1] 65/5
matters [6] $28 / 13 \quad 29 / 12 \quad 38 / 9$ 39/5 58/23 91/25
Matthew [1] 2/10
Matthew Kerrigan [1] 2/10
Maurice [23] $11 / 22 \quad 12 / 8 \quad 13 / 17$
13/22 13/24 14/6 14/9 14/10
$\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 21 & 15 / 3 & 15 / 7 & 15 / 12 & 15 / 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 15 & 17 / 6 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 3 & 32 / 19 & 47 / 1\end{array}$
52/19 61/1 61/12 61/14
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { Maurice Ward [21] } & 11 / 22 & 12 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 17 & 13 / 22 & 13 / 24 & 14 / 6 & 14 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}14 / 10 & 14 / 21 & 15 / 3 & 15 / 7 & 15 / 15\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}17 / 6 & 25 / 2 & 25 / 3 & 32 / 19 & 47 / 1 & 52 / 19\end{array}$ 61/1 61/12 61/14
Maurice Ward's [2] 15/12 15/14
may [33] $3 / 224 / 3 \quad 4 / 4 \quad 11 / 12$
$\begin{array}{llll}11 / 21 & 17 / 21 & 19 / 21 & 19 / 21 \\ 26 / 2\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 5 & 34 / 5 & 41 / 9 & 41 / 9 & 41 / 11\end{array} 48 / 15$ 50/1 60/10 61/25 67/5 71/13 74/6 89/11 99/10 101/12 106/4 107/11 109/5 109/21 117/24 119/5 120/1 120/15 122/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { maybe [7] } & 9 / 16 & 10 / 13 & 11 / 8 & 21 / 4\end{array}$ 45/2 46/11 111/23
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { me }[25] & 4 / 25 & 10 / 19 & 10 / 22 & 16 / 15\end{array}$ 18/16 19/11 43/17 45/23 62/4 68/1 72/7 72/22 79/22 84/18 97/20 $105 / 19$ 106/1 $107 / 9$ 108/14 110/13 112/5 118/14 119/22 121/19 123/17
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { mean [33] } & 4 / 16 & 4 / 17 & 4 / 24 & 12 / 23\end{array}$ 30/18 $34 / 25 \quad 58 / 9 \quad 61 / 1 \quad 69 / 11$ 74/1 $74 / 12 \quad 74 / 24 \quad 80 / 6 \quad 87 / 15$ 90/2 100/20 103/22 105/23 107/20 108/16 109/5 109/5
$\begin{array}{llllll}110 / 17 & 110 / 22 & 112 / 1 & 113 / 1 & 114 / 2\end{array}$
114/20 115/11 116/7 116/25 116/25 119/11
means [9] $9 / 16$ 40/21 60/4 60/25 73/24 83/20 85/20 118/25 119/2
meant [1] 76/20
meet [5] 15/19 55/23 124/9 124/13 126/6
meeting [5] $12 / 11 \quad 12 / 15 \quad 15 / 20$ 61/14 122/9
meetings [1] 122/10
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { meets [4] } & 26 / 3 & 26 / 4 & 75 / 14 & 75 / 19\end{array}$
member [13] 53/22 53/24 93/17
94/15 94/22 95/3 110/20 113/12 115/9 118/3 118/7 119/18 120/6
members [2] 53/20 54/14
memorialize [1] 29/17
memories [1] 80/14

| memory | $[8]$ | $4 / 5$ | $4 / 9$ | $4 / 9$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | 58/19 58/21 76/18 80/12

men [15] 52/20 62/17 66/10 67/3 77/14 77/24 81/18 84/19 88/4 $88 / 6$ 88/10 88/20 89/1 89/3 89/3
mentally [1] 124/17

mentioned t2] $\mathrm{Cl}_{674}$
Mercedes [1] 82/18
mere [1] 92/23
merits [1] 93/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { messed [3] } & 28 / 19 & 28 / 23 & 30 / 1\end{array}$
messing [1] 30/4
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { met }[7] & 15 / 24 & 56 / 8 & 61 / 2 & 74 / 4\end{array}$
74/6 84/19 85/12
mic [3] 99/16 99/16 101/19
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Michael [4] } & 41 / 17 & 41 / 18 & 41 / 22\end{array}$
65/12
Michael Sylvester [4] 41/17
41/18 41/22 65/12
Microphone [1] 35/6
microphones [1] 57/1
midday [1] 122/9
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { might }[8] & 41 / 8 & 47 / 2 & 47 / 3 & 59 / 1\end{array}$ 75/15 75/17 82/25 90/21
mile [1] 55/22
Miller's [1] 86/8
million [5] $17 / 15 \quad 25 / 14 \quad 25 / 15$ 25/20 39/18
Milton [1] 86/8
Milton Miller's [1] 86/8
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { mind [4] } & 25 / 11 & 76 / 9 & 76 / 11 & 79 / 2\end{array}$
minor [1] $98 / 19$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { minute [4] } & 37 / 4 & 71 / 14 & 98 / 15\end{array}$ 109/20
minutes [4] 99/10 101/12 $122 / 15$ 122/17
miraculously [1] 86/22
mischaracterizing [2] 90/2 90/4
misleading [4] 67/21 68/16
68/18 68/20
missing [1] 80/21
mistake [2] 14/23 19/2
mixed [1] 40/11
moment [2] 71/14 76/10
Momodu [1] 52/18
Momodu Gondo [1] 52/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Monday }[11] & 122 / 12 & 122 / 25 & 123 / 3\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}124 / 6 & 124 / 14 & 124 / 18 & 125 / 4 & 125 / 7\end{array}$
125/12 125/23 126/6
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { money [82] } & 10 / 13 & 10 / 15 & 10 / 16\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllll}10 / 18 & 10 / 22 & 11 / 11 & 13 / 7 & 13 / 9\end{array}$
$14 / 2$ 14/5 21/11 21/17 21/17
21/23 22/25 23/2 23/5 23/17
$\begin{array}{lllll}24 / 17 & 24 / 22 & 24 / 25 & 25 / 1 & 26 / 24\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{lllllll}27 / 3 & 35 / 17 & 35 / 21 & 35 / 25 & 36 / 7\end{array}$
$36 / 7$ 37/16 37/16 38/16 39/20
$45 / 3$ 52/25 53/21 54/11 55/4
55/6 57/12 57/13 58/8 60/19
60/23 64/16 64/18 64/20 64/21
64/23 65/6 65/9 67/14 67/16
69/5 71/1 76/21 76/21 76/22
76/23 77/11 78/20 78/22 78/25
79/6 79/13 79/14 80/1 80/3 80/8
80/19 80/20 80/24 81/19 81/21
82/23 83/2 83/5 83/10 84/8 84/9
84/21 124/1
month [1] 86/2
months [2] 8/18 9/6
more [29] $11 / 11 \quad 17 / 12 \quad 21 / 3$
24/18 $35 / 3 \quad 52 / 15 \quad 55 / 17 \quad 57 / 8$
58/11 $58 / 12$ 63/1 63/2 66/8
73/15 86/5 87/12 87/12 87/13
87/14 88/12 98/18 106/19 107/10
111/3 111/4 112/17 113/23
114/21 119/15
Moreover [1] 54/1

| M | 47/13 47/22 48/1 48/12 48/21 | 82/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1275^{96}$ F2led $^{7} 08 / 17 /$ |  |
| morning [9] ${ }_{3} / 3{ }_{3} 10-5 /$ | Mr. Taylor's [1] $7 / 5$ - | neighbothood [7] 13/6 14/13 |
| 26/20 26/23 27/1 53/7 73/3 | Mr. Ward [1] 57/21 | 84/5 84/10 84/11 84/12 84/13 |
| mortgage [1] 39/21 | Mr. Ward's [1] 23/22 | neither [2] 41/4 86/11 |
| most [2] 43/17 70/14 | Mr. Whiting [1] 20/4 | never [14] $15 / 24 \quad 15 / 25 \quad 16 / 1$ |
| moti [1] 29/18 | Mr. Wise [4] 3/22 51/15 91/5 | 16/2 33/10 43/6 52/15 61/2 64/8 |
| motions [1] 123/3 | 117/1 | 68/21 81/10 81/10 84/19 97/23 |
| motivate [1] 29/22 | Mrs. [3] 81/11 81/13 81/19 | new [2] 8/19 77/7 |
| motivated [3] 29/18 29/18 $35 / 5$ | Mrs. Hamilton [3] 81/11 81/13 | New Jersey [1] 8/19 |
| mouth [1] 76/23 | 81/19 | newbie [1] 31/20 |
| move [9] 28/9 106/6 109/21 | Ms. [42] 5/11 $5 / 21 \quad 18 / 15$ 23/8 | newspaper [1] 98/10 |
| 113/15 113/25 117/19 117/24 | 23/9 23/11 $24 / 19$ 26/19 49/13 | next [7] 81/2 83/3 90/21 95/8 |
| 120/1 123/9 | 51/17 52/24 53/8 53/13 54/13 | 122/14 122/17 126/7 |
| Moyé [10] 18/15 98/6 98/13 | 54/20 55/16 56/2 57/4 57/11 | nice [1] 64/1 |
| 103/11 105/10 108/23 119/23 | 57/21 57/25 58/16 58/20 59/4 | Nieto [4] $2 / 4$ 5/11 49/2 52/24 |
| 120/23 121/5 125/25 | 59/17 59/25 60/12 61/18 63/12 | night [7] 31/14 34/13 35/12 |
| Mr [1] 8/3 | 64/15 65/11 80/5 89/8 98/6 | 35/21 86/20 86/25 89/6 |
| Mr. [110] 3/5 3/11 3/22 5/11 | 98/13 103/11 105/10 108/23 | nightmare [1] 49/5 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}6 / 16 & 7 / 5 & 8 / 4 & 14 / 15 & 17 / 24 & 20 / 4\end{array}$ | 119/23 120/23 121/5 125/25 | nineteen [2] 34/25 35/1 |
| 21/15 23/1 23/2 23/3 23/22 | Ms. Holloway [5] 23/8 23/9 | no [78] $1 / 4$ 8/1 11/14 $11 / 18$ |
| 35/22 36/12 38/22 47/13 47/22 | 23/11 24/19 26/19 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}14 / 3 & 14 / 6 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 8 & 14 / 24\end{array}$ |
| 48/1 $48 / 12$ 48/21 $49 / 2$ 51/15 | Ms. Moyé [10] 18/15 98/6 98/13 | 16/4 18/5 18/7 18/7 $21 / 15$ 22/23 |
| 52/24 52/24 53/8 56/13 57/21 | 103/11 105/10 108/23 119/23 | 23/9 23/10 23/11 27/19 27/21 |
| 58/20 58/20 64/8 64/8 64/17 | 120/23 121/5 125/25 | 27/22 $33 / 8$ 33/15 $34 / 14 \begin{array}{llll}35 / 2\end{array}$ |
| 64/17 64/18 65/9 65/9 65/10 | Ms. Taylor [1] 54/13 | $35 / 20$ 35/25 36/1 36/2 36/4 36/6 |
| 66/23 67/4 67/6 67/17 67/23 | Ms. Taylor's [1] 59/17 | 36/11 37/8 38/17 39/16 39/18 |
| 68/1 68/3 68/25 69/5 69/6 69/12 | Ms. Wicks [25] 5/11 5/21 49/13 |  |
| 69/13 70/7 71/10 73/13 74/13 | 51/17 52/24 53/8 53/13 54/20 | 47/8 47/22 47/23 49/24 53/21 |
| 74/15 75/21 78/2 78/7 78/11 | 55/16 56/2 57/4 57/11 57/21 | 57/9 58/17 59/22 61/9 63/18 |
| 79/15 80/5 80/7 80/10 80/11 | 57/25 58/16 58/20 59/4 59/25 | 63/22 64/10 65/25 69/10 70/10 |
| 80/15 81/4 81/8 82/8 83/5 83/11 | 60/12 61/18 63/12 64/15 65/11 | 72/21 72/21 72/21 73/1 76/5 |
| 83/18 83/19 83/23 83/24 84/4 | 80/5 89/8 | 83/13 84/15 85/9 87/16 88/19 |
| 84/4 84/6 84/7 84/11 84/24 85/2 | much [16] 5/6 10/20 19/16 20/23 | 98/24 98/25 99/1 107/19 107/24 |
| 85/3 85/6 85/25 86/3 88/17 91/5 | 23/2 23/10 36/17 55/5 63/7 | 109/11 112/9 115/12 123/1 123/8 |
| 94/4 94/19 94/23 95/5 95/6 | 65/14 99/7 101/14 102/23 111/23 | 125/21 |
| 95/20 95/23 96/2 96/16 99/5 | 116/15 125/22 | No. [6] $18 / 22$ 18/24 $109 / 15$ |
| 103/19 104/7 106/22 107/6 107/8 | multiple [5] 23/12 $41 / 14$ 48/10 | 109/16 122/10 123/19 |
| 108/17 109/4 113/9 113/14 115/5 | 76/17 122/7 | No. 3 [1] 18/22 |
| 117/1 | murder [9] 40/20 40/22 41/8 | none [2] 21/16 88/2 |
| Mr. Armstrong [1] 65/10 |  | Northeast [1] 85/13 |
| Mr. Brown [4] 21/15 23/1 23/2 23/3 | $\begin{array}{cccc} 44 / 22 \\ \text { murders } & & & \\ \hline 2] & 41 / 4 & 43 / 8 \end{array}$ | Northeast Baltimore [1] 85/13 NORTHERN [1] 1/2 |
| Mr. Griffin [1] 85/3 | must [4] 92/15 94/11 95/21 | not [208] |
| Mr. Hamilton [7] 64/8 64/8 | 123/25 | note [26] 50/24 70/19 93/10 |
| 79/15 80/15 81/8 82/8 88/17 | mutually [1] 7/2 | 100/23 102/4 102/15 102/16 |
| Mr. Hersl [17] 64/18 65/9 69/6 | my [20] $3 / 24$ 4/2 $4 / 6$ 4/9 58/22 | 108/8 108/17 108/21 108/22 |
| 69/12 69/13 74/13 81/4 84/7 | 58/24 58/25 59/1 73/10 90/4 | 108/23 109/14 109/16 110/15 |
| 86/3 94/4 94/19 95/5 95/6 96/16 | 92/1 98/19 100/22 103/12 105/11 | 112/8 119/23 120/19 121/6 121/7 |
| 106/22 107/8 115/5 | 108/16 110/24 111/6 111/19 | 123/17 123/19 124/5 124/15 |
| Mr. Hines [4] 58/20 78/7 108/17 | 123/1 | 125/8 126/2 |
| 109/4 | myself [1] 50/25 | notes [1] 125/20 |
| Mr. Hines' [1] 3/11 | mysteriously [1] 25/9 | nothing $\left[\begin{array}{lllll}16] & 9 / 7 & 10 / 8 & 10 / 9 & 13 / 3\end{array}\right.$ |
| Mr. Jones' [1] 14/15 | N | 13/5 13/5 15/11 17/15 17/16 |
| Mr. Kerrigan [3] 99/5 103/19 | N | 17/17 24/24 27/4 27/23 29/7 |
| 104/7 | name [1] 103/4 | 54/16 73/5 |
| Mr. Nieto [3] 5/11 49/2 52/24 | named [1] 14/11 | notice [1] 93/14 |
| Mr. Purpura [36] 3/5 17/24 | names [1] 65/13 | noticed [1] 32/12 |
| 38/22 52/24 53/8 56/13 58/20 | narcotics [1] 40/12 | noticing [1] 120/18 |
| 64/17 64/17 65/9 66/23 67/4 | nauseating [4] 6/5 40/18 51/25 | November [1] 15/3 |
| 67/6 68/1 68/3 69/5 71/10 73/13 | 52/6 | now [56] 8/11 9/5 10/7 10/15 |
| 74/15 75/21 78/2 78/11 80/5 | near [2] 13/2 32/10 | 11/20 13/3 13/19 19/23 21/10 |
| 80/7 80/10 80/11 83/5 83/11 | neatly [1] 106/19 | 26/19 28/6 30/6 31/8 31/24 34/5 |
| 83/18 83/19 83/24 84/24 85/2 | necessarily [1] 74/18 | 34/23 35/14 $39 / 24$ 40/5 41/19 |
| 85/6 85/25 113/14 | necessary [2] 93/9 98/7 | 43/12 $49 / 3$ 52/1 53/7 53/13 |
| Mr. Purpura's [5] 67/17 67/23 | need [23] 6/3 42/18 42/20 42/20 | 56/13 60/12 61/18 63/12 67/4 |
| 68/25 70/7 113/9 | 47/19 50/17 53/23 53/24 54/1 | 68/20 69/10 72/4 72/14 74/1 |
| Mr. Santiful [1] 83/23 | 73/11 82/20 93/6 94/20 98/8 | 75/21 76/9 80/5 83/11 84/24 |
| Mr. Summerville [1] 35/22 | 98/11 99/13 100/17 102/21 104/2 | 85/22 90/24 90/24 93/4 95/5 |
| Mr. Tate [4] 84/4 84/4 84/6 | 108/12 111/2 124/8 125/13 | 97/23 99/12 103/11 103/20 |
| 84/11 | needed [3] 9/20 13/17 54/23 | 114/19 116/22 119/17 120/18 |
| Mr. Taylor [13] 6/16 8/4 36/12 | needs [4] 4/15 42/20 77/24 | 123/7 123/16 124/4 |


| N | 37/24 38/3 38/4 41/4 42/22 | 16/19 17/2 17/19 20/14 21/22 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 22/61 23/23 $24 / 46128 / 3$ 28/22 |
| number [7] 188/15 18/16 | 43/22 $44 / 6444744 / 8{ }_{4}$ | 31/20 ${ }^{2}$ 2/16 $32 / 2553 / 17$ 34/19 |
| 60/14 63/4 73/4 96/24 | 44/17 $44 / 19$ 46/18 $52 / 11$ 53/21 | $35 / 16$ 35/23 35/25 36/22 40/20 |
| numbers [2] 24/8 115/18 | 54/18 54/18 56/20 58/23 60/17 |  |
| numerical [1] 93/22 | 61/4 61/7 61/25 65/12 66/24 | 47/19 52/17 54/25 55/6 56/7 |
| 0 | 67/12 $67 / 14$ 67/16 $70 / 16$ 73/17 | 57/17 61/18 62/2 63/9 63/14 |
|  | 73/24 73/25 74/4 76/25 77/10 | 66/12 66/22 67/15 71/21 75/25 |
| -'clock [12] 26/20 27/1 100/23 | 84/19 84/25 87/14 87/22 88/19 | 81/14 85/7 86/15 89/8 89/16 |
| 100/23 102/17 122/6 122/20 | 92/5 92/12 93/25 96/7 101/24 | 90/6 93/10 97/2 99/13 102/3 |
| 122/24 125/9 125/12 125/13 | 107/10 109/17 110/4 113/25 | 102/15 103/7 103/19 104/4 109/1 |
| 125/23 | 114/13 115/4 115/4 115/8 115/9 | 110/17 111/4 112/7 114/3 117/8 |
| oath [13] 6/8 12/21 12/23 16/5 | 121/9 123/6 124/2 | 124/17 |
|  | one's [3] 39/18 53/21 107/19 | Outnumbered [1] 93/3 |
| 43/1 93/14 102/25 | ones [3] 24/5 31/2 113/17 | Outrageous [2] 39/4 39/9 |
| oatmeal [2] 21/7 22/25 | ongoing [1] 82/2 | outside [12] 20/17 22/18 26/3 |
| object [5] 4/2 68/10 68/22 71/6 | only [35] $3 / 12$ 3/21 7/7 $9 / 22$ | 42/3 44/6 68/5 68/8 69/12 69/13 |
| 106/11 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 23 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 6 & 15 / 13 & 17 / 8 & 18 / 4\end{array}$ | 69/21 89/22 93/12 |
| objected [1] 89/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}18 / 23 & 18 / 25 & 21 / 9 & 23 / 24 & 35 / 1\end{array}$ | over [24] 12/19 16/22 24/25 |
| objecting [2] 19/11 68/15 | 35/3 36/17 38/18 58/23 65/5 | 26/14 $26 / 18$ 29/17 $29 / 17$ 32/9 |
| objection [5] 4/2 64/2 67/20 | 73/2 73/6 82/19 84/3 84/16 | 32/16 32/20 33/1 45/20 56/12 |
| 71/18 89/10 | 91/18 92/16 98/1 99/20 104/2 | 59/8 63/24 66/19 67/1 67/1 67/1 |
| obligated [2] 105/16 108/10 | 104/10 104/16 110/3 112/20 | 76/14 93/7 94/2 119/7 125/19 |
| obviously [9] 50/6 99/12 100/18 | 112/24 | Overall [1] 113/21 |
| 104/8 105/25 107/19 109/19 | open [5] 55/10 56/1 57/16 97/10 | overlapped [1] 67/5 |
| 113/21 124/12 | 97/22 | Overtime [17] $30 / 23$ 36/21 36/22 |
| occur [1] 125/18 | Opening [3] $13 / 12$ 108/17 109/3 | 36/25 37/10 37/11 61/19 62/14 |
| October [1] 8/24 | operated [3] 42/3 55/3 89/1 | 62/20 62/24 63/2 63/4 75/12 |
| off [17] 8/23 10/1 10/4 13/7 | Opinion [2] 92/19 92/22 | 79/4 85/23 86/22 90/16 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 8 & 15 / 23 & 15 / 25 & 30 / 24 & 31 / 6\end{array}$ | opportunity [4] 51/14 54/10 | overwhelming [4] 38/19 53/12 |
| 36/23 37/10 37/11 41/24 79/25 | 60/11 102/5 | 85/23 87/11 |
| 81/15 83/9 83/14 | Option [2] 101/4 123/2 | Own [7] 26/6 42/4 45/16 46/2 |
| off-site [1] 81/15 | Order [3] 54/3 106/5 107/12 | 54/7 92/11 92/19 |
| Offense [2] 50/2 73/10 | ordered [1] 100/15 | owned [1] 81/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Offenses [3] } & 73 / 20 & 75 / 13 & 91 / 24\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Oreese [34] } & 12 / 12 & 12 / 13 & 13 / 12\end{array}$ | P |
| offered [1] 40/3 | 18/1 18/20 20/18 21/6 21/19 | P |
| offering [1] 69/5 | 22/1 22/5 22/8 22/11 22/19 | p.m [9] 28/12 98/16 103/9 105/4 |
| Office [4] 25/14 66/20 78/3 | $\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 24 & 23 / 4 & 23 / 13 & 24 / 9 & 24 / 16\end{array}$ | 108/3 123/12 124/24 125/24 |
| 121/21 | $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 25 / 4 & 26 / 11 & 26 / 16 & 37 / 14 & 47 / 4\end{array}$ | 126/11 |
| officer [23] 9/2 9/8 12/22 | 47/5 47/8 55/10 55/16 56/10 | packaged [1] 24/18 |
| 24/12 $29 / 20$ 31/16 $33 / 25$ 34/11 | 57/4 57/14 58/8 77/3 78/7 88/11 | paddy [1] 83/8 |
| 41/16 41/20 43/23 88/21 88/21 | Oreese Stevenson [27] 12/12 | paddy wagon [1] 83/8 |
| 93/11 93/15 97/7 103/1 103/3 | 12/13 18/20 20/18 21/6 21/19 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { page [6] } & 19 / 18 & 33 / 23 & 50 / 11\end{array}$ |
| 103/7 123/25 124/1 124/10 | 22/1 22/5 22/8 22/11 22/19 | 72/18 72/19 73/3 |
| 125/15 | 22/24 23/4 $23 / 13$ 24/16 $25 / 4$ | Page 10 [1] 50/11 |
| Officer Glover [1] 24/12 |  | Page 28 [2] 72/18 72/19 |
| Officer Kostoplis [2] 88/21 | 55/16 $56 / 10$ 57/4 77/3 78/7 | pages [2] 4/13 37/1 |
| 88/21 | 88/11 | pages out [1] 4/13 |
| Officer Thomas Wilson [1] 31/16 | Oreese Stevenson's [7] 13/12 | paid [1] 30/20 |
| officers [23] 10/21 20/15 22/16 | 18/1 24/9 26/16 55/10 57/14 | paints [1] 57/2 |
| 23/22 26/15 26/21 26/22 26/25 | 58/8 | Panas [1] 2/11 |
| $31 / 1 \quad 31 / 11 \quad 31 / 1231 / 17$ 31/24 | originally [2] 116/15 124/18 | pants [1] 85/20 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllll} & 34 / 1 & 34 / 7 & 34 / 9 & 34 / 11 & 36 / 2 & 37 / 2\end{array}$ | Other [56] $7 / 10$ 7/18 $7 / 23$ 7/24 | paper [1] 7/12 |
| 60/14 60/18 87/25 88/8 | 8/22 9/13 11/6 11/21 14/3 15/23 | paperwork [2] 10/12 86/10 |
| Official [2] 1/24 126/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}22 / 18 & 24 / 6 & 26 / 15 & 26 / 22 & 28 / 21\end{array}$ | parade [3] 6/13 37/22 51/24 |
| often [2] 32/4 88/12 | $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 8 & 31 / 16 & 32 / 14 & 36 / 2 & 39 / 12\end{array}$ | paralegal [2] 2/11 104/13 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { Oh [8] } & \text { 9/19 14/23 } & 16 / 24 & 25 / 22\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}40 / 19 & 41 / 4 & 42 / 24 & 43 / 23 & 43 / 25\end{array}$ | parking [1] 83/9 |
| 33/8 99/21 100/4 109/12 | 45/17 $45 / 24$ 53/24 $56 / 7$ 56/21 | part [20] $11 / 1$ 15/18 $19 / 8$ 19/9 |
| Okay [35] 4/10 4/14 4/18 5/7 | 56/23 57/9 60/14 60/17 62/2 | 19/11 19/13 19/13 19/19 19/22 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 14 & 18 / 10 & 19 / 1 & 19 / 21 & 20 / 12\end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{lllllll}64 / 9 & 70 / 14 & 73 / 5 & 74 / 5 & 78 / 2 & 83 / 22\end{array}$ | 31/8 39/7 44/2 54/4 69/6 78/12 |
| 51/4 51/10 51/13 70/3 70/6 75/8 | 91/25 94/12 99/15 101/7 102/7 | 78/19 78/21 116/19 117/1 119/10 |
| 78/21 98/18 100/12 101/10 104/8 | 102/13 105/24 106/5 112/8 | partially [2] 51/1 51/1 |
| 104/20 107/9 109/5 109/12 | 113/17 113/25 114/14 114/16 | participated [2] 60/18 61/4 |
| 117/20 117/22 119/22 119/25 | 116/24 117/3 | participating [1] 82/1 |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { others [7] } & 45 / 14 & 64 / 13 & 73 / 6\end{array}$ | particular [4] 67/22 69/4 |
| 123/16 124/20 126/10 | 82/9 93/2 105/17 117/2 | 113/20 115/19 |
| once [1] 125/14 | otherwise [1] 126/6 | particularly [1] 125/5 |
| One [87] 6/25 7/18 7/24 8/22 | Our [6] 69/17 72/10 104/13 | partner [2] 7/19 40/24 |
| 9/13 9/25 10/17 10/20 11/6 12/8 | 105/21 112/7 117/1 | parts [1] 93/25 |
|  | ours [1] 121/15 | pass [1] 25/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}21 / 15 & 22 / 23 & 23 / 3 & 23 / 24 & 27 / 6\end{array}$ | out [71] $4 / 13$ 6/4 $7 / 25$ 8/11 $9 / 5$ | path [1] 88/22 |
| 27/7 27/15 31/12 31/16 35/25 | 10/19 10/22 12/11 14/18 14/19 | patient [1] 48/13 |

$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Paul [4] } & 14 / 11 & 15 / 2 & 15 / 12 & 15 / 13\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}\text { Paul Jones [4] } & 14 / 11 & 15 / 2 & 15 / 12\end{array}$ 15/13
pause [8] 5/15 48/23 50/6 50/14 118/17 120/14 121/25 122/3
paused [1] 71/14
pay [1] 63/2
paychecks [2] 62/23 63/6
paying [1] 39/21
Pedro [1] 14/12
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { pending [4] } & 11 / 14 & 11 / 16 & 11 / 19\end{array}$ 11/19
Pennsylvania [1] 86/24
people [37] 7/18 7/21 7/22 7/23 15/23 37/13 $40 / 14$ 41/2 $43 / 25$ $\begin{array}{lllll}44 / 14 & 44 / 15 & 44 / 17 & 45 / 10 & 47 / 9\end{array}$ 52/3 52/4 52/24 52/25 52/25 53/2 54/15 56/21 66/3 67/11 70/24 70/25 76/5 76/11 77/2 78/2 82/14 88/7 88/8 88/15 88/17 97/24 117/4
percent [1] 38/18
perfectly [2] 91/14 125/6
perhaps [5] 13/8 33/18 117/9 124/2 124/13
period [5] 8/25 9/5 37/25 111/10 125/19
person [21] $11 / 12 \quad 11 / 25 \quad 12 / 2$ $\begin{array}{llll}12 / 6 & 12 / 7 & 13 / 23 & 24 / 20 \\ 24 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}12 / 6 & 28 / 16 & 30 / 13 & 37 / 7 & 38 / 3 & 38 / 4\end{array}$ 44/10 54/18 57/22 57/22 66/15 89/23 97/8
personal [2] 21/6 21/11
persuasive [1] 69/1
pertained [1] 108/17
Philadelphia [1] 41/20
phone [9] 14/2 14/6 24/20 27/22
28/8 37/15 58/25 86/1 87/20
phones [3] 27/6 44/4 87/13
photo [5] 58/13 58/14 58/17 58/17 59/4
photographs [2] 33/23 100/9
phrase [2] 68/17 117/9
phrased [1] 111/12
physical [2] 61/11 102/3
picked [2] 77/18 77/19
pickup [1] 13/25
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { picture }[12] & 12 / 5 & 29 / 16 & 29 / 16\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 22 & 33 / 24 & 33 / 24 & 34 / 14 & 57 / 2\end{array}$
58/25 59/10 59/17 121/14
pictures [4] 10/3 14/8 29/15 38/13
piece [2] 27/8 99/15
pieces [1] 7/12
pilot [4] 47/4 47/5 47/6 47/7 Pinewood [4] 85/1 85/7 85/16 85/18
pinky [1] 43/2
pistols [2] 54/14 54/19
place [8] 27/7 32/3 80/2 80/2
80/2 94/9 97/4 110/2
placed [1] 24/11
places [2] 52/14 67/5
Plaintiff [2] $1 / 3 \quad 1 / 17$
plan [1] 100/22
plane [7] 46/20 46/21 46/22
46/24 46/25 47/5 47/10
planning [2] 100/9 102/1
plans [2] 47/10 86/21
phay Dod
83/22 90/13 90/19
playing [2] 32/22 38/23
plea [1] 44/14
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { please }[7] & 3 / 4 & 44 / 12 & 49 / 1 & 103 / 2\end{array}$
103/4 123/14 124/13
pled [2] 115/21 116/24
pocket [5] 8/1 8/1 26/18 36/1 84/8
point [32] 15/23 17/15 17/18 24/14 27/6 28/4 44/8 46/5 46/5 47/9 47/10 62/9 68/17 68/18 69/3 69/6 73/21 87/17 97/9 98/12 98/13 100/24 105/13 106/2 111/12 $112 / 12$ 113/13 113/24 114/8 117/10 119/15 120/19
Point A [2] $46 / 5$ 47/9
Point B [2] 46/5 47/10
pointed [7] 11/25 33/22 33/25 42/4 66/12 66/13 103/19
pointing [4] 41/3 42/10 44/1 53/13
police [26] 8/10 8/15 12/21 14/8 22/5 23/15 29/20 32/15 36/20 37/21 41/17 41/19 41/25 $44 / 4$ 44/5 52/10 60/3 60/9 60/11 60/14 62/17 70/13 70/24 79/17 81/22 87/14
Police Officer Thomas Wilson's [1 29/20
policy [1] 3/17
portion [1] 73/4
position [6] 105/21 110/24
112/8 113/7 113/9 117/8
positive [1] 98/10
possess [1] 96/12
possessing [1] 75/2
possession [3] 78/14 96/6 96/22
possibility [2] 105/13 125/5
possible [3] 28/24 28/24 50/14
possibly [1] 81/25
posted [1] 93/11
pounds [8] $15 / 16$ 16/6 $16 / 6$ 16/8
31/22 32/1 32/4 60/24
power [2] 90/23 90/25
powerful [1] 90/22
PowerPoint [2] 3/12 53/16
practical [1] 114/22
practically [1] $16 / 16$
practice [1] 50/22
practiced [1] 90/18
practices [1] 60/3
prefer [3] 109/22 117/25 120/2
preferable [1] 110/23
prejudiced [1] 116/7
preliminarily [1] 4/2
preposterous [1] $80 / 4$
present [4] $2 / 7$ 36/25 41/21 97/19
presentation [1] 94/1
presented [8] 7/9 39/10 54/10 57/1 75/13 79/18 88/21 112/13
preside [1] 93/7
press [3] $11 / 4$ 46/24 $105 / 14$
pretty [5] $16 / 25$ 28/4 29/22
99/7 101/14
preview [1] 73/18
preyed [1] 88/12
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { price } & {[3]} & 26 / 10 & 46 / 8 \\ 46 / 9\end{array}$
prior [5] 12/10 18/5 18/11
poispad $\left.{ }^{3}\right] 14^{15} 66^{19} 9156 / 19 \quad 17 / 2$
probably ${ }_{[11]}$ [14
63/20 71/25 85/22 91/23 101/4
102/15 106/12 122/17
problem [12] 10/15 15/24 21/18
28/6 29/14 30/8 39/19 47/1
72/18 85/9 103/20 119/4
problems [1] 17/12
proceeding [1] 3/16
proceedings [2] 101/7 126/14
proceeds [2] 55/1 55/19
processed [1] 10/16
professional [5] 6/3 12/8 43/19
58/4 59/11
proffer [1] 15/5
proffered [1] 33/8
proffers [1] 12/16
promised [1] 46/7
proof [2] 48/19 114/14
properly [1] 91/9
properties [1] 38/25
property [13] 67/8 67/19 68/8
71/5 71/13 71/15 72/23 73/16
74/13 74/20 74/25 75/2 75/18
proposal [1] 78/8
propose [3] 78/3 78/9 106/18
prosecuted [1] 12/13
protecting [2] 8/6 8/7
prove [6] $9 / 11$ 50/12 50/13 59/7
74/23 96/22
proved [12] 48/24 75/3 85/2
95/18 95/23 96/13 96/17 106/10
107/14 109/25 110/8 119/1
proven [4] 47/21 52/21 112/20
112/20
proves [2] 59/7 59/11
provide [2] 31/10 60/14
provided [2] 22/8 34/4
provides [1] 80/10
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { providing [4] } & 31 / 14 & 31 / 17 & 32 / 9\end{array}$
45/10
proving [1] 85/3
public [2] 107/20 107/22
pull [3] $14 / 19$ 32/14 78/9
pulled [1] 81/14
pulls [1] 14/15
punishment [1] 67/2
purports [1] 37/7
purpose [1] 92/23
purposes [3] $42 / 12$ 118/6 $118 / 20$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Purpura [36] } & 3 / 5 & 17 / 24 & 38 / 22\end{array}$
52/24 53/8 56/13 58/20 64/17
64/17 65/9 66/23 67/4 67/6 68/1
68/3 69/5 71/10 73/13 74/15
75/21 78/2 78/11 80/5 80/7
80/10 80/11 83/5 83/11 83/18
83/19 83/24 84/24 85/2 85/6
85/25 113/14
Purpura's [5] 67/17 67/23 68/25
70/7 113/9
Purpura, [1] 2/2
pusher [1] 8/14
put [17] 15/22 36/1 46/24 53/15
58/21 59/1 61/12 66/23 67/14
71/10 71/23 71/24 73/3 81/15
81/17 86/17 86/22
puts [2] 29/25 34/21
putting [3] $6 / 6 \quad 26 / 18 \quad 51 / 23$

## Q

quarter [1] 25/14

| Q | reaching [2] 92/13 112/9 | reflecting [1] 92/4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| question [23] $21 / 3$ | 53/15 95/24 98/9 107/9 119/22 | refresh [1才 19 |
| 63/10 64/19 64/22 69/10 94/5 | 121/18 123/17 | refreshed [1] 19/8 |
| 94/6 95/8 100/21 105/5 105/15 | reading [2] 97/22 106/18 | refuses [2] 26/1 26/7 |
| 108/15 110/14 111/9 111/12 | reads [1] 111/20 | regard [1] 105/23 |
| 111/23 111/24 117/9 121/3 121/4 | ready [8] 5/9 5/11 51/8 79/21 | regarding [1] 123/21 |
| 122/2 | 99/3 99/4 99/7 103/25 | Registered [1] 126/17 |
| questioned [2] 40/4 57/6 | real [7] 10/2 10/6 30/20 68/6 | relate [1] 36/9 |
| questioning [1] 83/15 | 81/21 81/24 82/3 | related [2] 50/1 122/10 |
| questions [26] 6/8 6/12 $16 / 8$ | reality [1] 40/25 | relates [2] 93/17 109/6 |
| 27/12 27/14 30/11 30/13 30/14 | realized [1] 8/23 | relating [1] 91/24 |
| 36/19 47/13 47/14 60/1 60/2 | really [21] 8/2 11/3 12/23 | relationship [1] 96/15 |
| 60/10 94/24 95/12 95/15 96/24 | $\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 21 & 32 / 12 & 33 / 3 & 38 / 24 & 43 / 2\end{array}$ | released [1] 50/23 |
| 100/18 106/4 107/11 113/25 | 52/17 69/5 74/16 83/2 83/25 | relevant [6] $11 / 15$ 39/2 39/14 |
| 116/17 117/11 117/17 125/17 | 94/5 113/18 113/19 115/11 | 39/14 39/22 90/20 |
| quite [2] 30/18 91/6 | 115/12 115/17 115/17 115/18 | reliability [1] 66/11 |
| quote [1] 3/23 | Realtime [1] 126/17 | reliable [1] 29/1 |
| quoting [1] 4/1 | reason [12] 6/14 $7 / 6$ 11/15 | rely [5] 9/18 44/18 60/4 83/24 |
| R | $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 11 & 34 / 6 & 34 / 14 & 59 / 16 & 83 / 13\end{array}$ | 118/11 |
| racketeering [37] 9/12 61/7 |  | $\left\lvert\, \begin{array}{lcc} \text { relying [2] } & 29 / 13 & 43 / \\ \text { remaining [1] } & 108 / 11 \end{array}\right.$ |
| 70/17 78/12 $86 / 2$ 86/6 94/3 94/8 | 50/3 50/13 50/15 52/21 73/11 | remarkable [1] 11/20 |
| 94/14 94/17 94/20 94/25 95/2 | 91/2 91/25 96/13 | remarkably [1] 63/4 |
| 95/10 95/12 95/17 95/22 105/24 | reasons [5] 6/14 $7 / 144 / 19$ 48/3 | remarks [1] 4/24 |
| $\begin{array}{ll}106 / 9 & 107 / 14 \\ 109 / 24 & 110 / 19\end{array}$ | 48/7 | Remell [1] 46/6 |
| 111/1 111/7 111/10 111/14 | rebuttal [2] 3/22 51/14 | remember [5] 11/21 15/21 16/16 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}111 / 25 & 114 / 4 & 114 / 5 & 114 / 6 & 115 / 4\end{array}$ | recall [11] 5/2 10/11 11/6 | 55/12 71/15 |
| 115/6 115/9 116/5 117/3 118/2 | $\begin{array}{llllll}11 / 12 & 13 / 7 & 16 / 5 & 19 / 23 & 20 / 20\end{array}$ | remind [7] 5/1 50/3 50/21 73/9 |
| 120/5 | 26/3 69/4 91/11 | 91/8 92/1 92/3 |
| racketeering act [2] 78/12 | receipt [7] 68/8 71/4 71/13 | reminded [5] 13/9 60/16 60/21 |
| 107/14 | 71/15 72/23 73/15 75/17 | 91/7 91/11 |
| Racketeering Act 10 [1] 115/6 | receipt-of-stolen-property [2] | reminder [1] 50/22 |
| Racketeering Act whether [1] | 68/8 71/13 | remove [2] 104/2 104/22 |
| 106/9 | receive [1] 74/13 | rental [1] 38/25 |
| racketeering acts [1] 94/20 | received [2] 67/8 67/18 | rented [1] 29/10 |
| raid [1] 86/8 | receiving [1] 74/19 | repeat [1] 4/18 |
| rain [1] 89/6 | Recess [4] 49/16 105/3 108/ | repeatedly [6] 6/20 12/21 12/21 |
| raise [1] 103/2 | 123/11 | 13/13 14/13 38/8 |
| raised [1] 17/25 | recollection [12] 3/25 3/25 4/6 | repeating [1] 9/15 |
| rampant [3] 61/23 61/23 62/1 | 5/3 5/3 19/7 19/8 49/25 58/22 | repeats [1] 32/23 |
| ran [1] 53/1 | 58/24 64/4 91/16 | Repetition [1] 45/14 |
|  | reconcile [1] 42/23 | repetitive [1] 114/19 |
| 50/14 106/18 118/12 | record [24] 3/9 17/23 49/19 | rephrase [3] 67/24 70/6 75/8 |
| Rayam [72] $7 / 24$ 30/2 $34 / 1 \quad 34 / 3$ | 68/14 71/20 88/18 89/13 93/24 | replies [1] 31/21 |
| 34/9 34/12 $34 / 15$ 34/18 $34 / 19$ | 98/17 103/4 103/17 104/20 105/7 | report [3] 10/8 10/10 14/8 |
| $35 / 5$ 35/11 35/13 35/23 35/24 | 107/5 107/9 108/6 108/7 119/22 | reported [5] $1 / 22$ 13/6 35/19 |
| 40/7 40/15 40/19 40/19 40/20 | 120/17 121/18 122/5 123/15 | 90/13 90/19 |
| 40/21 40/22 40/24 41/7 41/9 | 123/17 126/14 | Reporter [4] $1 / 24126 / 17 \quad 126 / 17$ |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}41 / 10 & 41 / 16 & 41 / 19 & 41 / 24 & 42 / 4\end{array}$ | recorded [1] 91/19 | 126/18 |
| 43/4 43/10 43/11 46/6 52/18 | recording [10] 3/18 35/6 35/6 | reporters [1] 50/22 |
| 56/15 65/11 65/16 66/1 66/5 | 44/6 44/8 89/16 89/18 89/20 | reports [1] 37/21 |
| $\begin{array}{llllllllll}66 / 13 & 66 / 15 & 68 / 4 & 68 / 4 & 70 / 10\end{array}$ | 90/9 90/20 | Republic [5] 29/2 29/4 29/11 |
| 70/15 70/20 70/23 75/23 77/9 | recordings [10] $7 / 12$ 30/3 37/13 | 59/19 59/23 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllllll}77 / 14 & 77 / 19 & 78 / 17 & 78 / 18 & 79 / 10\end{array}$ | 37/21 91/21 99/13 99/16 99/17 | request [1] 111/6 |
| 79/12 79/25 80/18 80/20 80/22 | 101/18 101/23 | requested [1] 72/7 |
| 81/3 81/4 82/6 82/20 83/8 86/15 | records [24] 28/25 29/3 29/6 | require [1] 105/22 |
| 86/19 115/7 115/12 115/21 117/2 | 29/8 29/9 30/6 30/6 30/8 30/10 | required [1] 111/1 |
| 119/2 119/6 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 12 & 30 / 14 & 30 / 22 & 30 / 23 & 30 / 24\end{array}$ | research [2] 98/9 125/21 |
| Rayam's [2] 41/10 55/5 | $\begin{array}{llllll}36 / 14 & 36 / 14 & 36 / 15 & 36 / 17 & 36 / 19\end{array}$ | reservation [2] 29/2 46/7 |
| Raytawn [9] 13/19 13/24 13/24 | 36/20 37/20 38/14 38/15 38/21 | residence [1] 27/7 |
| 14/3 14/4 14/4 14/5 14/5 14/8 | recover [1] 82/3 | residents [1] 20/17 |
| Raytawn Benjamin [9] 13/19 | recovered [4] 15/2 27/20 54/16 | resolve [1] 113/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll} 13 / 24 & 13 / 24 & 14 / 3 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 4 & 14 / 5 \\ 14 / 5 & 14 / 8 \end{array}$ | 87/17 | resources [2] 60/3 60/9 respect [2] 94/8 95/9 |
| RDR [3] $1 / 23$ 126/12 $126 / 16$ | reduce [2] 55/21 83/17 |  |
| re [2] 50/16 92/19 | refer [3] 20/2 20/3 50/ | $71 / 6$ |
| re-examine [1] 92/19 | reference [1] 70/7 | respond [2] 97/14 97/17 |
| re-read [1] 50/16 | referenced [1] 73/5 | responsibility [2] 90/25 115/19 |
| reach [8] 105/25 106/2 109/25 | references [1] 91/13 | responsible [1] 42/24 |
| 110/21 112/15 116/9 120/7 121/2 | referred [3] 30/2 78/8 81/16 | rest [1] 88/18 |
| reached [2] 93/23 97/1 | reflected [2] 30/19 30/22 | result [1] 74/25 |


| R | rose [1] | 25/22 26/20 32/9 32/11 35/2 <br> $40 / 3040 / 295^{4} 0 / 422540 / 24 \quad 40 / 25$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\text { resume [2] Casse } 125: \frac{17}{12}$ | rubber [1] 13\%946 Filed 08/17/ | 43/4 $439644 / 8$ 479647/7 47/8 |
| retired [1] 103/9 | rubber-banded [1] 13/9 | 58/4 58/16 64/13 65/12 67/14 |
| return [4] 49/9 98/5 118/1 | rule [1] 3/16 | 75/25 82/5 82/6 94/7 108/9 |
| 120/4 | rules [3] 3/15 51/2 98/12 | 109/7 123/20 |
| returned [2] 35/22 97/21 | run [1] 14/4 | scale [1] 23/20 |
| returning [1] 92/23 | running [1] 81/20 | scenarios [1] 25/12 |
| review [1] 97/21 | S | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { scene [4] } & 10 / 17 & 10 / 21 & 31 / 25\end{array}$ |
| rewarded [2] 42/7 42/8 | safe [12] 13/12 23/5 24/17 | scheduled [1] 124/18 |
| rewards [1] 66/18 | 24/25 25/15 25/16 25/16 46/20 | school [1] 83/9 |
| rewrite [1] 117/22 | 55/10 55/14 57/14 57/16 | scope [1] 54/2 |
| RICO [2] 95/7 114/1 | said [93] 4/15 9/15 9/16 9/17 | Scout [1] 64/24 |
| rid [1] 13/2 | 9/17 9/19 10/11 11/14 12/14 | screen [2] 20/7 66/23 |
| ride [1] 78/1 |  | screenshots [1] 4/12 |
| ridiculous [1] 12/25 | 13/24 14/9 14/24 19/24 20/9 | screwed [1] 59/18 |
| rifle [1] 46/15 | 20/22 20/24 21/2 21/16 22/13 | scribble [2] 63/8 63/10 |
| right [57] 4/23 5/9 5/14 5/19 | 33/12 34/1 37/18 37/18 42/5 | search [12] 11/17 14/17 15/1 |
| 6/7 14/19 17/22 19/18 22/9 | 44/23 48/4 48/5 53/18 54/24 | 54/25 55/3 55/4 55/5 59/18 77/9 |
| 22/12 22/12 $24 / 11$ 26/3 33/22 | 55/8 56/3 56/3 56/3 57/4 57/12 | 80/14 81/24 87/1 |
| 33/24 34/14 47/13 47/14 50/7 | 57/13 59/18 59/25 60/12 60/16 | search warrant [8] 11/17 14/17 |
| 50/9 51/8 51/13 51/19 59/18 | 60/23 60/24 63/16 63/17 64/16 | 15/1 54/25 55/3 55/4 55/5 77/9 |
| 61/25 62/13 69/3 73/8 73/14 | 65/1 65/3 65/8 68/22 70/20 | searched [2] 14/1 71/1 |
| 74/22 80/6 100/12 103/2 103/6 | 71/14 75/22 76/5 76/24 77/24 | searching [1] 81/24 |
| 103/11 106/14 106/24 108/1 | 79/21 80/6 80/7 80/7 80/12 | seat [1] 47/1 |
| 108/7 110/4 110/6 110/11 112/23 | 80/15 81/3 82/8 82/9 82/25 83/2 | seated [5] 3/3 5/18 49/17 51/12 |
| 113/4 113/16 114/11 114/19 | 83/5 83/23 84/2 84/16 85/14 | 124/25 |
| 116/22 117/20 118/13 121/17 | 85/25 86/15 89/8 89/9 89/15 | second [7] 12/20 19/19 19/22 |
| 121/18 121/19 123/8 124/20 | 90/3 90/8 90/12 90/14 90/15 | 25/24 63/15 67/11 109/16 |
| 124/23 125/25 | 90/16 106/4 108/18 108/19 109/2 | secondary [2] 31/12 31/15 |
| right-hand [2] 33/22 33/24 | 110/4 117/2 | security [17] 31/9 31/10 31/11 |
| risk [1] 26/7 | salaried [2] 30/19 37/3 | 31/15 31/16 $31 / 17$ 46/17 46/18 |
| Road [6] 23/16 23/17 66/13 68/3 | salary [1] 63/1 | 60/15 93/11 93/15 97/7 103/1 |
| 70/10 70/12 | sales [1] 14/14 | 103/3 103/7 124/10 125/15 |
| roadside [1] 89/6 | same [12] 3/22 16/3 68/25 77/8 | see [31] 8/21 8/24 9/3 9/4 |
| rob [10] 47/24 59/8 67/12 78/7 | 84/20 86/22 92/9 92/9 94/24 | 25/12 33/5 33/14 34/19 43/24 |
| 79/21 79/22 82/5 82/6 82/14 | 98/11 109/10 112/8 | 46/22 49/17 61/6 61/6 77/16 |
| 82/22 | Santiful [5] 76/12 83/12 83/23 | 81/8 81/8 85/25 86/1 86/5 |
| robbed [7] 36/5 59/6 68/6 76/5 | 83/25 88/13 | 103/16 104/25 106/25 109/9 |
| 76/11 77/25 84/20 | Santiful's [1] 83/18 | 110/15 115/3 117/19 118/13 |
| robber [2] 67/7 67/18 | sat [5] 48/11 61/15 69/12 69/13 | 119/4 121/12 121/20 123/13 |
| robberies [7] 65/24 66/7 67/9 | 79/16 | seeing [3] 20/7 22/5 124/12 |
| 70/11 70/12 75/16 76/8 | satellite [1] 87/6 | seem [5] 11/20 32/5 32/7 106/1 |
| robbers [3] 65/23 89/1 89/2 | satisfactory [3] 106/17 106/25 | 112/16 |
| robbery [38] 54/12 55/1 60/19 | 107/16 | seemed [2] 8/23 47/15 |
| 61/5 68/3 68/4 68/6 68/7 68/9 | satisfied [2] 74/10 75/1 | seems [3] 105/18 108/14 112/11 |
| 69/14 69/15 69/22 70/10 70/15 | Saturday [1] 125/19 | seen [1] 108/8 |
| 70/16 70/17 70/22 71/4 71/5 | saving [1] 112/11 | sees [3] 23/25 25/23 32/14 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}71 / 11 & 72 / 19 & 73 / 2 & 73 / 3 & 74 / 25\end{array}$ | saw [14] $14 / 12$ 38/13 $38 / 14 \quad 54 / 5$ | seized [5] 9/23 10/18 $21 / 13$ |
| 75/11 75/19 77/4 77/5 77/20 | 55/12 59/20 62/23 63/6 76/17 | 24/13 41/22 |
| 78/10 79/13 82/2 94/18 96/2 | 76/17 83/7 86/2 89/22 93/25 | selects [1] 40/14 |
| 96/10 96/17 96/21 123/23 | say [58] $4 / 5$ 4/17 $4 / 22$ 13/18 | self [1] 105/11 |
| robbing [3] 8/14 77/2 82/11 | 23/3 23/4 25/8 36/17 40/5 46/10 | sell [6] 16/7 16/20 24/6 46/16 |
| rogue [1] 52/11 | 48/23 49/22 52/24 54/20 55/18 | 56/9 65/3 |
| role [1] 77/17 | 56/1 56/3 56/15 56/16 63/8 65/4 | seller [1] 16/17 |
| roll [2] 37/1 37/2 | 66/6 67/18 69/20 69/22 72/2 | selling [14] 11/11 14/12 14/13 |
| Ronald [15] 7/25 35/24 36/1 | $\begin{array}{llllll}72 / 5 & 72 / 13 & 72 / 13 & 73 / 20 & 73 / 23\end{array}$ | 17/2 17/5 26/9 26/11 39/20 |
| 37/24 38/10 39/16 39/23 40/3 | 73/23 74/3 $74 / 8 \quad 74 / 12$ 74/17 | 41/22 45/4 63/17 63/20 64/24 |
| 63/12 63/16 64/16 64/20 64/21 | 74/18 74/24 76/1 76/1 82/4 | 64/24 |
| 80/6 81/12 | 82/20 87/5 90/18 91/8 97/9 | send [11] 93/10 98/1 99/11 |
| Ronald Hamilton [12] 7/25 37/24 | 97/25 100/8 105/18 107/19 | 100/9 100/13 100/23 102/1 102/3 |
| 38/10 39/16 39/23 40/3 63/12 | 107/22 110/2 112/19 115/3 115/5 | 102/15 102/16 104/22 |
| 63/16 64/16 64/20 80/6 81/12 | 115/5 117/23 119/6 | sending [2] 101/17 120/19 |
| Ronald Hamilton's [3] 35/24 | sayin' [1] 90/15 | sense [11] $7 / 2$ 10/20 10/24 $27 / 5$ |
| 36/1 64/21 | saying [14] 4/21 10/13 11/18 | 56/19 92/9 100/20 112/25 113/19 |
| room [10] 53/1 80/13 80/20 81/5 | 22/8 22/23 26/10 39/8 39/18 | 116/15 122/17 |
| 81/17 92/6 93/4 93/20 101/15 | 43/1 45/14 57/22 72/11 72/12 | sent [5] 70/23 77/19 78/24 |
| 123/17 | 112/23 | 101/15 124/5 |
| ROOSEVELT [12] 1/6 6/16 35/21 | says [41] $10 / 19$ 10/25 10/25 | sentence [2] 55/21 66/20 |
| 36/5 42/18 $43 / 20$ 45/1 $47 / 12$ | 14/15 15/24 16/2 $16 / 6$ 16/13 | sentences [2] 66/24 83/16 |
| 49/4 49/10 51/21 54/19 | 16/14 17/15 19/19 24/2 25/20 | sentencing [4] 18/22 118/6 |


| S | 45/21 81/7 | 48/5 56/16 56/16 58/5 59/1 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ETరkumen8/475 Filed 08/17/ |  |
| sentencing... 2 l ] 118/20119/20 | Sieracki 1 | 93/10 97/12 97/15 106/3 112/5 |
| sentinels [1] 88/6 | sign [2] 47/25 97/3 | Sometime [1] 15/15 |
| separated [2] 41/6 88/23 | signature [5] $31 / 4 \quad 31 / 4 \quad 37 / 6$ | sometimes [6] 54/6 54/6 54/7 |
| separately [2] 94/23 95/19 | 37/12 97/4 | 54/8 79/4 89/2 |
| September [1] 32/8 | signatures [1] 61/20 | somewhat [2] 27/15 41/7 |
| September 1st [1] 32/8 | signed [3] $31 / 3$ 31/6 62/8 | sooner [1] 42/14 |
| sergeant [18] 8/3 8/9 9/10 9/14 | signing [8] 30/24 36/23 37/5 | sorry [5] 28/2 32/17 34/25 |
| 9/15 16/7 24/10 25/13 25/16 | 37/9 37/11 62/4 62/5 62/20 | 104/12 110/15 |
| 30/24 31/21 75/22 76/8 76/10 | signs [2] 37/10 62/13 | sort [7] 13/1 19/23 25/12 $50 / 21$ |
| 76/14 77/8 79/5 80/22 | silly [1] 105/11 | 51/2 108/18 114/22 |
| Sergeant Allers [2] 30/24 75/22 | similar [5] 57/19 63/4 76/24 | sound [2] 35/9 72/16 |
| Sergeant Jenkins [13] 8/3 8/9 | 96/15 108/14 | speak [4] 77/16 78/23 90/25 |
|  | similarity [1] 88/25 | 97/16 |
| 25/16 31/21 76/14 79/5 80/22 | simple [2] 27/15 88/24 | Special [9] 2/8 30/7 30/9 32/8 |
| Sergio [8] 33/21 33/25 34/3 | simply [5] 7/3 45/19 47/24 | 32/17 33/5 33/13 59/25 86/10 |
| 34/6 34/20 34/24 35/13 99/18 | 48/21 93/3 | Special Agent [5] 2/8 32/8 |
| Sergio Summerville [8] 33/21 | since [6] 32/12 50/20 61/1 | 32/17 33/5 33/13 |
| 33/25 34/3 34/6 34/20 34/24 | 61/15 110/25 111/24 | Special Agent Jensen [4] 30/7 |
| 35/13 99/18 | single [3] 37/23 44/17 47/23 | 30/9 59/25 86/10 |
| serve [2] 42/19 66/24 | sit [4] 28/21 36/18 122/16 | specific [5] 43/9 61/20 61/20 |
| SES [2] 52/11 77/13 | 124/21 | 87/8 95/12 |
| Session [1] 35/6 | site [2] 81/15 88/14 | specifically [1] 8/20 |
| Session 89 [1] 35/ | sits [1] 21/20 | specify [1] 100/6 |
| sessions [1] 15/5 | sitting [9] 6/17 11/18 32/11 | spending [1] 86/3 |
| set [3] 72/5 111/4 111/7 | 46/21 47/4 49/5 68/7 69/21 | spent [2] 59/16 83/11 |
| seventeen [2] 34/25 34/25 | 125/4 | spill [1] 119/7 |
| several [2] 8/18 9/19 | situation [2] 15/9 21/22 | split [7] 25/21 54/8 55/6 67/16 |
| shall [1] 97/15 | skeptical [1] 13/4 | 76/22 77/11 83/10 |
| share [1] 8/2 | skills [1] 56/18 | splitting [2] 26/24 |
| sharing [1] 36/2 | slide [9] 53/16 58/21 71/10 | spoke [1] 10/14 |
| Shawn [8] 10/7 10/11 11/2 11/6 | 71/23 71/24 72/18 73/1 73/4 | spokesperson [1] 93/8 |
| Shawn Whiting [7] 10/7 10/11 | slides [1] 4/3 | $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { spring } & {[1]} & 15 / 15 & \\ \text { squad } & \text { [8] } & 7 / 18 & 7 / 21 & 7 / 22 & 7 / 23\end{array}$ |
| 11/6 11/12 11/24 46/23 54/25 | slip [6] $62 / 4$ 62/8 $62 / 14$ 62/20 | 40/8 40/14 40/15 40/16 |
| Shawn Whiting's [1] 11/2 | 63/8 63/10 | stand [8] 11/18 18/13 19/25 |
| she [30] 24/21 24/23 24/24 | slips [9] 31/5 36/21 61/19 | 21/10 35/17 63/19 93/21 97/19 |
| 26/20 30/10 30/21 37/16 51/23 | 61/20 62/2 62/5 62/5 86/22 | start [5] 56/11 94/4 108/18 |
| 51/24 53/15 53/15 53/18 54/17 | 90/17 | 112/5 124/10 |
| 54/24 55/8 59/25 60/2 60/2 | small [2] 25/15 84/4 | started [8] 15/5 16/20 17/2 |
| 60/12 60/16 60/21 60/23 62/21 | smoke [1] 85/15 | 36/14 37/22 53/13 67/6 71/11 |
| $\begin{array}{lllll}62 / 21 & 81 / 11 & 81 / 14 & 85 / 13 & 97 / 20\end{array}$ | so [145] | starting [1] 95/5 |
| 104/13 105/11 | so-called [2] 7/15 49/7 | starts [3] $14 / 14$ 94/3 $123 / 5$ |
|  | $\begin{array}{lllll} \text { sock }\left[\begin{array}{llll} {[5]} & 34 / 12 & 34 / 15 & 34 / 17 \\ 34 / 21 & 35 / 22 \end{array}\right. & \end{array}$ | $\left.\begin{array}{\|llll} \text { state } \\ 103 / 4 \end{array} 4\right] \quad 24 / 13 \quad 50 / 21 \quad 81 / 22$ |
| sheet [2] 60/1 119/16 | sold [5] 41/21 65/1 65/4 83/25 | State Police [1] |
| shit [1] 90/15 | 85/18 | statement [9] $4 / 25$ 18/5 18/11 |
| shooting [1] 54/15 | solely [1] 92/22 | 19/4 19/12 19/20 46/10 94/5 |
| Shootings [1] 78/6 | some [33] 4/3 7/22 22/16 29/22 | 104/1 |
| short [3] 28/4 49/14 117/23 | 30/3 35/9 44/25 52/16 53/7 | statements [1] 12/25 |
| shot [1] 41/1 | 64/13 64/18 65/1 65/2 65/6 65/9 | STATES [6] $1 / 11 / 31 / 19$ 51/18 |
| should [28] 3/24 7/5 56/17 | 71/12 73/22 74/3 79/7 79/7 79/8 | 52/2 66/20 |
| 68/23 72/3 91/3 92/6 93/12 | 81/15 91/13 91/23 93/25 94/18 | statute [2] 105/21 105/22 |
| 93/21 97/2 97/6 97/17 97/25 | 94/18 101/25 102/6 102/8 104/24 | stay [2] 45/8 120/20 |
| 104/16 104/22 104/23 106/1 | 116/4 125/2 | steal [1] 53/21 |
| 106/9 107/13 109/23 110/18 | somebody [8] $26 / 2$ 32/13 40/21 | steals [1] 7/25 |
| 112/8 112/15 $118 / 1$ 118/21 120/4 | 48/1 63/9 82/5 97/6 124/2 | stenographic [1] 126/13 |
| 122/14 124/13 | somebody's [1] 27/8 | step [1] 67/10 |
| shouldn't [2] 60/4 97/8 | someday [1] 52/22 | Stepp [44] 7/20 8/14 $16 / 3$ 16/11 |
| show [11] 14/9 28/3 29/3 29/9 | somehow [4] $10 / 9$ 10/18 $60 / 4$ |  |
| 29/10 30/17 73/1 73/4 73/7 | 60/25 | $\begin{array}{lllll}\text { 25/18 } & 25 / 22 & 26 / 1 & 26 / 6 & 26 / 7\end{array}$ |
| 97/20 109/20 | someone [19] 7/1 9/15 10/5 | 26/12 28/16 28/19 29/7 29/22 |
| showed [7] 16/10 16/14 52/15 | 10/13 17/10 23/23 31/6 37/11 | 30/5 31/18 31/19 31/21 33/18 |
| 55/12 55/23 70/2 86/24 | 37/12 54/10 54/20 54/21 58/1 | 46/13 56/6 56/8 56/11 58/1 58/3 |
| showing [1] 27/25 | 58/7 75/1 77/6 77/24 93/7 126/2 | 58/13 58/15 58/18 58/24 59/8 |
| shown [3] 45/16 58/13 91/11 | someone's [5] $36 / 25$ 36/25 37/3 | 60/13 60/16 60/24 61/2 61/3 |
| shows [7] 25/23 28/11 47/3 | 37/5 70/23 | 61/8 61/15 61/17 79/5 |
| 66/11 89/16 89/19 89/20 | someplace [1] 112/19 | Stepp's [5] 16/12 25/11 28/8 |
| shut [1] 76/23 | something [28] 7/25 9/20 9/21 | 29/15 31/8 |
| sic [4] $17 / 15$ 33/1 $54 / 13$ 59/17 | 15/3 16/13 $24 / 14$ 31/1 $36 / 10$ | Stevenson [27] 12/12 12/13 |
| side [6] 25/10 34/1 34/9 40/8 | 36/10 39/6 42/17 42/19 44/21 | 18/20 20/18 21/6 21/19 22/1 |


| S | 34/3 34/6 34/16 34/20 34/24 | 34/13 34/18 34/20 35/10 35/21 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| Stevenson | sun [1] 85\%3 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
| 22/11 22/19 22/24 23/4 23/13 | Sunday [1] 125/19 | 47/22 48/1 $48 / 12$ 48/21 49/4 |
| 24/16 25/4 $26 / 11$ 37/14 47/4 | supplier [3] 22/9 40/3 40/5 | 49/10 51/21 $54 / 13$ 54/19 54/24 |
| 47/5 47/8 55/16 56/10 57/4 77/3 | supply [1] 60/15 | 55/9 55/15 55/15 59/18 59/19 |
| 78/7 88/11 | supposed [4] 28/21 28/21 88/6 | 60/13 60/18 60/22 61/4 63/3 |
| Stevenson's [7] 13/12 18/1 $24 / 9$ | 116/22 | 65/20 66/7 77/1 77/13 78/10 |
| 26/16 55/10 57/14 58/8 | sure [23] $3 / 7$ 5/7 6/24 6/24 | 86/11 86/23 87/3 87/23 87/24 |
| stick [2] 84/8 84/8 | 30/18 30/22 $45 / 19$ 48/13 81/7 | 89/8 89/9 90/5 90/6 90/12 90/15 |
| sticks [2] 8/1 41/25 | 89/12 91/14 100/21 102/21 | 91/2 94/23 95/20 95/23 96/2 |
| still [6] 22/22 26/2 26/21 | 103/14 104/3 104/9 104/16 | 107/6 113/20 116/23 118/22 |
| 26/25 41/12 98/7 | 106/17 107/2 110/16 116/22 | Taylor's [7] 7/5 9/24 26/23 |
| stole [6] 24/10 35/14 41/23 | 120/16 120/22 | 27/2 27/7 29/10 59/17 |
| 54/6 54/7 81/18 | surprised [3] $24 / 24$ 49/22 54/17 | Tea [1] 78/8 |
| stolen [14] 15/17 58/8 67/8 | surrender [1] 92/21 | team [7] 78/19 78/21 79/10 |
| 67/18 68/8 71/5 71/13 71/15 | surveillance [2] 32/9 32/12 | 81/25 82/10 82/15 83/3 |
| 72/23 73/15 74/13 74/19 75/2 | swearing [2] 6/7 6/11 | Technician [1] 2/10 |
| 75/18 | swirling [1] 79/24 | techniques [1] 87/9 |
| stood [1] 89/6 | SWORN [1] 103/3 | teenage [1] 25/23 |
| stop [8] 57/12 96/9 96/18 106/1 | Sylvester [4] 41/17 41/18 41/22 | teenager [1] 24/1 |
| 112/10 112/12 112/16 113/15 | 65/12 | telephone [1] 32/21 |
| stopped [3] 40/23 114/5 116/6 | symbolic [1] 38/2 | tell [29] $4 / 8$ 6/7 6/11 $18 / 16$ |
| stopping [2] 7/24 22/5 | symmetry [1] 119/12 | 25/5 28/18 $32 / 23$ 38/7 38/7 43/2 |
| storage [12] 29/20 34/2 34/7 | system [2] 51/19 60/7 | 49/20 49/21 50/17 50/25 54/24 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}34 / 10 & 34 / 17 & 35 / 23 & 59 / 5 & 59 / 9\end{array}$ | T | 63/20 65/17 72/9 85/6 93/21 |
| 59/12 59/14 59/22 77/22 |  | 99/9 100/21 111/13 113/9 118/9 |
| store [2] 70/16 70/22 | take [25] 10/23 10/23 15/23 | 119/25 121/1 122/25 124/8 |
| stories [8] 13/15 21/19 27/24 | 25/19 49/7 $49 / 14$ 57/12 $73 / 13$ | teller [1] 67/14 |
| 29/22 41/5 45/16 45/17 66/4 | 77/22 78/20 79/6 79/14 80/25 | telling [15] 10/22 15/10 16/3 |
| story [16] 9/19 10/18 13/10 | 81/3 81/3 84/7 93/20 98/13 | 16/24 24/20 24/21 25/13 28/16 |
| 15/6 15/8 $15 / 17$ 15/18 16/9 $25 / 5$ | 99/10 101/12 104/4 108/16 121/6 | 37/16 39/4 42/17 80/8 80/9 84/2 |
| 27/5 27/9 29/24 31/8 55/8 81/25 | 121/14 121/21 | 116/16 |
| 84/19 | taken [31] 10/1 20/5 21/17 | tells [9] 25/11 25/17 25/19 |
| straight [4] 25/4 41/5 55/8 | 34/17 46/10 49/16 52/25 54/20 | 34/24 38/17 46/14 59/8 77/6 |
| 76/20 | 55/19 58/15 59/4 60/20 61/16 | 87/8 |
| strange [1] 114/2 | 64/16 64/21 65/6 71/1 75/1 | ten [1] 23/6 |
| street [7] $1 / 2414 / 13$ 23/18 | 76/21 76/21 80/8 83/5 83/23 | tens [1] 79/19 |
| 54/11 64/10 77/9 80/1 | 84/2 84/16 88/22 93/22 102/25 | terms [7] 36/24 69/25 70/1 $92 / 2$ |
| streets [3] 8/8 45/4 45/7 | 105/3 108/2 123/11 | 93/22 99/2 125/1 |
| strike [1] 67/22 | takeoff [1] 47/6 | testified [37] 9/9 13/23 21/15 |
| striking [1] 70/14 | takes [3] 10/25 74/17 93/15 | 22/13 33/11 $33 / 21$ 37/24 55/21 |
| strikingly [1] 57/19 | taking [12] 6/8 29/16 35/24 | 55/23 56/20 56/21 57/23 58/2 |
| strip [1] 60/17 | 36/11 43/1 55/14 57/15 57/16 | 58/15 58/18 58/24 60/21 61/8 |
| strip club [1] 60/17 | 68/17 78/22 80/1 103/21 | 61/16 62/1 76/2 76/19 77/23 |
| strongly [1] 122/18 | talk [17] 20/15 20/17 40/18 | 78/19 78/24 80/18 80/20 80/22 |
| struggling [2] 115/16 116/20 | 48/14 58/18 58/19 58/22 65/18 | 82/8 82/9 85/17 86/9 86/10 |
| strung [1] 7/15 | 76/9 78/6 78/16 82/12 98/8 | 86/13 86/16 87/18 88/8 |
| stuck [1] 106/5 | 124/14 125/20 126/5 126/7 | testifies [2] 14/22 43/11 |
| stupid [1] 30/1 | talked [12] $14 / 10$ 43/9 53/14 | testify [13] 6/2 12/9 22/14 |
| subject [2] 78/13 109/19 | 54/13 55/16 60/12 63/12 66/18 | $\begin{array}{lllll}23 / 8 & 31 / 2 & 31 / 3 & 40 / 5 & 41 / 2 \\ 44 / 23\end{array}$ |
| subjected [1] 83/15 | 75/21 78/11 84/24 91/23 | 52/18 60/7 63/23 77/9 |
| submit [9] 6/22 9/5 12/19 45/19 | talking [9] $24 / 2$ 36/9 37/15 | $\begin{array}{llll}\text { testifying [5] } & 11 / 18 & 16 / 23 & 30 / 9\end{array}$ |
| 47/11 47/17 79/7 79/8 80/14 | 43/11 43/17 62/3 82/18 87/19 | 42/9 42/25 |
| submitted [3] 21/14 $21 / 17$ 36/8 | 87/20 | testimony [47] 4/8 5/2 6/19 |
| subpoena [1] 55/24 | talks [2] 21/20 32/17 | $\begin{array}{llllll}7 / 12 & 8 / 25 & 10 / 4 & 11 / 7 & 11 / 9 & 14 / 18\end{array}$ |
| subpredicate [1] 119/9 | tapped [1] 87/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 12 & 16 / 5 & 17 / 4 & 18 / 24 & 19 / 3\end{array}$ |
| substantive [4] 18/12 $19 / 3$ | target [1] 32/9 | 20/16 21/19 23/25 24/12 26/3 |
| 111/3 114/1 | targeting [1] 32/21 | $\begin{array}{llllll}26 / 22 & 31 / 24 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 7 & 36 / 24\end{array}$ |
| such [2] 50/2 119/6 | Task [2] 42/1 52/12 | 37/8 38/19 40/2 40/18 41/14 |
| sued [1] 17/14 | Tate [6] 76/12 83/12 84/4 84/4 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}42 / 3 & 43 / 19 & 45 / 12 & 49 / 24 & 56 / 4\end{array}$ |
| sufficiency [1] 116/3 | 84/6 84/11 | 56/6 58/10 58/18 58/19 58/22 |
| sufficient [5] 87/15 111/2 | tattered [1] 7/16 | 61/22 65/22 82/17 85/12 87/1 |
| 111/9 113/23 116/5 | taxes [1] 39/17 | 91/13 91/15 91/17 |
| suggest [1] 72/14 | TAYLOR [101] 1/6 2/3 6/16 6/16 | TFO [1] 2/9 |
| suggesting [2] 68/20 121/1 | 8/4 9/25 10/4 10/18 10/20 10/22 | than [29] $4 / 1$ 8/22 $11 / 11$ 35/23 |
| suggestion [1] 117/10 | 11/1 11/23 11/24 12/4 13/15 | $\begin{array}{lllll}52 / 16 & 57 / 8 & 58 / 14 & 61 / 24 & 63 / 1\end{array}$ |
| suggests [1] 50/14 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 25 & 14 / 22 & 14 / 24 & 17 / 18 & 18 / 22\end{array}$ | 63/2 73/16 77/16 78/23 84/15 |
| suitcases [1] 44/18 | 21/14 24/15 27/10 28/19 28/20 | 87/12 87/13 87/14 88/12 100/25 |
| sum [1] 88/2 | $\begin{array}{lllllll}28 / 23 & 29 / 1 & 29 / 7 & 29 / 8 & 29 / 25 & 30 / 1\end{array}$ | 102/7 102/20 106/18 110/9 |
| summary [1] 71/11 | $\begin{array}{llllllll}30 / 3 & 30 / 4 & 31 / 13 & 31 / 14 & 31 / 18\end{array}$ | 110/10 111/4 112/17 113/23 |
| Summerville [10] 33/21 33/25 | 31/20 32/19 33/9 33/16 33/17 | 114/21 118/12 |

## thank [48] Ca̧se 5:17 7 - $66-0910$

$\begin{array}{llllll}5 / 22 & 48 / 12 & 49 / 12 & 49 / 13 & 50 / 19\end{array}$ 50/24 51/5 51/6 51/16 67/25 70/3 70/4 70/8 71/9 75/6 75/10 91/4 91/5 102/23 103/6 104/17 104/18 105/2 106/22 107/1 107/2 107/8 107/23 108/1 108/25 111/18 118/13 119/21 120/9 $\begin{array}{llll}120 / 10 & 120 / 11 & 121 / 10 & 121 / 22\end{array}$ 121/23 125/22 125/23 126/8 126/9 126/10
that [793]
that's [123] $4 / 11$ 4/17 5/2 5/4 $\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 21 & 11 / 15 & 13 / 15 & 13 / 22 & 15 / 6\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}15 / 19 & 16 / 14 & 17 / 1 & 17 / 12 & 19 / 2\end{array}$ 20/8 20/11 22/2 22/4 22/25 $\begin{array}{lll}24 / 13 & 24 / 14 & 24 / 22 \\ 25 / 18 & 26 / 17\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}28 / 7 & 29 / 14 & 30 / 11 & 30 / 19 & 30 / 22\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllllllll}31 / 1 & 31 / 25 & 32 / 17 & 32 / 25 & 33 / 2\end{array}$ $33 / 19$ 37/8 38/1 39/6 39/10 $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 13 & 39 / 13 & 39 / 14 & 39 / 14 & 39 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}39 / 22 & 39 / 22 & 43 / 13 & 44 / 7 & 47 / 8\end{array}$ 47/18 48/20 52/12 53/19 55/3 58/2 58/22 59/5 59/24 59/24 63/9 64/17 64/21 64/21 65/12 66/10 67/7 68/18 68/18 68/19 68/19 69/8 69/8 69/19 71/7 72/5 $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 10 & 72 / 10 & 72 / 13 & 73 / 5 & 73 / 21\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}74 / 11 & 74 / 20 & 74 / 21 & 75 / 20 & 78 / 24\end{array}$ 79/18 80/19 80/25 82/8 82/23 $84 / 5$ 84/14 84/14 85/2 85/14 87/22 89/18 90/15 91/14 91/20 95/7 99/15 99/20 102/3 107/6 107/15 107/24 110/22 112/4 113/1 115/14 115/15 115/16 115/19 116/21 117/15 119/14 121/3 122/11 124/19 125/6 125/9 125/11
theft [13] 69/22 71/13 71/16 71/22 72/7 72/8 72/9 72/12 $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 20 & 72 / 24 & 73 / 16 & 75 / 18 & 105 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllllllll}\text { their } & {[48]} & 5 / 2 & 6 / 7 & 10 / 7 & 12 / 7\end{array}$ 13/20 23/19 24/10 27/17 31/3 $\begin{array}{lllllll} & 40 / 8 & 41 / 3 & 41 / 5 & 42 / 2 & 42 / 4 & 42 / 12\end{array}$ 45/2 45/16 47/16 47/20 48/24 52/22 53/1 $53 / 2 \quad 53 / 25 \quad 54 / 7$ 54/11 54/15 65/13 66/23 67/16 70/13 70/25 75/24 79/2 79/8 79/13 $80 / 3$ 87/21 $88 / 189 / 389 / 4$ 90/16 90/21 91/9 91/10 100/16 121/3 121/4
them [99] $4 / 8 \quad 4 / 16 \quad 9 / 10 \quad 11 / 23$ 22/5 23/17 32/23 37/24 38/4 $\begin{array}{llllll}38 / 8 & 38 / 22 & 40 / 16 & 41 / 14 & 42 / 20\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}42 / 22 & 42 / 23 & 43 / 7 & 46 / 16 & 48 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}50 / 17 & 50 / 25 & 51 / 1 & 52 / 25 & 54 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}56 / 17 & 57 / 13 & 59 / 12 \quad 65 / 2 & 65 / 3\end{array}$ 65/18 67/13 67/13 71/25 72/4 76/1 76/1 77/10 81/8 82/12 83/12 83/13 84/20 94/18 94/19 95/24 99/13 100/15 100/21 100/22 100/23 100/25 101/1 101/4 101/5 101/20 103/18 104/21 104/22 104/25 105/20 105/23 106/6 106/17 106/25 $\begin{array}{lllll}109 / 9 & 109 / 17 & 110 / 2 & 111 / 7 & 111 / 13\end{array}$ 112/15 113/5 113/6 113/10 113/23 114/13 116/10 116/11 116/14 116/16 116/18 $116 / 25$ 117/6 118/24 119/17 119/25
$\begin{array}{lllll}121 / 1 & 121 / 2 & 121 / 8 & 121 / 21 & 122 / 12\end{array}$
 $103 / 16{ }_{112}{ }^{14} 4_{11} \frac{1}{2} 914113 / 10$ 113/20 113/24 $115 / 2 \quad 115 / 4$ 115/19 116/21 116/23 118/21 they [369]
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { they'd }[4] & 79 / 3 & 79 / 4 & 79 / 8 & 80 / 24\end{array}$ they'll [2] 116/18 118/23 they're [49] $4 / 7 \quad 17 / 14 \quad 20 / 6$ 23/21 24/5 24/5 26/23 27/2 30/20 34/21 39/5 40/17 41/3 42/8 $44 / 20 \quad 45 / 3 \quad 50 / 23 \quad 53 / 5 \quad 53 / 6$ 56/15 59/12 62/3 66/11 72/1 72/4 73/22 79/21 79/22 81/20 81/24 91/9 95/23 100/3 106/5 $111 / 1$ 111/20 111/21 111/22 111/22 111/24 112/18 114/17 114/18 114/22 115/16 115/24 116/22 116/22 124/9
they've [7] $42 / 7 \quad 112 / 14 \quad 112 / 16$ 113/11 114/25 115/21 116/6 $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { thief }[4] & 8 / 14 & 8 / 14 & 67 / 6 & 67 / 8\end{array}$ thing [8] $3 / 22$ 11/6 11/21 $23 / 24$ 26/6 63/9 97/23 102/7
things [19] $7 / 18$ 7/19 $7 / 19$ 9/4 $\begin{array}{lllll} & 9 / 9 & 33 / 19 & 51 / 2 & 52 / 8 \\ 52 / 15 & 53 / 18\end{array}$ 53/20 64/13 69/18 99/11 100/4 101/17 103/12 103/15 103/19 think [81] $3 / 24 \quad 4 / 15 \quad 4 / 21 \quad 6 / 6$ $\begin{array}{llllll}6 / 10 & 9 / 2 & 10 / 6 & 15 / 13 & 18 / 17 & 19 / 2\end{array}$ 20/8 24/11 $27 / 25$ 39/9 40/14 63/15 66/15 67/10 67/22 68/23 69/1 69/3 70/1 72/6 72/13 72/13 $\begin{array}{lllll}72 / 24 & 73 / 8 & 73 / 14 & 73 / 15 & 73 / 21\end{array}$
$74 / 16$ 74/24 81/23 84/18 84/22
$\begin{array}{llll}86 / 16 & 89 / 18 & 90 / 2 & 90 / 4 \\ 93 / 4 & 99 / 3\end{array}$ 99/20 102/7 102/13 104/2 106/12 109/6 110/22 112/7 112/13 112/18 112/21 112/21 113/1 113/1 113/2 113/23 114/14 $114 / 18 \quad 114 / 20 \quad 114 / 20 \quad 114 / 22$ 114/24 115/15 115/16 116/14 116/21 117/5 117/6 117/16 118/12 $119 / 13$ 119/15 $119 / 19$ 122/12 122/17 123/3 123/18 124/3 124/17
$\begin{array}{llll}\text { thinking [6] } & 11 / 7 & 16 / 23 & 20 / 6\end{array}$ 72/24 112/7 114/3
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { thinks [4] } & 32 / 15 & 42 / 5 & 42 / 22\end{array}$ 80/11
this [191]
THOMAS [7] $1 / 5$ 29/20 31/16 31/19 51/21 94/8 95/9
Thomas Wilson [1] 31/19
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { those }[46] & 6 / 11 & 6 / 25 & 30 / 8 & 30 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}31 / 12 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 19 & 34 / 11 \\ 39 / 11\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}41 / 1 & 51 / 20 & 52 / 1 & 52 / 2 & 52 / 8 & 52 / 17\end{array}$ 52/24 52/25 $52 / 25 \quad 53 / 2 \quad 56 / 20$ 56/22 56/23 66/6 67/3 67/17 69/17 70/25 72/3 73/20 75/13 $\begin{array}{llllll}75 / 14 & 76 / 2 & 77 / 14 & 87 / 11 & 95 / 15\end{array}$ 98/11 102/1 104/2 106/3 114/5 114/9 115/4 117/7 117/17 118/7 120/7
though [2] 60/2 72/16
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { thought }[8] & 13 / 17 & 17 / 24 & 19 / 20\end{array}$ 29/19 58/3 79/17 88/16 105/10 thousand [2] 34/25 82/25
thousands [5] 57/16 62/23 62/24 62/24 79/19
threaten [1] 77/21
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { three [8] } & 7 / 3 & 48 / 10 & 67 / 13 & 82 / 13\end{array}$

## 124/10

them's [1] 67/12
themselves [5] 5/1 6/4 42/17 54/7 101/18
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { then }[92] & 5 / 9 & 10 / 22 & 12 / 2 & 12 / 19\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}13 / 13 & 13 / 14 & 14 / 18 & 16 / 9 & 17 / 10\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}19 / 18 & 20 / 16 & 20 / 25 & 21 / 18 & 22 / 12\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{lllll}123 / 14 & 25 / 4 & 26 / 17 & 27 / 25 & 29 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}29 / 25 & 30 / 20 & 32 / 22 & 32 / 22 & 33 / 7\end{array}$ $33 / 10$ 33/11 33/20 35/2 37/21 $\begin{array}{lllll}38 / 6 & 38 / 16 & 41 / 19 & 41 / 25 & 52 / 12\end{array}$ 53/8 54/24 55/10 55/14 56/3 56/17 57/25 62/8 65/2 65/3 66/3 $\begin{array}{llll}67 / 15 & 68 / 17 & 71 / 12 & 71 / 23 \\ 72 / 20\end{array}$ 75/20 75/25 79/3 81/17 82/4 82/10 82/15 84/1 85/14 90/16 94/23 95/6 95/25 97/6 97/15 101/2 101/6 104/22 106/14 108/18 111/6 111/8 111/13 111/15 111/22 112/10 112/16 114/4 114/14 $114 / 22$ 117/11 117/13 $117 / 14$ 119/5 119/7 119/23 121/2 122/6 124/10 124/20 125/10 125/14
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { theory [7] } & 66 / 1 & 67 / 17 & 68 / 8 & 69 / 6\end{array}$ 69/25 71/22 72/10
there [96] $10 / 21 \quad 13 / 5 \quad 13 / 5 \quad 14 / 1$ $\begin{array}{llll}15 / 18 & 15 / 22 & 15 / 25 & 17 / 15 \\ 20 / 25\end{array}$ 21/1 21/16 22/9 22/12 22/16 22/23 23/3 25/15 26/2 26/2 26/21 27/19 27/19 27/21 27/22 28/10 29/8 29/23 29/23 31/5 $\begin{array}{lllllll}32 / 2 & 32 / 24 & 32 / 25 & 33 / 4 & 33 / 5 & 33 / 7\end{array}$ $33 / 16 \quad 33 / 17 \quad 34 / 14 \quad 35 / 12 \quad 38 / 21$ 41/7 45/20 $47 / 2$ 48/11 $54 / 15$ 55/1 56/25 57/22 58/1 58/6 60/22 70/10 70/21 71/25 73/3 $\begin{array}{llll}73 / 4 & 74 / 5 & 78 / 2 & 81 / 22 \\ 81 / 25 & 82 / 3\end{array}$ $82 / 25$ 84/14 86/9 86/12 86/25 87/15 90/17 91/13 91/15 93/11 94/5 94/17 95/24 96/9 96/15 96/24 99/15 99/22 101/7 101/17 101/24 101/25 102/8 102/9 102/11 103/24 103/25 104/3 104/22 $104 / 23$ 112/19 $116 / 4$ 119/12 125/2 125/15
there's [64] $4 / 15$ 9/2 $9 / 711 / 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 3 & 13 / 5 & 14 / 6 & 14 / 10 & 14 / 11 & 15 / 1\end{array}$ 15/1 15/11 17/17 21/9 22/25 $\begin{array}{llll}24 / 11 & 24 / 13 & 24 / 16 & 24 / 25 \\ 25 / 14\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}25 / 17 & 27 / 4 & 27 / 6 & 27 / 23 & 33 / 15\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 23 & 35 / 20 & 36 / 4 & 37 / 1 & 37 / 8\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}38 / 14 & 39 / 16 & 42 / 21 & 47 / 22 \\ 47 / 23\end{array}$ 48/3 49/24 56/14 58/17 61/19 $\begin{array}{llll}62 / 11 & 65 / 25 & 73 / 10 & 73 / 21 \\ 82 / 18\end{array}$ 84/15 84/21 85/9 87/7 94/9 97/4 99/23 100/7 101/19 102/2 103/19 110/2 112/24 112/24 115/12 116/3 116/4 119/12 123/1
therefore [3] $47 / 11 \quad 88 / 16 \quad 115 / 8$ these [67] 13/21 15/11 $15 / 17$ 17/6 17/7 26/9 27/24 29/15 30/6 $\begin{array}{lllll}130 / 14 & 30 / 19 & 36 / 8 & 36 / 19 & 36 / 20\end{array}$ 36/23 37/3 37/4 37/7 38/6 43/7 $\begin{array}{lllll}43 / 25 & 44 / 17 & 44 / 17 & 45 / 6 & 45 / 17\end{array}$ 46/3 47/9 47/19 52/20 54/18 57/3 62/17 63/6 64/22 65/23 65/24 66/6 66/9 66/21 70/18 72/11 75/15 75/20 77/24 79/1

Towers [10] $15 / 17$ 31/23 $32 / 3$
U.S. Attorney [1] 79/18
 three... [4]ase 1:177-84-09106-6 122/11
three-week [1] 114/24
threw [2] 13/24 22/1
through [23] 24/1 24/2 24/3 28/18 38/21 39/20 41/21 46/15 46/18 53/2 58/10 58/25 63/15 65/13 81/18 85/24 104/21 108/12 108/19 116/11 116/20 119/20 125/4
throughout [2] 56/13 106/15 throw [1] 25/2
throwing [1] 22/6
Thursday [2] 1/9 125/4
ticket [3] 46/8 46/9 46/13
tight [1] 85/19
till [3] 26/20 27/1 120/20
time [39] 8/6 8/15 8/16 9/5
$\begin{array}{lllllll}15 / 4 & 15 / 25 & 17 / 8 & 19 / 6 & 23 / 6 & 24 / 1\end{array}$
27/9 30/21 30/21 35/2 37/4 37/25 48/13 59/16 59/23 60/1 60/5 62/6 62/14 63/7 65/5 65/5 83/11 86/16 87/3 89/24 97/10 107/10 112/11 113/22 114/20 114/21 116/17 117/17 125/19
time-saving [1] 112/11
times [6] 11/14 $41 / 15 \quad 75 / 24$ 82/13 82/14 90/1
timestamped [1] 59/2
tinted [1] 32/15
tiny [1] 98/18
tired [1] 85/22
today [3] $102 / 18$ 121/2 $123 / 18$
$\begin{array}{lllll}\text { Todd [4] } & 32 / 8 & 32 / 18 & 33 / 5 & 33 / 14\end{array}$
Todd Edwards [3] 32/8 33/5 33/14
Todd Edwards' [1] 32/18
together [9] 7/16 33/10 54/6 57/1 77/2 77/14 78/6 78/9 104/7 told [55] 9/10 9/20 13/13 14/23 16/4 16/14 $16 / 17$ 28/17 29/18 $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 21 & 32 / 22 & 32 / 23 & 33 / 8 & 33 / 9\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llllll}33 / 10 & 33 / 10 & 37 / 14 & 42 / 23 & 46 / 9\end{array}$ 51/17 52/9 54/14 54/22 55/24 56/1 56/10 57/11 60/17 64/17 64/18 65/9 66/16 73/9 73/22 76/6 76/6 76/19 76/24 78/19 79/12 79/16 79/17 80/8 82/12 82/24 84/14 85/4 85/4 87/18 87/24 88/22 89/21 91/20 93/5 106/20
tomorrow [9] $101 / 2$ 101/3 $101 / 7$ 102/19 121/3 122/9 125/3 126/1 126/5
ton [1] 11/4
tonight [1] 120/20
too [9] 10/23 56/14 63/25 64/1 81/19 84/3 87/24 111/23 119/9 took [21] 10/4 35/21 35/23 35/25 38/7 41/18 $52 / 13$ 52/14 54/11 54/21 55/6 55/9 57/13 59/10 60/22 65/9 76/14 77/23 78/1 83/8 84/9
tools [2] 100/8 101/25
top [3] 19/9 19/13 25/14
tossed [1] 13/10
total [2] 21/3 25/19
totally [1] 102/19
touch [1] 98/7
tower [1] 47/5

Trace [2] 42/1 52/12
tracks [2] 79/8 88/1
transaction [1] 23/20
transcript [10] $3 / 13$ 3/14 $3 / 18$ 3/20 3/23 4/1 4/13 4/20 35/7 126/13
transcripts [9] 3/16 17/25
49/24 91/15 91/18 91/19 101/22 103/23 104/2
trash [2] 14/15 14/19
travel [1] 46/6
traveling [1] 46/18
trial [15] 2/10 4/7 6/17 11/21 17/10 28/25 47/13 48/6 52/13 64/8 66/3 98/4 106/15 114/24 124/18
tried [7] 7/20 7/21 8/3 41/5 56/2 58/11 66/25
tries [2] 21/6 40/5
truck [1] 13/25
trucking [1] 32/11
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { true [8] } & 9 / 16 & 9 / 18 & 13 / 22 & 34 / 5\end{array}$ 40/25 53/19 57/18 64/17
truly [2] $38 / 4 \quad 38 / 4$
trust [5] $46 / 3$ 75/22 76/2 76/7 76/16
trusting [1] 46/10
trusts [1] 77/6
truth [15] 6/7 6/11 6/21 9/17 38/7 38/8 42/12 42/12 43/3 45/18 56/10 80/8 80/10 84/2 90/25
truthfully [1] 6/8
$\begin{array}{llllll}\text { try [7] } & 9 / 11 & 53 / 7 & 69 / 24 & 116 / 8\end{array}$ 118/14 124/13 126/5
trying [32] 8/9 8/11 8/11 11/4 15/22 15/22 21/9 21/21 22/6 22/9 22/11 22/21 22/22 22/24 23/4 24/5 25/5 32/12 38/15 40/16 40/23 41/12 44/20 48/9 55/21 83/16 83/17 117/5 117/15 123/1 123/7 123/18
turn [4] 54/21 54/22 79/3 79/4
turned [6] 56/4 57/8 84/3 84/9 84/16 84/22
turning [2] 43/18 67/4
twelve [1] 35/1
twenty [1] 23/3
twenty-one [1] 23/3
twice [1] 11/13
twinkle [1] 16/16
Twisted [1] 78/8
Twisted Tea [1] 78/8
two [47] 7/1 8/12 12/20 13/15 $\begin{array}{lllll}15 / 17 & 16 / 7 & 28 / 22 & 34 / 1 & 34 / 9\end{array} 41 / 2$ $\begin{array}{lllllll}41 / 24 & 44 / 3 & 48 / 7 & 48 / 8 & 48 / 10\end{array}$ 52/11 68/2 69/4 70/18 70/23 77/24 84/19 85/6 87/13 88/4 88/6 88/20 94/14 95/2 100/7 101/24 105/15 105/20 105/22 108/10 109/7 111/1 111/10 112/12 112/17 112/20 112/24 113/10 113/13 114/16 115/8 115/9
type [3] $36 / 13$ 102/3 $123 / 21$
Tyrone [1] 85/17
Tyrone Creighton [1] 85/17
unanimity [1] 116/9 unanimous [14] 92/4 93/23 94/10 95/4 97/1 105/25 106/8 107/13 108/9 109/25 110/21 112/9 112/15 120/7
unanimously [9] 94/14 94/21
95/13 95/17 95/20 95/22 112/1 113/6 113/10
uncharged [2] 74/3 74/5
unconscious [1] 90/14
uncorroborated [1] 43/19
under [11] $16 / 5 \quad 18 / 6 \quad 18 / 11 \quad 19 / 3$
38/8 40/11 42/25 67/17 68/8
75/21 81/17
understand [7] 69/12 73/18
91/15 101/7 111/12 113/2 114/10
understandable [1] 125/6
understands [1] 83/20
underworld [1] 5/25
undiluted [1] 11/11
uniforms [1] 70/13
unintelligible [1] 35/9
unique [1] 105/22
unit [15] $29 / 20 \quad 34 / 2 \quad 34 / 7 \quad 34 / 10$
$\begin{array}{lllll}34 / 17 & 35 / 23 & 42 / 3 & 43 / 22 & 43 / 24\end{array}$
44/7 52/11 59/12 59/14 59/22 77/13
UNITED [8] $1 / 11 / 31 / 19 \quad 29 / 3$
30/6 51/18 52/2 66/20
United Airlines [1] 29/3
United States [3] 51/18 52/2 66/20
units [3] 52/11 52/17 54/14
unlawfully [1] 124/1
unless [4] 6/18 39/19 121/12 126/4
unrelated [1] 11/17
until [13] 6/18 6/20 8/25 93/22
98/4 98/5 98/10 106/2 112/9 121/8 124/9 125/14 125/22 untruthful [1] 43/19
up [85] $3 / 21 \quad 6 / 6 \quad 10 / 14 \quad 15 / 6$ 15/8 15/19 15/20 15/24 16/10 $\begin{array}{lllll}16 / 14 & 20 / 8 & 22 / 1 & 22 / 1 & 22 / 4 \\ 23 / 23\end{array}$ $\begin{array}{llll}16 / 2 & 25 / 4 & 25 / 23 & 26 / 24 \quad 27 / 3\end{array}$ 28/19 28/23 29/22 29/24 30/1
$\begin{array}{lllllll}30 / 4 & 30 / 17 & 32 / 2 & 32 / 6 & 32 / 7 & 32 / 14\end{array}$
$\begin{array}{llllll}40 / 3 & 40 / 4 & 42 / 16 & 47 / 3 & 50 / 20 & 53 / 1\end{array}$
54/8 54/11 54/17 55/6 55/10
55/23 56/8 57/23 58/21 59/18
60/7 60/25 61/3 66/3 66/23
$\begin{array}{llll}67 / 16 & 68 / 12 & 70 / 20 & 71 / 10 \\ 71 / 17\end{array}$
71/19 73/15 73/19 74/25 76/4
76/22 83/10 84/9 84/12 85/21
86/24 89/17 89/19 89/25 99/6
99/10 101/1 101/5 101/13 101/21
102/14 102/19 102/21 105/6
105/13 107/24 121/15 122/4
upon [2] 97/8 97/13
Upper [1] 32/10
Upper Marlboro [1] 32/10
upstairs [1] 80/18
us [9] 9/20 15/20 55/23 71/15
76/25 102/4 104/6 120/21 121/14
use [12] $3 / 16$ 4/4 19/11 68/1
68/2 83/2 87/8 92/9 92/10
110/17 110/22 113/11
used [3] 3/13 60/13 63/17
U.S. [1] 79/18

| U | 53/17 55/22 55/25 57/25 64/12 | 49/8 49/9 50/5 50/10 51/8 51/20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $52 / 80^{5} 0^{12} 558 / 6^{123} 153 / 13$ 66/16 |
| user [2] 871 | 68/12 72/1 7242 72/5 $73 / 9$ 73/13 | 66/19 6 6/22 $66 / 2 \frac{1}{3} 68 / 21$ 68/21 |
| users [1] 44/14 | 73/19 73/20 73/23 74/2 74/24 | 68/22 68/23 69/23 73/19 74/6 |
| uses [1] 9/11 | 80/5 82/19 91/14 99/12 100/24 | 75/3 75/25 76/5 80/1 82/13 |
| using [7] 16/19 16/20 17/4 | 101/5 101/20 102/2 102/5 102/17 | 82/13 87/12 87/21 87/21 87/25 |
| 37/11 46/23 56/18 68/25 | 102/18 104/9 104/15 105/6 106/6 | 89/8 89/11 93/6 94/7 95/8 97/1 |
| usual [2] 122/24 125/21 | 106/16 108/20 113/19 114/13 | 97/14 97/24 98/10 98/10 100/6 |
| usually [1] 124/8 | 116/12 121/8 121/14 122/18 | 100/15 100/20 101/12 101/17 |
| V | 126/4 126/5 | 101/21 102/16 102/25 104/2 |
|  | wanted [13] 19/11 40/7 40/15 | 105/5 105/15 105/15 105/16 |
| vacation [2] 30/18 30/21 | 49/20 49/21 50/21 55/17 63/10 | 105/23 106/15 106/21 107/22 |
| van [11] 17/20 20/15 20/16 21/8 | 89/8 90/6 105/8 120/24 124/5 | 108/9 108/10 111/13 112/12 |
| 21/20 21/22 22/10 22/17 22/19 | wants [10] 3/20 15/7 40/13 42/2 | 112/21 113/5 113/19 114/24 |
| 22/20 23/15 | 43/16 44/18 61/24 64/15 65/11 | 115/24 116/1 116/6 116/25 117/6 |
| vehicle [1] 87/14 | 83/24 | 120/22 120/23 120/24 121/2 |
| verdict [31] $49 / 10$ 49/10 $92 / 4$ | Ward [56] $10 / 16$ 10/16 $10 / 19$ | 121/15 122/14 $123 / 3 \quad 123 / 5$ |
| 92/24 93/23 93/24 93/25 94/10 | $\begin{array}{llllll} & 10 / 22 & 10 / 25 & 11 / 22 & 12 / 8 & 13 / 17\end{array}$ | 123/16 123/20 123/21 124/8 |
| 96/25 97/2 97/3 97/8 97/9 97/13 | $\begin{array}{llllll}13 / 22 & 13 / 24 & 13 / 25 & 14 / 2 & 14 / 6\end{array}$ | 124/9 124/13 124/18 124/23 |
| 97/20 98/5 98/21 98/22 101/13 | 14/9 14/10 14/21 15/3 15/7 | 125/3 125/13 125/17 126/1 126/2 |
| 104/24 105/19 106/4 107/12 | 15/15 15/22 17/6 22/18 $24 / 8$ | 126/6 |
| 110/1 110/3 110/17 111/20 117/5 | 25/2 25/3 31/25 32/19 32/22 | we'd [3] 117/8 118/11 119/17 |
| 118/5 119/16 121/2 | 32/22 33/1 $47 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll}\text { 52/19 } & 54 / 24\end{array}$ | we'll [21] 5/19 49/14 99/10 |
| version [5] 6/25 23/22 26/16 | 55/2 55/8 55/13 56/14 57/11 | 99/13 101/6 102/4 102/11 104/3 |
| 27/5 32/18 | 57/21 60/21 60/23 61/1 61/3 | 104/6 104/7 118/13 119/19 122/1 |
| versions [6] 7/2 7/3 27/16 | 61/12 61/14 65/16 66/5 76/24 | 122/12 $123 / 8$ 123/9 123/18 $124 / 2$ |
| 27/17 48/8 48/10 | 77/1 77/13 78/10 86/8 86/13 | 125/7 125/9 126/6 |
| versus [2] 118/8 123/22 | 86/16 87/23 115/21 | we're [25] 5/9 32/22 50/20 |
| very [15] $5 / 6$ 22/21 $34 / 8 \quad 35 / 5$ | Ward's [6] 10/17 15/12 15/14 | 69/10 70/6 75/8 76/9 80/1 99/3 |
| 35/24 40/3 48/11 66/9 77/8 87/4 | 21/25 23/22 62/5 | 99/6 101/2 102/1 103/10 103/18 |
| 98/18 102/23 108/14 116/9 | warn [2] 122/24 125/5 | 103/21 103/21 115/17 116/7 |
| 125/22 | warning [2] 41/9 41/10 | 116/8 116/10 116/11 116/16 |
| vest [2] 84/8 88/14 | warrant [8] 11/17 14/17 15/1 | 117/5 119/25 123/5 |
| vests [1] 70/24 | 54/25 55/3 55/4 55/5 77/9 | we've [7] 18/8 62/15 74/4 75/1 |
| veteran [2] 62/16 62/16 | was [304] | 105/19 112/12 124/12 |
| victim [6] 79/15 81/19 88/25 | washy [1] 47/25 | weak [2] 88/12 88/13 |
| 88/25 90/21 103/24 | wasn't [34] $13 / 1319 / 5 \quad 29 / 8$ | weapon [1] 81/6 |
| victimize [1] 52/4 | 30/4 30/18 30/22 31/3 31/6 40/6 | wear [1] 89/2 |
| victims [2] 56/22 57/9 | 55/20 55/20 55/21 59/21 63/17 | wearing [2] 12/3 70/24 |
| video [9] 34/19 55/9 55/12 | 64/8 65/10 66/1 70/16 75/2 | wears [1] 88/14 |
| 55/13 55/15 99/17 99/19 99/20 | 80/16 85/3 85/15 86/6 86/8 86/9 | weed [2] 15/16 85/14 |
| 101/19 | 86/12 86/17 87/3 87/17 89/14 | week [1] 114/24 |
| videotaped [1] 13/12 | 89/15 90/5 115/12 116/4 | weeks [1] 82/15 |
| view [2] 92/13 93/2 | watching [2] 26/19 32/13 | weigh [2] 7/14 49/9 |
| views [1] 92/19 | water [1] 38/14 | weight [3] 15/8 15/23 92/22 |
| violated [1] 12/21 | way [22] $3 / 24$ 9/13 $27 / 4 \quad 33 / 13$ | welcome [1] 5/17 |
| violence [6] 78/15 92/14 96/7 | 39/16 41/12 44/25 51/2 58/9 | well [56] $4 / 19$ 4/21 9/22 10/11 |
| 96/8 96/13 96/23 | 61/9 68/17 69/15 82/23 83/19 | 13/20 14/23 15/10 16/4 16/9 |
| virtually [1] 115/1 | 89/25 93/16 103/8 108/19 111/12 | 19/2 31/3 34/24 38/17 47/25 |
| VMI [1] 30/17 | 111/20 113/25 114/13 | 53/17 53/19 54/20 54/20 55/12 |
| voice [2] 10/14 82/17 | Wayne [33] $7 / 17$ 8/18 $8 / 20$ 8/22 | 56/3 58/4 58/15 58/16 59/21 |
| VOLUME [1] 1/13 |  | 59/25 60/6 60/23 61/22 62/8 |
| volunteer [1] 15/4 | 25/10 $26 / 9$ 26/13 $26 / 14$ 28/17 | 62/9 66/16 67/17 69/11 74/19 |
| vote [2] 93/6 93/22 | 28/18 29/6 29/21 29/21 29/24 | 76/15 78/18 80/14 82/5 82/11 |
| votes [1] 93/20 | 30/5 31/9 31/15 31/19 32/16 | 82/15 83/3 83/22 84/4 85/25 |
| vulnerable [3] 54/10 88/13 | 35/15 $40 / 13$ 40/15 $52 / 12 \quad 53 / 18$ | 87/18 90/5 91/8 91/20 93/15 |
| 88/15 | 54/22 56/7 | 95/6 99/9 104/9 107/8 110/1 |
| W | Wayne Jenkins [30] 7/17 8/18 | 114/16 118/9 |
|  | 8/20 8/22 9/4 9/6 9/6 9/7 16/4 | Wells [2] 40/10 68/5 |
| wage [1] 62/18 | 24/9 25/10 26/9 26/13 26/14 | went [30] 8/19 9/21 13/5 29/3 |
| wagon [1] 83/8 |  | 32/3 33/1 $34 / 2$ 34/7 $34 / 9$ 51/18 |
| wait [9] 58/3 58/3 71/14 102/12 | 29/24 30/5 31/19 32/16 35/15 | $\begin{array}{llllll}56 / 7 & 65 / 13 & 66 / 13 & 66 / 19 & 68 / 4\end{array}$ |
| 104/25 122/1 122/14 124/9 |  | 68/4 70/23 77/21 80/17 80/18 |
| 125/14 | 56/7 | 80/21 80/22 80/24 81/18 82/11 |
| waited [1] 68/5 | Wayne Jenkins' [3] 13/1 31/9 | 83/9 85/13 85/14 89/22 108/19 |
| waiting [1] 46/21 | 31/15 | were [61] $11 / 2$ 14/1 $15 / 16$ 20/20 |
| walked [3] 45/21 45/21 45/22 | ways [1] 65/21 | 22/23 26/21 27/9 27/10 27/19 |
| walks [2] 17/19 20/14 | we [119] $3 / 6$ 3/15 $3 / 17$ 9/4 $9 / 20$ | $\begin{array}{llllll}30 / 15 & 32 / 21 & 33 / 10 & 37 / 14 & 39 / 12\end{array}$ |
| wallet [2] 15/21 16/1 | $\begin{array}{lllllllll}12 / 10 & 13 / 3 & 15 / 10 & 15 / 19 & 17 / 6\end{array}$ | 51/20 51/24 52/2 52/3 52/4 |
| want [61] $4 / 2$ 4/25 6/3 $22 / 13$ | 17/21 19/10 $21 / 13$ 21/18 $21 / 25$ | 52/10 52/22 $53 / 1 \begin{array}{llll}53 / 4 & 53 / 22\end{array}$ |
| 22/14 $22 / 15$ 23/16 41/1 $42 / 13$ |  | 56/12 56/22 56/24 59/3 62/23 |
| 42/15 44/1 44/22 45/7 45/8 45/8 | 36/7 37/5 37/5 37/12 42/22 44/8 | 63/22 66/9 68/23 70/15 70/21 |


| W | Whiting's [1] 11/2 | 120/2 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |
| 75/16 76/4 78/2 78/9 78/20 81/6 | 31/6 33/3 34/7 35/24 36/21 | 39/5 43/4 43/4 43/6 43/6 43/14 |
| 82/5 82/22 83/16 83/16 85/1 | 36/22 36/22 37/8 37/10 37/24 |  |
| 86/9 86/13 87/21 87/25 88/6 | 41/21 43/21 43/22 52/18 54/17 | 50/2 60/6 66/4 66/20 82/16 |
| 90/22 101/24 101/25 114/6 116/6 | 55/4 55/13 55/16 56/18 57/25 | 84/25 91/13 91/24 |
| 117/2 120/23 120/24 | 61/14 61/18 61/21 61/21 62/8 | Witness 1 [1] 43/4 |
| weren't [4] 31/5 52/1 63/6 | 62/22 62/23 63/8 63/10 65/17 | Witness 2 [2] 43/4 43/6 |
| 90/17 | 69/1 77/22 77/24 78/3 78/9 | witness's [1] 45/12 |
| Westminster [6] 77/18 78/12 | 79/17 81/6 81/10 82/5 82/19 | witnesses [44] 6/11 6/13 9/9 |
| 78/18 78/20 79/9 82/11 | 83/1 84/8 84/25 85/18 88/13 | 9/19 15/11 25/7 27/14 34/23 |
| what [214] | 88/15 88/18 97/7 97/15 98/2 | 37/20 37/22 37/23 39/12 39/15 |
| what's [6] 9/3 21/7 64/19 72/14 | 109/13 116/24 118/7 | 42/8 44/13 44/16 44/17 45/6 |
| 81/25 91/20 | who's [9] 22/24 25/9 37/5 37/10 | 45/23 $46 / 3$ 47/15 47/19 $48 / 7$ |
| whatever [3] 13/17 63/16 104/22 | 41/20 41/24 43/21 55/14 62/4 | 48/8 51/24 52/1 52/2 56/20 |
| whatsoever [1] 36/3 | whoever [2] 97/2 97/17 | 56/22 56/23 58/10 63/13 63/22 |
| when [114] 7/24 8/19 8/21 9/24 | whole [2] 19/11 84/10 | 64/9 65/1 65/2 65/23 66/6 66/19 |
| 10/9 10/12 11/3 11/10 12/2 12/5 | wholeheartedly [1] 9/10 | 66/21 76/18 79/1 85/6 87/12 |
| $\begin{array}{lllllll}13 / 8 & 14 / 21 & 15 / 5 & 15 / 8 & 15 / 25 & 16 / 9\end{array}$ | whom [1] 125/2 | witnesses' [1] 27/16 |
| 16/14 16/18 16/19 16/23 16/23 | whose [3] 31/4 37/6 51/24 | woman [3] 24/23 42/5 68/6 |
| 17/8 17/8 17/10 17/11 17/13 | why [39] 10/22 11/15 15/19 | woman's [1] 66/14 |
| 18/13 20/21 20/25 22/18 25/6 | 27/14 27/15 27/16 27/19 27/20 | won't [3] 29/15 53/5 62/5 |
| 25/7 25/23 29/12 $29 / 23$ 30/9 | 27/21 27/21 $28 / 13$ 32/25 37/10 | wondering [1] 4/7 |
| 31/14 33/8 34/11 34/15 35/23 | 39/10 39/13 $39 / 14$ 39/22 44/19 | woods [4] 13/8 13/10 15/20 |
| 35/25 36/18 37/15 38/9 38/15 | 48/7 54/21 54/24 57/15 57/18 | 15/25 |
| 40/3 40/8 40/22 41/3 45/25 | 66/1 69/23 69/23 71/3 75/1 | word [4] 11/1 11/2 $46 / 3110 / 12$ |
| 46/25 47/3 47/17 49/1 $49 / 22$ | 78/18 82/22 84/6 84/14 87/17 | wording [1] 111/23 |
| 53/21 54/9 54/10 54/18 55/25 | 90/20 106/24 107/24 115/14 | words [6] 4/16 15/14 77/16 |
| 56/15 56/16 57/11 57/13 58/4 | 115/16 116/14 | 78/23 101/10 106/5 |
| 59/7 59/11 59/17 60/17 60/22 | Wicks [26] 2/5 5/11 5/21 49/13 | wore [1] 70/13 |
| 61/6 61/8 62/6 63/17 64/15 | 51/17 52/24 53/8 53/13 54/20 | work [15] 8/15 8/20 9/24 15/21 |
| 65/11 65/16 65/18 68/22 68/22 | 55/16 56/2 57/4 57/11 57/21 | 15/25 30/21 31/7 51/3 58/12 |
| 70/12 71/10 $76 / 1 \begin{array}{lllll} & 76 / 1 & 76 / 7 & 76 / 8\end{array}$ | 57/25 58/16 58/20 59/4 59/25 | 62/14 62/18 83/14 87/2 101/6 |
| 76/10 76/14 77/5 77/14 77/21 | 60/12 61/18 63/12 64/15 65/11 | 122/10 |
| 78/1 78/6 80/8 80/10 80/17 | 80/5 89/8 | work-related [1] 122/10 |
| 80/21 83/20 84/2 85/10 86/6 | wife [2] 7/19 79/21 | worked [6] 8/18 8/21 9/1 86/11 |
| 86/14 86/15 87/21 89/5 93/4 | will [57] $4 / 16$ 6/8 35/9 36/15 | 86/18 87/2 |
| 97/1 97/11 102/11 109/2 124/4 | 36/18 46/10 47/14 50/3 50/16 | worker [1] 77/23 |
| 124/18 125/12 | 58/19 61/6 64/5 67/2 88/18 91/8 | working [10] 31/20 37/2 37/5 |
| where [36] $4 / 4$ 10/12 23/12 | 91/17 91/18 92/8 93/5 93/11 | 43/22 55/13 63/7 86/3 86/6 86/7 |
| 30/17 34/17 34/18 34/20 37/2 | 93/24 94/13 94/17 94/20 94/24 | 86/21 |
| 38/13 38/15 44/6 46/22 52/13 | 95/1 95/6 95/8 95/11 95/14 | works [1] 88/15 |
| 56/6 60/13 60/19 62/3 64/12 | 95/16 96/1 96/25 97/12 97/15 | worry [1] 46/17 |
| 68/4 68/7 75/23 77/10 78/25 | 97/19 97/20 98/6 98/11 100/15 | worse [2] 58/12 61/24 |
| 80/17 80/19 82/10 82/10 82/18 | 101/1 101/15 101/17 102/8 | would [69] $4 / 17$ 11/7 11/10 |
| 83/3 83/4 83/7 83/19 84/13 | 102/15 102/19 102/25 104/6 | 12/13 22/19 27/5 27/7 28/4 |
| 85/15 86/6 99/2 | 104/24 107/10 113/24 121/2 | 34/14 37/20 38/18 39/17 42/19 |
| where'd [1] 82/15 | 121/7 123/25 125/15 125/17 |  |
| whether [33] $12 / 12$ 18/19 33/5 | 126/1 | 47/10 $47 / 10$ 49/2 50/10 $52 / 18$ |
| $33 / 16$ 33/17 36/24 36/25 38/11 | William [1] 2/2 | 54/24 57/15 57/18 61/3 66/1 |
| 39/7 55/9 64/23 65/6 74/5 74/6 | William B. Purpura, Jr [1] 2/2 | 68/17 74/16 75/4 77/11 77/11 |
| 74/9 74/9 95/20 96/1 96/16 | willing [1] 13/18 | 79/6 79/14 80/14 82/5 84/7 88/7 |
| 97/24 106/9 107/14 109/17 | Wilson [2] 31/16 31/19 | 89/25 90/18 92/10 96/9 96/11 |
| 109/24 110/4 110/7 110/19 118/2 | Wilson's [1] 29/20 | 100/22 101/3 101/4 101/5 101/21 |
| 118/21 119/18 120/5 120/19 | wind [1] 74/25 | 105/21 105/23 106/1 106/17 |
| 124/5 | window [2] 32/16 46/22 | 106/19 106/21 108/4 110/24 |
| which [42] 3/25 12/20 15/21 | wire [2] 94/19 115/25 | 111/6 111/6 112/16 112/21 114/2 |
| 16/12 17/14 28/11 30/2 31/2 | wire fraud [2] 94/19 115/25 | 114/13 116/1 119/7 119/15 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}31 / 5 & 33 / 2 & 34 / 1 & 34 / 11 & 43 / 10\end{array}$ | wiretap [8] 44/3 44/5 62/3 | 120/18 123/22 125/3 |
| 49/24 50/14 61/25 68/24 76/21 | 82/16 82/17 87/19 99/17 101/19 | wouldn't [13] 10/5 10/5 22/15 |
| 80/8 84/1 91/3 94/3 94/14 94/20 | wiretap calls [1] 62/3 | 24/24 27/5 39/10 49/21 49/21 |
| 95/17 95/22 101/20 103/21 | wiretaps [1] 56/25 | 55/23 65/4 76/4 88/17 118/6 |
| 109/14 110/4 110/18 112/8 113/6 | Wise [5] 1/18 3/22 51/15 91/5 | wound [1] 73/15 |
| 113/18 114/10 114/13 115/6 | 117/1 | write [2] 118/14 121/7 |
| 116/19 117/7 119/16 119/17 | wish [1] 120/20 | writing [7] 92/8 93/11 93/13 |
| 124/7 | wishy [1] 47/25 | 94/1 107/10 112/5 117/21 |
| while [8] 9/6 36/15 81/4 81/16 | wishy-washy [1] 47/25 | written [2] 50/4 109/10 |
| 81/18 103/13 118/14 121/7 | wit [1] 36/5 | wrong [7] 9/3 17/16 17/17 42/19 |
| white [2] 34/1 34/9 | within [2] 55/22 108/11 | 72/14 84/5 84/10 |
| Whiting [8] $10 / 7$ 10/11 $11 / 6$ | without [9] 25/8 61/14 70/7 | wrongdoings [2] 7/21 7/22 |
| 11/12 11/24 20/4 46/23 54/25 | 72/8 92/14 109/22 117/5 117/25 | wrote [1] 72/4 |



